

THE WEAK FORCE: "IDENTITY" OR NUMBER CHARGE

(revised Dec., 2012)

John A. Gowan

[home page](#)

Table of Contents:

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Leptons as Alternative Charge Carriers](#)

[Proton Decay and Leptoquark Antineutrinos](#)

[The "Anonymity" Symmetry Debt of Light: "Identity" Charge](#)

[Neutrino Mass and Oscillation](#)

[Hiding the Neutrino's Identity Charge](#)

[Summary](#)

[Postscript](#)

[Postscript II](#)

[Links and References](#)

Abstract

"Noether's Theorem" states that in a continuous multicomponent field such as the electromagnetic field (or the metric field of spacetime), where one finds a symmetry one will find an associated conservation law, and vice versa. In matter, light's symmetries are conserved by charge and spin; in spacetime, by inertial and gravitational forces. Neutrinos carry "identity" charge (aka "number" or "flavor" charge), the symmetry debt of light's "anonymity". *The charges of matter are the symmetry debts of light.*

Introduction

The charge associated with the weak force is usually known as "number" charge, although I prefer to call it "identity" charge, as the latter term better characterizes the function of this most important charge or symmetry debt. All elementary particles (the leptons) carry number (identity) charge, which occurs in two forms: 1) a "hidden" form carried by the massive leptons (the electron and its kin); 2) an "explicit" form carried by the (nearly) massless leptons, the neutrinos. A neutrino is simply the "bare", explicit form of number or identity charge. (The reader should refer to the ["Particle Table"](#) if the terminology in this article is unfamiliar.)

Number charge is strictly conserved as follows: every elementary particle - which means every lepton - carries a positive number charge, corresponding to its species or type, and every kind of elementary antiparticle carries an exactly equivalent but negative number charge. Together, the positive and negative number charges sum to zero. Number charge, like electric charge (and even the strong force color charge), must always exist in neutral combinations. For example, if an electron-positron particle-antiparticle pair is formed, their opposite number charges sum to zero. However, if a single electron is created (as in a weak force decay), its electric and number charge must still be neutralized, but because number charge occurs in two forms, this can be done by a positron neutrino instead of the

positron itself. The advantage of this dual system of charge carriers is that it allows the creation of single particles whose charges do not have to be balanced by their antiparticles; by using a neutrino rather than an antiparticle, the otherwise inevitable annihilation reaction between matter and antimatter is avoided, and unpaired, isolated elementary particles of matter ("singlets") can be brought into existence, nevertheless with balanced charges. Matter can be isolated from antimatter only because the free neutrino can carry and balance matter's identity charge in alternate form. Hence the neutrino, as an alternative carrier of identity charge, is the most important charge of the material universe, as it allows the creation of our matter-only Cosmos during the "Creation Event" or "Big Bang".

Leptons as Alternative Charge Carriers

The "identity" charge of the weak force functions in (at least) three crucial ways to conserve symmetry within the context of the material realm of atomic matter. In the first instance, it allows elementary particles to identify their appropriate annihilation partners in a timely fashion (in the case of both "real" and "virtual" particles); in the second instance, and perhaps more fundamentally, the "identity" charge is necessary to allow the exact replication of elementary particles, such that (for example), one electron is precisely identical to every other electron ever created. Without the identity charge, there would be no "benchmark" against which to measure one electron against another, especially in those cases (typical of the weak force) in which single electrons are created rather than particle-antiparticle pairs. Finally, the identity charges of neutrinos, which are the "bare" identity charges of their massive leptonic counterparts, provide an alternative identity charge carrier which accomplishes charge conservation even when single massive leptons (rather than particle-antiparticle pairs) are created. Without this charge-conservation service via the alternative pathway of the neutrino, our asymmetric "matter-only" universe could not have broken the perfect symmetry of light and its particle-antiparticle form during the "Big Bang", since without neutrinos, charge conservation could only have been accomplished via antimatter partners (such as electron-positron pairs), resulting in perpetual annihilations reactions. (See: "[The Origin of Matter and Information](#)".)

The role of identity or number charge is perhaps best explained and most readily appreciated by examining its role in actual weak force leptonic decays. A simplified form of 5 weak force decay reactions is given below, the simplicity allowing us to focus upon the conservation of two charges, the electric and identity charges. (More complete forms of these same reactions can be seen in the "[Particle Table](#)". See Also: "[The "W" IVB and the Weak Force Mechanism](#)").

Simplified examples of weak force leptonic decays (antiparticles underlined):

$t = \text{tau}; u = \text{muon}; e = \text{electron}; \nu = \text{neutrino}; lq = \text{leptoquark (hypothetical)}$
(decays 1-4 mediated by the "W" IVB; decay 5 mediated by the (hypothetical) "X" IVB)

$$1) t^- \text{ ----> } u^- + \nu t + \underline{\nu u}$$

(a tau decays to a muon, tau neutrino, and muon antineutrino)

$$2) u^- \text{ ----> } e^- + \nu u + \underline{\nu e}$$

(a muon decays to an electron, muon neutrino, and electron antineutrino)

$$3) (\underline{u}d^-) \text{ ----> } u^- + \underline{\nu u}$$

(a negative pion decays to a muon and a muon antineutrino)

$$4) N \text{ ----> } P^+ + e^- + \underline{\nu e}$$

(a neutron decays to a proton, electron, and electron antineutrino)

$$5) P^+ \rightarrow \bar{u} + \nu_l + \nu_{\bar{l}}$$

(hypothetical "proton decay", producing an antimuon, leptoquark neutrino, plus a muon neutrino)

Electric charges are usually represented by positive (+) and negative (-) signs, and it is easily seen by inspection that the electric charges on the left side of the reactions are equal to those on the right. The reader will also see that the same is true of identity charges. Recall that the massive leptons (electron, muon, and tau (e, μ, τ)) carry the charge in hidden form, while their neutrinos (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) are the explicit form of the same charge; matter carries positive identity charge while antimatter carries negative identity charge. Using these rules, one can see that the total number of leptons and leptonic "number" or "identity" charges is the same on the left as on the right side of the reactions.

Hypothetical reaction (5) is no exception because the proton is also assumed to carry a "hidden" number charge ($\nu_l q$). This arithmetic method of summation is why this charge is usually called "lepton number" charge. However, this charge is more specific than simple "number", as it is furthermore true that the identity of the "parent" lepton is conserved from one side of the reaction to the other, being carried on the right by neutrinos. Let us examine these reactions one at a time. Note that electric charge makes no distinction between its carriers, whereas neutrinos do.

In reaction (1) a massive tau (τ^-) decays to a less massive muon (μ^-). The electric charge of the tau is conserved by passing it to the muon. However, a single elementary particle has disappeared (the tau), and another has been created (the muon). Both carry hidden identity charges which must be conserved (in the case of the tau) or balanced (in the case of the muon) by their respective neutrinos or antineutrinos. Hence we must find a tau neutrino and a muon antineutrino on the product (right) side of the reaction. (The reader may wish to consult the more complete form of all these reactions to see how they are very simply accomplished by the "W" IVB and virtual particle-antiparticle pairs (derived from the Heisenberg-Dirac "vacuum" or "particle zoo" of spacetime): see ["The Particle Table"](#) or ["The 'W' Particle and the Weak Force Mechanism"](#)).

In reaction (2) a massive muon (μ^-) decays to the ground state electron (e^-), the lowest mass possible for an electrically charged particle. An electron is just a "bare" electric charge plus a "hidden" identity charge. Reaction (2) is exactly like reaction (1), except the muon decays rather than the tau, producing an electron rather than a muon. (All particles in these reactions also carry spin, which although not shown, is also strictly conserved from one side of the reaction to the other.)

In reaction (3) a negatively charged meson ($\bar{u}d^-$) decays to a muon and a muon antineutrino. Mesons are always composed of quark-antiquark pairs, which will annihilate each other provided their electric charges can be conserved. What is different about this reaction is that we see no neutrinos are necessary to conserve quark identity, even though two different quark "flavors" (\bar{u}, d) are involved. Whatever partial identity or "flavor" charge a sub-elementary quark may carry is evidently neutralized by its antimatter partner. The same is true for color charges (and spin) carried by quark-antiquark pairs in mesons. The separate "flavors" or partial identity charges of quarks are not strictly conserved. In other respects, charged meson decay is like a heavy lepton decay; electric charge is conserved by a muon; in turn, the muon's identity charge (and spin) is balanced by a muon antineutrino. (Because all antineutrinos are right-handed, the muon must have left-handed spin; hence the weak force is said to violate parity conservation, since only left-handed leptons can participate in weak force decays if they

produce antineutrinos. This parity asymmetry would be balanced if both matter and antimatter could co-exist, but parity is broken in our matter-only universe.)

Reaction (4) involves the decay of a neutron to a proton, known as "beta" decay because it produces "beta" particles, or electrons. A neutron (or proton) is composed of 3 quarks: the quark composition of a neutron is (ddu); that of the proton is (uud+). The proton is slightly lighter than the neutron, so the proton is the "ground state" or lowest energy state of the baryons (the class of all particles containing 3 quarks).

What is new in reaction (4) is that one baryon replaces another: the neutron decays to the proton, but baryons as a class never simply disappear (or appear) in any reaction - unlike the leptons and mesons, which come and go, so long as their various charges are balanced. This suggests that the baryon carries an additional conserved charge which cannot be balanced, neutralized, canceled, or otherwise carried by the leptonic field of alternative charge carriers; this is indeed so, and the charge is known as "color". All quarks carry color charges; the quarks of mesons, however, do not carry net color because their quark-antiquark pairs always carry color charge in neutral combinations - red-antired, for example. The weak force leptonic decay pathway is therefore open to color-neutral mesons but closed to color-charged baryons. The three quarks of baryons carry color in a composite, "white" combination which can self-annihilate when sufficiently compressed (the physical limit of the phenomenon of "asymptotic freedom" (Gross, Politzer, and Wilczek 1973) - see discussion in "[The Formation of Matter and the Origin of Information](#)"). (Because the gluon field is composed of color-anticolor charges in all combinations, the field will sum to zero color if strongly compressed.) However, when quarks and their color charges are expanded and explicit (the normal state), baryon color charge can only be neutralized by "antiwhite" (that is, antimatter baryons). Given the absence of antimatter, normal baryons cannot completely decay because there is no alternative charge carrier for whole-baryon ("white") color. (Baryons may also carry another conserved charge, the analog of lepton "number" charge, with an alternative charge carrier in the form of a leptoquark neutrino.) The special circumstances under which baryons *can* completely decay ("proton decay", reaction 5), are discussed under the color charge section in "[Symmetry Principles of the Unified Field Theory](#)".

Proton Decay and Leptoquark Antineutrinos

While baryons (or any other particles) can be readily created as particle-antiparticle pairs given sufficient energy, the production of any single, unpaired particle ("singlet") is difficult; indeed the asymmetric process of creating unpaired, single particles constitutes the "secret of matter" of the weak force and the material world. The mysterious and asymmetric weak force can create unpaired leptons, but the creation of a single, unpaired baryon (or its destruction) has never been seen, and has perhaps never even occurred since the primordial creation of matter in the "Big Bang". (See: "[The 'W' IVB as a Bridge Between Virtual and Real Particles](#)".)

There is no known weak force decay of a proton which is the equivalent of reaction (3), the leptonic decay of a meson. The absence of (the much sought for) "proton decay" has led to the notion of "baryon number" conservation, the equivalent of lepton number conservation. However, as we have seen, there are no separate neutrinos associated with the various (six in all) quark flavors, so if baryon number conservation is the equivalent of lepton number conservation, it must be associated with baryons as a class, not with the individual flavors of the sub-elementary quarks. In other words, there

must be one single neutrino species which carries the identity charge of all baryons, regardless of their internal quark composition. If we ever observe "proton decay", we should also observe the production of this type of neutrino (the leptoquark neutrino - νlq). See: "[The Particle Table](#)".)

Reaction (5) shows just such a hypothetical "proton decay", with the production of a leptoquark neutrino (νlq), which carries baryon number or leptoquark identity charge. This is the equivalent of the leptonic decay of a charged meson (reaction 3). The reason this reaction is so rare (in fact, unknown) is that the (hypothetical) "X" IVB required to compress the quarks to "leptonic" size and hence color neutrality is extremely massive and hence extremely difficult to produce. Once the color charge is sufficiently compressed to achieve complete "asymptotic freedom" and color neutrality, however, this reaction is no different from other weak force leptonic decays - except for the presence of the leptoquark antineutrino. (See: "[The Half-Life of Proton Decay and the 'Heat Death' of the Cosmos](#)".)

The major point here is that once a baryon (any baryon) has attained the (colorless) internal configuration of a leptoquark, it becomes the 4th and heaviest member of the leptonic spectrum, the primordial ancestral particle which unites the quark and leptonic elementary particle families. (See "[The Formation of Matter and the Origin of Information](#)" for a more complete discussion of the leptoquarks.) (See also: "[The Leptoquark Diagram](#)".)

Note especially in the 5 reactions above the role of the leptons as alternative charge carriers both for each other and for the mesons and baryons. Charges in these reactions are not balanced, neutralized, or canceled by antimatter partners, which would result in annihilation reactions, but by the leptonic field of alternative charge carriers. The massive leptons (electron, muon, tau) function as alternative carriers of electric charge, while their neutrinos function as alternative carriers of identity charge. (Mesons perform a similar function as alternative carriers of quark flavor, color, spin and electric partial charges in the transformations and decays of baryons.) Without the services of these alternative charge carriers, the world of matter would not exist, as all charges would have to be balanced by antimatter, resulting in annihilation. The leptonic charge field of elementary particles, or alternative charge carriers, functions to reveal the information content of the quark mass field. Nevertheless, while alternative charge carriers are a necessary condition for the creation of matter, by themselves they are not a sufficient condition; there still must be an additional asymmetry in the rate of weak force decays in matter as compared to antimatter (Cronin, 1981). Presumably, this asymmetry involves the rate of decay of electrically neutral leptoquarks vs electrically neutral anti-leptoquarks. (For a further discussion of the weak force in its full energy spectrum, see: "[The Higgs Boson and the Weak Force IVBs](#)".)

The "Anonymity" Symmetry Debt of Light: "Identity" Charge

While everyone is aware of electric charge, few people outside physics are familiar with number charge (although most have heard of neutrinos), yet it is perhaps the most important of all charges, the one most responsible for the phenomenon of manifestation and the creation of matter. *The charges of matter are the symmetry debts of light*: the symmetry associated with number or identity charge is the "anonymity" or sameness of all photons. All photons are alike - one cannot be distinguished from another; those that are more energetic are simply composed of greater numbers of superimposed identical photons, or quanta of light. This is the "symmetry of anonymity" which is characteristic of

this type of particle (the "bosons"), and allows quanta of light to pile up one on top of another (unlike fermions (leptons, quarks) which repel one another - according to the "Pauli Exclusion Principle").

The photon is said to be its own antiparticle and carries no charges of any kind. Hence any particle-antiparticle pair a photon creates must necessarily bear charges which sum to zero, since the photon has none to begin with. All charges of any particle-antiparticle pair exist to facilitate an annihilation reaction between matter and antimatter, recreating the parent photon and thus preserving its symmetry, which includes (among other characteristics) light's lack of charge, lack of a gravitational field and time dimension, light's intrinsic motion, and light's metric and "non-local" distributional symmetry. This is why we say the charges of matter represent the symmetry debts of light, since the original function of charge in the particle-antiparticle pair is to motivate, facilitate, and produce an annihilation reaction, returning the particle-antiparticle system to the symmetric energy state of the light which created it, fulfilling "Noether's theorem" (see above) regarding the conservation of symmetry. When matter is isolated from antimatter, its charges retain (conserve) their original function and potency, but must find a new reaction pathway back to the original symmetry state of the light which created them. (See also: ["Symmetry Principles of the Unified Field Theory"](#)).

Unlike light, the massive particles which light produces ("fermions") are not all the same - as "singlets" they do not carry the symmetry of light's "anonymity", they are distinct, different, and distinguishable, at least as between the various elementary species. Therefore fermions break the photon's symmetry of "anonymity" and acquire the symmetry debt of "identity". One symmetry conservation function of the identity charge is evidently to facilitate timely annihilations (within the Heisenberg limit for virtual reality) by the identification of appropriate reaction partners for matter-antimatter pairs.

The simple view of neutrino function presented above is correct so far as leptonic decays are concerned. However, this picture has recently become more complicated by the discovery of neutrino mass states and the oscillation of neutrino flavors in flight after the decay. Here symmetry conservation is observed at the generic level of flavor, rather than the specific level - see the discussion below.

Neutrino Mass and Oscillations

See: *Scientific American*, May 2010 pages 38-45: "Through Neutrino Eyes" by Gelmini, Kusenko, and Weiler for an authoritative discussion of neutrino oscillation and mass.

According to Gelmini et al., neutrino mass is the basis upon which one neutrino is distinguished from another. Neutrinos oscillate not only between their 3 leptonic "flavors", but between 3 "mass states", and it is the relative proportion of these mass states that determines flavor. Because any neutrino flavor can exhibit any mass state, neutrinos evidently code for flavor identity at both the generic level of all lepton flavors, and at the specific level of a single leptonic flavor. This solves the "mystery of the missing solar neutrinos". (See: A. McDonald, J. Klein, and D. Wark: "Solving the Solar Neutrino Problem". *Scientific American*, April, 2003.) (See also: *Science*: Vol. 313, 21 July 2006, page 291, regarding neutrino oscillations). The situation is complex in that while an electron neutrino can only react with an electron (or positron), it may nevertheless change into a muon or tau neutrino during flight, and while in this new configuration, react only with a muon or tau (or their antiparticles).

Hence while a specific neutrino flavor does code for and react with a single leptonic flavor, you can't be sure which neutrino flavor you are going to end up with, no matter what flavor you began with. However, because all neutrino flavors play the same game, in sum they balance out each other's charges.

The fluid mix of neutrino identities (in flight) reflects the fluid state of identity in the electroweak symmetric energy state generally, in which all leptonic identities are merged at their generic level, and likewise all quark identities are merged at their generic level. In the electroweak symmetric energy state (or during the electroweak era of the "Big Bang"), transformations of one leptonic flavor into another, or one quark flavor into another, were simply the normal course of events. This is the generic level of symmetry which the "W" family of IVBs recreates (and is how the "W" effects identity transformations), not the specific level of "broken" symmetry (individual identity) we experience today. Specific leptonic and quark identities "freeze out" or "crystalize" only in the cold ground state of our present electromagnetic era. In flight, the neutrino seems to at least partially return to this fluid state of electroweak generic identity. Oscillations or superpositions of identity also occur between other closely related (massive) particles as well, including the quarks of the "K-neutral long" and "K-neutral short" mesons, and even the proton/neutron "nucleons".

The leptonic mass series (electron, muon, tau) serves as an alternative charge carrier for two charges, the electric charge of the electromagnetic force, and the "identity" charge of the weak force. Electric charge is quite independent of mass, and serves only as a long-range "locator" charge, identifying the spacetime location of an electrically charged particle, in this way serving matter's eternal search for antimatter and a return to the symmetric energy state of light (via an annihilation reaction). It is the task of the weak force "identity" charge (AKA lepton "number" charge) to distinguish between the several massive leptons so that they may in fact identify and annihilate with their appropriate anti-partners, once the electric charge has performed its locating and motivating functions.

The leptonic series is distinguished only by mass and identity charge, and it is interesting to note that the several identity charges (as carried in explicit form by neutrinos) are also distinguished one from another by mass - in this case by the disparate proportionate allocation of the superimposed deBroglie mass waves of the three neutrino "flavors" (see the Gelmini article cited above). The weak force focus upon mass, whether in the Higgs boson and its associated IVBs, the leptonic spectrum, or the neutrinos, suggests that the force which creates the massive, material, and particulate universe uses mass (bound electromagnetic energy) in all cases as a hedge against the attenuating effects of entropy, whether spatial or temporal, in our rapidly expanding universe. Charges and massive particles are simply immune from entropic enervation by the expansion of the cosmos, hence both can and do serve as invariant conservation parameters in the economy of Natural Law.

The "W" IVB "family" recreates an invariant, primordial state of force-unification symmetry (the Electroweak Era) by virtue of its huge and quantized mass. In this state the three members of the leptonic elementary particle spectrum are all equivalent (a "generic" level of identity rather than a "specific" level of identity), and transformations of one leptonic species into another are accomplished as a matter of course. Now it is most curious to note that the observed oscillations of the neutrinos apparently preserve a "memory" of this same primordial "generic" symmetry state, and in this reflection we catch a glimpse of why both the IVBs and the neutrinos must share a massive parameter. The neutrino cannot interact unless the "memory" of the "generic" electroweak symmetric energy

state it carries within itself can be recreated, matched, or decoded by the IVB field - a "lock and key" mechanism. There is something special about the mass state of a neutrino which allows it to interact with (and only with) the mass state of an IVB. Hence we arrive at the curious phenomenon of a particle which carries its charge (partly) in the form of mass, and yet can travel through light years of lead without interacting.

As to why the Z is heavier than the W, I suggest it is because the W, within the symmetric energy state the IVBs create, has only to accommodate the quarks and massive leptons (since only they bear electric charges), but the Z has to account for the neutrinos in addition. Since Z-neutral elastic scattering can occur with all quarks and leptons, the set of particles "under the Z's wing", as it were, is larger than the W's, hence requiring more energy to conjure from the vacuum. There are simply more possibilities for neutral interactions than for charged interactions, and therefore the primordial electroweak unified-force symmetric energy state recreated by the Z is larger and more inclusive (in terms of membership) than that created by the W. Furthermore, since the role of the massive IVBs is expressly to ensure the exact replication of single elementary particles, we may suspect that the neutrino which emerges from a seemingly humble Z-neutral scattering event is not the same "individual" that entered the interaction, even though both are of the same "flavor". This points up the fact that Nature is at considerable pains to protect the integrity and value of the "identity" charge of the neutrinos, requiring a full-on 91 GeV Z-neutral interaction even for an apparently simple scattering event.

It has been suggested that the three-family structure of the particle spectrum (including the quarks) is necessary to produce the weak force asymmetry which allows the primordial creation of matter during the "Big Bang" (because the three-family structure provides many more opportunities to form [electrically neutral "leptoquarks" during the "Big Bang"](#); these in turn can undergo asymmetric weak force decays). If this is true, the "harmonic" 3-part family structure of the elementary particles may have its ultimate explanation as "anthropic" necessity. Because "cosmological" neutrinos can carry, without interaction, significant amounts of energy (due to the phenomenon of relativistic mass?), they may have been instrumental in the dispersal of energy during the initial expansion of the Big Bang, preventing the collapse of the entire system into a cosmic-scale black hole.

The hypothetical leptoquark neutrino is a candidate for "dark matter". This neutrino could be quite massive (and therefore relatively slow moving?), and if it is sufficiently different from the other leptonic neutrinos, it may not participate in their "harmonic" spectrum and oscillations. It is "sterile" to the extent that it can only be seen during proton decay or proton creation - via the "X" IVB. The search for a "sterile" (non-interactive) 4th neutrino species is currently ongoing in both Europe and the US, as an internet search on the term will confirm. (See: Adrian Cho: "The Sterile Neutrino: Fertile Concept or Dead End?" *Science* Vol. 334 21 Oct., 2011 page 304-6.)


Hiding the Neutrino's Identity Charge

The charge conservation reason for hiding identity charge is simple. Since identity charge is a "handed" charge, as we know from the explicit example of the neutrino, massive leptons (such as the electron) cannot conserve this charge in its explicit form, since any massive particle can be either left- or right-handed in its spin orientation. "Hiding" the handed identity charge as an implicit lepton number charge renders this conservation dilemma moot, since in this hidden condition the charge

exhibits no handed preference. Only during a weak force transformation involving the IVBs must the lepton "awaken" to its true identity and left-handed spin orientation.


We can think of neutrinos as traveling (existing) from one IVB "event" to another - almost as an airplane travels only between airports. Typically, the neutrino is "born" or initiated in an IVB event (for example, during the decay of a muon to an electron, in which both a muon neutrino and an electron antineutrino are produced (in all reactions below, antiparticles are underlined; the electroweak transformation matrix of the "W" IVB is represented by square brackets; virtual particle-antiparticle pairs of mesons and leptons are enclosed in parentheses)):

Muon Decay:




In such an initiating decay, neutrinos pick up their symmetry debt of "identity" and perform their function as alternative charge carriers (and conservers) of the muon's and electron's identity charges (which are "hidden" in the massive leptons). Subsequently, the neutrinos carry their charges through spacetime at nearly the speed of light until they engage in another IVB interaction in which their services as alternative charge carriers are required, or they are annihilated/neutralized by corresponding anti-charges. Neutrino oscillations during flight mean that weak force charge conservation may be observed at the generic level of lepton number rather than the specific level of lepton identity: what began as an electron neutrino may end up as a muon neutrino (and vice versa), etc. Once within the transformation matrix of the "W" IVB, however, oscillations cease and weak force charge is conserved at the specific level of lepton identity: electron neutrinos only react with electrons, etc. Below I give abbreviated examples of baryon transformations occurring in the Sun and in the neutrino detector (antiparticles are underlined):

Solar fusion: proton ---> neutron



Neutrino detector: neutron ---> proton



In the detector reaction, the incoming electron neutrino cancels identity charges with the positron of the leptonic particle-antiparticle pair, releasing the electron of the pair to the product. The positron's positive electric charge cancels the negative electric charge of the pion in the adjacent meson-anti-meson pair; the pion subsequently self-destructs. Meanwhile, the positive pion's anti- d quark annihilates one of the neutron's d quarks, replacing it with an u quark, and donates its electric charge to the product proton. All charges, leptonic and electric, are balanced from one side of the equation to the other.

For a more detailed explanation of these reactions and symbols see either ["The Particle Table"](#) or ["The 'W' IVB and the Weak Force IVBs"](#).

See also: Sverker Johansson's website for an authoritative discussion of neutrinos and the "Soar

Neutrino Problem":

<http://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/faq-solar.html>

["The Solar FAQ"](#)

Electron and Neutrino Pair Production

(added Nov. 28, 2011)

In the case of electron-positron pair production, we need only supply enough energy to satisfy the rest mass of the pair according to Einstein's famous equation: $E = mc^2$. However, in the creation of a matter-antimatter neutrino pair, with a very much smaller rest mass energy, we must supply enough energy to create a Z (neutral) IVB (about 91 MEV) - the equivalent of approximately 91 proton masses. Why this enormous difference between particles joined in the electroweak unification? In the case of the electron-positron pair, the weak force identity charges are hidden and balancing, so only the electromagnetic force boson (photon) need be involved to create the electric charges. However, in the case of the neutrino-antineutrino pair, there is no electric charge present and the neutrinos represent "bare" weak force identity charges, which can only be produced by a weak force boson, in this case the Z (neutral) IVB. Similarly, in the case of the production of an electron and electron antineutrino during the decay (for example) of a neutron to a proton (beta decay), a "bare" single antineutrino is produced (balancing the hidden "lepton number" or weak force "identity" charge of the electron). This bare, single neutrino (an explicit weak force identity charge) can only be created by a weak force IVB, in this case the W^- . (We have [elsewhere noted](#) that the huge mass of the weak force IVBs is necessary to recreate the primordial conditions of the electroweak force-unity symmetric energy state in which these leptons and mesons were first created, thus ensuring that all elementary particles ever produced will be absolutely identical in every respect.)

The ability to "hide" neutrinos or weak force "identity" charges is what determines the members of the massive leptonic spectrum or series - the electron, muon, and tau, and in all probability, the leptoquark. The leptoquark is the heaviest member of the series and progenitor of the proton, neutron, and hyperons, providing the missing link between leptons and quarks.

The ability to hide the weak identity charge in leptonic alternative charge carriers is absolutely necessary for weak-force symmetry-breaking via the production of single matter baryons from electrically neutral leptoquark-antileptoquark pairs during the "Big Bang" - since by this means charge conservation is satisfied despite breaking the symmetry of matter/antimatter leptoquark pairs. (See: "[The Formation of Matter and the Origin of Information](#)".)

Hence the high energy regime of the IVBs and the weak force identity charges follows the usual and logical pattern that higher energy processes nearer in time (and space) to the Big Bang lay the foundation and pave the way for the lower energy phenomena which follow - all the way down the scale to the electron shell chemistry of life.

The interior of stars, where the transmutation of particles and the building of the heavy nuclei of "metallic" elements is on-going, is the current locus of weak force activity. Metaphorically, we can think of the stars as bits torn off the Big Bang and scattered throughout space and time, bits reconstituted by the negative energy and entropy of gravity, the ancient era of the electroweak unification at least partially recapitulated and still blazing in the cold sky of our biological Cosmos.

Summary

All this leads us to the conclusion not only that a leptoquark neutrino species must exist (serving to identify the whole class of the baryons through their ancestral elementary leptonic form, the leptoquark), but that "identity" is the fundamental charge to be associated with the weak force, taking its place as one of the four fundamental charges of nature (electric, location, color, identity). Just as the "location" charge of gravitation represents the fundamental information "bit" of the dimensional realm, so "identity" represents the fundamental information "bit" of the particle realm. It is a remarkable fact that between the dimensional charge of gravitation and the information charge of the neutrino, spacetime records the location, mass, and identity of every elementary particle within its cosmic domain (as symmetry debts). Finally, every action or event is stored indefinitely in historic spacetime (as causality debts - "Karma"). This exhaustive record is part of the function of spacetime as a true "conservation domain" - extending beyond simple raw energy conservation to include symmetry conservation (as charge, spin, inertia, and gravity), entropy (as time and - again - gravity), and causality (as information stored in historic spacetime). (See: "[The Tetrahedron Model](#)".)

The universe of matter only exists due to a basic asymmetry in weak force reactions between matter vs antimatter (manifesting most probably in the asymmetric decays of electrically neutral leptoquarks vs antileptoquarks during the "Big Bang"), and because the meson and leptonic fields of alternative charge carriers provide a charge-conserving decay pathway in lieu of antimatter charge partners. The mesons and leptons exist to provide alternative charge carriers for the mass-carrying quarks; this is the natural role and function of the mesons and leptons. (This all works because the leptoquarks are the common source of both the leptons and quarks.) The massive leptons (such as the electron) provide alternative carriers for electric charge; the (almost) massless leptons (neutrinos) provide alternative carriers for number or identity charge; the mesons provide alternative carriers for quark partial charges, including color, spin, electric charge, and flavor. It is due to the services of the meson and leptonic fields of alternative charge carriers that the information content and potential of the primary mass-carrying quark field can actually manifest as "singlet" baryons.

Postscript: Another Reason why the "Identity" Charge is "Hidden"

Unlike electric charge, "identity" charge (AKA lepton "number" charge) is "hidden" or implicit in the massive leptonic charge carriers, the electron, muon, and tau (and probably the "leptoquark" as well). Neutrinos are the explicit form of identity charge; there is no hidden form of electric charge among the elementary leptons, although the neutron is an inexact analog among the composite baryons. It is instructive to consider why this difference should exist, and its significance for these two types of charge.

The symmetry between matter and antimatter lies at the root of the difference between any charge and its anti-charge. It is probably easiest for us to intuit this fundamental natural division as analogous to that between positive and negative numbers, or in financial terms, as between a debt and the equivalent positive balance - with the added feature of an attractive force engendered between the opposite members. In the case of electric charge, we know that an electrically neutral photon (quantum unit of light) of sufficient energy can momentarily divide into two halves, one with positive electric charge and the other with negative electric charge (electron vs positron). Since opposite electric charges attract each other but similar charges repel, it is apparent that the purpose of these

charges is to cause the annihilation of the particle pair, restoring (conserving) the former symmetric energy state of the photon that created them (in satisfaction of Noether's symmetry conservation theorem). It is possible to give these opposite charges a dimensional interpretation: Feynman thought positrons might be electrons traveling backward in time (in such a case, anti-charges represent the presence of an anti-universe). In "virtual" pair creation, where there is not enough energy in the original photon to create a "real-time" electron-positron pair, in addition to the positive and negative electric charge, we attribute negative energy to one partner and positive energy to the other, with the consequence that the annihilation must occur within the "Heisenberg time limit" for virtual reality. Hence virtual pair creation requires no net energy.

While it is not completely clear what "negative energy" might be, other than a bookkeeping device for purposes of conservation, we seem to have an actual example in gravitation. Taking this hint, even though gravitational energy is not typically involved in virtual pair production (except in "Hawking radiation" near black holes), we surmise that "negative energy" is a type of binding energy which exists in response to threats to some conserved parameter, just as negative electric charge exists in response to threats to symmetry conservation. The two make common cause in virtual pair creation and annihilation.

In the case of the neutrino, rather than positive and negative electrical charges (however they may be physically interpreted), we find another type of symmetry conservation protected by a completely different kind of charge, the left- vs right-handed spin of the partners: all neutrinos have left-handed spin, all antineutrinos have right-handed spin (this is part of the "identity" symmetry charge of neutrinos, in this case distinguishing matter from antimatter). Consequently it is said we live in a left-handed universe. (In the strong force we find yet another charge system protecting symmetry (through protecting whole quantum units of charge), the color vs anti-color charges of the quarks and gluons.)

There is something unusual about this neutrino "handed" symmetry charge, in that there is no long-range weak force analog of the photon or graviton field vector. If a virtual neutrino-antineutrino particle pair is created, what force then acts to cause their recombination and annihilation, conserving the original symmetry of spacetime, as Noether's Theorem requires? It is likely that simple geometry, or their mutual "entanglement" within the spacetime metric, would ensure the annihilation of such a pair. However, if entanglement does not immediately annihilate this pair, then they might well roam the universe until they are reunited in a black hole or in the cosmic scale "Big Crunch" gravitational collapse of spacetime itself. In either case, we see gravity performing yet another conservation role.

"Bare" neutrino matter-antimatter particle pairs can only be created by the weak force field vector, the "Z" IVB. The mysteries of the weak force and its strange short-range massive field vectors, the "Intermediate Vector Bosons" (which regulate symmetry and energy conservation and the transmission of the weak force "identity" charge), can best be understood within the context of its natural role: the creation, transformation, and annihilation of *single* elementary particles. Elementary particles created today must be exactly the same in all respects as those created eons ago during the "Big Bang"; it is surmounting this challenge that makes the weak force so strange. (See: ["The Higgs Boson and the Weak Force IVBs"](#).)

Consider now the difficulty of separating a neutrino-antineutrino particle pair, mutually "entangled"

within the spacetime metric. Electrons and positrons can be separated because they have a long-range field vector (photons) which can be brought to bear from external sources (electric/magnetic fields), but neutrinos lack any such "handles" by which we might manipulate them. Nevertheless, nature must separate these neutrino pairs if symmetry is to be broken and matter is to be created without its antimatter complement. The method nature uses is to "hide" one member of the pair in a massive particle, such as the electron. The hidden identity charge can then be manipulated via the electric charge of the electron which carries it. The other (explicit) member of the identity charge pair is then free to roam about the universe, although it will forever retain its ability to annihilate the charge of its hidden partner. The electron is therefore an alternative charge carrier for both electric and (hidden) identity charge. The electron's electric charge is explicit and typically functions to balance the proton's positive electric charge, while the identity charge is hidden, functioning nevertheless to balance the explicit identity charge of the electron anti-neutrino.

Because electron-positron pairs are readily produced by (high-energy) photons alone, it is obvious that the "hiding" of identity charges within these massive leptons does not require the action of the weak force IVBs, as does the creation of a "singlet" neutrino (via the "W" IVB), or the creation of a "bare" neutrino-antineutrino pair (via the "Z" IVB). Rather, we see that however such massive leptonic particle pairs are produced, they always carry both explicit electric and implicit identity charges. We surmise, therefore, that the ability of Nature to create "hidden" identity charges predetermines what massive elementary particles (leptons) can be materialized - apparently only 3 or 4 types, if we include the leptoquark.

Except for matter-antimatter annihilation reactions, neutrinos originate in and return to the symmetric force-unity environment of the weak force IVBs, and they evidently retain a "memory" of the generic identity state of their origin which facilitates their return. In weak force transformations mediated by the "W" family of IVBs, the Higgs boson sets the energy scale for a unified-force symmetric energy state (the electroweak force-unity state), and the "W" IVBs perform the actual transformations. (See: ["The 'W' IVB and the Weak Force Mechanism"](#).) As a consequence of the hidden quality of its weak force identity charge, the electron is not constrained in its normal activities by the handedness of its identity charge, whereas the explicit electric charge completely controls its behavior. Only during transformations or annihilations must the electron acknowledge and be constrained by the handedness of its "hidden" identity charge.

Postscript II: WEAK FORCE IVBs, NEUTRINOS, AND INFORMATION

(IVBs = "Intermediate Vector Bosons": the strange, massive field vectors (force carriers) of the weak force)

John A. Gowan
January 2016

In the domain of the weak force, we find two types of elementary particles: 1) the (relatively) massive electrically charged leptons (electron, muon, tau); 2) their associated "identity charges", the (relatively) massless and electrically neutral neutrinos. Neutrinos are the "bare" identity charges of their massive leptonic namesakes, one for each type of massive lepton (the electron, muon, or tau neutrino). Although the three types of neutrinos resonate from one identity to another while in flight (apparently because their only distinguishing characteristic is a slight difference in mass), they will

only interact with their own specific massive and charged namesakes, during transformations or the creation/destruction of [single leptonic elementary particles](#). Neutrino "identity charges" (the characteristic charge of the weak force) provide the foundation for the information content of the cosmos - just as the [weak force asymmetry](#) provides the matter surplus of the cosmos.

[We have already noted](#) the strange fact that the "W" IVB, which weighs the equivalent of about 80 proton masses, is required to regulate the creation, transformation, or destruction of *single* charged leptons, even though an electron is lighter than a proton by a factor of nearly 2,000. [We have explained](#) this enormous "overkill" of available energy as due to the necessity to return to the original environment in which electrons were created, in order to ensure that every electron ever made - past, present, or future - is absolutely identical (for reasons of energy and symmetry conservation - for example, they must always be able to annihilate with an exact antimatter counterpart).

With respect to the neutrinos, whose mass is still unknown but may be as little as one-millionth that of an electron, we find an even more extreme mismatch between the "Z" neutral IVB and the particles whose transformations it regulates: the "Z" neutral IVB weighs about 90 proton masses. As in the case of the "W" IVB, we explain the huge mass of the "Z" as necessary to recreate the original energy environment in which neutrinos were created, and for the same reason: within type, every neutrino ever created - past, present, and future - must be exactly alike. If this hypothesis is correct, it suggests that the neutrinos were created before the massive leptons, in an earlier, smaller, and hotter universe, closer in time to the actual origin of everything.

But of course this only makes sense: the identity charge must be ready before the arrival of the massive lepton it will delimit and characterize. The mold must pre-exist the substance poured into it. The massive leptons would not "know" what form to take without the neutrinos to guide them. The presence of the neutrino precludes potential chaos during the initial "packaging" of energy into discreet, massive leptons during the Big Bang. Think for a minute, and you will realize that "Identity" is, and must be, matter's most primitive and basic charge (otherwise matter could not recognize and annihilate with antimatter - energy, symmetry, and charge conservation would be lost). How our matter-only universe manages to achieve conservation despite this glaring asymmetry is the major subject of this website. ([The charges of matter are the symmetry debts of light](#)).

[In the spirit of General Systems](#) in which this webpage is founded, I wish to note the following concordance: neutrinos are evidently the first elements of information to appear in our cosmos, and as we have suggested above, necessarily pre-exist the massive elementary leptonic particles for which they provide "[identity charges](#)" (implicit in the massive leptons, explicit in the neutrinos). To use an analogy, it is almost as if the neutrinos are the "souls" of the massive particles they code for - presenting us with a natural example of Platonic dualism. While the rational mind has only recently discovered massive leptons, neutrinos, identity charges, and the scientific concept of information, the intuitive mind long ago recognized the fundamental ontogeny of the cosmos in a single, simple statement: "In the beginning was the word".

Further in the same vein: when Moses asks God what his name (identity) is, God replies: "I am that I am". This is nothing less than a statement of absolute identity, sufficient unto itself, underived from any prior, higher, or more universal source. God simply IS, and cannot be encompassed, captured, or

otherwise diminished by a name or category. When we refer to God, we refer to that which *cannot* be named. God is simply the "Almighty", the "First Cause" or "Prime Mover", the "One", the "Creator". We are all subdivisions of the eternal "I AM", the everlasting, living, "Creative Principle".

And what are we to make of the notion that humanity is created in the "image and likeness" of God? To me, this suggests the idea of God as the central, single, all-encompassing "Identity" of the cosmos, from which the souls (identities) of His creations are derived - much as the "Big Bang" is the central single source of the neutrinos bearing identity charges of the elementary particles they will create. We are created in the image and likeness of our universe. This is something [Chardin understood](#).

Links:

Information

[Section VI: Introduction to Information](#)

[The Information Pathway](#) (text)

[Chardin: Prophet of the Information Age](#)

[The Formation of Matter and the Origin of Information](#)

[Causality vs Information](#)

[Nature's Fractal Pathway](#)

Weak Force, Intermediate Vector Bosons ("IVBs")

[Section IV: Introduction to the Weak Force](#)

[The "W" IVB and the Weak Force Mechanism](#)

[Global-Local Gauge Symmetries of the Weak Force](#)

[The Weak Force: Identity or Number Charge](#)

[The Weak Force "W" Particle as the Bridge Between Symmetric \(2-D\) and Asymmetric \(4-D\) Reality](#)

[The Strong and Weak Short-Range Particle Forces](#)

[Section XVI: Introduction to the Higgs Boson](#)

[The "Higgs" Boson and the Spacetime Metric](#)

[The "Higgs" Boson and the Weak Force IVBs: Part I](#)

[The "Higgs" Boson and the Weak Force IVBs: Parts II, III, IV](#)

["Dark Matter" and the Weak Force](#)

[Section XVIII: The Strong Force: Two Expressions](#)

[The Particle Table](#)

[home page](#)

References:

Brewer, J. W. and M. K. Smith, eds. *Emmy Noether: A Tribute to her Life and Work*. 1981. M. Dekker, New York.

Pierre Teilhard de Chardin: *The Phenomenon of Man*. 1959. Harper and Row, New York.

Cho, Adrian: "The Sterile Neutrino: Fertile Concept or Dead End?" *Science* Vol. 334 21

Oct., 2011 page 304-6.

Frank Close: *Lucifer's Legacy*. 2000. Oxford Univ Press.

Frank Close, 2010. *Neutrino* Oxford University Press.

G. Gelmini, A. Kusenko, and T. Weiler, *Scientific American* May 2010 pages 38-45:
"Through Neutrino Eyes".

John Curtis Gowan, "[Trance, Art, Creativity](#)". 1975. Privately printed.

Leon Lederman with Dick Teresi: *The God Particle*. 2006. Mariner Books.

Leon Lederman and Christopher Hill: *Symmetry*. 2008. Prometheus Books.

J. E. Lovelock. *Gaia. A New Look at Life on Earth*. 1979. Oxford University Press.

Robert Oerter: *The Theory of Almost Everything*. 2006. Penguin (Plume).

Pais, Abraham *Inward Bound: of Matter and Forces in the Physical World*. 1986. Oxford University Press, NY

Robert Resnick: *Introduction to Special Relativity*. 1968. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

James S. Trefil: *The Moment of Creation* 1983. Collier, Macmillan.

Frank Wilczek: *The Lightness of Being*. 2008. Basic Books.