Applications of Euclidian Snyder geometry to the foundations of space-time physics

For the 5th ACGRG5 meeting,

Dr. Andrew Beckwith

beckwith@aibep.org, abeckwith@uh.edu

abstract

A thought experiment supposition as a way to start presenting investigations into choosing either LQG or string theory as an initial space-time template for emergent gravity.

- This presentation will explore the applications of deformed Euclidian space to questions about the role of string theory and/or LQG:
- To what degree are the fundamental constants of nature preserved between different cosmological cycles, and
- To what degree is gravity an emergent field that is partly / largely classical with extreme nonlinearity, or QM/ Quantum Field Theory phenomenon?

Snyder formulation of HUP

1st Basic relation

$$[q, p] = i \cdot \sqrt{1 - \alpha \cdot p^2} \Leftrightarrow \Delta q \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left| \left\langle \sqrt{1 - \alpha \cdot p^2} \right\rangle \right|$$

2nd Basic relation

$$\Delta q \ge \left[\left(1/\Delta p \right) + l_s^2 \cdot \Delta p \right] = \left(1/\Delta p \right) - \alpha \cdot \Delta p$$


3rd Basic relation

LQG has
$$\alpha > 0$$

Braneworld $\alpha < 0$

Jerk calculation in common for both LQG, Braneword, and graphics, for <u>figure 1</u> below

• USING a non zero graviton mass, $q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2}$



If assuming a brane world

 X is Z, i.e. a red shift value. Change in sign for about Z = .40-.55 is almost one billion years ago, corresponding to re acceleration of the universe, i.e

Basic results of <u>Alves</u>, et al. (2009), using their parameter values, with an additional term of C for "dark flow: added, corresponding to one KK additional dimensions.

For brane world, the following modification of Roy Maarsten's

KK tower assumed to have a small non zero mass added, i.e. no zero order value for the graviton,4 – D graviton ~ 10 to the - 65 power grams

$$m_n(Graviton) = \frac{n}{L} + 10^{-65}$$

For brane world, use these evolution equations

Friedman equation, subsequently modified

$$\dot{a}^{2} = \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{3M_{4}^{2}} + \frac{\Lambda_{4}}{3} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{36M_{Planck}^{2}} \right) a^{2} - \kappa + \frac{C}{a^{2}} \right]$$

Density equation, with non zero graviton mass, used

$$\rho \equiv \rho_0 \cdot \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 - \left[\frac{m_g c^6}{8\pi G \hbar^2}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{a^4}{14} + \frac{2a^2}{5} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

For LQG, use these evolution equations

Friedman equations, assuming 'constant' momentum

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3} \cdot \rho \qquad \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{\kappa}{6} \cdot \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{a^6} \qquad \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) = -\frac{2 \cdot \kappa}{3} \cdot \rho$$

Density equation

$$\rho \equiv \rho_0 \cdot \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 - \left[\frac{m_g c^6}{8\pi G \hbar^2}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{a^4}{14} + \frac{2a^2}{5} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Can neutrinos interact with Gravitons?, part 1

 Bashinsky states that the density of gravitons interacting with neutrinos causes an alteration of over all GR density via

$$\left[1-5\cdot(\rho_{neutrino}/\rho)+\mathcal{G}[\rho_{neutrino}/\rho]^2\right]$$

Can neutrinos interact with Gravitons? part 2

- George Fuller and Chad Kishimoto's PRL stretched neutrino hypothesis; a neutrino could be stretched 'across the universe' leading to, if there is an interaction with gravitons:
- A few select gravitons, coupled to almost infinite wavelength stretched neutrinos would lead to at least the following stretched graviton wave

$$\lambda_{graviton} \equiv \frac{\hbar}{m_{graviton} \cdot c} < 10^4 meters$$

Semi classical interpretation of giant graviton waves?

 Brought up as a way to interpret the existence of a small graviton mass, which appears to violate the QM correspondence principle, as will be shown later.

Note that the main motivation will be in a field theory limit demo which shows problems with Massive Graviton field theories, and the limit $m_{graviton} \rightarrow 0$

How to measure a graviton/ GW?

Look at the normalized gravitational wave density function

$$\Omega_{gw} \equiv \frac{\rho_{gw}}{\rho_c} \equiv \int_{f=0}^{f=\infty} d(\log f) \cdot \Omega_{gw}(f) \Rightarrow h_0^2 \Omega_{gw}(f) \approx 3.6 \cdot \left[\frac{n_f}{10^{37}}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{f}{1kHz}\right)^4$$

 Note that n depends upon frequency and is stated to be part of the unit phase space Infinite Quantum statistics. From the work presented in the Paris observatory, July 2009 Start with

$$Z_{N} \sim \left(\frac{1}{N!}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{V}{\lambda^{3}}\right)^{N} \qquad S \approx N \cdot \left(\log\left[V/N\lambda^{3}\right] + 5/2\right)$$
$$S \approx N \cdot \left(\log\left[V/\lambda^{3}\right] + 5/2\right) \qquad V \approx R_{H}^{3} \approx \lambda^{3}$$

DM. V for nucleation is HUGE. Graviton space V for nucleation is tiny, well inside inflation/
Therefore, the log factor drops OUT of entropy S if V chosen properly. For small V, then

$$\Delta S \approx \Delta N_{gravitons}$$

Some considerations about the partition function

Glinka (2007): if we identify
$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2|u|^2 - 1}$$

- as a partition function (with u part of a Bogoliubov transformation) due to a graviton-quintessence gas, to get information theory-based entropy $S \equiv \ln \Omega$
- 1. Derivation by Glinka explicitly uses the Wheeler De Witt equation
- 2. 2. Is there in any sense a linkage of Wheeler De Witt equation with String theory results?

PROBLEM TO CONSIDER:

Ng's result quantum counting algorithm is a **STRING theory** result.Glinka is **Wheeler De Witt equation**. **Equivalent?**

Questions to raise.

Can we make a linkage between Glinka's quantum gas argument, and a small space version/ application of Ng's Quantum infinite statistics?

In addition, if the quantum graviton gas is correct, can we model emergent structure of gravity via linkage between Ng particle count, and Q.G.G argument?

LQG, while using WdW up to a point, does not admit higher dimensions above 4 dimensions. String-Brane theory does

 Why is this relevant to a discussion of the LQG vs Brane theory discussion?

Break down of field theory with respect to massive gravitons in limit

$$m_{graviton} \rightarrow 0$$

The massless equation of the Graviton evolution equation takes the form

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}h_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{32\pi G} \cdot \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}T_{\mu}^{\mu}\right)$$

Consider what happens with a graviton mass

$$m_{graviton} \neq 0$$

From Maggiore, 2008

$$\left(\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m_{graviton}\right) \cdot h_{\mu\nu} = \left[\sqrt{32\pi G} + \delta^{+}\right] \cdot \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\eta_{\mu\nu}T_{\mu}^{\mu} + \frac{\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}T_{\mu}^{\mu}}{3m_{graviton}}\right)$$

The mis match between these two equations when

$$m_{graviton} \rightarrow 0$$

Is largely due to, even if graviton mass goes to zero

$$m_{graviton}h_{\mu}^{\mu} \neq 0$$

$$m_{graviton} \cdot h^{\mu}_{\mu} = -\left[\sqrt{32\pi G} + \delta^{+}\right] \cdot T^{\mu}_{\mu}$$

Try semi classical model of Graviton, as kink- anti kink pair


 So, what about representing a graviton as a kink- anti kink? How does this fit in with t'Hooft's deterministic QM?

From a 1+ dimensional kink- anti kink

$$\Psi_{i,f} \left[\phi(\mathbf{x}) \right]_{\phi = \phi_{ci,cf}} = c_{i,f} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\int d\mathbf{x} \ \alpha \left[\phi_{Ci,f}(\mathbf{x}) - \phi_0(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\}$$

From density wave physics, 1+ dimensions

• Figure 2. kink- anti kinks lead to a vacuum wave function. The LHS is a kink. The RHS is an anti kink



The wave functional should have 'tHoof equivalence class structure added, in 4 to 5 dimensions

- T'Hooft used, in 2006 an equivalence class argument as an embedding space for simple harmonic oscillators, as given in his Figure 2, on page 8 of his 2006 article.
- It is also noteworthy to consider that in 2002, t'Hooft also wrote in his introduction, that "Beneath Quantum Mechanics, there may be a deterministic theory with (local) information loss. This may lead to a sufficiently complex vacuum state,".
- The author submits, that a kink-anti kink formulation of the graviton, when sufficiently refined, may, indeed create such a vacuum state, as a generalization of Fig 2

One to four-five dimensions in instanton, anti instaton construction

- For one dimension, the semi classical treatment has (CDW) a kink given by

• Beckwith(2001) as
$$\phi_{+}(z,\tau) = 4 \cdot \arctan\left\{ \exp\left\{ \frac{z + \beta \cdot \tau}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^{2}}} \right\} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi(z,\tau)}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z,\tau)}{\partial z^2} + \sin \phi(z,\tau) = 0$$

In five dimensions, **M. Giovannini** (2006) has constructed

For a five dimensional line element,

$$dS^{2} = a(w) \cdot \left[\eta_{uv} dx^{u} dx^{v} - dw^{2} \right]$$

$$\phi = \tilde{v} + \arctan((bw)^{\nu})$$

Supposition to get about the singularity in 4 dimensions, in early universe models

 Dropping in of 'information' to form an instanton- anti instanton pair, and avoiding the cosmological singularity via the 5th dimension?

• This lead to the author presenting in Chonquing, 11/15/2009 the region about the GR singularity definable via a ring of space – time about the origin, but not over lapping it, with a time dimension defined $\Delta t \equiv 10^{\beta} \cdot t_{Planck}$

The small mass of the graviton would be for energy in

$$\Delta E \Delta t \geq \hbar$$

 Having said this, the author is fully aware of the String theory HUP variant

 The idea would be to possibly obtain a way to look at counting for GW detectors

$$h_0^2 \Omega_{gw}(f) \cong \frac{3.6}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{n_f [graviton] + n_f [neutrino]}{10^{37}} \right] \cdot \left(\frac{\langle f \rangle}{1kHz} \right)^4$$

The following is claimed, if n (graviton) is obtained, then higher dimensional geometry may be relevant to transmitting information via gravitons from prior to present universes

- How much information can be carried by an individual graviton? Assume $\Delta S \approx \Delta N_{gravitons}$
- Use Seth Lloyd's

$$I = S_{total} / k_B \ln 2 = \left[\# operations \right]^{3/4} = \left[\rho \cdot c^5 \cdot t^4 / \hbar \right]^{3/4}$$

10 to the 20 power relic gravitons, yields almost 10 to the 27 power number of operations!

• This value implies that per graviton, as nucleated at least 4 dimensions, that there is at least **one unit** of information associated with the graviton. I.e. this is assuming that there is at least **some relationship** between an operation, and information.

 $\Delta S \approx \Delta N_{gravitons} \approx 10^{20} \Leftrightarrow 10^{20}$ or higher amounts of prior universe information transmitted to our cosmos ??

Cosmological parameters and information from prior to present cosmos ?

 The fine structure constant would probably be a place to start, in terms of information

$$\tilde{\alpha} \equiv e^2 / \hbar \cdot c \equiv \frac{e^2}{d} \times \frac{\lambda}{hc}$$

What the author thinks, is that higher dimensional models of gravity need to be developed, investigated, which may allow for such a counting algorithm.

Resolutions of questions about cosmological constants?

- 1st Conclusion, one needs a reliable information packing algorithm! I.e. for a wave length, as input into the fine structure constant, we need spatial / information limits defined for geometry
- $\Delta S \approx \Delta N_{gravitons} \approx 10^{20}$ is only a beginning

2nd Conclusion, assumed GW detector sensitivity limits need a comprehensive look over, re do

Acknowledgements

- The author thanks **Dr. Raymond Weiss**, of MIT as of his interaction in explaining Advanced LIGO technology for the detection of GW for frequencies beyond 1000 Hertz and technology issues with the author in ADM 50, November 7th 2009.
- Dr. Fangyu Li, of Chonquing University is thanked for lending his
 personal notes to give substance to the content of page 10 of the
 companion piece written document which compliments this PPT,
 plus his week long hospitality to the author in China.
- **Dr. Christian Corda** is thanked for encouraging the author to explore semi classical issues in GW and in GR which lead to a meeting on foundational issues of gravitation in a symposium in Crete, September 2009, plus Dr. Christian Corda forwarding the Alves et al document to the author as a way to expand the author scientific horizons.

Bibliography

- M. Alves, O. Miranda. and J. de Araujo, J.," Can Massive Gravitons be an Alternative to Dark Energy?", arXiv:0907.5190 (July 2009)
- <u>Sergei Bashinsky</u>," Coupled Evolution of Primordial Gravity Waves and Relic Neutrinos", http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0505502, 4 May 2005
- Marco Valerio Battisti, "Cosmological bounce from a deformed Heisenberg Algebra", PRD 79, 083506, (2009)
- A.W. Beckwith," Entropy Growth in the Early Universe and Confirmation of Initial Big Bang Condition (Wheeler de Witt Eqn. Results Vs. String Theory?)", (Submitted to Rencontres De Blois 2009 conference proceedings), http://vixra.org/abs/0908.0109 (2009)

Bibliography, contd.

- A.W.Beckwith," Gravitinos, the Lithium problem, and DM production: Is there a corresponding neutrino physics linkage?",presented in 12 Marcel Grossman conference, Paris, 2009, submitted as a confirmed conference entry http://vixra.org/abs/0910.0052
- A.W. Beckwith," Stretched Neutrinos, and the Supposed Linkage to Gravitons/ HFGW Data Sets", submitted to the 12 Marcel Grossman conference, as a conference proceedings entry
- http://vixra.org/abs/0911.0067
- A.W. Beckwith," Applications of Euclidian Snyder Geometry to the Foundations of Space Time Physics" http://vixra.org/abs/0912.0012
- A.W. Beckwith, "Classical and Quantum Models of Density Wave Transport, a comparative study", PhD dissertation, December 2001

Bibliography, contd

- Fangyu Li, Nan Yang, Zhenyun Fang, Robert M. Baker, Gary V. Stephenson, and Hao Wen, "<u>Signal photon flux</u> and background noise in a coupling electromagnetic detecting system for high-frequency gravitational waves ",[Phys. Rev. D 80, 064013] Published Wed Sep 9, 2009; http://vixra.org/abs/0907.0030
- <u>Dimitar Valev</u>," Neutrino and graviton rest mass estimations by a phenomenological approach", Aerospace Res. Bulg. 22:68-82, 2008; http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0507255