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#### Abstract

We give definitions in the spirit of Bourbaki's Set Theory for the basic notions of category theory. The goal is to avoid using either Grothendieck's universes axiom, or "classes" (or "collections") of sets which are not sets.


We refer to
[B] Théorie des Ensembles, Bourbaki, Springer 2006,
for the basic definitions, but we allow ourselves to use sometimes a more flexible notation. In particular, the following convention will be in force:

Notational Convention: If $R$ is a relation [resp. a term], if $X$ is a letter, and if we are planning to substitute in $R$ a term $T$ for the letter $X$, then we write $R(X)$ instead of $R$, and denote by $R(T)$ the relation [resp. the term] resulting from the indicated substitution.

The main idea can be summarized as follows. We mimic definitions such as that of an equivalence relation given in [B]. More precisely, Bourbaki defines in Section II.6.1 an equivalence relation as a relation satisfying certain properties. For instance, $X=Y$ is an equivalence relation [with respect to the letters $X$ and $Y]$. In particular, an equivalence relation is not a mathematical object. The mathematical objects [or, equivalently, the sets] are the terms of the theory, whereas an equivalence relation is a relation. Bourbaki introduces later the notion of a set equipped with an equivalence relation, but this is a different concept. One might say that an equivalence relation is a "metamathematical object", or a "typographical object" [typographical because, in [B], a relation is a particular type of "assemblage"].

Let $X, Y, Z, U, f, g, h$ be distinct letters [in the sense of [B]].
Definition. A category $\mathcal{C}$ is given by the following data:
(a) A relation $\Omega(X)$.

It will be more suggestive to denote $\Omega(X)$ by $X \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. Note that the chain of symbols $X \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is just a suggestive alternative for $\Omega(X)[\Omega(X)$ being itself a convenient way of denoting $\Omega$ ], but the symbols $\in \mathcal{C}$, and $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ have no meaning of their own in this situation. We sometimes even write $X \in \mathcal{C}$ for $X \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. [We insist: in general there is no set $S$ such that $X \in S$ if $\Omega(X)$.]
(b) A relation $H(f, X, Y)$, which we denote also by $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$, or even by $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. [Again, $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is not a set in general.]
(c) A term $C(g, f)$, which we denote also by $g \circ_{\mathcal{C}} f$, or even by $g \circ f$.
(d) A term $I(X)$, which we denote also by $\operatorname{id}_{X}$.

The above items are subject to the following requirements:
(e) $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ implies $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$,
(f) $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)$ imply $g \circ f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Z)$,
(g) $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}(Z, U)$ imply

$$
(h \circ g) \circ f=h \circ(g \circ f),
$$

(h) $\operatorname{id}_{X} \in \mathcal{C}(X, X)$,
(i) $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \operatorname{implies~} \operatorname{id}_{Y} \circ f=f=f \circ \operatorname{id}_{X}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be categories.
Definition. A functor $F$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ is given by two terms $F_{0}(X)$ and $F_{1}(f)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $X \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $F_{0}(X) \in \mathcal{B}$,
(b) $f \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ implies $F_{1}(f) \in \mathcal{B}\left(F_{0}(X), F_{0}(Y)\right)$,
(c) $X \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $F_{1}\left(\operatorname{id}_{X}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{F_{0}(X)}$,
(d) $f \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}(Y, Z)$ imply $F_{1}(g \circ f)=F_{1}(g) \circ F_{1}(f)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be categories, and let $F$ and $G$ be functors from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$.
Definition. A morphism of functors $\theta$ from $F$ to $G$ is given by a term $\theta(X)$ such that:
(a) $X \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $\theta(X) \in \mathcal{B}(F(X), G(X))$,
(b) $f \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ implies $G(f) \circ \theta(X)=\theta(Y) \circ F(f)$.

