## Ultrafast Conversion of Graphite to Diamond in Gravitational Pressure Apparatus

Fran De Aquino

Maranhao State University, Physics Department, S.Luis/MA, Brazil. Copyright © 2012 by Fran De Aquino. All Rights Reserved.

Currently the artificial production of diamond is very expensive because it consumes large amounts of energy in order to produce a single diamond. Here, we propose a new type of press based on the *intensification of the gravitational acceleration*. This Gravitational Press can generate pressures several times more intense than the *80GPa* required for *ultrafast transformation of graphite into diamond*. In addition, due to the enormous pressure that the Gravitational Press can produce, the "synthesis capsule" may be very large (up to about 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> in size). This is sufficient to produce diamonds with up to 100 carats (20g) or more. On the other hand, besides the ultrafast conversion, the energy required for the Gravitational Presses is very low, in such a way that the production cost of the diamonds becomes very low, what means that they could be produced on a large scale.

**Key words:** Modified theories of gravity, High-pressure apparatus, Graphite, Diamond. PACS: 04.50.Kd, 07.35.+k, 81.05.uf, 81.05.ug

## 1. Introduction

After the discovery that diamond was pure carbon, many attempts were made to convert various carbon forms into diamond. Converting graphite into diamond has been a long held dream of alchemists. The artificial production of diamond was first achieved by H.T Hall in 1955. He used a press capable of producing pressures above 10 GPa and temperatures above 2,000 °C [1].

Today, there are several methods to produce synthetic diamond. The more widely utilized method uses high pressure and high temperature (HPHT) of the order of 10 GPa and 2500°C during many hours in order to produce a single diamond. The fact that this process requires high pressure and high temperatures *during a long time* means that it consumes large amounts of energy, and this is the reason why the production cost of artificial diamond is so expensive. The second method, using chemical vapor deposition (CVD), creates a carbon plasma over a substrate onto which the carbon atoms deposit to form diamond. Other methods include explosive formation and sonication of graphite solutions [2,3,4].

In the HPHT method, there are three main press designs used to supply the pressure and temperature necessary to produce synthetic diamond: the *Belt press*, the *Cubic press* and the split-sphere (*BARS*) press. Typical pressures and temperatures achievable are of the order of 10 GPa and  $2500^{\circ}$ C [5].

Diamonds may be formed in the Earth's mantle mainly by direct transition, graphite to diamond or by systems involving carbon dissolved in molten metals. The classic high-pressure, high-temperature synthesis of diamond utilizes molten transition metals solvent/catalysts. as Converting diamond from graphite in the absence of a catalyst requires pressures that are significantly higher than those at equilibrium coexistence [6-12]. At lower temperatures, the formation of the metastable hexagonal polymorph of diamond is favored instead of the more stable cubic diamond [7, 10-12]. These phenomena cannot be explained by the concerted mechanism suggested in previous theoretical studies [13-17]. However, recently Michele Parrinello, Professor of Computational Science at ETH Zurich, and his team have developed a method by which they have successfully simulated this phase transition accurately and adequately using computer models [18]. Instead of happening concerted, all at once, the conversion evidently takes place in a step by step process involving the formation of a diamond seed in the graphite, which is then transformed completely at high pressure. In quantitative agreement with the *ab initio* calculations of Tateyama at al. [19], the stability of diamond relative to graphite increases with pressure whereas the barrier separating two phases decreases. Parrinello's work shows that *at a pressure of 80 GPa and temperature between 0 and 1,000K graphite* reaches a lattice instability point and *undergoes an ultrafast transformation to diamond* as was previously observed in *ab initio* simulations by Scandolo et al [20].

Here, we propose a new type of press based on the intensification of the gravitational acceleration<sup>\*</sup>. This press can generate pressures several times more intense<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> than the 80GPa required for the ultrafast transformation of graphite to diamond. In addition, due to the enormous pressure that the Gravitational Press can produce (>>80GPa), the ceramic cube ("synthesis capsule") can be very large (up to about 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> in size). This is sufficient to produce diamonds up to 100 carats (20g) or more. On the other hand, besides the ultrafast conversion, the energy required for the Gravitational Presses is very low, in such a way that the production cost of the diamonds becomes very low, what means that they could be produced on a large scale.

## 2. Theory

From the quantization of gravity it follows that the *gravitational mass*  $m_g$  and the *inertial mass*  $m_i$  are correlated by means of the following factor [21]:

$$\chi = \frac{m_g}{m_{i0}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta p}{m_{i0}c}\right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(1)

where  $m_{i0}$  is the *rest* inertial mass of the particle and  $\Delta p$  is the variation in the particle's *kinetic momentum*; *c* is the speed of light.

When  $\Delta p$  is produced by the absorption of a photon with wavelength  $\lambda$ , it is expressed by  $\Delta p = h/\lambda$ . In this case, Eq. (1) becomes

$$\frac{m_g}{m_{i0}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{h/m_{i0}c}{\lambda}\right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda}\right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(2)

where  $\lambda_0 = h/m_{i0}c$  is the *De Broglie* wavelength for the particle with rest inertial mass  $m_{i0}$ .

It has been shown that there is an additional effect - Gravitational Shielding effect - produced by a substance whose gravitational mass was reduced or made negative [22]. The effect extends beyond substance (gravitational shielding), up to a certain distance from it (along the central axis of gravitational shielding). This effect shows that in this region the gravity acceleration,  $g_1$ , is reduced at the same proportion, i.e.,  $g_1 = \chi_1 g$ where  $\chi_1 = m_g / m_{i0}$ the g is gravity and acceleration before the gravitational shielding). Consequently, after a second gravitational shielding, the gravity will be given by  $g_2 = \chi_2 g_1 = \chi_1 \chi_2 g$ , where  $\chi_2$  is the value of the ratio  $m_g/m_{i0}$  for the second gravitational shielding. In a generalized way, we can write that after the nth gravitational shielding the gravity,  $g_n$ , will be given by

$$g_n = \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \dots \chi_n g \tag{3}$$

*/* \

This possibility shows that, by means of a battery of gravitational shieldings, we can make particles acquire enormous accelerations. In practice, this is the basis to the conception of the *Gravitational Press*.

De Aquino, F. (2008) *Process and Device for* Controlling the Locally the Gravitational Mass and the Gravity Acceleration, BR Patent Number: PI0805046-5, July 31, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> The limit is basically determined by the compression resistance of the material of the piston and anvils of the Gravitational Press since it can produce pressures far beyond 1000 GPa.

From Electrodynamics we know that when an electromagnetic wave with frequency *f* and velocity *c* incides on a material with relative permittivity  $\varepsilon_r$ , relative magnetic permeability  $\mu_r$  and electrical conductivity  $\sigma$ , its *velocity is reduced* to  $v = c/n_r$  where  $n_r$  is the index of refraction of the material, given by [23]

$$n_r = \frac{c}{v} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_r \mu_r}{2}} \left( \sqrt{1 + (\sigma/\omega\varepsilon)^2} + 1 \right)$$
(4)

If  $\sigma >> \omega \varepsilon$ ,  $\omega = 2\pi f$ , Eq. (4) reduces to

$$n_r = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r \sigma}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 f}} \tag{5}$$

Thus, the wavelength of the incident radiation (See Fig. 1) becomes

$$\lambda_{\rm mod} = \frac{v}{f} = \frac{c/f}{n_r} = \frac{\lambda}{n_r} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\mu f \sigma}} \qquad (6)$$



Fig. 1 - Modified Electromagnetic Wave. The wavelength of the electromagnetic wave can be strongly reduced, but its frequency remains the same.

If a lamina with thickness equal to  $\xi$  contains *n* atoms/m<sup>3</sup>, then the number of atoms per area unit is  $n\xi$ . Thus, if the electromagnetic radiation with frequency *f* incides on an area *S* of the lamina it reaches  $nS\xi$  atoms. If it incides on the *total area of the lamina*,  $S_f$ , then the total number of atoms reached by the radiation is  $N = nS_f\xi$ . The number of atoms per unit of volume, *n*, is given by

$$n = \frac{N_0 \rho}{A} \tag{7}$$

where  $N_0 = 6.02 \times 10^{26} a toms / kmole$  is the Avogadro's number;  $\rho$  is the matter density of the lamina (in  $kg/m^3$ ) and A is the molar mass(kg/kmole).

When an electromagnetic wave incides on the lamina, it strikes  $N_f$  front atoms, where  $N_f \cong (n S_f) \phi_m$ ,  $\phi_m$  is the "diameter" of the atom. Thus, the electromagnetic wave incides effectively on an area  $S = N_f S_m$ , where  $S_m = \frac{1}{4} \pi \phi_m^2$  is the cross section area of one atom. After these collisions, it carries out  $n_{collisions}$ with the other atoms (See Fig.2).



Fig. 2 – Collisions inside the lamina.

Thus, the total number of collisions in the volume  $S\xi$  is

$$N_{collision\overline{s}}N_f + n_{collision\overline{s}}n_l S\phi_m + (n_l S\xi - n_m S\phi_m) = = n_m S\xi$$
(8)

The power density, D, of the radiation on the lamina can be expressed by

$$D = \frac{P}{S} = \frac{P}{N_f S_m} \tag{9}$$

We can express the *total mean number* of collisions in each atom,  $n_1$ , by means of the following equation

$$n_1 = \frac{n_{total \ photons} N_{collisions}}{N} \tag{10}$$

Since in each collision a *momentum*  $h/\lambda$  is transferred to the atom, then the *total momentum* transferred to the lamina will be

 $\Delta p = (n_1 N)h/\lambda$ . Therefore, in accordance with Eq. (1), we can write that

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{i0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ (n_1 N) \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ n_{total \ photons} N_{collisions} \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(11)

Since Eq. (8) gives  $N_{collisions} = n_l S \xi$ , we get

$$n_{total \ photons} N_{collisions} = \left(\frac{P}{hf^2}\right) (n_l S\xi)$$
 (12)

Substitution of Eq. (12) into Eq. (11) yields

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{i0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ \left( \frac{P}{hf^2} \right) (n_l S \xi) \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(13)

Substitution of P given by Eq. (9) into Eq. (13) gives

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{i0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ \left( \frac{N_f S_m D}{f^2} \right) \left( \frac{n_l S \xi}{m_{i0(l)} c} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(14)

Substitution of  $N_f \cong (n_l S_f) \phi_m$  and  $S = N_f S_m$ into Eq. (14) results

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{i0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ \left( \frac{n_l^3 S_f^2 S_m^2 \phi_m^2 \mathcal{D}}{m_{i0(l)} c f^2} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(15)

where  $m_{i0(l)} = \rho_{(l)}V_{(l)}$ .

Now, considering that the lamina is inside an ELF electromagnetic field with E and B, then we can write that [24]

$$D = \frac{n_{r(l)}E^2}{2\mu_0 c}$$
 (16)

Substitution of Eq. (16) into Eq. (15) gives

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{i0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ \left( \frac{n_{r(l)} n_l^3 S_f^2 S_m^2 \phi_m^2 \mathcal{E}^2}{2\mu_0 m_{i0(l)} c^2 f^2} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(17)

In the case in which the area  $S_f$  is just the area of the cross-section of the lamina $(S_{\alpha})$ , we obtain from Eq. (17), considering that  $m_{0(i)} = \rho_{i} S_{\alpha} \xi$ , the following expression

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{l0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left[ \left( \frac{n_{r(l)} n_l^3 S_\alpha S_m^2 \phi_m^2 E^2}{2\mu_0 \rho_{(l)} c^2 f^2} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(18)

If the electrical conductivity of the lamina,  $\sigma_{(l)}$ , is such that  $\sigma_{(l)} >> \omega \varepsilon$ , then the value of  $\lambda$  is given by Eq. (6), i.e.,

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\rm mod} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\mu f \sigma}} \tag{19}$$

Substitution of Eq. (19) into Eq. (18) gives

$$\frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{t0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{n_{r(l)}^2 n_l^6 S_\alpha^2 S_m^4 \phi_m^4 \sigma_{(l)} E^4}{16 \pi \mu_0 \rho_{l)}^2 c^4 f^3}} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(20)

Note that  $E = E_m \sin \omega t$ . The average value for  $E^2$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}E_m^2$  because E varies sinusoidaly ( $E_m$  is the maximum value for E). On the other hand,  $E_{rms} = E_m / \sqrt{2}$ . Consequently we can change  $E^4$  by  $E_{rms}^4$ , and the equation above can be rewritten as follows

$$\chi = \frac{m_{g(l)}}{m_{i0(l)}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{n_{r(l)}^2 n_l^6 S_{\alpha}^2 S_m^4 \phi_m^4 \sigma_{(l)} E_{rms}^4}{16\pi\mu_0 \rho_{(l)}^2 c^4 f^3}} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(21)

Now consider the system (*Gravitational Press*) shown in Fig.3.

Inside the system there is a *dielectric* tube ( $\varepsilon_r \cong 1$ ) with the following characteristics:  $\alpha = 60mm$ ,  $S_{\alpha} = \pi \alpha^2/4 = 2.83 \times 10^{-3} m^2$ . Inside the tube there is an *Aluminum sphere* with 30mm radius and mass  $M_{gs} = 0.30536kg$ . The tube is filled with *air* at ambient temperature and 1atm. Thus, inside the tube, the air density is

$$\rho_{air} = 1.2 \ kg \ m^{-3}$$
 (22)

The number of atoms of air (Nitrogen) per unit of volume,  $n_{air}$ , according to Eq.(7), is given by

$$n_{air} = \frac{N_0 \rho_{air}}{A_N} = 5.16 \times 10^{25} a toms/m^3$$
(23)

The parallel metallic plates (p), shown in Fig.3 are subjected to different drop voltages. The two sets of plates (D), placed on the extremes of the tube, are subjected to  $V_{(D)rms} = 1.028 KV$  at f = 60 Hz, while the central set of plates (A) is subjected to  $V_{(A)rms} = 12.169 KV$  at f = 60 Hz. Since d = 98 mm, then the intensity of the electric field, which passes through the 36 cylindrical air laminas (each one with 5mm thickness) of the two sets (D), is

$$E_{(D)rms} = V_{(D)rms} / d = 1.04898 \times 10^4 V / m$$

and the intensity of the electric field, which passes through the 7 *cylindrical air laminas* of the central set (*A*), is given by

$$E_{(A)rms} = V_{(A)rms}/d = 1.2418 \times 10^5 V/m$$

Note that the *metallic rings* (5mm thickness) are positioned in such way to block the electric field out of the cylindrical air laminas. The objective is to turn each one of these laminas into a *Gravity Control Cell* (GCC) [22]. Thus, the system shown in Fig. 3 has 3 sets of GCC. Two with 18 GCC each and one with 7 GCC. The two sets with 18 GCC each are positioned at the extremes of

the tube (D). They work as gravitational decelerator while the other set with 7 GCC (A) works as a gravitational accelerator, intensifying the gravity acceleration produced by the mass  $M_{ps}$  of the Aluminum sphere. According to Eq. (3), this gravity, 7<sup>th</sup> GCC becomes after the  $g_7 = \chi^7 G M_{gs} / r_0^2$ , where  $\chi = m_{g(l)} / m_{i(l)}$ given by Eq. (21) and  $r_0 = 92.53mm$  is the distance between the center of the Aluminum sphere and the surface of the first GCC of the set (A).

The objective of the sets (D), with 18 GCC each, is to reduce strongly the value of the external gravity along the axis of the tube. In this case, the value of the external gravity,  $g_{ext}$ , is reduced by the factor  $\chi_d^{18} g_{ext}$ , where  $\chi_d = 10^{-2}$ . For example, if the base BS of the system is positioned on the Earth surface, then  $g_{ext} = 9.81 m/s^2$  is reduced to  $\chi_d^{18} g_{ext}$  and, after the set A, it is increased by  $\chi^7$ . Since the system is designed for  $\chi = -308.5$ , then the gravity acceleration on the sphere becomes  $\chi^7 \chi_d^{18} g_{ext} = 2.6 \times 10^{-18} m/s^2$ , this value is much smaller than  $g_{sphere} = GM_{es}/r_s^2 = 2.26 \times 10^{-8} m/s^2$ .

The electrical conductivity of air, *inside* the dielectric tube, is equal to the electrical conductivity of Earth's atmosphere near the land, whose average value is  $\sigma_{air} \approx 1 \times 10^{-14} S / m$  [25]. This value is of fundamental importance in order to obtain the convenient values of  $\chi$  and  $\chi_d$ , which are given by Eq. (21), i.e.,

$$\chi = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{n_{r(air)}^2 n_{air}^6 S_{\alpha}^2 S_m^4 \phi_m^4 \sigma_{air} E_{(A)rms}^4}{16\pi\mu_0 \rho_{air}^2 c^4 f^3}} - 1 \right] \right\} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + 1.02 \times 10^{-8} E_{(A)rms}^4} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(24)

$$\chi_{d} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{n_{r(air)}^{2} n_{air}^{6} S_{\alpha}^{2} S_{m}^{4} \phi_{m}^{4} \sigma_{air} E_{(D)rms}^{4}}{16 \pi \mu_{0} \rho_{air}^{2} c^{4} f^{3}} - 1} \right] \right\} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + 1.02 \times 10^{8} E_{(D)rms}^{4}} - 1} \right] \right\}$$
(25)

where  $n_{r(air)} = \sqrt{\mu_{r(air)}\sigma_{air}/4\pi\varepsilon_0 f} = 12.24$ ,  $n_{air} = 5.16 \times 10^{25} atoms/m^3$ ,  $\phi_m = 1.55 \times 10^{-10}m$ ,  $S_m = \pi \phi_m^2/4 = 1.88 \times 10^{-20}m^2$  and f = 60Hz. Since  $E_{(A)rms} = 1.2418 \times 10^{-3} V/m$  and  $E_{(D)rms} = 104.898V/m$ , we get

$$\chi = -308.5$$
 (26)

and

$$\chi_d \cong 10^{-2} \tag{27}$$

Then, the gravitational acceleration upon the piston of the Gravitational Press shown in Fig. 3 is equal to the value of the gravitational acceleration *after the*  $7^{th}$ *gravitational shielding*, i.e.,

$$g_7 = \chi^7 g = -\chi^7 \frac{GM_{gs}}{r_0^2} \cong 6.3 \times 10^8 \, m/s^2$$
 (28)

If the mass of the piston is  $m_{piston} = 15kg$ with 20cm diameter then the *pressure* upon the *cubic-anvil apparatus* (Fig. 4) is

$$p = \frac{F}{S} = \frac{m_{piston} g_7}{\pi (0.1)^2} = 3 \times 10^{11} N / m^2 = 300 GPa$$

It is important to note that the pressure can be easily increased by increasing the value of  $\chi$ . However, the pressure limit is basically determined by the compression resistance of the material of the piston and anvils of the Gravitational Press since it can produce pressures far beyond 1000 GPa.



Fig. 3 - Gravitational Press (Developed from a process patented in July, 31 2008, PI0805046-5)



Fig.4 - Diagram of Cubic-Anvil Apparatus for the Gravitational Press. – In the center of the apparatus, is placed a ceramic cube ("synthesis capsule") of pyrophyllite ceramics, which contains graphite and is pressed by the anvils made from cemented carbide (e.g., tungsten carbide or VK10 hard alloy). Note that, due to the enormous pressure that the Gravitational Press can produce (>>80GPa), the "synthesis capsule" can be very large (up to about 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> in size). This is sufficient to produce diamonds with up to 100 carats (20g) or more.

## References

- Hall, H. T. (1960). "Ultra-high pressure apparatus". *Rev. Sci. Instr.* **31** (2): 125.
- Werner, M; Locher, R (1998). "Growth and application of undoped and doped diamond films". *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 61 (12): 1665.
- [3] Osawa, E (2007). "Recent progress and perspectives in single-digit nanodiamond". *Diamond and Related Materials* 16 (12): 2018.
- [4] Galimov, É. M.; Kudin, A. M.; Skorobogatskii, V. N.; Plotnichenko, V. G.; Bondarev, O. L.; Zarubin, B. G.; Strazdovskii, V. V.; Aronin, A. S. et al. (2004).
  "Experimental Corroboration of the Synthesis of Diamond in the Cavitation Process". *Doklady Physics* 49 (3): 150.
- [5] N. Pal'yanov et al. (2002). "Fluid-bearing alkaline carbonate melts as the medium for the formation of diamonds in the Earth's mantle: an experimental study". Lithos 60: 145.
- [6] F. P. Bundy(1963) J. Chem. Phys. 38, 631.
- [7] F. P. Bundy and J. S. Kasper(1967) J. Chem. Phys. 46, 3437.
- [8] F. P. Bundy, W. A. Bassett, M. S. Weathers, R. J. Hemley, H. K. Mao, and A. F. Goncharov (1996) Carbon, 34, 141.
- [9] T. Irifune, A. Kurio, S. Sakamoto, T. Inoue, and H. Sumiya(2003) Nature 421, 806.
- [10] V. F. Britun, A. V. Kurdyumov, and I. A. Petrusha (2004) Powder Metall. Met. Ceram. 43, 87.
- [11] H. Sumiya, H. Yusa, T. Inoue, H. Ofuji, and T. Irifune (2006) High Pressure Res. 26, 63.
- [12] H. Ohfuji and K. Kuroki(2009) J. Mineral. Petrol. Sci. 104, 307.
- [13] S. Fahy, S. G. Louie, and M. L. Cohen (1986) Phys. Rev. B 34, 1191.
- [14] S. Fahy, S. G. Louie, and M. L. Cohen (1987) Phys. Rev. B 35, 7623.
- [15]Y. Tateyama, T. Ogitsu, K. Kusakabe, and S. Tsuneyuki (1996) Phys. Rev. B 54, 14994.
- [16] S. Scandolo, M. Bernasconi, G. L. Chiarotti, P. Focher, and E. Tosatti (1995) Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4015.
- [17] F. Zipoli, M. Bernasconi, and R. Martonak (2004) Eur. Phys. J. B 39, 41.
- [18] Khaliullin, RZ, Eshet, H, Kühne, TD, Jörg Behler, J & Parrinello, M. (2011) Nucleation mechanism for the direct graphite-to-diamond phase transition, Nature Materials, 10, 693–697.
- [19] Y. Tateyama, T. Ogitsu, K. Kusakabe, and S. Tsuneyuki (1996) Phys. Rev. B 54, 14994.
- [20] S. Scandolo, M. Bernasconi, G. L. Chiarotti, P. Focher and E. Tosatti (1995) Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4015.
- [21] De Aquino, F. (2010) Mathematical Foundations of the Relativistic Theory of Quantum Gravity, Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 11 (1), pp. 173-232.
- [22] De Aquino, F. (2010) Gravity Control by means of Electromagnetic Field through Gas at Ultra-Low Pressure, Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 11(2) November 2010, pp.178-247, Physics/0701091.
- [23] Quevedo, C. P. (1977) Eletromagnetismo, McGraw-Hill, p. 270.
- [24] Halliday, D. and Resnick, R. (1968) *Physics*, J. Willey & Sons, Portuguese Version, Ed. USP, p.1124.
- [25] Chalmers, J.A., (1967) Atmospheric Electricity, Pergamon press, Oxford, London; Kamsali, N. et al., (2011) Advanced Air Pollution, chapter 20, DOI: 10.5772/17163, edited by Farhad Nejadkoorki, Publisher: InTech, ISBN 978-953-307-511-2, under CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 license.