$\label{eq:AFT Gravitational Model}$ Unity of All Elementary Particles in Sp(12,C)

P. Penrose

Abstract

A new unifying theory was recently proposed in the publication Arrangement field theory - beyond strings and loop gravity -[2]. Such theory describes all fields (gravitational, gauge and matter fields) as entries in a matricial superfield which transforms in the adjoint representation of Sp(12, C). In this paper we show how this superfield is built and we introduce a new mechanism of symmetry breaking which doesn't need Higgs bosons.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Ricci scalar	3
	2.1 Quaternions	3
	2.2 Hyperions	4
	2.3 Ricci scalar with hyperions	6
	2.4 Ricci scalar in the new paradigm	8
3	The kinetic term	10
	3.1 Symmetry breaking	11
4	Standard model interactions	12
5	Fermions from a vector superfield	19
6	The octonions hypothesis	22
7	Antigravity	26
8	Conclusion	29

1 Introduction

A new unifying theory was recently proposed in the publication Arrangement field theory - beyond strings and loop gravity -, edited by LAMBERT Academic Publishing[2].

Such theory describes gravitational, gauge and matter fields by means of probabilistic spin-networks, ie collections of vertices and edges where the existence of any edge is regulated by a quantum amplitude. The best result of this approach is the manifestation of gravity as a fictitious force which appears when a probabilistic spin network is substituted by a medium state with fixed edges.

In this way the tetrad e^{μ} is not a dynamical field but an appropriate function or distribution. Conversely, the SO(1,3) connections remain dynamical fields as the other Yang-Mills fields. However these define only a subensemble in the ensemble of Sp(12, C) connections.

This group spontaneously appears in Arrangement Field Theory as a consequence of its basilar assumptions, the same which correctly predict Black Hole entropy.

Tangent space assumes SO(1,3) symmetry only when gravity decouples from other forces. At that point also the real space-time can obtain the same symmetry. This fact is coherent with *no-go theorem* of Coleman-Mandula [19], under which "S-matrix is Lorentz invariant if and only if the action symmetry is $SO(1,3) \otimes$ *internal symmetries*".

We start in section 2 by constructing the Ricci scalar as the (totally contracted) antisymmetrized second covariant derivative of Sp(12, C). We show that the only connections which contribute to this term are the SO(1,3) connections.

In section 3 we construct a kinetic term for Sp(12, C) gauge fields. We extract the gravitational contribute, showing that it reproduces the topological term of Gauss-Bonnet, so that the motion equations result unchanged.

In section 4 we embed Standard Model symmetry $(SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1))$ and

gravitational gauge symmetry (SO(1,3)) inside a larger Sp(12,C) symmetry.

Hence we assemble fermionic fields in such a way to fill up the adjoint representation of this group. Doing this we discover an approximate (global) flavour symmetry $SU(3) \otimes SU(3)$.

In section 5 we combine bosonic and fermionic fields in a unique superfield without need for new unseen particles.

In the last section we explicitly show the strangest prediction of theory, ie the possibility to obtain an antigravitational force by means of electromagnetic fields or other Yang-Mills fields.

2 Ricci scalar

In this section we define Ricci scalar in a modified Palatini formalism which makes it suitable to describe gravity as a branch of an unified force.

To do this we need to introduce two little known extensions of complex numbers (sometimes called hyper-complex numbers) that are "Quaternions (\mathbf{H}) " and "Hyperions (\mathbf{Y}) ".

2.1 Quaternions

We start by considering the ensemble of quaternions (\mathbf{H}) , an associative normed division algebra over the real numbers. Such algebra was introduced by Hamilton in 1843[3] and it's completely defined by relations:

$$ij = -ji = k$$
 $jk = -kj = i$ $ki = -ik = j$
 $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$

The base elements i, j, k satisfy the same algebra of Pauli matrices and thus they

are good to describe rotations in the euclidean three-dimensional space. We think about them as imaginary unities, so that a generic quaternion q takes the form

$$q = a + ib + jc + kf$$
 with $a, b, c, f \in \mathbf{R}$.

Pay attention that, dislike complex algebra, quaternionic algebra isn't commutative (in general $pq \neq qp$).

2.2 Hyperions

We define an extension of \mathbf{H} by introducing a new imaginary unit I which satisfies

$$I^2 = -1$$
 $I^{\dagger} = -I$
 $[I, i] = [I, j] = [I, k] = 0$

In this way a generic number assumes the form

$$v = a + Ib + ic + jd + ke + iIf + jIg + kIh,$$
 $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbf{R}$
 $v = p + Iq,$ $p, q \in \mathbf{R}$

We call this numbers "Hyperions" and we indicate their ensemble with Y. It's easy to see their correspondence with even products of Gamma matrices, explicitly

$$1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_0 \gamma_0 = 1 \qquad I \Leftrightarrow \gamma_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3$$
$$i \Leftrightarrow \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \qquad iI \Leftrightarrow \gamma_0 \gamma_3$$
$$j \Leftrightarrow \gamma_1 \gamma_3 \qquad jI \Leftrightarrow \gamma_0 \gamma_2$$

$$k \Leftrightarrow \gamma_3 \gamma_2 \qquad kI \Leftrightarrow \gamma_0 \gamma_1$$

Note that imaginary units i, j, k, iI, jI, kI satisfy the Lorentz algebra, with i, j, k which describe rotations and iI, jI, kI which describe boosts.

Definition 1 (bar-conjugation) The bar-conjugation is an operation which exchanges I with -I (or γ_0 with $-\gamma_0$ in the $\gamma\gamma$ -representation). Explicitly, if v = a + Ib + ic + jd + ke + iIf + jIg + kIh with $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbf{R}$, then $\bar{v} = a - Ib + ic + jd + ke - iIf - jIg - kIh$.

Definition 2 (pre-norm) The pre-norm is a complex number with I as imaginary unit (we say "I-complex number"). Given an hyperion v, its pre-norm is $|v| = (\bar{v}^{\dagger}v)^{1/2}$. If $v \in \mathbf{H}$, its pre-norm coincides with usual norm $(v^{\dagger}v)^{1/2}$.

Note that every hyperion v can be written in the polar form

$$v = |v|e^{ia+jb+kc+iId+jIe+kIf} \qquad a, b, c, d, e, f$$
$$|v|^2 = \bar{v}^{\dagger}v = |v|e^{-(ia+jb+kc+iId+jIe+kIf)}|v|e^{ia+jb+kc+iId+jIe+kIf} = |v|^2$$

Moreover, the norm of any hyperion v is the norm of its prenorm, indicated with ||v||.

Definition 3 (Hyper-unitary matrices) A square matrix U with elements in C is called unitary matrix if it satisfies

$$U^{\dagger}U = UU^{\dagger} = 1.$$

Unitary matrices $n \times n$ define a Lie group U(n) having real dimensions n^2 . Similarly, a square matrix U with elements in **H** is called hyper-unitary if

$$U^{\dagger}U = UU^{\dagger} = 1.$$

Hyper unitary matrices with elements in \mathbf{H} define a Lie group Sp(n) having real dimensions n(2n + 1). Finally, a square matrix U with elements in \mathbf{Y} is called hyper-unitary if

$$\overline{U}^{\dagger}U = U\overline{U}^{\dagger} = 1.$$

Hyper unitary matrices with elements in **Y** define a Lie group Sp(2n, C) having real dimensions 2n(2n + 1). It's easy to see that Sp(n) is the compact real form of Sp(2n, C). As consequence, any generator u in the sp(n) algebra gives rise to a couple of generators (u, Iu) in the sp(2n, C) algebra. Moreover, $sp(2, C) \approx so(1, 3)$ and $sp(1) \approx su(2) \approx so(3)$.

2.3 Ricci scalar with hyperions

Given a gauge field ω_{μ} in so(1,3) and a complex tetrad e^{μ} , we define

$$A_{\mu} = \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \gamma_{a} \gamma_{b} \qquad h^{\mu\nu} = Re \left(e_{a}^{\dagger\mu} e_{b}^{\nu} \eta^{ab} \right)$$
(1)
$$e^{\mu} = e^{\mu a} \gamma_{0} \gamma_{a} \qquad \bar{e}^{\mu} = e^{\mu} (\gamma_{0} \to -\gamma_{0})$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{e}^{\dagger\mu} e^{\nu} = e^{\dagger\mu a} e^{\nu b} \gamma_{a} \gamma_{b} \qquad \Rightarrow h^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} Re \left[tr(\bar{e}^{\dagger\mu} e^{\nu}) \right]$$

Note that our definitions are the same to require $\bar{A}^{\dagger} = -A$ in the hyperions framework. We claim that Ricci scalar can be written as

$$R(x) = -\frac{1}{8}tr\left(\left(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]\right)\bar{e}^{\dagger\mu}e^{\nu}\right)$$

To verify our statement we expand first the commutator

$$[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}] = \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_{\nu}^{cd} (\gamma_{a} \gamma_{b} \gamma_{c} \gamma_{d} - \gamma_{c} \gamma_{d} \gamma_{a} \gamma_{b})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_{\nu}^{cd} (\gamma_{a} \{\gamma_{b}, \gamma_{c}\} \gamma_{d} - \gamma_{c} \{\gamma_{d}, \gamma_{a}\} \gamma_{b}) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_{\nu}^{cd} (\gamma_{a} [\gamma_{b}, \gamma_{c}] \gamma_{d} - \gamma_{c} [\gamma_{d}, \gamma_{a}] \gamma_{b})$$

$$= (\omega_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_{b\nu}^{d} - \omega_{\nu}^{ab} \omega_{b\mu}^{d}) (\gamma_{a} \gamma_{d}) + \frac{1}{4!} \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_{\nu}^{cd} (\varepsilon_{abcd} \varepsilon^{efgh} \gamma_{e} \gamma_{f} \gamma_{g} \gamma_{h})$$

$$= [\omega_{\mu}, \omega_{\nu}]^{ab} \gamma_{a} \gamma_{b} + \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_{ab\nu}^{(D)} \gamma_{5} \qquad (2)$$

In the last line we have defined $\omega^{(D)}_{ab\nu} = \varepsilon_{abcd} \omega^{cd}_{\nu}$. Hence

$$R(x) = -\frac{1}{8} tr(\gamma_a \gamma_b \gamma_c \gamma_d) \left(\partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{ab} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{ab} + [\omega_\mu, \omega_\nu]^{ab}\right) e^{\dagger c\mu} e^{d\nu} - \frac{1}{8} tr(\gamma_5 \gamma_b \gamma_c) \omega_\mu^{ab} \omega_{ab\nu}^{(D)} e^{\dagger c\mu} e^{d\nu}$$
(3)

Consider now the relations

$$\frac{1}{4}tr(\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_d) = \eta_{ab}\eta_{cd} - \eta_{ac}\eta_{bd} + \eta_{ad}\eta_{bc}$$
$$tr(\gamma_5\gamma_b\gamma_c) = 0$$

We obtain

$$R(x) = \left(\partial_{\mu}\omega_{\nu}^{ab} - \partial_{\nu}\omega_{\mu}^{ab} + [\omega_{\mu}, \omega_{\nu}]^{ab}\right)e_{a}^{\dagger\mu}e_{b}^{\nu}$$

which is the usual definition.

We can move freely from matrices γ to hyperions, substituting tr with 4. In this way

$$R(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}] \right) \bar{d}^{\dagger \mu} d^{\nu}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} [\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}] \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu}$$

$$\nabla_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu} \qquad A_{\mu}, e^{\mu} \in \mathbf{Y} \\
e_{a}^{\mu} = Re e_{a}^{\mu} + I Im e_{a}^{\mu} \\
e^{\mu} = Re e^{\mu 0} + iI Re e^{\mu 3} + jI Re e^{\mu 2} + kI Re e^{\mu 1} + I Im e^{\mu 0} - i Im e^{\mu 3} - j Im e^{\mu 2} - k Im e^{\mu 1}$$

By definition (1) we have $\bar{A}^{\dagger} = -A$. Moreover, when it acts on reasonable Hilbert spaces, the operator ∂^{\dagger} is equal to $-\partial$. This implies $\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\mu} = -\nabla_{\mu}$ and then

$$R(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}] \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu}$$

2.4 Ricci scalar in the new paradigm

We now suppose that gravity gauge group SO(1,3) is only a subgroup in a bigger Sp(12, C). Gauge field for Sp(12, C) are 6×6 matrices A^{ij} with entries in Y. The SO(1,3) subgroup has 6 generators which are the complex unities i, j, k, Ii, Ij, Ik in $tr(A^{ij}) = \sum_i A^{ii}$.

We verify that other fields don't contribute to the following generalized Hilbert Einstein lagrangian:

$$L_{HE} = \frac{1}{2} tr \left[\overline{\nabla}_{\mu}^{\dagger}, \nabla_{\nu} \right] \overline{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu}.$$
(4)

Expanding the covariant derivatives we obtain

$$L_{HE} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \{ \partial^{\dagger}_{\mu} A^{ii}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \bar{A}^{\dagger ii}_{\mu} + [\bar{A}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]^{ii} \} \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial^{\dagger}_{\mu} tr A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} tr \bar{A}^{\dagger}_{\mu} + [tr \bar{A}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, tr A_{\nu}] + \sum_{i,k \neq i} [\bar{A}^{\dagger ik}_{\mu} A^{ki}_{\nu} - A^{ik}_{\nu} \bar{A}^{\dagger ki}_{\mu}] \} \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu}$$

Note that $[\bar{A}^{\dagger ii}, A^{jj}]$ is equal to zero when $i \neq j$ and then

$$\sum_{a} [\tilde{A}^{\dagger ii}_{\mu}, A^{jj}_{\nu}] = \sum_{ij} [\bar{A}^{\dagger ii}_{\mu}, A^{jj}_{\nu}] = [tr \, \bar{A}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, tr \, A_{\nu}].$$

For what follows we write $L_{HE} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} R^{ij}_{\mu\nu} \delta^{ij} \bar{e}^{\dagger\mu} e^{\nu}$ with

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{ij} = \delta^{ij}\partial_{\mu}^{\dagger}tr A_{\nu} - \delta^{ij}\partial_{\nu}tr \bar{A}_{\mu}^{\dagger} + [tr \bar{A}_{\mu}^{\dagger}, tr A_{\nu}] + \sum_{i,k\neq i,j\neq k} [\bar{A}_{\mu}^{\dagger ik}A_{\nu}^{kj} - A_{\nu}^{ik}\bar{A}_{\mu}^{\dagger kj}].$$
(5)

 $R^{ij}_{\mu\nu}$ is thus a generalization of curvature tensor. Consider now any skew hermitian matrix W_{μ} with elements $W^{ij}_{\mu} = A^{ij}_{\mu}$ for $i \neq j$ and $W^{ij}_{\mu} = 0$ for i = j. It belongs to the subalgebra of sp(12, C) made by all null track generators. This means that commutators between null track generators are null track generators too. In this way

$$\sum_{i,j\neq i} [\bar{A}^{\dagger i j}_{\mu}, A^{j i}_{\nu}] = tr[\bar{W}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, W_{\nu}] = 0.$$

Hence we can delete the mixed term in L_{EH} .

$$L_{HE} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial^{\dagger}_{\mu} tr A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} tr \bar{A}^{\dagger}_{\mu} + [tr \bar{A}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, tr A_{\nu}] \} \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} [\overset{G}{\nabla}_{\mu}, \overset{G}{\nabla}_{\nu}] \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} (x^{a}) e^{\nu} (x^{a})$$

$$= R. \qquad (6)$$

Here $\stackrel{G}{\nabla} = -\stackrel{G}{\nabla}^{\dagger}$ is the gravitational covariant derivative $\stackrel{G}{\nabla} = \partial + tr A$. As we have claimed, we see that gauge fields in R are only the diagonal ones. Conversely, we'll show that other gauge fields in the Standard Model correspond to non diagonal components.

3 The kinetic term

Until now we have obtained no terms which describe gauge interactions. In this section we find a such term, with the condition that it hasn't to change Einstein equations. One option is as follows:

$$L_{GB} = -tr \left[\bar{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu} \right] \bar{e}^{\dagger \mu} e^{\nu} \left[\bar{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\beta} \right] \bar{e}^{\dagger \alpha} e^{\beta}$$
(7)

We use newly the correspondence between (1, I, i, j, k, iI, jI, kI) and gamma matrices:

$$L_{GB} = -\frac{1}{4} tr(\gamma_a \gamma_b \gamma_c \gamma_f \gamma_g \gamma_h \gamma_m \gamma_n) \cdot \left[\bar{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu} \right]^{ab} \bar{e}^{\dagger c \mu} e^{f \nu} \left[\bar{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\beta} \right]^{gh} \bar{e}^{\dagger m \alpha} e^{n\beta}$$

Here we use letters a, b, c, d for indices which run on Gamma matrices, α, β, μ, ν for

spatial coordinates indices and ijk for gauge indices.

In the next section we'll see that physical fields arise in three families, determined by the choice of a subspace inside Y. This is true both for fermionic and bosonic fields. Thus the indices with letters a, b, c, d run over the three families. Exploiting calculation

$$L_{GB} = R^{ij}_{ab\mu\beta} R^{abji}_{\nu\alpha} \bar{e}^{\dagger \alpha}_{c} e^{c\nu} \bar{e}^{\dagger \alpha}_{d} e^{d\beta} - 4R^{ij}_{ac\mu\beta} \bar{e}^{\dagger a\mu} R^{cbji}_{\nu\alpha} e^{\alpha\beta}_{b} e^{d\beta} \bar{e}^{\dagger \alpha}_{d} + R^{ij}_{ac\mu\beta} \bar{e}^{\dagger a\mu} e^{c\beta} R^{cbji}_{\nu\alpha} \bar{e}^{\dagger \nu}_{c} e^{\alpha}_{b}$$
$$= R^{ij}_{ab\mu\beta} R^{abji\mu\beta} - 4R^{ij}_{c\beta} R^{cji\beta} + R^{ij} R^{ji}$$
(8)

 $R^{ij}_{\beta\mu}$ was defined in (5), while $R^{ij}_{\mu} = R^{ij}_{\beta\mu}e^{\beta}$ and $R^{ij} = R^{ij}_{\beta\mu}e^{\beta}e^{\dagger\mu}$. You understand in a moment that for $i \neq j$ we have $R^{ij}_{ac\beta\mu}R^{jiac\beta\mu} = tr \sum_{(ac)} F^{(ac)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(ac)\mu\nu}$. The index (ac) runs over three fields families and $F_{(ac)\mu\nu}$ is a strength field tensor. In this way the terms $R^{ij\nu}_{\beta}R^{ji\beta}_{\nu}$ and $R^{ij}R_{ji}$ are terms which mix families. Conversely, for i = jwe have

$$L_{GB} = R_{ac\beta\mu}R^{ac\beta\mu} + R^2 - 4R^{\alpha}_{\mu}R^{\mu}_{\alpha}$$

which is the Gauss-Bonnet topological term and so it doesn't change the Einstein equations.

3.1 Symmetry breaking

The combination of L_{HE} and L_{GB} gives to gravitational gauge field $\overset{\circ}{A}$ a potential with form

$$\overset{G}{A^2} - \overset{G}{A^4}$$

This potential has non trivial minimums which imply a non-trivial expectation value for $\stackrel{G}{A}$. Moreover, inside S_{GB} we find the following kind of terms for other fields A:

$$\langle A^2 \rangle A^2 - A^4.$$

In this way we have a mass for gauge fields A and another potential with nontrivial minimums. Therefore, also gauge fields A have non-trivial expectation values. Finally, such expectation values give mass to fermionic fields via terms

$$\psi^{\dagger}\langle A\rangle\psi.$$

There is no need for a scalar Higgs boson. Obviously, inside $\langle \cdot \rangle$ there must be a contraction with e^{μ} to preserve covariance.

4 Standard model interactions

In this section we construct a local field theory with gauge group Sp(12, C), showing that it includes gravitational field, SU(5)-Yang-Mills fields and three families of fermions with local symmetry SU(5). A Grand Unified Theory based on SU(5)symmetry was already proposed by Howard Georgi and Sheldon Glashow in 1974. To understand how this theory includes in turn the Standard Model, please refer to the original work[4]. Nevertheless our framework uses a very different mechanism of symmetry-breaking which doesn't make use of Higgs bosons. In this manner it circumvents the major problem in G-G model. Such model predicts in fact the proton decay via virtual Higgs bosons, a phenomenon never observed.

The gauge fields A^{ij} are 6×6 skew adjoint hyperionic matrices $\bar{A}^{\dagger} = -A$. These matrices form the $Sp(12, \mathbb{C})$ algebra which has 156 generators ω with $\bar{\omega}^{\dagger} = -\omega$.

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{y} & b+\vec{b} & c+\vec{c} & d+\vec{d} & e+\vec{e} & m+\vec{m} \\ -b+\vec{b} & \vec{a}_1 & f+\vec{f} & g+\vec{g} & h+\vec{h} & p+\vec{p} \\ -c+\vec{c} & -f+\vec{f} & \vec{a}_2 & s+\vec{s} & q+\vec{q} & r+\vec{r} \\ -d+\vec{d} & -g+\vec{g} & -s+\vec{s} & \vec{a}_3 & k+\vec{k} & t+\vec{t} \\ -e+\vec{e} & -h+\vec{h} & -q+\vec{q} & -k+\vec{k} & \vec{a}_4 & v+\vec{v} \\ -m+\vec{m} & -p+\vec{p} & -r+\vec{r} & -t+\vec{t} & -v+\vec{v} & \vec{a}_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider now the subalgebra of the following form with complex (not hyperionic) components except for y which remains hyperionic:

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{a}_1 & f + \vec{f} & g + \vec{g} & h + \vec{h} & p + \vec{p} \\ 0 & -f + \vec{f} & \vec{a}_2 & s + \vec{s} & q + \vec{q} & r + \vec{r} \\ 0 & -g + \vec{g} & -s + \vec{s} & \vec{a}_3 & k + \vec{k} & t + \vec{t} \\ 0 & -h + \vec{h} & -q + \vec{q} & -k + \vec{k} & \vec{a}_4 & v + \vec{v} \\ 0 & -p + \vec{p} & -r + \vec{r} & -t + \vec{t} & -v + \vec{v} & \vec{a}_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover we put the additional condition $\vec{a} = \sum_l \vec{a}_l = 0$. The field $y = tr \omega$ is the only one which contributes to Ricci scalar. Conversely, all other fields belong to a SU(5) subgroup, which defines the Georgi - Glashow grand unification theory. The symmetry breaking in Georgi - Glashow model is induced by Higgs bosons in representations which contain triplets of color. These color triplet Higgs can mediate a proton decay that is suppressed by only two powers of GUT scale. However, our mechanism of symmetry breaking doesn't use such Higgs bosons, but descends from the expectation values of quadratic terms AA, which derive from non trivial minimums of a potential AA - AAAA. So we circumvent the problem.

Restrict now the attention to the $SO(1,3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1) \otimes SU(3)$ generators, that are the generators of standard model plus gravity.

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{a}_1 & f + \vec{f} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -f + \vec{f} & \vec{a}_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vec{a}_3 & k + \vec{k} & t + \vec{t} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k + \vec{k} & \vec{a}_4 & v + \vec{v} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -t + \vec{t} & -v + \vec{v} & \vec{a}_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

We'll show in a moment that all standard model fields transform under this subgroup in the adjoint representation. In this way themselves are elements of $Sp(12, \mathbb{C})$ algebra, explicitly:

$$\psi = \psi^{1} + I\psi^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e & -\nu & d_{R}^{c} & d_{G}^{c} & d_{B}^{c} \\ -e^{*} & 0 & e^{c} & -u_{R} & -u_{G} & -u_{B} \\ \nu^{*} & -e^{c*} & 0 & -d_{R} & -d_{G} & -d_{B} \\ -d_{R}^{c*} & u_{R}^{*} & d_{R}^{*} & 0 & u_{B}^{c} & -u_{G}^{c} \\ -d_{G}^{c*} & u_{G}^{*} & d_{G}^{*} & -u_{B}^{c*} & 0 & u_{R}^{c} \\ -d_{B}^{c*} & u_{B}^{*} & d_{B}^{*} & u_{G}^{c*} & -u_{R}^{c*} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have used the convention of Georgi - Glashow model, where the basic fields of ψ^1 are all left and the basic fields of $I\psi^2$ are all right. We have indicated with ^c the charge conjugation. Moreover, in our formalism, ψ^1 and ψ^2 are pure quaternionic fields. The subscripts R, G, B indicates the color charge for the strong interacting particles (R=red, G=green, B=blue).

In Georgi - Glashow model the fermionic fields are divided in two families. The first one transforms in the representation $\overline{5}$ of SU(5) (the fundamental representation). It is exactly the array (ω^{1j}) in the matrix above, with j = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. This array transforms in fact in the fundamental representation for transformations in every $SU(5) \subset Sp(12, C)$ which act on indices values $2 \div 6$.

The second family transforms in the representation 10 of SU(5) (the skew symmetric representation). Unfortunately it isn't the sub matrix (ω^{ij}) with i, j = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. This is in fact the skew adjoint representation of Sp(10, C), which is skew hermitian and not skew symmetric.

Do not lose heart. We'll see in a moment that such adjoint representation is a quaternionic combination of three skew symmetric representations, one for every fermionic family. This concept could appears cumbersome, but it will be clear along the following calculations.

Theorem 4 The skew adjoint representation of Sp(m) is a quaternionic combination of three skew symmetric representations of U(m) plus a real skew symmetric representation (which is also skew hermitian).

Proof. Consider a fermionic matrix ψ which transforms in the adjoint representation of Sp(m):

$$\psi \to U\psi U^{\dagger} \tag{9}$$

Take then a matrix ψ' with $\psi' k = \psi$. Its transformation law under U(m) is easily derived when this group is constructed by using imaginary unit *i* or *j*. This means

$$U(m) \ni U = exp(i\alpha_r \Sigma^r) \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R}; \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

with Σ generators of U(m) whose complex entries have *i* as imaginary unit,

$$U(m) \ni U = exp(j\alpha_r \Sigma^r) \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R}; \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

with Σ generators of U(m) whose complex entries have j as imaginary unit. We substitute ψ with $\psi'k$ inside (9):

$$\psi' k \to U \psi' k U^{\dagger} = U \psi' U^T k.$$

Here we have used the relation $k\lambda = \lambda^* k$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{H}$ without k component. We see that ψ' transforms in the skew symmetric representation:

$$\psi' \to U \psi' U^T$$

We obtain a complex matrix ψ' (with *i* as imaginary unit) when ψ has the form Ak + Bj with A, B real matrices. Indeed:

$$\psi' = -\psi k = -Akk - Bjk = A - Bi$$

Sending ψ in ψ^* we bring ψ' to $-\psi'$ and so we satisfy the skew symmetry. Finally we can always write

$$\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1 k + \psi_2 i + \psi_3 j$$

In this decomposition, ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 are complex matrices with complex unit respectively i, j, k. Explicitly:

$$\begin{split} \psi_1 &= \phi_1 - i\xi_1 &= \phi_1^1 - i\xi_1^1 + I(\phi_1^2 - i\xi_1^2) \\ \psi_2 &= \phi_2 - j\xi_2 &= \phi_2^1 - j\xi_2^1 + I(\phi_2^2 - j\xi_2^2) \\ \psi_3 &= \phi_3 - k\xi_3 &= \phi_3^1 - k\xi_3^1 + I(\phi_3^2 - k\xi_3^2). \end{split}$$

Here all ϕ^1 , ϕ^2 , ξ^1 , ξ^2 are real fields. In this way, any $\psi_{1,2,3}$ transforms in the skew symmetric representation of U(m) when this group is built by the correspondent imaginary unit (*i* for ψ_1 , *j* for ψ_2 and *k* for ψ_3). Hence they define the famous three fermionic families plus a real skew symmetric field ψ_0 . CVD

The interaction Lagrangian can be defined as follows (with $\nabla = e^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}$):

$$tr(\psi^{\dagger}\nabla\psi) = tr(k^{*}\psi_{1}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{1}k) + tr(i^{*}\psi_{2}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{2}i) + tr(j^{*}\psi_{3}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{3}j)$$

$$-tr(i^{*}\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{3}i) - tr(j^{*}\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{1}j) - tr(k^{*}\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{2}k)$$

$$-tr(\psi_{0}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{0})$$

$$= tr(\psi_{1}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{1}kk^{*}) + tr(\psi_{2}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{2}ii^{*}) + tr(\psi_{3}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{3}jj^{*})$$

$$-tr(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{3}ii^{*}) - tr(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{1}jj^{*}) - tr(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{2}kk^{*})$$

$$-tr(\psi_{0}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{0})$$

$$= tr(\psi_{1}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{1}) + tr(\psi_{2}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{2}) + tr(\psi_{3}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{3})$$

$$-tr(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{3}) - tr(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{1}) - tr(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\nabla\xi_{2})$$

$$-tr(\psi_{0}^{\dagger}\nabla\psi_{0})$$
(10)

Every term L in the lagrangian is intended to be integrated over Sp(1):

$$L \Longrightarrow \int_{Sp(1)} dg \, g L g^{-1}.$$

In such a way, the only terms which survive are *I*-complex. The third last line in (10) regroups the fermionic terms of Georgi-Glashow model for three families in representation 10. If we restrict ∇ to SU(5), it can be written as

$$tr\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\psi_1^* & \psi_2^* & \psi_3^*\end{array}\right)\nabla\left(\begin{array}{c}\psi_1\\\psi_2\\\psi_3\end{array}\right)\right)$$

where every ψ_n is now constructed with *i* as imaginary unit. This term is manifestly invariant under global SU(3) (or $SU(3) \otimes SU(3)$ if we consider also the I-component). However this flavour symmetry is soon broken by mixed terms in the second last line of (10). These terms give a reason to CKM and PMNS matrices which appear in the Standard Model.

In this formalism, given $\omega \in su(3) \otimes su(2) \otimes u(1)$, the transformation $\delta \psi = [\omega, \psi]$ corresponds to the usual transformation $\delta \psi = \omega \psi$ in the standard model formalism.

Fields in different families are related by transformations in $Sp(1) \approx SU(2)$, ie by rotations in the three dimensional space with base vectors i, j, k. Generators of Sp(1) are

$$\omega = \frac{\vec{y}}{6} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

with $\vec{y} \in Im \mathbf{H}$.

Their diagonal form suggests an identification between this group and the gravitational group $SU(2)^{\subset SO(1,3)}$. If the two groups coincided, all fields would transform correctly under $SU(2)^{\subset SO(1,3)}$. By extending this group to the entire SO(1,3), we see that boosts exchange left fields with right fields.

It's remarkable that three families have to exist also for bosonic particles (photon, W^{\pm} , Z, gluons) although they are probably indistinguishable. Note also that fields ψ appearing here don't match exactly with fermionic fields of Standard model. The relation with these is however very simple. Using the correspondence between hyperions and $\gamma\gamma$, the fields ψ acquire two extra indices (row and column indices in $\gamma\gamma$):

$$\psi \longrightarrow \psi_{AB}$$

The standard Dirac fields have 4 components ψ^C given by

$$\psi^{AB} = W^{ABC} \psi^C$$

where W^{ABC} is any constant object which satisfies

$$\begin{split} W^{*ABC}W^{ABD} &= 1^{CD} \implies \psi^{*AB}\psi^{AB} = \psi^{*C}\psi^{C} \\ W^{*ABC}\gamma\gamma^{BF}W^{AFD} &= \gamma\gamma^{CD} \implies \psi^{*AB}\gamma\gamma^{BF}\psi^{AF} = \psi^{*C}\gamma\gamma^{CD}\psi^{D} \end{split}$$

5 Fermions from a vector superfield

In this section we show that all fermionic and bosonic fields can be joined in a unique superfield. This procedure doesn't need new exotic particles as *squarks* or *fotino*; conversely it predicts the existence of right and sterile neutrinos. We start by introducing I-complex grassmannian coordinates $\theta = \theta^1 + I\theta^2$ and $\bar{\theta} = \theta^1 - I\theta^2$ with obvious fundamental products:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\theta &= \theta^1\theta^1 + \theta^1I\theta^2 + I\theta^2\theta^1 - \theta^2\theta^2 = 0 + I\theta^1\theta^2 - I\theta^1\theta^2 - 0 = 0\\ \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= \theta^1\theta^1 - \theta^1I\theta^2 - I\theta^2\theta^1 - \theta^2\theta^2 = 0 - I\theta^1\theta^2 + I\theta^1\theta^2 - 0 = 0\\ \theta\bar{\theta} &= \theta^1\theta^1 - \theta^1I\theta^2 + I\theta^2\theta^1 + \theta^2\theta^2 = -I\theta^1\theta^2 - I\theta^1\theta^2 = -2I\theta^1\theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, there will exist grassmannian derivatives ∂_g and $\bar{\partial}_g$ with $\partial_g \theta = \bar{\partial}_g \bar{\theta} = 1$ and $\partial_g \bar{\theta} = \bar{\partial}_g \theta = 0$. At this point we can define a new supersymmetric algebra as follows:

$$Q = \partial_g - e^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\partial_{\mu} \qquad ; \qquad [Q, P_{\nu}] = \bar{\theta}(\partial_{\nu}e^{\mu})P_{\mu}$$
$$\bar{Q} = \bar{\partial}_g - \theta\bar{e}^{\dagger\nu}\partial_{\nu} \qquad ; \qquad \{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2I\Sigma^{\mu}P_{\mu}$$
$$2e^{\nu}_H = e^{\nu} + \bar{e}^{\dagger\nu} \qquad P_{\mu} = -I\partial_{\mu}$$
$$2\Sigma^{\mu} = 2e^{\mu}_H + \theta\bar{\theta} \left[e^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}\bar{e}^{\dagger\mu} - \bar{e}^{\dagger\rho}\partial_{\rho}e^{\mu}\right]$$

The most general superfield is then

$$V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = e^{\mu}(x)A_{\mu}(x) + \theta\psi(x) + \bar{\chi}(x)\bar{\theta} + \theta\bar{\theta}F(x).$$

To obtain an irreducible representation of SUSY algebra we introduce a covariant derivative \bar{D} which commutes both with Q and \bar{Q} :

$$\bar{D} = \bar{\partial}_g + \theta e^{\nu} \partial_{\nu}$$

In terms of shifted coordinates $y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + e^{\mu}\theta\bar{\theta}$, the action of \bar{D} simplifies considerably:

$$\bar{D}V(y,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \bar{\partial}_g V(y,\theta,\bar{\theta})$$

In this way we can define a supersymmetric chiral field by imposing $\bar{\partial}_g V(y, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = 0$, whose solution is clearly

$$V \equiv V(y,\theta) = e^{\mu}(y)A_{\mu}(y) + \theta\psi(y).$$

In the original coordinates this gives

$$V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = e^{\mu}(x)A_{\mu}(x) + \theta\psi(x) + \theta\bar{\theta}e^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}(e^{\mu}A_{\mu})$$

Infinitesimal SUSY transformations induced by $\epsilon Q + \bar{\epsilon}\bar{Q}$ are easily computed:

$$\delta \psi = -2\bar{\epsilon}e_H^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}(e^{\mu}A_{\mu})$$

$$\delta A_{\mu} = \epsilon e_{\mu}\psi \qquad (11)$$

From V we can construct a generalized covariant derivative by substituting A_{μ} with $\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}$ and ψ with $\partial_{g} + \psi$:

$$\nabla = e^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + A_{\nu}) + \theta(\partial_{g} + \psi) + \theta\bar{\theta}e^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}[e^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu})]$$

It can be useful to introduce derivatives $\partial_{g\mu}$ and fields ψ_{μ} in representation $\left(1 \otimes \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2}\right)$ with properties $e^{\mu}\partial_{g\mu} = \partial_g$ and $e^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} = \psi$. In this way

$$\nabla = e^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} = e^{\mu} \left\{ \partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu} + \theta (\partial_{g\mu} + \psi_{\mu}) + \theta \bar{\theta} \partial_{\mu} [e^{\nu} (\partial_{\nu} + A_{\nu})] \right\}$$

New SUSY transformation laws emerge for ∂_{μ} and ∂_{g} :

$$\delta\partial_g = -2\bar{\epsilon}e_H^\nu(\partial_\nu e^\mu\partial_\mu + e^\mu A_\mu\partial_\nu)$$

$$\delta\partial_\mu = \epsilon e_\mu\partial_g \tag{12}$$

By composing quadratic and quartic powers of ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger}$, you can extract all terms which appear in Standard Model, plus Hilbert-Einstein and Gauss-Bonnet terms.

It's remarkable that standard fermionic fields take the role of gauginos for standard gauge fields. In this way the right up quarks are gauginos for gluons, while right electrons are gauginos for W bosons. Clearly this is permitted because fermions and bosons transform in the same representation of $Sp(12, \mathbb{C})$. In such a way our theory includes SUSY N = 1 with no need for new unknown fermionic or scalar particles, apart from one exception.

SUSY predicts the existence of a new colored fermionic sextuplet which sits on diagonal in ψ . Inside it we can include a conjugate neutrino (ν^c), a sterile neutrino (N) and a conjugate sterile neutrino (N^c). Explicitly

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu^c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu^c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & N^c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N^c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N^c \end{pmatrix}$$

This field commutes with any gauge field in $U(1) \otimes SU(2) \otimes SU(3)$ and so it hasn't electromagnetic, weak or strong interactions. Moreover it gives a Dirac mass to neutrinos via the term

$$tr\left(\bar{\psi}^{\dagger}e^{\mu}A_{\mu}\psi\right) = \bar{\psi}^{\dagger i j}e^{\mu}A^{kl}_{\mu}\psi^{mn}f^{(ij)(kl)(mn)}.$$

Here $f^{(ij)(kl)(mn)}$ are structure constants for SU(6) and masses for neutrinos are eigenvalues of $\langle e^{\mu}A_{\mu} \rangle$.

6 The octonions hypothesis

We indicate by x a generic "number" equipped with 7 imaginary components. This number can be considered both hyperionic and octonionic, where octonions are defined as here \Rightarrow http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Octonion. Explicitly:

$$x = a + i_1 b + i_2 c + i_3 d + Iw + i_1 Is + i_2 Ig + i_3 It$$

$a, b, c, d, w, s, g, t \in \mathbf{R}.$

Note that we have written i_1, i_2, i_3 in place of i, j, k. The tabular summarizes the differences between hyperionic and octonionic case:

Hyperions $(n \neq m)$	Octonions $(n \neq m)$
$i_n i_m = \varepsilon^{nmq} i_q$	$i_n i_m = \varepsilon^{nmq} i_q$
$Ii_n = i_n I$	$Ii_n = -i_n I$
$I^2 = -1$	$I^2 = -1$
$\left \overline{(i_n I)}^{\dagger} = -i_n I \right $	$(i_n I)^{\dagger} = -i_n I$
$i_n(i_m I) = (i_n i_m) I$	$i_n(i_m I) = -(i_n i_m)I$
$i_n(i_m I) = -(i_m I)i_n$	$i_n(i_m I) = -(i_m I)i_n$
$i_n(i_nI) = (i_ni_n)I = -I$	$i_n(i_nI) = (i_ni_n)I = -I$
$(i_n I)(i_m I) = -i_n i_m$	$(i_n I)(i_m I) = -i_n i_m$
$(i_n I)^2 = 1$	$(i_n I)^2 = -1$

It's considerable that all differences (also non-associativity of octonions) arise by imposing $Ii_n = -i_n I$ without changing $i_n(i_m I) = -(i_m I)i_n$. Let consider the following octonionic field:

$$\Phi = e^{\mu}(x)A_{\mu} + i_i\lambda^1_{\alpha}(\theta)\psi_1^{\alpha} + i_2\lambda^2_{\beta}(\theta)\psi_2^{\beta} + i_3\lambda^3_{\gamma}(\theta)\psi_3^{\gamma}$$

$$\Phi = E^A W_A \qquad E^A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\alpha}^1 \\ \lambda_{\beta}^2 \\ \lambda_{\gamma}^3 \\ e^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \qquad W_A = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 \psi_1^{\alpha} ; i_2 \psi_2^{\beta} ; i_3 \psi_3^{\gamma} ; A_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{\mu} = \operatorname{Re} A_{\mu} + \operatorname{IIm} A_{\mu} \qquad \qquad \psi_{n}^{\alpha} = \operatorname{Re} \psi_{n}^{\alpha} + \operatorname{IIm} \psi_{n}^{\alpha}$$

Here ψ_n^{α} and θ^{α} are usual Weyl spinors with two components ($\alpha = 1, 2$). λ_{α}^n are octonionic functions of θ^{α} . At this point we can define a generalized covariant derivative and a generalized metric:

$$\nabla_A = \left(\partial_{g1}^{\alpha} + i_1\psi_1^{\alpha}; \partial_{g2}^{\beta} + i_2\psi_2^{\beta}; \partial_{g3}^{\gamma} + i_3\psi_3^{\gamma}; \partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}\right)$$
$$H^{AB} = Re\left(E^A E^B\right)$$

We conjecture that actions of both Standard Model and General Relativity are comprised inside an action of the following type:

$$S = \int \sqrt{H} \, d^{10} X \, (E^2)^{AB} (\nabla^2)_{AB} + g \int \sqrt{H} \, d^{10} X \, (E^4)^{ABCD} (\nabla^4)_{ABCD} \qquad g \in \mathbf{R}$$
$$X^A = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1_\alpha \\ \theta^2_\beta \\ \theta^3_\gamma \\ e^\mu \end{pmatrix} \qquad H = det(H^{AB})$$

In a such action, super-symmetry is replaced by covariance under generalized coordinates transformations:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X & \to & X'(X) \\ x & \to & x'(x,\theta) \\ \theta & \to & \theta'(x,\theta) \end{array}$$

Interpret now A_{μ} and ψ_n as 6×6 complex matrices. Moreover we want A_{μ} being

gauge field for SU(6) and so it will be skew-hermitian. Finally we choose ψ_n skewsymmetric in such a way to have $i_n\psi_n$ skew-hermitian. Hence W will result skewhermitian too.

At this point we can consider the action of SU(6) on W, where SU(6) is built with I as imaginary unit:

In the last step we have used $\partial_{gn}^{\alpha} U = 0$ and $i_n I = -I i_n$. You see that fermionic fields still transform in the skew-symmetric representation and so they fit easily with standard fermionic fields.

The imaginary unities i_n and $i_m I$ still satisfy the same commutators of Lorentz algebra (in correspondence respectively with $-i\sigma^n$ and σ^n) and so the gravitational field seems to be fermionic. Unfortunately they break the Jacoby identity due to non-associativity. Linked to this, the result $(i_m I)^2 = -1$ is in contradiction with $(\sigma^n)^2 = 1$. Some suggestions to overcome these troubles are given in the work of Anthony Sudbery [1].

In every case, moving from Hyperions to Octonions, we lost all the oddities of previous sections, namely the tripling of SU(6) gauge fields and the existence of real field ψ_0 . The resulting symmetry group is probably E6 in place of Sp(12, C) (because they have exactly the same dimension).

7 Antigravity

The kinetic piece in lagrangian (8) includes the following term which mixes gravity with electromagnetism:

$$-\frac{1}{4}f^{(G)(EM1)(EM2)}A^{(G)}_{\mu}A^{(EM1)}_{\nu}\left(F^{(EM2)\mu\nu} + \alpha f^{(EM3)(EM1)(EM2)}A^{(EM3)\mu}A^{(EM1)\nu}\right)$$
(13)

Remember that AFT includes three indistinguishable electro-magnetic fields, with non-trivial commutators. In this way $A^{(G)}$ is the gravitational gauge field, $A^{(EMn)}$ is the n-th electromagnetic field and α is the fine structure constant. In the realistic case of null torsion, the gravitational gauge field can be rewritten in function of the tetrad field:

$$A^{(G)bc}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\nu[b} \partial_{[\mu} e^{c]}_{\nu]} + \frac{1}{4} e_{\mu d} e^{\nu b} e^{\sigma c} \partial_{[\sigma} e^{d}_{\nu]}$$

From now we take a low energy limit so defined: $e_{ii} = 1$ with $i = 1, 2, 3, e_{00} = \theta(x)$ and $\partial_0 \theta(x) = 0$. Varying with respect to e we obtain:

$$\frac{\delta A^{(G)bc}_{\mu}}{\delta e^s_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\nu[b} \delta^{c]}_s \delta^{\tau}_{[\nu} \partial_{\mu]} + \frac{1}{4} e_{\mu s} e^{\nu b} e^{\sigma c} \delta^{\tau}_{[\nu} \partial_{\sigma]}$$
$$\frac{\delta A^{(G)bc}_{\mu}}{\delta g_{\omega\tau}} = 2 e^{\omega s} \frac{\delta A^{(G)bc}_{\mu}}{\delta e^s_{\tau}} = e^{\omega[c} e^{b]\nu} \delta^{\tau}_{[\nu} \partial_{\mu]} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\omega}_{\mu} e^{\nu b} e^{\sigma c} \delta^{\tau}_{[\nu} \partial_{\sigma]}$$

The component with $c = \omega = \tau = 0$ and $b \neq 0$ results:

$$\frac{\delta A^{(G)b0}_{\mu}}{\delta g_{00}} = -\theta^{-1}\delta^0_{\mu}\partial_b - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{-1}\delta^0_{\mu}\partial_b = -\frac{3}{2\theta}\delta^0_{\mu}\partial_b$$

$$A^{(EM)\rho}A^{(EM)}_{\rho}A^{(EM)\mu}\frac{\delta A^{(G)b0}_{\mu}}{\delta g_{00}} = \frac{3}{2\theta}\partial_b A^{(EM)0}A^{(EM)\rho}A^{(EM)}_{\rho}$$

The minus sign has disappeared because we have reversed the derivative. The variation of quartic term in (13) with respect to δg_{00} is then given by

$$-\frac{\alpha}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{2\theta} \partial_b f^b A^{(EM)0} A^{(EM)\rho} A^{(EM)}_{\rho} = -\partial_b f^b \frac{3\alpha}{8\theta} V(\theta^2 V^2 - A^2)$$
$$f^b = \sum_{cade} f^{(bo)ca} f^{dea} \approx 4\frac{x^b}{r}.$$

Here we have indicated with V the electric potential and with A the magnetic vector potential. The sum inside f is over the three electromagnetic fields.

It's so clear that varying the complete action with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ we obtain a new term for Einstein equations. In the Newtonian limit we can substitute $g_{00} = -(1-2\phi)$ and $R_{00} - (1/2)Rg_{00} = \nabla^2 \phi$ where ϕ is the newtonian potential. Hence:

$$2\nabla^2 \phi \approx 8\pi T^{00} = 8\pi \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \sqrt{-g} L_{matt}}{\delta g_{00}}$$
$$\approx \partial_b \frac{x^b}{r} 24\pi \alpha V (\theta V^2 - \theta^{-1} A^2)$$
(14)

For radial potential we have

$$\partial_b \phi = \frac{x^b}{r} \partial_r \phi$$

In such case

$$C_G = \partial_r \phi \approx 12\pi \alpha V (\theta V^2 - \theta^{-1} A^2)$$

Now we insert the appropriate universal constants and approximate θ with 1:

$$C_G \approx 12\pi\alpha \frac{(G\varepsilon_0)^{3/2}}{c^4 L_p} V(V^2 - c^2 A^2) = kV(V^2 - c^2 A^2)$$
(15)

Here L_p is the Planck length, equal to $\sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$. The multiplicative constant is

$$k = \frac{12\pi}{137} \cdot \frac{(6,67 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot 8,85 \cdot 10^{-12})^{3/2}}{(3 \cdot 10^8)^4 \cdot (1,62 \cdot 10^{-35})} = 30,27 \cdot 10^{-33} \left(\frac{C^3 s^4}{Kg^3 m^5}\right)$$

This means that for having a weight variation (on Earth) of about 10% ($\Delta C_G = 1$) we need an electrical potential of 10¹¹ Volts. These are 100 billions of Volts. For V = Q/r and A = 0 we have:

$$C_G = \frac{k}{(4\pi\varepsilon_0)^3} \cdot \frac{Q^3}{r^3} = 2,198 \cdot 10^{-2} \left(\frac{m^4}{s^2 C^3}\right) \frac{Q^3}{r^3}$$

Note that the sign of C_G is the sign of Q and then we obtain antigravity for negative Q. We associate to this interaction an equivalent mass m, substituting $C_G = Gm/r^2$. We have

$$m = \frac{k}{G} V^3 r^2 = \frac{k}{G(4\pi\varepsilon_0)^3} \frac{Q^3}{r} = 3,293 \cdot 10^8 \left(\frac{Kg\,m}{C^3}\right) \frac{Q^3}{r}$$

which is a negative mass for negative Q. Negative mass implies negative energy via the relation $E = mc^2$. Intuitively, if we search a similar relation for gravi-magnetic field (which is $\nabla \times (g^{0i})$, i = 1, 2, 3), we should find the same formula (15) with an exchange between V and cA.

We calculate now at what distance the gravitational attraction between two protons is equal to their electromagnetic repulsion.

$$G\frac{m^2}{r^2} = \frac{k^2}{G^2(4\pi\varepsilon_0)^6} \frac{Q_p^6}{r^4} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q_p^2}{r^2}$$

$$\frac{k^2 Q_p^4}{G^2 (4\pi\varepsilon_0)^5} = r^2$$

$$\implies r^2 = 79, 49 \cdot 10^{-70} m^2 \implies r = 8,916 \cdot 10^{-35} m = 5,516 L_p$$

Note that we are 20 orders of magnitude under the range of strong force and 23 orders of magnitude under the range of weak force. In this way the gravitational force doesn't affect the making of nucleus and nucleons.

8 Conclusion

In the course of paper we have demonstrated that a satisfactory gauge theory exists which includes all the four forces. However, if we try to quantize the theory, we encounter the well known renormalization problems for diagrams which involve the tetrad field e^{μ} . The complete theory, exposed in [2], overcomes this trouble by quantizing theory before the choice of a fixed spin-network, in such a way that e^{μ} has still to born.

Another possibility is suggested by the analogy between e^{μ} and θ in the superfield expansion, united to the role of e^{μ} inside the generalized coordinate y^{μ} . In fact we can consider e^{μ} as another quadruplet of coordinates, so that the other fields become:

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\nu}) \longrightarrow A_{\mu}(x^{\nu}, e^{\nu})$$

$$\psi_{\mu}(x^{\nu}) \longrightarrow \psi(x^{\nu}, e^{\nu})$$

$$d^{4}x \longrightarrow d^{4}x d^{4}e$$
(16)

A conjugated momentum p^e_{μ} will be associated to e^{μ} , while in Feynmann diagrams we'll have to substitute e^{ν} with $-I\partial/\partial p^e_{\nu}$.

Back to the present work, in the last section we have seen that a potential of 10^{11} Volts can induce relevant gravitational effects. They are too many for notice variations in the experiments with particles accelerators. However they sit at the border of our technological capabilities.

We hope that a future team work shall explore this theory in detail, deepening also the triality with strings and loop gravity, highlighted in [2].

References

- Barton, C. H., Sudbery, A.: Magic squares and matrix models of Lie algebras. ArXiv:math/0203010v2 (Aprile 2002)
- Marin, D.: Arrangement Field Theory: beyond Strings and Loop Gravity. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (August 31, 2012)
- [3] Hamilton, W. R.: On Quaternions or on a new System of Imaginaries in Algebra. Letter to John T. Graves (October 17, 1843).
- [4] Georgi, H., Glashow, S.: Unity of All Elementary-Particle Forces. Physical Review Letters, Volume 32 (1974), pp.438ss.
- [5] Tian, Y.: Matrix Theory over the Complex Quaternion Algebra. ArXiv: 0004005 (2000).
- [6] De Leo, S., Ducati, G.: Quaternionic differential operators. Journal of Mathematical Physics, Volume 42, pp.2236-2265. ArXiv: math-ph/0005023 (2001).
- [7] Zhang, F.: Quaternions and matrices of quaternions. Linear algebra and its applications, Volume 251 (1997), pp.21-57. Part of this paper was presented at the

AMS-MAA joint meeting, San Antonio, January 1993, under the title "Everything about the matrices of quaternions".

- [8] Farenick, D., R., Pidkowich, B., A., F.: The spectral theorem in quaternions. Linear algebra and its applications, Volume 371 (2003), pp.75102.
- [9] Einstein, A., Rosen, N., The Particle Problem in the General Theory of Relativity. Phys. Rev., 48, 73-77 (1935).
- [10] Bohm, D., Quantum Theory. Prentice Hall, New York (1951).
- [11] Bell, J.S., On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox. Physics, 1, 195-200 (1964).
- [12] Penrose, R., Angular Momentum: an approach to combinatorial space-time. Originally appeared in Quantum Theory and Beyond, edited by Ted Bastin, Cambridge University Press, 151-180 (1971).
- [13] LaFave, N.J., A Step Toward Pregeometry I.: Ponzano-Regge Spin Networks and the Origin of Spacetime Structure in Four Dimensions. ArXiv:gr-qc/9310036 (1993).
- [14] Reisenberger, M., Rovelli, C., 'Sum over surfaces' form of loop quantum gravity. Phys. Rev. D, 56, 3490-3508 (1997).
- [15] Engle, G., Pereira, R., Rovelli, C., Livine, E., LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter. Nucl. Phys. B, 799, 136-149 (2008).
- [16] Banks, T., Fischler, W., Shenker, S.H., Susskind, L., M Theory As A Matrix Model: A Conjecture. Phys. Rev. D, 55, 5112-5128 (1997). Available at URL http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9610043 as last accessed on May 19, 2012.

- [17] Garrett Lisi, A., An Exceptionally Simple Theory of Everything. ArXiv:0711.0770 (2007). Available at URL http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0770 as last accessed on March 29, 2012.
- [18] Nastase, H., Introduction to Supergravity ArXiv:1112.3502 (2011). Available at URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3502 as last accessed on March 29, 2012.
- [19] Coleman, S., Mandula, J., All Possible Symmetries of the S Matrix. Physical Review, Volume 159 (1967), pp.1251-1256.
- [20] Penrose, R., On the Nature of Quantum Geometry. Originally appeared in Wheeler. J.H., Magic Without Magic, edited by J. Klauder, Freeman, San Francisco, 333-354 (1972).