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Abstract: Recall the theorem states that the equation $\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{n}}$ cannot exist if all quantities are positive integers and $n>2$. Fermat maintained he had a short proof but it has never been found, nor has a short proof been supplied by anyone since. This attempt uses simple mathematics and methods reminiscent of those taught in English grammar schools in the 1950's.

## Fermat's Last Theorem

"Hanson Boys' G. S. Proof"

## Statement of the Theorem

Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT) states that:
positive integers $a, b$, and $c$ cannot be found satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{T}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integer value of $n$ greater than 2 .

## Proof

Assume that all common factors have been cancelled, noting that all or none of $\{a, b, c\}$ have a common factor. (A)

Assume the theorem is false and $n$ is an integer $>2$ such that positive integers $\{a, b, c\}$ do exist satisfying the equation:

$$
a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}
$$

Clearly $\mathrm{c}>\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ and $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$ as this would require $\mathrm{c}=(2)^{1 / \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}$ and c must be irrational.
Assume $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$, thus $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{c}$.
We will now examine the conclusions if $n>2$.
Let $a+h=b+i=c$
$\{h, i$ integers, $h>i, h>1\}$

We can rewrite (T) in terms of a and b in the following 2 different ways:
(i) Using the Binomial Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{n}+b^{n}=(a+h)^{n}=(b+i)^{n}=c^{n} \\
& a^{n}=(b+i)^{n}-b^{n}=n b^{n-1} i+n(n-1) /(2!) b^{n-2} i^{2}+\ldots \ldots+i^{n} \\
& b^{n}=(a+h)^{n}-a^{n}=n a^{n-1} h+n(n-1) /(2!) a^{n-2} h^{2}+\ldots \ldots+h^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) By Factoring

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{n} & =c^{n}-b^{n} \\
& =(c-b)\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots .+b^{n-1}\right) \\
& =i\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots+b^{n-1}\right) \\
b^{n} & =c^{n}-a^{n} \\
& =(c-a)\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} a+\ldots .+a^{n-1}\right) \\
& =h\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} a+\ldots .+a^{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

let $\quad b=F x \quad\{F, x$ integers $>0, F=$ product of primes not in $h$, $x=$ product of primes in $h\}$
and $\quad a=G y \quad\{G, y$ integers $>0, G=$ product of primes not in $i$, $\mathrm{y}=$ product of primes in i$\}$

## $\therefore \quad x>y$

(i) can now be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{Fx})^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{na}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) /(2!) \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}-2} \mathrm{~h}^{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& (\mathrm{~Gy})^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{nb}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) /(2!) \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}^{2}}+\ldots \ldots .+\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad h$ divides $x^{n}, h<=x^{n}$; i divides $y^{n}, i<=y^{n}$
Two cases must be considered:
(I) the primes of $n$ are missing from $x, y$
(II) the primes of n are contained in x or y (not both $\because$ of (A))

Case (I)
In the equation containing $(\mathrm{Fx})^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{n}}$, otherwise, after cancelling h from each term on the RHS, with x's on the left, x will still occur in the h's in every term on the RHS except the first, and must therefore exist in the first term as a factor of a, violating (A).

Similarly $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{n}}$.
(ii) can now be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (G y)^{n}=y^{n}\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots . b^{n-1}\right) \\
& G^{n}=\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots . b^{n-1}\right) \\
& (F x)^{n}=x^{n}\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} a+\ldots . a^{n-1}\right) \\
& F^{n}=\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} a+\ldots . a^{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad G>F$ but $F x>G y \quad\{\because F x=b, G y=a\} \quad$ (B)
and since $a+h=b+i=c$
$G y+x^{n}=F x+y^{n}=c$

$$
F x-G y=x^{n}-y^{n}=(x-y)\left(x^{n-1}+x^{n-2} y \ldots . y^{n-2}+y^{n-1}\right)
$$

$$
=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\left\{\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-2} \mathrm{y} \ldots \mathrm{xy}^{\mathrm{n}-2}+\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \text { coprime } \because \text { of }(\mathbf{A})\}\right.
$$

i.e. $\quad F x-G y=R(x-y) \quad$ (C)
$\therefore \quad F x-G y=F x-(F+w) y=F(x-y)-w y=R(x-y) \quad\{w$ integer $>0\}$
and $\quad \mathbf{G}>\mathbf{F}>\mathbf{R}$
let $\quad F=(R+u), G=(R+v) \quad\{u, v$ integers, $u>v>0\}$
then $\quad(R+u) x-(R+v) y=R(x-y)$
$\therefore \quad u x=v y$
further, because $\{u, v\}$ are supposedly positive integers and ( $x, y$ ) are coprime this requires:

$$
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{x}
$$

This is an impossibility because $u>v$ and $y<x$.
$\therefore$ this proves FLT for Case (I).

## Case (II)

We will consider the factors of n to be contained in b but the logic is similar.
$F x$ can now be written $F x=F p n^{t} \quad\{p, t$ positive integers, $t>0\}$.
Considerations of the first term on the RHS similar to those for Case (I) requires $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
(T) can thus be written:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\mathrm{Fpn}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{p}^{\left.\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}}\right. \\
& \mathrm{Fpn}^{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{a}\left(\left(1+\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{t}-1}\right) / \mathrm{a}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right)^{(1 / \mathrm{n})}
\end{aligned}
$$

This requires that $\mathrm{b}\left(=\mathrm{Fpn}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$, is a non-integer because $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{t}-1}\right) /$ a is a non-integer $\because$ of (A).

Thus Case (II) also proves FLT.

