FIFTY CONJECTURES ON FERMAT PSEUDOPRIMES

(COLLECTED PAPERS)

Marius Coman <mariuscoman13@gmail.com>

INTRODUCTION

Prime numbers have always fascinated mankind. For mathematicians, they are a kind of "black sheep" of the family of integers by their constant refusal to let themselves to be disciplined, ordered and understood. However, we have at hand a powerful tool, insufficiently investigated yet, which can help us in understanding them: Fermat pseudoprimes. It was a night of Easter, many years ago, when I rediscovered Fermat's "little" theorem. Excited, I found the first few Fermat absolute pseudoprimes (*i.e.* 561, 1105, 1729, 2465, 2821, 6601, 8911) before I found out that these numbers are already known. Since then, the passion for study these numbers constantly accompanied me.

In this book I gathered together 19 of my articles posted on VIXRA about Poulet numbers (Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2), Carmichael numbers (absolute Fermat pseudoprimes), Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3 and other relative Fermat pseudoprimes and 30 sequences of such numbers posted by me on OEIS.

I titled the book in this way to show how many new and exciting things one can say more about this class of numbers, but, though indeed these collected papers contain 50 conjectures about Fermat pseudoprimes (I will list them at the beginning of this book, not denying it's title), these collected papers contain also many observations about the properties of Fermat pseudoprimes and generic formulas for many subclasses of such numbers.

Exceptions to the above mentioned theorem, Fermat pseudoprimes seem to be more malleable than prime numbers, more willing to let themselves to be ordered than them, and their depth study will shed light on many properties of the primes, because it seems natural to look for the rule studying it's exceptions, as a virologist search for a cure for a virus studying the organisms that have immunity to the virus.

The list with the fifty conjectures on Fermat pseudoprimes which are studied in the articles from this book

Conjecture 1: There are infinite many Poulet numbers of the form $(4^k - 1)/3$, where k is positive integer.

Conjecture 2: Any number of the form $(4^k - 1)/3$, where k is prime, $k \ge 5$, is a Poulet number.

Conjecture 3: The formula $(n^{n*k} + k + n - 1) - 1)/(n^2 - 1)$ generates an infinity of Fermat pseudoprimes to base n for any integer n, n > 1.

Conjecture 4: Any 3-Poulet number which has not a prime factor of the form 30k + 23 can be written as p*((n + 1)*p - n*p)*((m + 1)*p - m*p) or as p*((n*p - (n + 1)*p)*(m*p - (m + 1)*p).

Conjecture 5: Any Poulet number with two prime factors can be written as P = (q - 30*n)*(r + 30*n), where q and r are primes or are equal to 1 and n is positive integer, n >= 1.

Conjecture 6: There is an infinity of Poulet numbers of the form $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 41, where k is an integer, $k \ge 0$.

Conjecture 7: There is an infinity of Poulet numbers of the form $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 41 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 13, where k is an integer, $k \ge 0$.

Conjecture 8: If the number $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 41, is a Poulet number, then the number $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 41 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 is a Poulet number too (k is an integer, $k \ge 0$).

Conjecture 9: For every Wieferich prime p there is an infinity of Poulet numbers which are equal to n*p - n + 1, where n is integer, n > 1.

Conjecture 10: The numbers formed through deconcatanation of Carmichael numbers not divisible by 5 that ends in the digits that form a number of the form 6*k-1 and the respective number are congruent to $2 \pmod{6}$ or to $5 \pmod{6}$.

Conjecture 11: There is no a Carmichael number with three prime divisors to can be written as (6x + 1)(6y + 1)(6z - 1), they are all of the form (6x + 1)(6y + 1)(6z + 1), (6x - 1)(6y - 1)(6z - 1) or (6x + 1)(6y - 1)(6z - 1).

Conjecture 12: For any Carmichael number C that has only prime factors of the form 6*k + 1 is true at least one of the following five relations:

- (1) C is a Harshad number;
- (2) If we note with s(m) the sum of the digits of the integer m then C is divisible by n*s(C) n + 1, where n is integer;
- (3) C is divisible by s((C + 1)/2);
- (4) C is divisible by n*s((C+1)/2) n + 1, where n is integer;
- (5) s(C) = s((C + 1)/2).

Conjecture 13: The number (30n + 7)*(60n + 13)*(150n + 31) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n + 7, 60n + 13 and 150n + 31 are all three prime numbers.

Conjecture 14: The number (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89)*(180n - 179) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n - 29, 60n - 59, 90n - 89 and 180n - 179 are all four prime numbers.

Conjecture 15: The number (330n + 7)*(660n + 13)*(990n + 19)*(1980n + 37) is a Carmichael number if 330n + 7, 660n + 13, 990n + 19 and 1980n + 37 are all four prime numbers.

Conjecture 16: The number (30n - 7)*(90n - 23)*(300n - 79) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n - 7, 90n - 23 and 300n - 79 are all three prime numbers.

Conjecture 17: The number (30n + 13)*(90n + 37)*(150n + 61) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n + 13, 90n + 37 and 150n + 61 are all three prime numbers.

Conjecture 18: Any Carmichael number can be written as $(n^2 p^2 - q^2)/(n^2 - 1)$, where p and q are primes or power of primes or are equal to 1 and n is positive integer, n > 1.

Conjecture 19: For any Carmichael numbers with three prime factors, $C = d_1*d_2*d_3$, where $d_1 < d_2 < d_3$, is true one of the following two statements:

- (1) d_2 can be written as $d_1*(n+1) n$ and d_3 can be written as $d_1*(m+1) m$;
- (2) d_2 can be written as $d_1*n (n + 1)$ and d_3 can be written as $d_1*m (m + 1)$, where m and n are natural numbers.

Conjecture 20: There is an infinity of reversible primes p with the property that the number obtained through concatenation of the digits of p with a number of n digits of 0, where n is equal to one less than the digits of p, and finally with the digit 1 is a prime.

Conjecture 21: If p and 2p + 1 are both primes, then the number n = p*(2p + 1) - 2*k*p is Fermat pseudoprime to base p + 1 for at least one natural value of k.

Conjecture 22: If p and 2p - 1 are both primes, p > 3, then the number n = p*(2p - 1) - 2*k*p is Fermat pseudoprime to base p - 1 for at least one natural value of k.

Conjecture 23: If p and q are primes, where q = sqrt(2*p - 1), then the number p*q is Fermat pseudoprime to base p + 1.

Conjecture 24: If p is prime, p > 3, and k integer, k > 1, then the number n = p*(k*p-k+1) is Fermat pseudoprime to base k*p-k and to base k*p-k+2.

Conjecture 25: Any prime number p can be written as p = (C*q - 1)/(q - 1), where C is a Carmichael number and q is a prime.

Conjecture 26: If the number 360*(a*b) + 1, where a and b are primes, is equal to c^2 , where c is prime, then exists an infinite series of Carmichael numbers of the form a*b*d, where d is a natural number (obviously odd, but not necessarily prime).

Conjecture 27: The expression $n^E \mod 544 = n$, where n is any natural number, is true if E is an Euler pseudoprime.

Conjecture 28: For any biggest prime factor of a Poulet number p_1 with two prime factors exists a series with infinite many Poulet numbers p_2 formed this way: p_2 mod $(p_1 - d) = d$, where d is the biggest prime factor of p_1 .

Conjecture 29: Any Poulet number p_2 divisible by d can be written as $(p_1 - d)*n + d$, where n is natural, if exists a smaller Poulet number p_1 with two prime factors divisible by d.

Conjecture 30: For any biggest prime factor of a Poulet number p_1 exists a series with infinite many Poulet numbers p_2 formed this way: $p_2 \mod (p_1 - d) = d$, where d is the biggest prime factor of p_1 .

Conjecture 31: Any Poulet number P with three or more prime divisors has at least one prime divisor q for that can be written as $P = q^*((r+1)^*q - r)$, where r is a natural number.

Conjecture 32: The only Poulet number divisible by a smaller Poulet number that can't be written as p*((m + 1)*p - m)*((n + 1)*p - n), where m, n, p are natural numbers, are multiples of 5461 and can be written as 5461*(42*k - 13).

Conjecture 33: There are infinite many Poulet numbers of the form $7200*n^2 + 8820*n + 2701$.

Conjecture 34: There are infinite many Poulet numbers of the form $144*n^2 + 222*n + 85$.

Conjecture 35: If a Poulet number can be written as $8*p*n + p^2$, where n is an integer number and p one of it's prime factors, than can be written this way for any of it's prime factors.

Conjecture 36: For any m natural, m > 1, there exist a series with infinite many Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2, P, formed this way: $P = (n^m + m^n)/(m + 1)$.

Conjecture 37: There are infinite many Poulet numbers that can be written as $(n + 1)*p^2 - n*p$, where n is natural, n > 0, and p is another Poulet number.

Conjecture 38: For any Poulet number p there are infinite many Poulet numbers that can be written as $(n + 1)*p^2 - n*p$, where n is natural, n > 0.

Conjecture 39: For any Poulet number, p_1 , there exist infinite many Poulet numbers, p_2 , formed this way: $p_2 = (p_1^n + n^*p_1)/(n+1)$, where n natural, n > 1.

Conjecture 40: For any Carmichael number, C_1 , there exist infinite many Carmichael numbers, C_2 , formed this way: $C_2 = (C_1^n + n^*C_1)/(n+1)$, where n natural, n > 1.

Conjecture 41: There is no absolute Fermat pseudoprime m for which n = (5*m - 1)/24 is a natural number

Conjecture 42: Any Carmichael number C divisible by p and 2p - 1 (where p and 2p - 1 are prime numbers) can be written as C = p*(2p - 1)*(n*(2p - 2) + p).

Conjecture 43: For any odd number p we have an infinite number of Carmichael numbers of the form $n^*(2^*n-1)^*(p^*n-p+1)^*(2^*p^*n-2^*p+1)$.

Conjecture 45: A Carmichael number C_1 can be written as $C_1 = (C_2 + C_3)/2$, where C_2 and C_3 are also Carmichael numbers, only if both C_1 and C_3 are divisible by C_2 .

Conjecture 44: All Carmichael numbers C (not only with three prime divisors) of the form 10n + 1 that have only prime divisors of the form 10k + 1 can be written as C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 1)*(30c + 1), C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 11)*(30c + 11), or C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 11)*(30c + 11). In other words, there are no such numbers of the form C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 1)*(30c + 11).

Conjecture 46: If m*126 + n = 1729, m*126 > n, then exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms of the form C mod m*234 = n.

Conjecture 47: If m*234 + n = 1729, m*234 > n, then exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms of the form C mod m*234 = n.

Conjecture 48: If m*342 + n = 1729, m*342 > n, then exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms of the form C mod m*342 = n.

Conjecture 49: For any prime factor of a Carmichael number C_1 exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms C_2 formed this way: C_2 mod m*18*d = n, where $m*18*d + n = C_1$, where d is the prime factor of C_1 and m, n are natural numbers, m*18*d < n.

Conjecture 50: There are infinitely many Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3 of the form $(3^{4}k + 2) - 1/8$, where k is a natural number.

SUMMARY

Part one. Eighteen articles on Fermat pseudoprimes

- 1. A formula for generating primes and a possible infinite series of Poulet numbers
- 2. A new class of Fermat pseudoprimes and few remarks about Cipolla pseudoprimes
- 3. Formulas that generate subsets of 3-Poulet numbers and few types of chains of primes
- 4. A conjecture about 2-Poulet numbers and a question about primes
- 5. A formula that generates a type of pairs of Poulet numbers
- 6. A method of finding subsequences of Poulet numbers
- 7. A possible infinite subset of Poulet numbers generated by a formula based on Wieferich primes
- 8. Four sequences of integers regarding balanced primes and Poulet numbers
- 9. Six polynomials in one and two variables that generate Poulet numbers
- 10. A conjecture about a large subset of Carmichael numbers related to concatenation
- 11. A conjecture about primes based on heuristic arguments involving Carmichael numbers
- 12. A conjecture regarding the relation between Carmichael numbers and the sum of their digits
- 13. A list of 13 sequences of Carmichael numbers based on the multiples of the number 30
- 14. A possible generic formula for Carmichael numbers
- 15. An interesting and unexpected property of Carmichael numbers and a question
- 16. Connections between the three prime factors of 3-Carmichael numbers
- 17. Formulas for generating primes involving emirps, Carmichael numbers and concatenation
- 18. Four conjectures regarding Fermat pseudoprimes and few known types of pairs of primes
- 19. Special properties of the first absolute Fermat pseudoprime, the number 561

Part two. Thirty-two sequences of Fermat pseudoprimes

- 1. Poulet numbers with two prime factors
- 2. Poulet numbers with three prime factors
- 3. Poulet numbers with three prime factors divisible by a smaller Poulet number

- 4. Poulet numbers of the form (6*k+1)*(6*k*n+1), where k, n are integers different from 0
- 5. Poulet numbers of the form (6*k 1)*((6*k 2)*n + 1), where k, n are integers different from 0
- 6. Poulet numbers of the form $7200*n^2 + 8820*n + 2701$
- 7. Poulet numbers of the form $144*n^2 + 222*n + 85$
- 8. Poulet numbers of the form $8*p*n + p^2$, where p is prime
- 9. Poulet numbers of the form $(n^2 + 2*n)/3$
- 10. Poulet numbers that can be written as $2*p^2 p$, where p is also a Poulet number
- 11. Poulet numbers of the form $m*n^2 + (11*m 23)*n + 19*m 49$
- 12. Poulet numbers that can be written as $(p^2 + 2*p)/3$, where p is also a Poulet number
- 13. Poulet numbers that can be written as $p^2*n p*n + p$, where p is also a Poulet number
- 14. Primes of the form (24*p + 1)/5, where p is a Poulet number
- 15. The smallest m for which the n-th Carmichael number can be written as $p^2*(m+1) p^m$
- 16. Carmichael numbers of the form (30k + 7)*(60k + 13)*(150k + 31)
- 17. Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n 7)*(90n 23)*(300n 79)
- 18. Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n 17)*(90n 53)*(150n 89)
- 19. Carmichael numbers C = (60k + 13)*(180k + 37)*(300k + 61)
- 20. Carmichael numbers C = (30n 29)*(60n 59)*(90n 89)*(180n 179)
- 21. Carmichael numbers C = (330k + 7)*(660k + 13)*(990k + 19)*(1980k + 37)
- 22. Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n p)*(60n (2p + 1))*(90n (3p + 2)), where p, 2p + 1, 3p + 2 are all three primes
- 23. Carmichael numbers of the form $C = p^*(2p-1)^*(3p-2)^*(6p-5)$, where p is prime
- 24. Carmichael numbers of the form C = p*(2p-1)*(n*(2p-2) + p), where p and 2p-1 are primes
- 25. Carmichael numbers of the form n*(2*n-1)*(p*n-p+1)*(2*p*n-2*p+1), where p is odd
- 26. Carmichael numbers of the form 3*n*(9n + 2)*(18n 1), where n is odd
- 27. Carmichael numbers that have only prime divisors of the form 10k + 1
- 28. Carmichael numbers divisible by a smaller Carmichael number
- 29. Carmichael numbers divisible by 1729
- 30. Fermat pseudoprimes n to base 3 of the form $n = (3^{4}k + 2) 1)/8$

Part one. Eighteen articles on Fermat pseudoprimes

1. A formula for generating primes and a possible infinite series of Poulet numbers

Abstract. An amazingly easy to formulate but rich in consequences property of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 (Poulet numbers).

A formula for generating primes

I studied Fermat pseudoprimes for quite a while (I posted on OEIS few series and properties of Carmichael numbers and Poulet numbers) and I always believed that in the structure of pseudoprimes resides a key for obtaining primes. Here I expose such a formula that generates primes and products of few primes.

I first noticed that the first Poulet number, 341, can be written as $(2^10 - 1)/3$ and after that I found other Poulet numbers that can be written as $(2^k - 1)/3$: 5461, 1398101, 22369621, 5726623061, 91625968981, respectively for k = 14, 22, 26, 34, 38 (I conjecture that there are infinite Poulet numbers of this form).

I then noticed that the third Poulet number, 645, can be written as $(2^4*11^2 - 1)/3$ and after that I found other Poulet numbers that can be written as $(2^k*q^2 - 1)/3$, where q is prime: 2465, 2821, 8321, respectively for q = 43, 23, 79 (I conjecture that there are infinite Poulet numbers of this form too).

From the first 23 Poulet numbers, 19 can be written as $(2^k*q - 1)/3$, where q is prime or square of prime!

So the formula to generate numbers q that are primes, squares of primes and products of few primes or squares of primes is simply $q = (3*P + 1)/2^k$, where P is a Poulet number and k is the biggest natural number for that q is an integer.

I list below few values of N = 3*P + 1, for 9 consecutive Poulet numbers with 12 digits taken randomly (I note generically with s the squarefree semiprimes and with r the products of 3 distinct prime factors):

```
for P = 994738556701 we get N = 2^3*s;
for P = 994738580641 we get N = 2^2*746053935481;
for P = 994750702441 we get N = 2^2*r;
for P = 994767925201 we get N = 2^2*746075943901;
```

```
for P = 994788345601 we get N = 2^2*746091259201;
for P = 994818048445 we get N = 2^3*s;
for P = 994830588181 we get N = 2^6*46632683821;
for P = 994853432581 we get N = 2^4*29^2*53^2*281^2;
for P = 994868271001 we get N = 2^2*r.
```

We obtained, from 9 consecutive values of P, four primes, two semiprimes and two products of 3 distinct primes. It can easily be seen the potential of this formula as a generator of primes. I didn't forget the product of 3 squares; here's something interesting; we got through this formula primes, squarefree products of primes, squares of primes and squares of products of primes, but we didn't find a product to contain primes to a bigger power than two or both primes and squares of primes together, therefore we conjecture that there are no such numbers q, where $q = (3*P + 1)/2^k$ (and P is a Poulet number and k is the biggest n natural for that q is an integer).

We know take the four primes randomly generated, *i.e.* 746053935481, 746075943901, 746091259201 and 46632683821, and we see that they have also the property to generate primes; if we put them in a recurrent formula (Cunningham's chain type), we obtain for M = 3*t + 1 the following values:

```
for t = 746053935481 we get M = 2^2*559540451611;
for t = 746075943901 we get M = 2^3*1381*202591223;
for t = 746091259201 we get M = 2^2*47*11905711583;
for t = 46632683821 we get M = 2^3*174872256433.
```

We now take a prime newly generated, 559540451611. We have:

```
3*559540451611 + 1 = 2*839310677417.
```

I believe these results are encouraging in the study of recurrent sequences of the type $P_n = (3*P_{n-1} + 1)/2^k$, where k is the biggest natural number for that P_n is an integer and P_0 is a Fermat pseudoprime to base 2.

A possible infinite series of Poulet numbers

We saw above that Poulet numbers 341, 5461, 1398101, 22369621, 5726623061, 91625968981 can be written as $(4^k - 1)/3$ for k = 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19. We did'n obtain a Poulet number for any other value of k from 1 to 19 beside those. We calculate now $(4^k - 1)/3$ for k = 23, 29, 31, 37, 41 and we get respectively:

```
: 23456248059221 = 47*178481*2796203;
: 96076792050570581 = 59*233*1103*2089*3033169;
: 1537228672809129301 = 715827883*2147483647;
```

: 6296488643826193618261 = 223*1777*25781083*616318177;: 1611901092819505566274901 = 83*13367*164511353*8831418697.

Unfortunatelly I have just Mr. Richard Pinch's tables to verify if a number is a Poulet number or not (tables that are just up to 10^{12}) and there is no such a simple test to verify this as it is the Korselt criterion at Carmichael numbers. But the premises that the numbers we calculated are Poulet numbers are good: they are squarefree products of few primes. I don't have enough data to conjecture that a number of the form $(4^{k} - 1)/3$ is a Poulet number *if and only if* k is prime, $k \ge 5$ (which would be a tremendously result, to put prime numbers in a bijection with a subset of Poulet numbers!), but I do make two conjectures:

Conjecture 1: There are infinite many Poulet numbers of the form $(4^k - 1)/3$, where k is positive integer.

Conjecture 2: Any number of the form $(4^k - 1)/3$, where k is prime, $k \ge 5$, is a Poulet number.

The second conjecture, if true, would be, as I know, the first generic formula for an infinite series of Poulet numbers (of type "for any possible value of this we obtain necessarily that", cause formulas that generates Poulet numbers, but not only Poulet numbers I submitted myself a few to OEIS). Besides this, the conjecture has yet another major implication: from the first million natural numbers, about 80 thousand are primes and just about 250 are Poulet numbers, which lead to the conclusion that Poulet numbers are far more rare than prime numbers. The conjecture, if true, would show that, in fact, for the first about 7 consecutive prime numbers, we have 7 corresponding Poulet numbers spread in the first about 40 thousand Poulet numbers and, consequently, the set of prime numbers is so just a mean set beside the set of Poulet numbers!

2. A new class of Fermat pseudoprimes and few remarks about Cipolla pseudoprimes

Abstract. I wrote an article entitled "A formula for generating primes and a possible infinite series of Poulet numbers"; the sequence I was talking about not only that is, indeed, infinite, but is also already known as the sequence of Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 2. Starting from comparing Cipolla pseudoprimes and some of my notes I discovered a new class of pseudoprimes.

Introduction

The article I was talking about in Abstract was my second encounter with Cipolla pseudoprimes. I first submitted a sequence to OEIS (A217853) to define a subset of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3, *i.e.* numbers of the form $(3^{4}k + 2) - 1/8$. I just later saw the note of Mr. Bruno Berselli on this sequence, that for p prime, $p = 2^k + 1$, is obtained the generating formula for Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 3, namely $(9^p - 1)/8$, and I made the connection with my further article, in which I was talking about the numbers of the form $(4^p - 1)/3$, namely Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 2.

The formula $(3^{(4*k+2)-1)/8}$ generates Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3 not only for k = (p-1)/2, where p prime (which gives the formula for Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 3), but for other values of k too.

The first few Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 3 are 91, 7381, 597871, 3922632451, 317733228541, 2084647712458321, 168856464709124011 (for more of them, see the sequence A210454 in OEIS).

The first few terms generated by the formula above are 91, 7381, 597871, 48427561, 3922632451, 317733228541, 25736391511831, 2084647712458321, 168856464709124011 (for more of them, see the sequence A217853 in OEIS).

It can be seen that the formula generates until the number 168856464709124011 three more Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3: 48427561, 3922632451 and 25736391511831.

It seemed logic to try to generalize the formula $(3^{(4*k+2)-1)/8}$, hoping that it can be obtained a class of pseudoprimes that would contain the set of Cipolla pseudoprimes, but instead of this I obtained something even more interesting, an entirely different class of Fermat pseudoprimes (containing pseudoprimes which

are not in the Cipolla sequence and, *vice versa*, not containing pseudoprimes that are in Cipolla sequence).

A formula that generates Fermat pseudoprimes

Conjecture: The formula $(n^{n+k} + k + n - 1) - 1)/(n^2 - 1)$ generates an infinity of Fermat pseudoprimes to base n for any integer n, n > 1.

Verifying the conjecture

For n = 2 the formula becomes $(2^{(3*k+1)} - 1)/3$ and generates the following Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2, for k = 3, 7, 11: 341, 1398101, 5726623061.

For n=3 the formula becomes $(3^{(4*k+2)}-1)/8$ and generates Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3 for 14 values of k from 1 to 20.

For n = 4 the formula becomes $(4^{(5*k + 3)} - 1)/15$ and generates the following Fermat pseudoprime to base 4, for k = 1 : 4369.

For n = 5 the formula becomes $(5^{(6*k + 4) - 1)/24$ and generates the following Fermat pseudoprime to base 5, for k = 1 : 406901.

Unfortunatelly the first term of the sequence (corresponding to k = 1) for n = 7 is larger than 10^{10} and I do not have the possibility to extend the verifying, but seems there is enough data to justify the conjecture.

Conclusion

It can easily be seen that, for n=2, the sequence of Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 2 contains until the pseudoprime 5726623061 two more pseudoprimes than the pseudoprimes I defined above (5461 and 22369621 – for the sequence of Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 2 see the sequence A210454 in OEIS) and I have shown above that Cipolla pseudoprimes to base 3 contains until the pseudoprime 168856464709124011 three less pseudoprimes than the pseudoprimes I defined above so it's no need for a further proof that neither one of the two classes is not a subset of the other.

Reference

Cipolla Pseudoprimes, Y. Hamahata and Y. Kokobun

3. Formulas that generate subsets of 3-Poulet numbers and few types of chains of primes

Abstract. A simple list of sequences of products of three numbers, many of them, if not all of them, having probably an infinity of terms that are Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 with three prime factors.

Note: I named with "3-Poulet numbers" the Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 with 3 prime factors, obviously by similarity with the name "3-Carmichael numbers" for absolute Fermat pseudoprimes. For a list with 3-Poulet numbers see the sequence A215672 in OEIS.

I.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form p*((n+1)*p-n*p)*((m+1)*p-m*p), where p prime, m, n natural:

$$10585 = 5*29*73 = 5*(5*7 - 6)*(5*18 - 17);$$
 $13741 = 7*13*151 = 7*(7*2 - 1)*(7*25 - 24);$
 $13981 = 11*31*41 = 11*(11*3 - 2)*(11*4 - 3);$
 $29341 = 13*37*61 = 13*(13*3 - 2)*(13*5 - 4);$
 $137149 = 23*67*89 = 23*(23*3 - 2)*(23*4 - 3).$

II.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form p*((n*p-(n+1)*p)*(m*p-(m+1)*p), where p prime, m, n natural:

$$6601 = 7*23*41 = 7*(7*4 - 5)*(7*7 - 8).$$

Conjecture: Any 3-Poulet number which has not a prime factor of the form 30k + 23 can be written as p*((n+1)*p-n*p)*((m+1)*p-m*p) or as p*((n*p-(n+1)*p)*(m*p-(m+1)*p).

III.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form $p*(p+2*n)*(p+2^2*n-2)$, where p prime, n natural:

$$561 = 3*11*17$$

 $p = 3$; $p + 2*4 = 11$; $p + 2^2*4 - 2 = 17$, so $[p,n] = [3,4]$;
 $1105 = 5*13*17$
 $p = 5$; $p + 2*4 = 13$; $p + 2^2*4 - 2 = 17$, so $[p,n] = [5,4]$;

IV.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form $p*(p+2*n)*(p+2^k*n)$, where p prime and n, k natural:

V.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form $(1+2^k*m)^*(1+2^k*n)^*(1+2^k*(m+n))$, where k, m, n natural:

$$13981 = 11*31*41$$

 $1 + 2^1*5 = 11$, $1 + 2^1*15 = 31$, $1 + 2^1*(5 + 15) = 41$, so $[k,m,n] = [1,5,15]$;
 $252601 = 41*61*101$
 $1 + 2^2*10 = 41$, $1 + 2^2*15 = 61$, $1 + 2^2*(10 + 15) = 101$, so $[k,m,n] = [2,10,15]$;

VI.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form $(1+2^k*m)^*(1+2^k*m)^*(1+2^k*(m+n+2))$, where k, m, n natural:

$$561 = 3*11*17$$

 $1 + 2^1*1 = 3$, $1 + 2^1*5 = 11$, $1 + 2^1*(1 + 5 + 2) = 17$, so $[k, m, n] = [1, 1, 5]$;

VII.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form p*(p+2*n)*(p+2*n+2*(n+1)), where p prime, n natural:

$$6601 = 7*23*41$$

p = 7; p + 2*8 = 31; p + 2*8 + 2*9 = 41, so [p,n] = [7,8].

VIII.

Poulet numbers with three prime factors of the form $3*(3+2^k)*(3+q*2^h)$, where q prime and k, h natural:

```
645 = 3*5*43 so [q,h,k] = [5,1,3];
1905 = 3*5*127 so [q,h,k] = [31,1,2];
8481 = 3*11*257 so [q,h,k] = [127,3,1].
```

Notes

The chains of primes of the form $[p, p+2*n,..., p+2^k*n]$ seems to be a very interesting object of study; such chains are, for instance, [3,5,7,11,19] for [p,n,k] = [3,1,4] and [3,13,23,43,83,163] for [p,n,k] = [3,5,5].

Also it would be interesting to study the chains of primes formed starting from a prime p and adding 2^k , where n is an arbitrarily chosen natural number and k the smallest values for which p+ 2^k is prime. Such a chain is, for instance, [7,13,19,31,103,199,1543,3079] for [p,n] = [7,3] and [k1,k2,k3,k4,k5,k6,k7] = [1,2,3,5,6,9,10].

An interesting triplet of primes is [p+2*m,p+2*n,p+2*(m+n)] where p is prime and m,n natural; such triplets are [11,13,17] for [p,m,n] = [7,2,3] or [23,43,59] for [p,m,n] = [7,8,18]. Generalizing, the triplet would be $[p+2^k*m,p+2^k*n,p+2^k*(m+n)]$; such a triplet is [11,19,23] for [p,k,m,n] = [7,2,1,3].

4. A conjecture about 2-Poulet numbers and a question about primes

Abstract. To find generic formulas for Poulet numbers (beside, of course, the formula that defines them) was for long time one of my targets; I maybe found such a formula for Poulet numbers with two prime factors, involving the multiples of the number 30, that also is rising an interesting question about primes.

Conjecture:

Any Poulet number with two prime factors can be written as P = (q - 30*n)*(r + 30*n), where q and r are primes or are equal to 1 and n is positive integer, $n \ge 1$.

Note: For a list of 2-Poulet numbers see the sequence A214305 that I submitted to OEIS.

Verifying the conjecture for the first few 2–Poulet numbers:

```
 : P = 341 = 11*31 = (41 - 30*1)*(1 + 30*1) = (31 - 30*1)*(311 + 30*1); 
 : P = 1387 = 19*73 = (61 - 30*2)*(1327 + 30*2) = (79 - 30*2)*(13 + 30*2); 
 : P = 2047 = 23*89 = (31 - 30*1)*(2017 + 30*1) = (53 - 30*1)*(59 + 30*1) = (61 - 30*2)*(1987 + 30*2) = (83 - 30*2)*(29 + 30*2); 
 : P = 2701 = 37*73 = (31 - 30*1)*(2671 + 30*1) = (67 - 30*1)*(43 + 30*1) = (103 - 30*1)*(7 + 30*1) = (97 - 30*2)*(13 + 30*2) = (151 - 30*5)*(2551 + 30*5);
```

: P = 3277 = 29*113 = (59 - 30*1)*(83 + 30*1) = (89 - 30*2)*(53 + 30*2) = (211 - 30*7)*(3067 + 30*7) = (241 - 30*8)*(3037 + 30*8) = (421 - 30*14)*(2857 + 30*14) = (571 - 30*19)*(2707 + 30*19) = (601 - 30*20)*(2677 + 30*20) = (631 - 30*21)*(2647 + 30*21).

Note: It is remarkable in how many ways a 2-Poulet number can be written this way.

Note: The conjecture might probably be extended for all Poulet numbers not divisible by 3 or 5, not only with two prime factors.

Verifying the extended conjecture for first few Poulet numbers with more than two prime factors not divisible by 3 or 5:

:
$$P = 1729 = 7*13*19 = (31 - 30*1)*(1699 + 30*1) = (43 - 30*1)*(103 + 30*1);$$

: $P = 2821 = 7*13*31 = (31 - 30*1)*(2791 + 30*1) = (37 - 30*1)*(373 + 30*1) = (61 - 30*1)*(61 + 30*1);$

:
$$P = 6601 = 7*23*41 = (31 - 30*1)*(6571 + 30*1) = (53 - 30*1)*(257 + 30*1) = (71 - 30*1)*(131 + 30*1) = (191 - 30*1)*(11 + 30*1).$$

Note: This conjecture is rising the following question: which pairs of primes (x,y), at least one of them bigger than 30, have the property that can be written as (p - 30*n, q + 30*n), where p and q are primes or are equal to 1 and n is positive integer, $n \ge 1$.

5. A formula that generates a type of pairs of Poulet numbers

Abstract. Starting from the observation that the number $13^2 + 81^{13} + 3^{13}^{13}$ is a Poulet number (2821), and the number $41^2 + 81^{14} + 3^{13}^{13}$ is a Poulet number too (6601), and following my interest for the number 30, I found a formula that generates such pairs of Poulet numbers like (2821,6601).

Observation: The formula $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 41 (case I), or, vice versa, p is a prime of the form 30*k + 41 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 (case II), generates Poulet numbers.

Examples:

```
: for (p,q) = (13,41), we got 2821, a Poulet number;
: for (p,q) = (41,13), we got 6601, a Poulet number;
: for (p,q) = (43,71), we got 14491, a Poulet number;
: for (p,q) = (71,43), we got 19951, a Poulet number.
```

Conjecture 1: There is an infinity of Poulet numbers of the form $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 41, where k is an integer, $k \ge 0$.

Conjecture 2: There is an infinity of Poulet numbers of the form $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 41 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 13, where k is an integer, $k \ge 0$.

Conjecture 3: If the number $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 41, is a Poulet number, then the number $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p is a prime of the form 30*k + 41 and q is a prime of the form 30*k + 13 is a Poulet number too (k is an integer, $k \ge 0$). **Note**: The differences between the two numbers that form such a pair might also

Note: The differences between the two numbers that form such a pair might also have interesting properties; in the examples above, we have 6601 - 2821 = 3780 and 19951 - 14491 = 5460. Note that $5460 - 3780 = 1680 = 41^2 - 1$.

Note: There are many Poulet numbers that can be written as $p^2 + 81*p + 3*p*q$, where p,q primes, but it's not satisfied the reciprocity from the formula above.

6. A method of finding subsequences of Poulet numbers

Abstract. I was studying the Fermat pseudoprimes in function of the remainder of the division by different numbers, when I noticed that the study of the remainders of the division by 28 seems to be very interesting. Starting from this, I discovered a method to easily find subsequences of Poulet numbers. I understand through "finding subsequences of Poulet numbers" finding such numbers that share a non-trivial property, *i.e.* not a sequence defined like: "Poulet numbers divisible by 7".

Introduction

The way of finding such subsequences is simply to calculate the remainder of the division of a Poulet number P by the number 4*q, where q is a prime which does not divide P; surprisingly, few values of these remainders seems to occur more often than others.

Few subsequences of Poulet numbers

For q = 7, we found out that, from the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 7, 14 numbers can be written as P = 28*n + 1, where n is obviously a natural number; these numbers are:

: 561, 645, 1905, 2465, 3277, 4033, 4369, 5461, 10585, 18705, 25761, 31417, 33153, 34945.

For q = 11, we found out that, from the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 11, 6 numbers can be written as P = 44*n + 1; these numbers are: : 2465, 6601, 15709, 15841, 30889, 31417.

Also for q = 11 and the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 11, we found out that 6 numbers can be written as P = 44*n + 5; these numbers are: : 1105, 2821, 4681, 5461, 8321, 18705.

For q = 13, we found out that, from the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 13, 9 numbers can be written as P = 52*n + 1; these numbers are: : 3277, 4369, 4681, 5461, 7957, 8321, 18721, 30889, 34945.

Also for q = 13 and the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 13, we found out that 5 numbers can be written as P = 52*n + 29; these numbers are: : 341, 4033, 10585, 23377, 33153.

For q = 17, we found out that, from the first 50 Poulet numbers not divisible by 17, 8 numbers can be written as P = 68*n + 1; these numbers are: : 341, 1905, 7957, 15709, 31417, 31621, 49981, 52633.

Also for q = 17 and the first 50 Poulet numbers not divisible by 17, we found out that 4 numbers can be written as P = 68*n + 45; these numbers are: : 10585, 16705, 49141, 60701.

For q = 19, we found out that, from the first 50 Poulet numbers not divisible by 19, 4 numbers can be written as P = 76*n + 5; these numbers are: : 1905, 4033, 29341, 31621.

Also for q = 19 and the first 50 Poulet numbers not divisible by 19, we found out that 4 numbers can be written as P = 76*n + 37; these numbers are: : 341, 645, 4369, 8321.

Also for q = 19 and the first 50 Poulet numbers not divisible by 19, we found out that 4 numbers can be written as P = 76*n + 45; these numbers are: : 4681, 8481, 23377, 49141.

For q = 23, we found out that, from the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 23, 4 numbers can be written as P = 92*n + 1; these numbers are: : 645, 1105, 23001, 25761.

Also for q = 23 and the first 40 Poulet numbers not divisible by 23, we found out that 4 numbers can be written as P = 92*n + 45; these numbers are: : 4369, 7957, 18721, 31417.

Note: Yet is interesting to study the quotients n obtained through the method above, *i.e.* the numbers n = (P - r)/4*q, where r is the remainder, *e.g.* the numbers $n = (561 - 1)/4*7 = 2^2*5$, $n = (33153 - 1)/4*7 = 2^5*37$, $n = (2465 - 1)/4*11 = 2^3*7$, $n = (2821 - 5)/4*11 = 2^6$ and so on.

7. A possible infinite subset of Poulet numbers generated by a formula based on Wieferich primes

Abstract. I was studying the Poulet numbers of the form n*p - n + 1, where p is prime, numbers which appear often related to Fermat pseudoprimes (see the sequence A217835 that I submitted to OEIS) when I discovered a possible infinite subset of Poulet numbers generated by a formula based on Wieferich primes (I pointed out 4 such Poulet numbers).

It is known the following relation between the Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 (Poulet numbers) and the Wieferich primes: the squares of the two known Wieferich primes, respectively 1194649 = 1093^2 and 12327121 = 3511^2, are Poulet numbers. I discovered yet another relation between these two classes of numbers:

Conjecture 1: For every Wieferich prime p there is an infinity of Poulet numbers which are equal to n*p - n + 1, where n is integer, n > 1.

Note: Because there are just two Wieferich primes known (it's not even known if there are other Wieferich primes beside these two), we verify the conjecture for these two and few values of n (until n < 31).

```
: 1093*3 – 2 = 3277, a Poulet number;
: 1093*4 – 3 = 4369, a Poulet number;
: 1093*5 – 4 = 5461, a Poulet number;
: 3511*14 – 13 = 49141, a Poulet number.
```

Observation 1: The formula n*p - n + 1, where p is Wieferich prime and n is integer, n > 1, leads often to semiprimes of the form q*(m*q - m + 1) or of the form q*(m*q + m - 1):

```
: 1093*11 - 10 = 5*2621 and 2621 = 5*655 - 654;

: 3511*4 - 3 = 19*739 and 739 = 19*41 - 40;

: 3511*9 - 8 = 7*4593 and 4593 = 7*752 - 751;

: 3511*10 - 9 = 11*3191 and 3191 = 11*319 - 318;

: 3511*12 - 11 = 73*577 and 577 = 73*8 - 7;

: 3511*14 - 13 = 157*313 and 313 = 157*2 - 1;

: 3511*21 - 20 = 11*6701 and 6701 = 11*670 - 669;

: 3511*24 - 23 = 61*1381 and 1381 = 61*23 - 22;

: 3511*28 - 27 = 29*3389 and 3389 = 29*121 - 120;
```

```
: 1093*11 - 10 = 41*293 and 293 = 41*7 + 6;

: 1093*18 - 17 = 11*1787 and 1787 = 11*149 + 148;

: 1093*29 - 28 = 11*2879 and 2879 = 11*240 + 239;

: 3511*4 - 3 = 19*739 and 739 = 19*37 + 36;

: 3511*19 - 18 = 17*3923 and 3923 = 17*218 + 217;

: 3511*31 - 30 = 233*467 and 467 = 233*2 + 1;

: 3511*28 - 27 = 29*3389 and 3389 = 29*113 + 112.
```

Note: Every Poulet number obtained so far through the formula above (until n < 31) is semiprime, in other words a 2–Poulet number.

Note: The class of primes p that can be written in both ways, like p = n*q - n + 1 and like m*q + m - 1, where q is prime and m and n are integers larger than 1, seems to be interesting to study. Such primes p are, for instance, 739 = 19*41 - 40 = 19*37 + 36 and 3389 = 29*121 - 120 = 29*113 + 112. Maybe is not a coincidence that both pairs of primes (p,q) are of the form (10k + 9,10h + 9).

Observation 2: Most of the 2-Poulet numbers (for a list with Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 with two prime factors see the sequence A214305 in OEIS) can be written as $d^*(d^*n - n + 1)$ or as $d^*(d^*n + n - 1)$, where d is obviously one of the two prime factors and n is integer, n > 1: for instance 341 = 11*31 = 11*(11*3 - 2) and 1387 = 19*73 = 19*(19*4 - 3). But not all 2-Poulet numbers can be written in one of these two ways: for instance 23377 = 97*241, the 18th 2-Poulet number, can't be written this way.

Observation 3: I also noticed that two semiprimes obtained from the Wieferich primes through the formula above can be written as q*(q*38 + 17):

```
: 14041 = 19*739 = 19*(19*38 + 17);
: 52651 = 37*1423 = 37*(37*38 + 17).
```

Note: That would be also interesting to study the pairs of primes (p,38*p+17); such pairs of primes are, for instance, (7,283), (19,739), (37,1423), (73,2791), (79,3019), (103,3931).

8. Four sequences of integers regarding balanced primes and Poulet numbers

Abstract. A simple list of sequences of integers that reveal interesting properties of few subsets of balanced primes.

I.

Balanced primes B that can be written as $B = P \pm 24$, where P is a Fermat pseudoprime to base two (a Poulet number): 1747, 2677, 4657, 41017, 188437, 195997 (...).

Comments:

B that can be written as P + 24: 1747;

B that can be written as P - 24: 2677, 4657, 41017, 188437, 195997.

Note that all these balanced primes are of the form 10*k + 7!

Note: For a list of Poulet numbers see the sequence A001567 in OEIS. For a list of balanced primes see the sequence A006562 in OEIS.

II.

Balanced primes B2 that can be written as B1 + 330*n - 6, where B1 is also a balanced prime and n is non-negative integer: 257, 977, 1367, 1511, 1747, 1907, 2417, 2677 (...).

Comments:

B1 corresponding to the least n for that B2 can be written this way and the least n: (263,0), (653,1), (53,4), (1187,1), (1753,0), (593,4), (1103,4), (373,7).

Note that 7 from the first 12 balanced primes of the form 10*k + 7 can be written this way!

Note: Seems that the formula p + 330*n produces many primes when p is a balanced prime of the form 10*k + 3 or 10*k + 7; for instance the number 257 + 330*n is prime for n = 0, 1, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 26, 28, 31, 35, 39, 40, 43, 45, 47, 48, 49, 52, 53, 54, 59, 62, 64, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 74, 77, 78, 81, 83, 85, 88, 94, 95, that means for 46 values of <math>n from the first 99. I also noticed that the same formula produces many primes and squares of primes when n is a square of prime; for instance the number n is prime or square of prime for n in n in

III.

Balanced primes B2 that can be written as B1 + 330*n + 6, where B1 is also a balanced prime and n is non-negative integer: 263, 593, 1753, 2903, 2963, 4013 (...).

Comments:

B1 corresponding to the least n for that B2 can be written this way and the least n: (257,0), (257,1), (1747,0), (257,8), (977,6), (1367,8).

Note that 5 from the first 14 balanced primes of the form 10*k + 3 can be written this way!

IV.

Balanced primes B2 that can be written as B1 + 1980*n, where B1 is also a balanced prime and n is positive integer:

3733, 4013, 4657, 6863, 11411, 11807, 11933, 13463, 15193, 15767, 16097, 16787, 16987, 17483, 19463, 19477, 20107, 20123, 22447, 23333, 23893, 24413, 25621, 26177, 26393, 26693, 26723, 27067 (...).

Comments:

The corresponding (B1,n): (1753,1), (53,2), (2677,1), (2903,2), (1511,5), (1907,5), (4013,4), (7523,3), (3313,6), (11807,2), (257,8), (947,8), (5107,6), (7583,5), (7583,6), (3637,8), (2287,9), (6263,7), (12547,5), (9473,6), (6073,9), (653,12), (21661,2), (2417,12), (24413,1), (10853,8), (2963,12), (3307,12).

Comments:

B2 may sometimes be written this way for more than one set of values of B1 and n (for instance 11933 = 4013 + 4*1980 = 53 + 6*1980); we refered through the corresponding (B1,n) to the least value of n.

Note that 32 from the first 171 balanced primes can be written as B + 1980*n, where B is a smaller balanced prime.

Conjecture: Any balanced prime B beside the first one, 5, generates an infinity of balanced primes of the form B + 1980*n (e.g. the second balanced prime, 53, generates for n = 2, 6, 14, 56 the balanced primes 4013, 11933, 27773, 110933).

Conjecture: Any balanced prime B beside the first one, 5, generates through the formula B - 1980*n an infinity of balanced primes in absolute value (e.g. 5807 - 6*1980 = -6073, where 5807 and 6073 are balanced primes).

9. Six polynomials in one and two variables that generate Poulet numbers

Abstract. Fermat pseudoprimes were for me, and they still are, a class of numbers as fascinating as that of prime numbers; over time I discovered few polynomials that generate Poulet numbers (but not only Poulet numbers). I submitted all of them on OEIS; in this paper I get them together.

(1) Poulet numbers of the form $7200*n^2 + 8820*n + 2701$.

First 8 terms: 2701, 18721, 49141, 93961, 226801, 314821, 534061, 665281 (sequence A214016 in OEIS).

Note: The Poulet numbers above were obtained for the following values of n: 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9.

(2) Poulet numbers of the form $144*n^2 + 222*n + 85$.

First 8 terms: 1105, 2047, 3277, 6601, 13747, 16705, 19951, 31417 (sequence A214017 in OEIS).

Note: The Poulet numbers above were obtained for the following values of n: 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14.

(3) Poulet numbers of the form 3*(2*n+1)*(18*n+11)*(36*n+17).

First 4 terms: 561, 62745, 656601, 11921001 (sequence A213071 in OEIS).

Note: The Poulet numbers above were obtained for the following values of n: 0, 2, 5, 14.

Note: All 4 terms from above are Carmichael numbers.

(4) Poulet numbers of the form (6*m-1)*((6*m-2)*n+1).

First 11 terms: 341, 561, 645, 1105, 1905, 2047, 2465, 3277, 4369, 4371, 6601 (sequence A210993 in OEIS).

Notes:

For m = 1 the formula becomes 20*n + 5 and generates all the Poulet numbers divisible by 5 from the sequence above (beside 645, all of them have another solutions beside n = 1).

For m = 2 the formula becomes 110*n + 11 and generates the Poulet numbers: 341, 561 etc.

For m=3 the formula becomes 272*n+17 and generates the Poulet numbers: 561, 1105, 2465, 4369 etc.

For m=4 the formula becomes 506*n+23 and generates the Poulet numbers: 2047,6601 etc.

For n = 1 the formula generates a perfect square.

For n = 2 the formula becomes 3*(6*m - 1)*(4*m - 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 561 etc.

For n = 3 the formula becomes (6*m - 1)*(18*m - 5) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 341, 2465 etc.

For n = 4 the formula becomes (6*m - 1)*(24*m - 7) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 1105, 2047, 3277, 6601 etc.

Note: The formula is equivalent to Poulet numbers of the form $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*m - 1$. From the first 68 Poulet numbers just 26 of them (1387, 2701, 2821, 4033, 4681, 5461, 7957, 8911, 10261, 13741, 14491, 18721, 23377, 29341, 31609, 31621, 33153, 35333, 42799, 46657, 49141, 49981, 57421, 60787, 63973, 65281) can't be written as $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*m - 1$.

(5) Poulet numbers of the form (6*m+1)*(6*m*n+1).

First 10 terms: 1105, 1387, 1729, 2701, 2821, 4033, 4681, 5461, 6601, 8911 (sequence A214607 in OEIS).

Notes:

For m = 1 the formula becomes 42*n + 7.

For m = 2 the formula becomes 156*n + 13.

For m = 3 the formula becomes 342*n + 19.

For m = 4 the formula becomes 600*n + 25.

For n = 1 the formula generates a perfect square.

For n = 2 the formula becomes (6*m + 1)*(12*m + 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 2701, 8911 etc.

For n = 3 the formula becomes (6*m + 1)*(18*m + 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 2821, 4033, 5461 etc.

For n = 4 the formula becomes (6*m + 1)*(24*m + 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 1387, 83665 etc. (see the sequence A182123 in OEIS).

Note: The formula is equivalent to Poulet numbers of the form $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*m + 1$. From the first 68 Poulet numbers just 7 of them (7957, 23377, 33153, 35333, 42799, 49981, 60787) can't be written as $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*m \pm 1$.

(6) Poulet numbers of the form $m*n^2 + (11*m - 23)*n + 19*m - 49$.

First 10 terms: 341, 645, 1105, 1387, 2047, 2465, 2821, 3277, 4033, 5461 (sequence A215326 in OEIS).

Note: The solutions (m,n) for the Poulet numbers from the sequence above are: (3,9); (3,13); (4,14); (4,16); (9,11) and (4,20); (3,27); (3,29); (4,26); (3,35); (290,0).

10. A conjecture about a large subset of Carmichael numbers related to concatenation

Abstract. Though the method of concatenation has it's recognised place in number theory, is rarely leading to the determination of characteristics of an entire class of numbers, which is not defined only through concatenation. We present here a property related to concatenation that appears to be shared by a large subset of Carmichael numbers

Introduction: I was studying the primes of the form 12*k + 5 (*i.e.* the primes 5, 17, 29, 41, 53, 89, 101, 113, 137, 149, 173 and so on) when I noticed that the primes obtained through the concatenation of two of them are easily to find, especially the ones that end in the digits 29: 4129, 6529, 8929, 11329, 13729, 14929 and so on. When I looked on a certain subset of Carmichael numbers I observed an interesting property that appear to be common to the numbers from this subset (Observation) then I saw that the property is in fact common to a much larger subset of Carmichael numbers (Conjecture).

Observation: The numbers obtained through *deconcatenation* (I understand through this word the operation which is the reverse of concatenation) of the digits of the Carmichael numbers that have 29 as the last two digits and the respective two digits appear to be congruent to 5(mod 6) or to 2(mod 6).

I checked this property to the first 21 Carmichael numbers of the form 100*k + 29:

```
: for 1729
                                   (17-5)/6=2;
                     we have
                     we have
                                   (233825 - 5)/6 = 38970;
: for 23382529
                                   (1468439 - 5)/6 = 244739;
: for 146843929
                     we have
                                   (1729475 - 5)/6 = 288245;
: for 172947529
                     we have
                                   (1885163 - 5)/6 = 314193;
: for 188516329
                     we have
: for 246446929
                     we have
                                   (2464469 - 5)/6 = 410744;
                                   (2714813 - 5)/6 = 452468;
: for 271481329
                     we have
: for 484662529
                     we have
                                   (4846625 - 5)/6 = 807770;
                     we have
                                   (5932349 - 5)/6 = 988724;
: for 593234929
                                   (9347849 - 5)/6 = 1557974;
: for 934784929
                     we have
                                   (9587627 - 5)/6 = 1597937;
: for 958762729
                     we have
: for 1055384929
                                   (10553849 - 5)/6 = 1758974;
                     we have
                                   (16882145 - 5)/6 = 2813690;
: for 1688214529
                     we have
: for 1858395529
                     we have
                                   (18583955 - 5)/6 = 3097325;
                                   (19426085 - 5)/6 = 3237680;
: for 1942608529
                     we have
                                   (62181773 - 5)/6 = 10363628;
: for 6218177329
                     we have
```

```
: for 7044493729 we have (70444937 - 5)/6 = 11740822;

: for 10128932929 we have (101289329 - 5)/6 = 101289329;

: for 10387489729 we have (103874897 - 5)/6 = 17312482;

: for 11477658529 we have (114776585 - 5)/6 = 19129430.

: for 12299638429 we have (122996384 - 2)/6 = 20499397.
```

Note: I expressed this property in the way above so we can see yet another interesting pattern: many of the integers obtained through this operation have the sum of the digits equal to 29: 244739, 288245, 452468, 807770, 2813690, 3097325, 3237680, 10363628, 19129430.

Note: It would be interesting to see what kind of numbers we obtain if we reverse the operations above: let be x a number with the sum of the digits equal to 29, x*6 + 5 = y and z the number obtained through concatenation of y and 29:

```
: for x = 2999, y = 17999 and z = 1799929 prime;
: for x = 9299, y = 55799 and z = 1553*3593 semiprime;
: for x = 9929, y = 59579 and z = 373*15973 semiprime;
: for x = 9992, y = 59957 and z = 5995729 prime;
: for x = 3899, y = 23399 and z = 2339929 prime;
: for x = 3989, y = 23939 and z = 2393929 prime.
```

If we take x a number with the sum of the digits equal to another prime of the form 6*k-1 instead 29, *i.e.* 41, and repeat the same operations from above, we obtain:

```
: for x = 59999, y = 359999 and z = 35999941 prime;
: for x = 99599, y = 597599 and z = 59759941 semiprime;
: for x = 99959, y = 599759 and z = 59975941 prime;
: for x = 99995, y = 599975 and z = 59997541 prime.
```

Even more than that, if we take x a number with the sum of the digits equal to 41, but we calculate z as the concatenation of y not cu 41 but with 29, we obtain:

```
: for x = 59999, y = 359999 and z = 35999929 semiprime;
: for x = 95999, y = 575999 and z = 57599929 prime;
: for x = 99599, y = 597599 and z = 59759929 prime;
: for x = 99959, y = 599759 and z = 59975929 semiprime;
: for x = 99995, y = 599975 and z = 59997599 semiprime.
```

We saw that, taking randomly 15 numbers with the property that sum of their digits is equal to a prime of the form 6*k - 1 (in fact not entirely random, because 2999 and 59999 are the smaller primes for which the sum of the digits is equal to 29, respectively 41), we obtained 9 primes and 6 semiprimes, so this direction of study seems to be prolific.

It is also interesting to see which are the smaller numbers with the property that the sum of their digits equals a prime p of the form 6*k - 1: these numbers are: 29 (for p = 11), 89 (for p = 17), 599 (for p = 23), 2999 (for p = 29), 59999 (for p = 41), 2999999 (for p = 47), 8999999 (for p = 53), 59999999 (for p = 59), 899999999 (for p = 71), 2999999999 (for p = 83), 8999999999 (for p = 89), 29999999999 (for p =

Conjecture: The numbers formed through deconcatanation of Carmichael numbers not divisible by 5 that ends in the digits that form a number of the form 6*k-1 and the respective number are congruent to $2 \pmod{6}$ or to $5 \pmod{6}$.

I checked this property to the first few Carmichael numbers that ends in digits of this form (beside the cases that I already considered above):

```
: for 2821, where 821 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 8911, where 11 = 5 \pmod{6}, we have 89 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}; but also 911 \equiv 5 \pmod{6},
and we have 8 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}:
: for 15841, where 41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 158 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 29341, where 41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 293 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}; but also 341 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}
6), and we have 29 \equiv 5 \pmod{6} and also 9341 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, and we have 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}
6);
: for 41041, where 41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 410 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 52633, where 2633 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{6};
: for 101101, where 101 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 101 \equiv 5 \pmod{6};
: for 115921, where 5921 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 11 \equiv 5 \pmod{6};
: for 126217, where 17 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 1262 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 172081, where 2081 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 17 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 188461, where 461 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 188 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 252601, where 52601 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 294409, where 4409 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 29 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}; but also 94409 \equiv 6 \pmod{6}
5 \pmod{6}, and we have 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 314821, where 821 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 314 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 334153, where 53 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 3341 \equiv 5 \pmod{6};
: for 410041, where 41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 4100 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 488881, where 881 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 488 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 512461, where 461 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 512 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 530881, where 881 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 530 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}; but also 30881 \equiv 30881
5 \pmod{6}, and we have 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{6};
: for 658801, where 8801 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 65 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 748657, where 8657 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 74 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 838201, where 8201 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 83 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 852841, where 41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 8528 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 1082809, where 809 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 1082 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 1152271, where 71 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 11522 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
: for 1193221, where 221 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 1193 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}; but also 93221 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}
5 \pmod{6}, and we have 11 \equiv 5 \pmod{6};
```

```
: for 1461241, where 41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 14612 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}; but also 1241 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, and we have 146 \equiv 2 \pmod{6} and 61241 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, and we have 14 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
```

- : for 1615681, where $5681 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $161 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 1773289, where $89 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $17732 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$; but also $73289 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $17 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$.

We take now few bigger Carmichael numbers:

```
: for 998324255809, where 809 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, we have 998324255 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}; but also 255809 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, and we have 998324 \equiv 2 \pmod{6} and 24255809 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, and we have 9983 \equiv 5 \pmod{6} and 324255809 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, and we have 998 \equiv 2 \pmod{6};
```

- : for 998667686017, where $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $9986676860 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$; but also $6017 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $99866768 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ and $7686017 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $99866 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ and $67686017 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $9986 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ and $667686017 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $998 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 999607982113, where $113 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $999607982 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 999629786233, where $233 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $999629786 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$; but also $6233 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $99962978 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ and $786233 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $999629 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ and $9786233 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, and we have $999629 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$.

Note: From all the cases which appear until the Carmichael number 1773289 (we saw that for a single Carmichael number we can meet the conditions from hypothesis more than once), I only met one exception: for 162401, where $401 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $162 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$; I didn't change yet the statement from conjecture, waiting for at least one more counterexemple to set a pattern.

Conclusion: The results obtained for Carmichael numbers may have theoretical value, but for a more practical value, for instance to be helpful in a PRP test, let's see if these results can be extended for the class of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2:

- : for 341, where $41 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 2047, where $47 = 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $20 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 2701, where $701 = 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $2 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 3277, where $77 = 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $32 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$;
- : for 4371, where $71 = 5 \pmod{6}$, we have $43 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

Unfortunatelly, from the first 5 cases that we considered it becomes clear that the conjecture can't be extended on Poulet numbers. A resembling pattern seems not to exist in the case of prime numbers also, so this is a feature strictly of absolute Fermat pseudoprimes.

11. A conjecture about primes based on heuristic arguments involving Carmichael numbers

Abstract. The number 30 is important to me because I always believed in the utility of classification of primes in primes of the form 30k + 1, 30k + 7, 30k + 11, 30k + 13, 30k + 17, 30k + 19, 30k + 23 and 30k + 29 (which may be interpreted as well as primes of the form 30h - 29, 30h - 23, 30h - 19, 30h - 17, 30h - 13, 30h - 11, 30h - 7 and 30h - 1). The following conjecture involves the multiples of the number 30 and is based on the study of Carmichael numbers.

Conjecture: For any three distinct primes p, q, r there exist a positive integer n so that the numbers x = 30*n - p, y = 30*n - q and z = 30*n - r are all three primes.

Comments

I already showed in the article "A list of 13 sequences of Carmichael numbers based on the multiples of the number 30", posted on VIXRA, the importance of the multiples of 30 in the study of Carmichael numbers.

I shall list randomly a number of ways in which a Carmichael number with three prime factors can be written in function of the multiples of the number 30 (we note with C a Carmichael number):

C = (30*n - p)*(60*n - q)*(90*n - r), where n is a positive integer and p, q, r are primes. Examples:

$$C = 8911 = 7*19*67 = (30 - 23)*(60 - 41)*(90 - 23);$$

 $C = 15841 = 7*31*73 = (30 - 23)*(60 - 29)*(90 - 17);$
 $C = 29341 = 13*37*61 = (30 - 17)*(60 - 23)*(90 - 29).$

C = (30*n - p)*(90*n - q)*(120*n - r), where n is a positive integer and p, q, r are primes. Example:

$$C = 52633 = 7*73*103 = (30 - 23)*(90 - 17)*(120 - 17).$$

But the most appealing form is the following one: C = (30*n - p)*(30*n - q)*(30*n - r), where n is a positive integer and p, q, r are primes.

Examples:

$$C = 1729 = 7*13*19 = (30*1 - 23)(30*1 - 17)(30*1 - 11);$$

```
C = 1729 = 7*13*19 = (30*9 - 263)(30*9 - 257)(30*9 - 251);
C = 2821 = 7*13*31 = (30*9 - 263)(30*9 - 257)(30*9 - 239);
C = 6601 = 7*23*41 = (30*6 - 173)(30*6 - 157)(30*6 - 139);
C = 8911 = 7*19*67 = (30*3 - 83)(30*3 - 71)(30*3 - 23);
C = 15841 = 7*31*73 = (30*3 - 83)(30*3 - 59)(30*3 - 17).
```

In fact, ny initial intention was to conjecture that any Carmichael number can be written in this form, in other words that for any three prime factors p, q, r of a 3–Carmichael number there exist a positive integer n so that the numbers x = 30*n - p, y = 30*n - q and z = 30*n - r are all three primes.

Note: The reason for which I chose 3 primes for the conjecture instead of 2 or 4 is that 3 is the minimum number of prime factors of a Carmichael number but also because I would relate this conjecture with the study of Fermat's last theorem.

Note: The conjecture implies of course that for any pair of twin primes (p,q) there exist a pair of primes (30*n - p,30*n - q) so that there are infinitely many pairs of primes.

12. A conjecture regarding the relation between Carmichael numbers and the sum of their digits

Abstract. Though they are a fascinating class of numbers, there are very many properties of Carmichael numbers still unstudied enough. I have always thought there is a connection between these numbers and the sum of their digits (few of them are also Harshad numbers). I try here to highlight such a possible connection.

Conjecture: For any Carmichael number C that has only prime factors of the form 6*k + 1 is true at least one of the following five relations:

- (1) C is a Harshad number;
- (2) If we note with s(m) the sum of the digits of the integer m then C is divisible by n*s(C) n + 1, where n is integer;
- (3) C is divisible by s((C + 1)/2);
- (4) C is divisible by n*s((C + 1)/2) n + 1, where n is integer;
- (5) s(C) = s((C + 1)/2).

I verified below the conjecture for the first 23 Carmichael numbers of this type: 1729, 2821, 8911, 15841, 29341, 46657, 52633, 63973, 115921, 126217, 172081, 188461, 294409, 314821, 334153, 399001, 488881, 512461, 530881, 670033, 748657, 838201, 997633.

- : 1729 is divisible by 19, where 19 = s(1729); so 1729 satisfies relation (1); also s((1729 + 1)/2) = s(865) = 19 so 1729 satisfies the relations (3) and (5) either;
- : 2821 is divisible by 13, where 13 = s(2821); so 2821 satisfies relation (1); also s((2821 + 1)/2) = s(1411) = 7 and 2821 is divisible by 7 so 2821 satisfies the relation (3) either;
- : 8911 is divisible by 19, where 19 = s(8911); so 8911 satisfies relation (1); also s((8911 + 1)/2) = s(4456) = 19 so 8911 satisfies the relations (3) and (5) either;
- : s(15841) = s((15841 + 1)/2) = 19 and 15841 is divisible by 73 which is equal to 4*19 3; so 15841 satisfies relations (2), (4) and (5);
- : s(29341) = s((29341 + 1)/2) = 19 and 29341 is divisible by 37 which is equal to 2*19 1; so 29341 satisfies relations (2), (4) and (5);
- : s((46657 + 1)/2) = 19 and 46657 is divisible by 37, which is equal to 2*19 1; so 46657 satisfies relation (4);
- : s(52633) = s((52633 + 1)/2) = 19 and 52633 is divisible by 73 which is equal to 4*19 3; so 52633 satisfies relations (2), (4) and (5);
- : s(63973) = s((63973 + 1)/2) = s(31987) = 28; so 52633 satisfies relation (5);
- : s(115921) = 19 and 115921 is divisible by 37 which is equal to 2*19 1;

- : 126217 is divisible by 19, where 19 = s(126217); so 126217 satisfies relation (1); also s((126217 + 1)/2) = s(63109) = 19 so 126217 satisfies relations (3) and (5) either;
- s(172081) = s((172081 + 1)/2) = s(86041) = 19; so 172081 satisfies relation (5);
- : s((188461 + 1)/2) = s(94231) = 19 and 188461 is divisible by 19; so 188461 satisfies relation (3); also s(188461) = 28 and 188461 is divisible by 109 which is equal to 4*28 3 so satisfies relation (2) either;
- : s(294409) = 28 and 294409 is divisible by 109 which is equal to 4*28 3; so 294409 satisfies relation (2); s((294409 + 1)/2) = s(147205) = 19 and 294409 is divisible by 37, 73 and 109 which are equal to 19*2 1, 19*4 3 and 19*6 5 so 294409 satisfies relation (4) either;
- s(314821) = s((314821 + 1)/2) = s(157411) = 19; so 314821 satisfies relation(5);
- : 334153 is divisible by 19, where 19 = s(334153); so 334153 satisfies relation (1);
- : s(399001) = 22 and 399001 is divisible by 211 which is equal to 22*10 9; so 399001 satisfies relation(2);
- : 488881 is divisible by 37, where 37 = s(488881); so 488881 satisfies relation (1);
- s(512461) = s((512461 + 1)/2) = s(256231) = 19; so 512461 satisfies relation(5);
- : s(530881) = 22 and 530881 is divisible by 421 which is equal to 22*20 19; so 530881 satisfies relation(2);
- s(670033) = s((670033 + 1)/2) = s(335017) = 19; so 512461 satisfies relation(5);
- : s(748657) = 37 and 748657 is divisible by 433 which is equal to 37*12 11; so 748657 satisfies relation(2);
- : s((838201 + 1)/2) = s(419101) = 16 and 838201 is divisible by 61 and 151 which are equal to 16*4 3 and 16*10 5; so 748657 satisfies relation(4);
- = s(997633) = s((997633 + 1)/2) = s(498817) = 37; so 997633 satisfies relation(5).

Note: We observed a subset a Carmichael numbers: the numbers 399001 = 31*61*211 and 530881 = 13*97*421 have both the sum of their digits s(C) = 22 and s((C + 1)/2) = 25; also, C is divisible by n*s(C) - n + 1, where n is their greatest prime factor.

Note: Many other Carmichael numbers have resembling properties, the ones that have only prime factors of the form 6*k - 1 for instance, but I didn't find yet another category of Carmichel numbers that could be set in such a closed form.

Note: For many Carmichael number C that are also Harshad number is true that s(C) = s((C+1)/2).

Note: For the odd Harshad numbers H that I checked, the first one that satisfy the relation s(H) = s((H + 1)/2) is the number 1387, the fifth Poulet number, which yet again connect this property with Fermat pseudoprimes.

Observation: I also noticed few relations based on the sum of the digits that are satisfied by a Poulet number P that has only two prime factors, both of the form 6*k+1:

- (1) s(P) = s((P + 1)/2);
- (2) Both prime factors of P can be written as n*s((P+1)/2) + 1, where n is integer;
- (3) Both prime factors of P can be written as n*s((P+1)/2) + n + 1, where n is integer;
- (4) Both prime factors of P can be written as n*s((P+1)/2) n + 1, where n is integer;
- (5) Both prime factors of P can be written as n*s(P) n + 1, where n is integer.

I considered the first 15 Poulet numbers of this type: 1387, 2071, 4033, 4681, 5461, 7957, 10261, 14491, 18721, 23377, 31609, 31621, 42799, 49141, 49981 (for a list of Poulet numbers with two prime factors see the sequence A214305 in OEIS).

```
s(1387) = s((1387 + 1)/2) = s(694) = 19, so 1387 satisfies relation (1);
```

- s(2071) = s((2071 + 1)/2) = s(1351) = 10, so 2071 satisfies relation (1);
- s(4033) = s((4033 + 1)/2) = s(2017) = 10, so 4033 satisfies relation (1);
- : s(4681) = 19 and s((4681 + 1)/2) = s(2341) = 10 and 4681 is divisible with 31 which is equal to 3*10 + 1 also with 151 which is equal to 15*10 + 1, so 4681 satisfies relation (2);
- : s(5461) = 16 and s((5461 + 1)/2) = s(2731) = 13 and 4681 is divisible with 43 which is equal to 3*13 + 4 also with 127 which is equal to 9*13 + 10, so 1387 satisfies relation (3);
- : s(7957) = s((7957 + 1)/2) = s(3979) = 28, so 7957 satisfies relation (1);
- : s(10261) = 10 and s((10261 + 1)/2) = s(5131) = 10 and 10261 is divisible with 31 which is equal to 3*10 + 1 also with 331 which is equal to 33*10 + 1, so 10261 satisfies relation (2);
- s(14491) = s((14491 + 1)/2) = s(7246) = 19, so 14491 satisfies relation (1);
- s(18721) = s((18721 + 1)/2) = s(9361) = 19, so 18721 satisfies relation (1);
- : s(23377) = 22 and s((23377 + 1)/2) = s(11689) = 25 and 23377 is divisible with 97 which is equal to 4*25 3 also with 241 which is equal to 10*25 9, so 23377 satisfies relation (4);
- = s(31609) = s((31609 + 1)/2) = s(15805) = 19, so 31609 satisfies relation (1);
- : s(31621) = 13 and s((31621 + 1)/2) = s(15811) = 16 and 31621 is divisible with 103 which is equal to 6*16 + 7 also with 307 which is equal to 18*16 + 19, so 31621 satisfies relation (3);
- : s(42799) = 31 and s((42799 + 1)/2) = s(21400) = 7 and 42799 is divisible with 127 which is equal to 18*7 + 1 also with 337 which is equal to 48*7 + 1, so 42799 satisfies relation (2);

```
: s(49141) = s((49141 + 1)/2) = s(24571) = 19, so 49141 satisfies relation (1);
: s(49981) = 31 and 49981 is divisible with 151 which is equal to 31*5 - 4 also with 331 which is equal to 31*11 - 10, so 49981 satisfies relation (1).
```

Conclusion: The relation between the Fermat pseudoprimes and the sum of their digits seems to be obvious even that there are probably better ways to express this relation (I actually only wanted to highlight few such possible ways). The property of a composite odd integer n to be divisible with s((n + 1)/2) deserves further study, also the property of a Harshad odd number n to have s(n) = s((n + 1)/2): we saw that the smallest such number with this property is a Fermat pseudoprime to base 2, the number 1387. It would also be interesting to see what numbers that are products of more than three prime factors of the form 6*k + 1 and are not Carmichael numbers satisfy the relations from the conjecture.

13. A list of 13 sequences of Carmichael numbers based on the multiples of the number 30

Abstract. The applications of the multiples of the number 30 in the study of Fermat pseudoprimes was for a long time one of my favourite subject of study; in this paper I shall list 13 sequences that I discovered, many of them, if not all of them, having probably an infinity of terms that are Carmichael numbers. I posted many of them on OEIS, where I analized more of their attributes; here I'll just list them, enumerate their first few terms and present few conjectures.

(1) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n + 7)*(60n + 13)*(150n + 31).

First 6 terms: 2821, 488881, 288120421, 492559141, 776176261, 1632785701 (sequence A182085 in OEIS).

Conjecture: The number (30n + 7)*(60n + 13)*(150n + 31) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n + 7, 60n + 13 and 150n + 31 are all three prime numbers.

Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - p)*(60n - (2p + 1))*(90n - (3p + 2)), where p, 2p + 1, 3p + 2 are all three prime numbers.

First 6 terms: 1729, 172081, 294409, 1773289, 4463641, 56052361 (sequence A182087 in OEIS).

Comment: These numbers can be reduced to only two possible forms: C = (30n - 23)*(60n - 47)*(90n - 71) or C = (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89).

(3) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89)*(180n - 179).

First 4 terms: 31146661, 2414829781, 192739365541, 197531244744661 (sequence A182088 in OEIS).

Conjecture: The number (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89)*(180n - 179) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n - 29, 60n - 59, 90n - 89 and 180n - 179 are all four prime numbers.

(4) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (330n + 7)*(660n + 13)*(990n + 19)*(1980n + 37).

First 2 terms: 63973, 461574735553 (sequence A182089 in OEIS).

Conjecture: The number (330n + 7)*(660n + 13)*(990n + 19)* (1980n + 37) is a Carmichael number if 330n + 7, 660n + 13, 990n + 19 and 1980n + 37 are all four prime numbers.

(5) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - 7)*(90n - 23)*(300n - 79).

First 5 terms: 340561, 4335241, 153927961, 542497201, 1678569121 (sequence A182132 in OEIS).

Conjecture: The number (30n - 7)*(90n - 23)*(300n - 79) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n - 7, 90n - 23 and 300n - 79 are all three prime numbers.

(6) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - 17)*(90n - 53)*(150n - 89).

First 5 terms: 29341, 1152271, 34901461, 64377991, 775368901 (sequence A182133 in OEIS).

Conjecture: The number (30n + 13)*(90n + 37)*(150n + 61) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n + 13, 90n + 37 and 150n + 61 are all three prime numbers.

(7) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (60n + 13)*(180n + 37)*(300n + 61).

First 5 terms: 29341, 34901461, 775368901, 1213619761, 4562359201 (sequence A182416 in OEIS).

Conjecture: The number (60n + 13)*(180n + 37)*(300n + 61) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 60n + 13, 180n + 37 and 300n + 61 are all three prime numbers.

(8) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (90n + 1)*(180n + 1)*(270n + 1)*(540n + 1).

First 2 terms: 2414829781, 192739365541.

Comment:

For n = n/15 the formula becomes (6n + 1)*(12n + 1)*(18n + 1)*(36n + 1).

(9) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (p + 30)*(q + 60)*(p*q + 90), where p and q are primes.

First 2 terms: 488881, 1033669.

Comment: We obtained Carmichael numbers for [p,q] = [7,13] and [p,q] = [7,31]

(10) Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30p + 1)*(60p + 1)*(90p + 1), where p is prime.

First 4 terms: 56052361, 216821881, 798770161, 1976295241.

Comment: We obtained Carmichael numbers for the following values of p: 7, 11, 17, 23.

(11) Carmichael numbers of the form $C = 1710*3^m + 60*n + 451$.

First 3 terms: 2821, 6601, 15841.

Comment: We obtained Carmichael numbers for the following values of [m,n]: [0,11], [1,17], [2,0].

(12) Carmichael numbers of the form C = 1710*m + 30*n + 1.

First 7 terms: 2821, 6601, 8911, 15841, 29341, 41041, 75361.

Comment: We obtained Carmichael numbers for the following values of [m,n]: [1,37], [3,49], [5,12], [9,15], [17,9], [24,0], [44,4].

(13) Carmichael numbers of the form C = 60*n + 2281.

First 17 terms: 2821, 6601, 15841, 29341, 41041, 101101, 115921, 172081, 188461, 252601, 314821, 340561, 399001, 410041, 488881, 512461, 530881.

Comment: We obtained Carmichael numbers for the following values of n: 9, 72, 226, 451, 646, 1647, 1894, 2830, 3103, 4172, 5209, 5638, 6612, 6796, 8110, 8503, 8810.

Conjecture: All Carmichael numbers C of the form 10k + 1 that have digital root equal to 1, 4 or 7 can be written as C = 60n + 2281.

14. A possible generic formula for Carmichael numbers

Abstract. To find generic formulas for Carmichael numbers (beside, of course, the formula that defines them) was for long time one of my targets; I already found such a formula, based on Korselt's criterion; I possible found now another such a formula.

Conjecture: Any Carmichael number can be written as $(n^2 p^2 - q^2)/(n^2 - 1)$, where p and q are primes or power of primes or are equal to 1 and n is positive integer, n > 1.

The first Carmichael number, 561, can be written as $(4*p^2 - q^2)/3$ for [p,q] = [29,41], [41,71], $[7^2,89]$, $[421,29^2]$; it can also be written as $(16*p^2 - q^2)/15$ for [p,q] = [23,7], [29,71] etc.

The second Carmichael number, 1105, can be written as $(4*p^2 - q^2)/3$ for [p,q] = [29,7], [31,23], [53,89], [59,103], $[67,11^2]$, [829,1657]; it can also be written as $(9*p^2 - q^2)/8$ for [p,q] = [37,59], $[7^2,113]$, [61,157] etc.

The third Carmichael number, 1729,

can be written as $(4*p^2 - q^2)/3$ for [p,q] = [37,17], [43,47], [67,113], [73,127], [103,193], [433,863], [1297,2593]; it can also be written as $(9*p^2 - q^2)/8$ for [p,q] = [43,53], [53,107], [67,163], [167,487], [1153,3457]; it can also be written as $(16*p^2 - q^2)/15$ for [p,q] = [41,31], [47,97], [97,353], [157,657], [173,673], [251,991]; it can also be written as $(25*p^2 - q^2)/24$ for [p,q] = [41,23], [61,227], [151,727], [347,1723] etc. (seems that the famous Hardy–Ramanujan number can set a record for how many ways can be written this way).

Few subsets of Carmichael numbers:

A subset of Carmichael numbers C has the following property: $C = (4*p^2 - q^2)/3$, where q is the smaller prime that verify the relation q > sqrt(3*C/4), and p is prime or a power of prime; few such numbers are:

1105, 1729, 6601, 41041, 75361, 340561, for corresponding [p,q] = [7,29], [17,37], [19,71], [71,179], $[239,7^2]$, $[509,11^4]$.

Another subset of Carmichael numbers C has the following property: $C = (n^2p^2-1)/(n^2-1)$, where p is the smaller prime that verify the relation p > sqrt (3*C/4); few such numbers are:

2465, 8911, 10585, 15841, 162401, for corresponding [n,p] = [2,43], [3,89], [3,97], [2,109], [2,349].

Another subset of Carmichael numbers C (but this time only related to the formula above) has the following property: $C = (4*p^2 - 7153)/3$, where p is prime; such numbers are: 561, 488881, for corresponding p = 47, 607 (interesting that 607 – 47 = 560 and 561 is the first Carmichael number).

Another subset of Carmichael numbers C (this time too only related to the formula above) has the following property: $C = (p*q^2 - 1723^2)/(p-1)$, where p and q are primes or power of primes; few such numbers are: 1105 for [p,q] = [1249,59], 1729 for $[p,q] = [5^2,347]$, 2465 for $[p,q] = [7^2,251]$.

Note: The formula based on Korselt's criterion that I was talking about in Abstract is: $C = p^k + n^*p^2 - n^*p$ (if $C > p^k$) or $C = p^k - n^*p^2 + n^*p$ (if $p^k > C$) for any p prime divisor of C and any k natural number. See the sequence A213812 that I submitted to OEIS.

15. An interesting and unexpected property of Carmichael numbers and a question

Abstract. I was researching a kind of generalized Cunningham chains that generate, instead of primes, Fermat pseudoprimes to some base when purely by chance I noticed a property of absolute Fermat pseudoprimes, equally interesting and unexpected. By a childish simple operation, a new class of numbers is obtained from Carmichael numbers.

Like anyone that learned in school that digits are just a way to designate a number and to operate with it, I always looked with reluctance on the arbitrary play with digits. I personally gave credit to the method of concatenation when I saw the relation between it and Fermat pseudoprimes (see my articles, A conjecture about a large subset of Carmichael numbers related to concatenation and Formulas for generating primes involving emirps, Carmichael numbers and concatenation, posted on viXra).

The property of Carmichael numbers that I discovered now proves the extreme versatility of these numbers: by a childish simple operation, insertion of the digit 0 among the digits of these numbers, we obtain an entirely new class of numbers.

Thus we have the following numbers obtained from Carmichael numbers through the operation that I mentioned:

```
: 5601 (from 561)
```

We can see that $n^5601 \mod 5601 = n^3$ for n from 2 to 17 (not for n = 18);

: 28021 (from 2821)

We can see that $n^2 8021 \mod 28021 = n^7$ for n from 2 to 4 (not for n = 5);

: 24065 (from 2465)

We can see that $n^24065 \mod 24065 = n^5$ for n from 2 to 7 (not for n = 8).

Note: For the number 1729, which is the known Hardy–Ramanujan number, we have p = 10729, p = 17029 and p = 17209 all three primes! (so, of course, n^p mod p = n for any value of n).

Note: For the relative Fermat pseudoprimes, to base 2 and respectively to base 3, we don't obtain resembling results through this operation.

Observation:By adding the digit 0 to Carmichael numbers, operation which itself it's not at all special, it's equivalent to a simple formula, the multiplication of a Carmichael number with the number 10, we obtain: $n^5610 \mod 5610 = n^10$ for n=2 (not for n=3) and the same result for the numbers 1105 and 1729. Through multiplication of the first Carmichael number, 561, with the number 8, we obtain the number 4488 and also $n^4488 \mod 4488 = n^8$ for n=2 (not for n=3). Through multiplication of the first Poulet number, 341, with the number 10, we obtain the number 3410 and also $n^3410 \mod 3410 = n^10$ for n=2 (not for n=3). Through multiplication of the first Fermat pseudoprime to base three, 91, with the number 10 we don't obtain resembling results. Seems that this property, that $2^(P*k) \mod (P*k) = 2^k$, it's a property of Poulet numbers P (it can't be extended for Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3) while the property that I showed above it'a a property of Carmichael numbers (it cant' be extended for relative Fermat pseudoprimes).

Comment: The numbers m that satisfy the relation $n^m \mod m = n^k$, where k > 1, for any consecutive integer value of n from 2 to some larger integer, numbers obtained from Carmichael numbers through this operation or not, seems to deserve further study.

Question: Are there any numbers m to satisfy the relation $n^m \mod m = n^k$, where k > 1, for any value of n?

16. Connections between the three prime factors of 3–Carmichael numbers

Abstract. It was always obvious to me that, beside Korselt's criterion, that gives a relation between any prime factor of a Carmichael number and the number itself, there must be a relation between the prime factors themselves; here I present a conjecture on the Carmichael numbers with three prime factors expressing the larger two prime factors as a function of the smallest one and few particular cases of connections between all three prime factors.

Introduction:

In the sequence A213812 that I posted in OEIS I showed a formula, derived from Korselt's criterion, to express a Carmichael number as a function of any of its prime factors and an integer. In the sequence A215672 that I posted in OEIS I extended this formula for a Poulet number with three or more prime factors, expressing such a number as a function of at least one of its prime factors and an integer. This formula relates a Fermat pseudoprime to one (in the case of Poulet numbers) or to any (in the case of Carmichael numbers) of its prime factors, but says nothing about the relation between the prime factors themselves.

In the sequence A215672 I showed that most of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 with three prime factors (so, implicitly, most of Carmichael numbers with three prime factors) can be written in one of the following two ways:

- (1) p*((n+1)*p-n)*((m+1)*p-m);
- (2) p*((n*p-(n+1))*(m*p-(m+1)),

where p is the smallest of the three prime factors and n, m are natural numbers.

Exempli gratia for Poulet numbers from first category:

$$10585 = 5*29*73 = 5*(5*7 - 6)*(5*18 - 17).$$

Exempli gratia for Poulet numbers from second category:

$$6601 = 7*23*41 = 7*(7*4 - 5)*(7*7 - 8).$$

From the first 37 Poulet numbers with three prime factors, just three (30889, 88561 and 91001) can't be written in one of this two ways.

Conjecture: For any Carmichael numbers with three prime factors, $C = d_1*d_2*d_3$, where $d_1 < d_2 < d_3$, is true one of the following two statements:

- (1) d_2 can be written as $d_1*(n+1) n$ and d_3 can be written as $d_1*(m+1) m$;
- (2) d_2 can be written as $d_1*n (n + 1)$ and d_3 can be written as $d_1*m (m + 1)$,

where m and n are natural numbers.

As I showed, this conjecture holds for the first 13 Carmichael numbers with three prime factors checked. In this article I present few connections that express not the larger two prime factors as a function of the smallest one, as above, but connects all the three prime factors.

Observation: For most of the Carmichael numbers with three prime factors, $C = d_1*d_2*d_3$, where $d_1 < d_2 < d_3$, is true one of the following seventh statements:

- (1) d_3 can be written as $d_1*(m+1) n$ and as well as $d_2*(n+1) m$;
- (2) d_3 can be written as $d_1*(m-1) + n$ and as well as $d_2*(n-1) + m$;
- (3) d_3 can be written as $d_1 + (m + 1)^*n$ and as well as $d_2 + m^*n$;
- (4) d_3 can be written as $d_1*m 2*n$ and as well as $d_2*n + 2*m$;
- (5) d_3 can be written as $d_1*m + 2*n$ and as well as $d_2*n 2*m$;
- (6) d_3 can be written as $d_1*m 2*n$ and as well as $d_2*n + m$;
- (7) d_3 can be written as $d_1*m + n$ and as well as $d_2*n 2*m$, where m and n are natural numbers.

Carmichael numbers which verify the first statement:

For C =
$$561 = 3*11*17$$
 we have [m, n] = [5, 1]:
Indeed, $3*(5+1) - 1 = 17$ and $11*(1+1) - 5 = 17$.

For C =
$$162401 = 17*41*233$$
 we have [m, n] = [13, 5]:
Indeed, $17*(13 + 1) - 5 = 233$ and $41*(5 + 1) - 13 = 233$.

For C =
$$314821 = 13*61*397$$
 we have [m, n] = [30, 6]: Indeed, $13*(30+1) - 6 = 397$ and $61*(6+1) - 30 = 397$.

Carmichael numbers which verify the second statement:

For C =
$$1105 = 5*13*17$$
 we have [m, n] = [4, 2]:
Indeed, $5*(4-1) + 2 = 17$ and $13*(2-1) + 4 = 17$.

For
$$C = 2821 = 7*13*31$$
 we have $[m, n] = [5, 3]$:
Indeed, $7*(5-1) + 3 = 31$ and $13*(3-1) + 5 = 31$.

For
$$C = 8911 = 7*19*67$$
 we have $[m, n] = [10, 4]$:
Indeed, $7*(10-1) + 4 = 67$ and $19*(4-1) + 10 = 67$.

For
$$C = 10585 = 5*29*73$$
 we have $[m, n] = [15, 3]$:
Indeed, $5*(15-1) + 3 = 73$ and $29*(3-1) + 15 = 73$.

For
$$C = 15841 = 7*31*73$$
 we have $[m, n] = [11, 3]$:

```
Indeed, 7*(11-1) + 3 = 73 and 31*(3-1) + 11 = 73.
```

For
$$C = 115921 = 13*37*241$$
 we have $[m, n] = [19, 7]$: Indeed, $13*(19-1) + 7 = 241$ and $37*(7-1) + 19 = 241$.

For
$$C = 314821 = 13*61*397$$
 we have $[m, n] = [31, 7]$: Indeed, $13*(31-1) + 7 = 397$ and $61*(7-1) + 31 = 397$.

For
$$C = 334153 = 19*43*409$$
 we have $[m, n] = [22, 10]$:
Indeed, $19*(22 - 1) + 10 = 409$ and $43*(10 - 1) + 22 = 409$.

Carmichael numbers which verify the third statement:

For
$$C = 1729 = 7*13*19$$
 we have $[m, n] = [1, 6]$: Indeed, $7 + 2*6 = 19$ and $13 + 6 = 19$.

For
$$C = 2465 = 5*17*29$$
 we have $[m, n] = [1, 12]$: Indeed, $5 + 2*12 = 29$ and $17 + 12 = 29$.

For
$$C = 29341 = 13*37*61$$
 we have $[m, n] = [1, 24]$: Indeed, $13 + 2*24 = 61$ and $37 + 24 = 61$.

For
$$C = 252601 = 41*61*101$$
 we have $[m, n] = [2, 32]$: Indeed, $41 + 3*20 = 101$ and $61 + 2*20 = 101$.

For
$$C = 294409 = 37*73*109$$
 we have $[m, n] = [1, 36]$: Indeed, $37 + 2*36 = 109$ and $73 + 36 = 109$.

For C =
$$399001 = 31*61*211$$
 we have [m, n] = [5, 36]: Indeed, $31 + 6*30 = 211$ and $61 + 5*30 = 211$.

For C =
$$410041 = 41*73*137$$
 we have [m, n] = [2, 32]: Indeed, $41 + 3*32 = 137$ and $73 + 2*32 = 137$.

For
$$C = 488881 = 37*73*181$$
 we have $[m, n] = [3, 36]$: Indeed, $37 + 4*36 = 181$ and $73 + 3*36 = 181$.

For C =
$$512461 = 31*61*271$$
 we have [m, n] = [7, 30]: Indeed, $31 + 8*30 = 271$ and $61 + 7*30 = 271$.

For C =
$$1152271 = 43*127*211$$
 we have [m, n] = [1, 84]: Indeed, $43 + 2*84 = 211$ and $127 + 84 = 211$.

For
$$C = 1152271 = 43*127*211$$
 we have $[m, n] = [1, 84]$:

Indeed, 43 + 2*84 = 211 and 127 + 84 = 211.

For
$$C = 1857241 = 31*181*331$$
 we have $[m, n] = [1, 150]$: Indeed, $31 + 2*150 = 331$ and $181 + 150 = 331$.

Carmichael numbers which verify the fourth statement:

For
$$C = 52633 = 7*73*103$$
 we have $[m, n] = [15, 1]$: Indeed, $7*15 - 2*1 = 103$ and $73*1 + 2*15 = 103$.

For C =
$$1461241 = 37*73*541$$
 we have [m, n] = [15, 7]: Indeed, $37*15 - 2*7 = 541$ and $73*7 + 2*15 = 541$.

Carmichael numbers which verify the fifth statement:

For
$$C = 46657 = 13*37*97$$
 we have $[m, n] = [7, 3]$: Indeed, $13*7 + 2*3 = 97$ and $37*3 - 2*7 = 97$.

Carmichael numbers which verify the sixth statement:

For C =
$$1193221 = 31*61*631$$
 we have [m, n] = [21, 10]: Indeed, $31*21 - 2*10 = 631$ and $61*10 + 21 = 631$.

Carmichael numbers which verify the seventh statement:

For C =
$$530881 = 13*97*421$$
 we have [m, n] = [32, 5]: Indeed, $13*32 + 5 = 421$ and $97*5 - 2*32 = 421$.

Note: From the first 31 Carmichael numbers with three prime factors checked, only four of them (6601 = 7*23*41, 1024651 = 19*199*271, 1615681 = 23*199*353 and 1909001 = 41*101*461) don't satisfy any of the seventh statements.

Note: Obviously the prime factors of Chernick's Carmichael numbers satisfy the third statement.

Note: There are Carmichael numbers, like 314821 = 13*61*397, that satisfy both the first and the second statement. The triplets of primes like $[p_1, p_2, p_3] = [13, 61, 397]$, for which $p_3 = p_1*(m+1) - n = p_2*(n+1) - m = p_1*m + n + 1 = p_2*n + m + 1$, deserve further study, also the question if and when the products $p_1*p_2*p_3$ are Carmichael numbers.

Note: The Carmichael number 252601 = 41*61*101 can be written as p*(p*n - m)*(p*(n + 1) - (m + 1)), where p is prime and m, n natural numbers (because 61

=41*2-21 and 101=41*3-22). Also the triplets of primes of the form [p, p*n-m, p*(n + 1) – (m + 1)] deserve further study as well as the question if and when the products of the primes that form such a triplet are Carmichael numbers.

Note: For Carmichael numbers with three prime factors, see the sequence A087788 in OEIS.

17. Formulas for generating primes involving emirps, Carmichael numbers and concatenation

Abstract. Observations on generating primes or products of very few primes from reversible primes and Carmichael numbers using the method of concatenation.

I. On the numbers obtained through concatenation from emirps and Carmichael numbers using only the digits of the number itself and the digits of its square

Note: First we notice that, if p is a reversible prime and the number q is the number obtained through concatenation of the digits of p^2 with the digits of p, then the number q/p is often the product of very few primes (for a list of emirps see the sequence A006567 in OEIS).

Observation: If p is a reversible prime and the number q obtained through concatenation of the digits of p^2 with the digits of p has the sum of digits equal to 29, then the number q/p is often a prime or a semiprime.

```
16913/13 = 1301 is prime;

136937/37 = 3701 is prime;

624179/79 = 7901 is prime;

564001751/751 = 751001 is prime;

10180811009/1009 = 101*99901 is semiprime;

17450411321/1321 = 7*1887143 is semiprime.
```

Note that the first digits of the resulted primes are the same with the digits of p. The pairs of primes [13,1301], [37,3701], [79,7901], [751,751001] and so on deserve further study.

Conjecture: There is an infinity of reversible primes p with the property that the number obtained through concatenation of the digits of p with a number of n digits of 0, where n is equal to one less than the digits of p, and finally with the digit 1 is a prime.

Note: We also notice that, if C is a Carmichael number and the number s is the number obtained through concatenation of the digits of C^2 with the digits of C, then the number C/s is often the product of very few primes (for a list of Carmichael numbers see the sequence A002997 in OEIS):

Few examples:

```
314721561/561 = 7^2*107^2;

79405921/8911 = 59*1510339;

79580412821/2821 = 28210001;

435732016601/6601 = 2593*25457;

711501714101472184350561/84350561 = 3*2811685366666667.
```

Note the interesting value of C/s for C = 2821.

II. On the numbers obtained through concatenation from emirps and Carmichael numbers using the digits of the number itself, the digits of its square and the digits 0001

Observation: If C is a Carmichael number then the number obtained through the concatenation of the digits of C with the digits 0001 is often a product of very few primes.

Few examples:

```
5610001 = 1129*4969; 17290001 = 1051*16451; 28210001 is prime; 66010001 = 2593*25457; 89110001 = 59*1510339; 105850001 = 911*116191; 158410001 is prime.
```

Note the values obtained for 2821 and 15841, both divisible with 31.

Observation: If C is a Carmichael number divisible by 31 then the number obtained through the concatenation of the digits of C with the digits 0001 is often a product of very few primes.

28210001 is prime; 158410001 is prime; 753610001 is prime; 1720810001 is prime; 21009010001 = 7*3001287143; 9912830875210001 is prime.

Observation: If C is a Carmichael number having 561 (a Carmichael number, also) as the last digits then the following numbers are often a product of very few primes:

- : M, obtained through the concatenation of the digits of C with the digits 0001;
- : N, obtained through the concatenation of the digits of C^2 with the digits 0001.

Few examples:

```
C = 340561; C^2 = 115981794721
```

M = 3405610001 is semiprime; N = 1159817947210001 is semiprime;

C = 8134561; $C^2 = 66171082662721$

M = 81345610001 is prime; N = 661710826627210001 is prime;

C = 10024561; $C^2 = 100491823242721$

M = 100245610001 is prime; N = 1004918232427210001 is semiprime;

C = 10402561; $C^2 = 108213275358721$

M = 1104025610001 is semiprime; N = 1082132753587210001 is semiprime;

C = 45318561; $C^2 = 2053771971110721$

M = 453185610001 is semiprime; N = 20537719711107210001 is semiprime.

C = 84350561; $C^2 = 7115017141014721$

M = 843505610001 is semiprime; N = 71150171410147210001 is semiprime.

Note: Probably the formulas could be extrapolated for Carmichael numbers having as the last digits not 561 but another Carmichael number but the results that we obtained, *exempli gratia*, with Carmichael numbers 1729 and 6601 were not encouraging.

III. On the numbers obtained through concatenation from emirps using only the digits of the number itself

Observation: We noticed that, through succesive concatenation of the digits of a reversible prime with the digits of its reversal, is obtained an interesting sequence of primes.

Primes obtained through concatenation of the digits of the numbers p, q, p, q and p, where p is an emirp and q is its reversal (this formula also conducts to products of very few primes):

1331133113, 9779977997, 769967769967769, 15111151151111511511

Observation: There is an infinity of primes formed this way.

IV. On the extension of few of these observations from the set of emirps to set of all primes

Note: We observed three interesting series of primes.

(1) Primes q of the form n/p, where p is prime and n is formed through concatenation this way: first digits of n are the digits of the square of p and last digits of n are the digits of p itself:

First few such primes:

```
31, 71, 1301, 1901, 3701, 6101, 6701, 7901, 103001, 109001, 181001 (...).
```

```
(the corresponding p: 3, 7, 13, 19, 37, 61, 67, 79, 103, 109, 181)
```

(2) Primes formed through succesive concatenation of the digits of the prime p with the digits of its reversal, not necessarily prime, q (this formula also conducts to products of very few primes).

First few such primes:

```
1331133113, 2992299229, 4334433443, 9779977997, 127721127721127 (...).
```

Note that, from the primes obtained this way, we can also obtain interesting primes from adding numbers of the form 18*10^k.

Few examples:

```
: 1331133113 + 18*10^8 = 3131133113 prime(which is the concatenation of q, q, p, q, p);
```

: 9779977997 + 18*10^7 = 9959977997 prime;

: $9779977997 + 18*10^9 = 27779977997$ prime;

: $769967769967769 + 18*10^9 = 769985769967769$ prime;

: $769967769967769 + 18*10^{11} = 771767769967769$ prime.

(3) Primes q formed through concatenation of the digits of the squares of the primes p with the digits 0001.

First few such primes:

```
90001, 490001, 2890001, 8410001, 18490001, 22090001
```

(the corresponding p: 3, 7, 17, 29, 43, 47).

18. Four conjectures regarding Fermat pseudoprimes and few known types of pairs of primes

Abstract. There are already known some relations between Fermat pseudoprimes and the pairs of primes [p, 2p - 1]. We will here show few relations between Fermat pseudoprimes and the pairs of primes of the type [p, 2p - 1], [p, 2p + 1], [p, sqrt(2p - 1)], respectively [p, k*p - k + 1].

Introduction

Due to mathematician Farideh Firoozbakht, we have in OEIS few interesting observations about the relation between Fermat pseudoprimes and the pairs of primes [p, 2p - 1]. We will list only few of them (see the sequences A005935–A005937):

: if p and 2p - 1 are both primes, and p > 3, then p(2p - 1) is pseudoprime to base 3:

: if p and 2p - 1 are both primes, then p(2p - 1) is pseudoprime to base 5 iff p is of the form 10k + 1;

: if p and 2p - 1 are both primes, then p(2p - 1) is pseudoprime to base 6 iff p is of the form 12k + 1.

Now that the relation between Fermat pseudoprimes and the pairs of primes [p, 2p -1] appears to be clear, we will make four conjectures regarding the relation between Fermat pseudoprimes and the pairs of primes of the type [p, 2p +1], [p, 2p -1], [p, sqrt(2p -1)], respectively [p, k*p - k +1].

Conjecture 1: If p and 2p + 1 are both primes, then the number n = p(2p + 1) - 2*k*p is Fermat pseudoprime to base p + 1 for at least one natural value of k.

Verifying the conjecture:

(for the first 8 such pairs of primes)

For [p, 2p + 1] = [3, 7] we have, for k = 1, n = 15, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 4.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [5, 11] we have, for k = 2, n = 35, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 6.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [11, 23] we have, for k = 5, n = 143, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 12.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [23, 47] we have, for k = 6, n = 805, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 24.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [29, 59] we have, for k = 3, n = 1537, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 30.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [41, 83] we have, for k = 9, n = 2665, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 42.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [53, 107] we have, for k = 4, n = 5247, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 54.

For [p, 2p + 1] = [83, 167] we have, for k = 24, n = 9877, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p + 1 = 84.

Note: For the list of Sophie Germain primes, see the sequence A005384 in OEIS.

Conjecture 2: If p and 2p - 1 are both primes, p > 3, then the number n = p(2p - 1) - 2*k*p is Fermat pseudoprime to base p - 1 for at least one natural value of k.

Verifying the conjecture:

(for the first 6 such pairs of primes)

For [p, 2p - 1] = [7, 13] we have, for k = 4, n = 21, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p - 1 = 6.

For [p, 2p - 1] = [19, 37] we have, for k = 10, n = 323, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p - 1 = 18.

For [p, 2p - 1] = [31, 61] we have, for k = 5, n = 1581, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p - 1 = 30.

For [p, 2p - 1] = [37, 73] we have, for k = 2, n = 2553, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p - 1 = 36.

For [p, 2p - 1] = [79, 157] we have, for k = 7, n = 11297, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p - 1 = 78.

For [p, 2p - 1] = [97, 193] we have, for k = 8, n = 17169, which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base p - 1 = 96.

Note: For the list of primes p for which 2p - 1 is also prime, see the sequence A005382 in OEIS.

Conjecture 3: If p and q are primes, where $q = \operatorname{sqrt}(2*p - 1)$, then the number p*q is Fermat pseudoprime to base p + 1.

Verifying the conjecture:

(for the first 8 such pairs of primes)

For [p, q] = [13, 5] we have p*q = 65 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 14.

For [p, q] = [61, 11] we have p*q = 671 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 62.

For [p, q] = [181, 19] we have p*q = 3439 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 182.

For [p, q] = [421, 29] we have p*q = 12209 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 422.

For [p, q] = [1741, 59] we have p*q = 102719 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 1742.

For [p, q] = [1861, 61] we have p*q = 113521 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 1862.

For [p, q] = [2521, 71] we have p*q = 178991 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 2522.

For [p, q] = [3121, 79] we have p*q = 246559 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to base 3122.

Note: For the list of primes p for wich sqrt(2p - 1) is also prime, see the sequence A067756 in OEIS.

Conjecture 4: If p is prime, p > 3, and k integer, k > 1, then the number $n = p^*(k^*p - k + 1)$ is Fermat pseudoprime to base $k^*p - k$ and to base $k^*p - k + 2$.

Verifying the conjecture:

For the first 4 such pairs of primes, when p = 5:

For [p, 2p - 1] = [5, 9] we have p(2p - 1) = 45 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 8 and 10.

For [p, 3p-2] = [5, 13] we have p(3p-2) = 65 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 12 and 14.

For [p, 4p - 3] = [5, 17] we have p(4p - 3) = 85 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 16 and 18.

For [p, 5p-4] = [5, 21] we have p(5p-4) = 105 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 20 and 22.

For the first 4 such pairs of primes, when p = 7:

For [p, 2p - 1] = [7, 13] we have p(2p - 1) = 91 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 12 and 14.

For [p, 3p-2] = [7, 19] we have p(3p-2) = 133 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 18 and 20.

For [p, 4p-3] = [7, 25] we have p(4p-3) = 175 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 26 and 28.

For [p, 5p-4] = [7, 31] we have p(5p-4) = 217 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 30 and 32.

For the next 4 such pairs of primes, when k = 3:

For [p, 3p - 2] = [11, 31] we have p(3p - 2) = 341 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 30 and 32.

For [p, 3p - 2] = [13, 37] we have p(3p - 2) = 481 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 36 and 38.

For [p, 3p-2] = [23, 67] we have p(3p-2) = 1541 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 66 and 68.

For [p, 3p - 2] = [37, 109] we have p(3p - 2) = 4033 which is, indeed, pseudoprime to bases 108 and 110.

Note: The formula $p^*(k^*p - k + 1)$, where p is prime and k integer, seems to appear often related to Fermat pseudoprimes (see the sequence A217835 that I submitted to OEIS).

19. Special properties of the first absolute Fermat pseudoprime, the number 561

Abstract. Though is the first Carmichael number, the number 561 doesn't have the same fame as the third absolute Fermat pseudoprime, the Hardy–Ramanujan number, 1729. I try here to repair this injustice showing few special properties of the number 561.

I will just list (not in the order that I value them, because there is not such an order, I value them all equally as a result of my more or less inspired work, though they may or not "open a path") the interesting properties that I found regarding the number 561, in relation with other Carmichael numbers, other Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2, with primes or other integers.

1. The number 2*(3+1)*(11+1)*(17+1)+1, where 3, 11 and 17 are the prime factors of the number 561, is equal to 1729. On the other side, the number 2*lcm((7+1),(13+1),(19+1))+1, where 7, 13 and 19 are the prime factors of the number 1729, is equal to 561. We have so a function on the prime factors of 561 from which we obtain 1729 and a function on the prime factors of 1729 from which we obtain 561.

Note: The formula $N = 2*(d_1 + 1)*...*(d_n + 1) + 1$, where $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$ are the prime divisors of a Carmichael number, leads to interesting results (see the sequence A216646 in OEIS); the formula $M = 2*lcm((d_1 + 1),...,(d_n + 1)) + 1$ also leads to interesting results (see the sequence A216404 in OEIS). But we didn't obtained anymore through one of these two formulas a Carmichael number from another, so this bivalent realtion might only exist between the numbers 561 and 1729.

2. The number 561 can be expressed as C = a*b + b - a, where b is prime and a can be any prime factor of the number 1729: 561 = 7*71 + 71 - 7 = 13*41 + 41 - 13 = 19*29 + 29 = 19 (even more than that, for those that consider that 1 is a prime number, so a prime factor of 1729, 561 = 1*281 + 281 - 1).

Note: The formula (a + 1)*(b + 1)*(b - a + 1) + 1 seems to lead to interesting results: for instance, (19 + 1)*(29 + 1)*(29 - 19 + 1) = 6601, also a Carmichael number and for the pairs [a, b] = [7, 71] and [a, b] = [13, 41] we obtain through this formula primes, which make us think that this formula deserves further study. Also the triplets [a, b, a*b + b - a], where [a, b, a*b + b - a] are all three primes might lead to interesting results.

Note: I can't, unfortunately, to state that 561 is the first integer that can be written in three (or even four, if we consider that 1 is prime) distinct ways as a*b + b - a, where a and b are primes, because there is a smaller number that has this property: 505 = 3*127 + 124 = 11*43 + 32 = 13*37 + 24 = 17*29 + 12. I yet assert that Carmichael numbers (probably the Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 also) and the squares of primes can be written in many ways as such.

3. Another interesting formula inspired by the number 561: we have the expression (2*3+3)*(2*11+3)*(2*17+3)-4, where 3, 11 and 17 are the prime factors of 561, egual to 8321, a Fermat pseudoprime to base 2.

Note: If we apply this formula to the prime factors of another Carmichael number, 2821 = 7*13*31, we obtain $32041 = 179^2$, an interesting result.

4. We consider the triplets of primes of the form [p, p + 560, p + 1728]. The first triplet of such primes, [59, 619, 1787], we notice that has the following property: 59 + 619 + 1787 = 2465, a Carmichael number.

Note: For the next two such triplets, [83, 643, 1811] and [149, 709, 1877] we didn't obtain convincing results.

5. The number 561 is the first term in the sequence of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form 3*(4*n-1)*(6*n-2), where n is integer different from 0.

Note: See the sequence A210993 in OEIS.

6. The number 561 is the first term in the sequence of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form 3*n*(9*n+2)*(18*n-1), where n is an odd number.

Note: See the sequence A213071 in OEIS.

7. The number 561 is the first term in the sequence of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form $8*p*n + p^2$, where p is prime and n is integer (for n = 0 we include in this sequence the squares of the only two Wieferich primes known).

Note: See the sequence A218483 in OEIS.

8. The number 561 is the first term in the sequence of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form $5*p^2 - 4*p$, where p is prime.

Note: See the sequence A213812 in OEIS.

9. The numbers obtained through the method of concatenation from reversible primes and the number 561 are often primes.

Note: We obtain 11 primes from the first 20 reversible primes concatenated with the number 561; these primes are: 37561, 73561, 79561, 97561, 149561, 157561, 311561, 337561, 347561, 359561, 389561.

10. The numbers obtained through the method of concatenation from palindromic primes and the number 561 are often primes.

Note: We obtain 9 primes from the first 20 palindromic primes concatenated with the number 561; these primes are: 101561, 131561, 151561, 191561, 313561, 373561, 727561, 797561, 929561.

11. The numbers obtained through the method of concatenation from the powers of 2 and the number 561 are often primes or products of few primes.

Note: The numbers 4561, 16561, 32561, 256561 are primes.

12. Yet another relation between the numbers 561 and 1729: the numbers obtained through the method of concatenation from the prime factors of 1729 raised to the third power and the number 561 are primes.

Note: These are the numbers: 343561 (where $7^3 = 343$); 2197561 (where $13^3 = 2197$) and 6859561 (where $19^3 = 6859$).

13. The number (561*n - 1)/(n - 1), where n is integer different from 1, is often integer; more than that, is often prime.

Note: We obtained the following primes (in the brackets is the corresponding value of n): 701(5), 673(6), 641(8), 631(9), 617(11), 601(14), 421(-3), 449(-4), 491(-7), 521(-13) etc. I assert that for a Carmichael number C the number (C*n-1)/(n-1), where n is integer different from 1, is often an integer (comparing to other integers beside C). In fact the primes appear so often that I will risk a conjecture.

Conjecture: Any prime number p can be written as p = (C*q - 1)/(q - 1), where C is a Carmichael number and q is a prime.

14. The number 561 is the first term in the sequence of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form $(n*109^2 - n)/360$, where n is integer (561 is obtained for n = 17).

Note: Another term of this sequence, obtained for n = 19897, is the Carmichael number 656601.

Note: The number 1729 is the first term in the sequence of Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form $(n*181^2 - n)/360$, where n is integer (1729 is obtained for n = 19). The next terms of the sequence, obtained for n = 31, is the Carmichael number 2821.

Note: Because the numbers 561 and 1729 have both three prime factors, the sequences from above can be eventually translated into the property of the numbers of the form 360*(a*b) + 1, where a and b are primes, to generate squares of primes. Corresponding to the sequences above, for [a, b] = [3, 11] we obtain 109^2 and for [a, b] = [7, 13] we obtain 181^2 .

Conjecture: If the number 360*(a*b) + 1, where a and b are primes, is equal to c^2 , where c is prime, then exists an infinite series of Carmichael numbers of the form a*b*d, where d is a natural number (obviously odd, but not necessarily prime).

Note: The numbers of the form 360*(a*b) + c, where a, b and c are primes, seems to have also the property to generate primes. Indeed, if we take for instance [a, c] = [3, 7], we obtain primes for b = 5, 11, 17, 23, 29, 31, 43, 47, 59, 67 etc. (note the chain of 5 consecutive primes of the form 6*k - 1).

15. The number 561 is the first term of the sequence of Carmichael numbers that can be written as $2^m + n^2$, where m and n are integers (561 is obtained for m = 5 and n = 23).

Note: The next few terms of this sequence are: $1105 = sqrt(2^4 + 33^2)$, $2465 = sqrt(2^6 + 49^2)$ etc.

- **16.** Some Carmichael numbers are also Harshad numbers but the most of them aren't. The number 561 has yet another interesting related property; if we note with s(n) the iterated sum of the digits of a number n that not goes until the digital root but stops to the last odd prime obtained before this, than 561 is divisible by s((561 + 1)/2) equivalent to s(281) equivalent to 11. Also other Carmichael numbers have this property: 1105 is divisible by s(1105) = 13 and 6601 is divisible by s(6601) = 7.
- **17.** For the randomly chosen, but consecutive, 7 primes (129689, 1299709, 1299721, 1299743, 1299763, 1299791 and 1299811) we obtained 3 primes and 3 semiprimes when introduced them in the formula $2*561 + p^2 360$.
- **18.** Another relation between 561 and Hardy Ramanujan number: (62745 + 24) mod 1728 = 561 (where 24 is, *e.g.*, the sum of the digits of the Carmichael number 62745 or a constant and 1728 is, obviously, one less than Hardy–Ramanujan number).

- 19. Yet another relation between 561 and Hardy Ramanujan number: 561 mod 73 = 1729 mod 73 = 50. The formula 73*n + 50, from which we obtain 561 and 1729 for n = 7 and n = 23, leads to other interesting results for n = 60 of the form n = 61 we obtain primes for n = 62, n = 63, n = 64.
- **20.** A formula that generating primes: $561^2 561 1 = 314159$ is prime; $561^4 561^3 561^2 561 1 = 98872434077$ is prime. Also for other Carmichael number the formula $C^2 C 1$ conducts to: $1105^2 1105 1 = 1219919$ prime, $6601^2 6601 1 = 43566599$ prime (semiprimes were obtained for the numbers 1729, 2465, 2821 and so on). Yet the number $2465^4 2465^3 1 = 36905532355999$ is prime and the number $15841^4 15841^3 1 = 62965543898204639$ is prime.
- **21.** The formula $N = d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 560$, where d_1 , d_2 and d_3 are the only prime factors of a Carmichael number, and they are all three of the form 6*k + 1, seems to generate an interesting class of primes:

```
: for C = 1729 = 7*13*19 we have N = 19 prime;
```

- : for C = 2821 = 7*13*31 we have N = 619 prime;
- : for C = 8911 = 7*19*67 we have N = 4339 prime;
- : for C = 15841 = 7*31*73 we have N = 5779 prime.
- **22.** The number 544, obtained as the difference between the first two Carmichael numbers, 1105 and 561, has also a notable property: the relation n^{C} mod 544 = n seems to be verified for a lot of natural numbers n and a lot of Carmichael numbers C, especially when C is also an Euler pseudoprime.

Conjecture: The expression n^E mod 544 = n, where n is any natural number, is true if E is an Euler pseudoprime.

23. The difference between the squares of the first two Carmichael numbers, 1105 and 561, has also the notable property that results in a square of an integer: $952^2 = 1105^2 - 561^2$.

Conclusion: I am aware of the excessive use of the word "interesting" in this article, but this was the purpose of it: to show how many "interesting" paths can be opened just studying the number 561, not to follow until the last consequences one of these paths. I didn't succed to show that the properties of the number 561 eclipses the ones of the number 1729 (very present in this article) but hopefully I succeded to show that they are both a pair of extraordinary numbers (and that the number 561 deserves his place on the license plate of a taxi–cab).

Part two. Thirty-two sequences of Fermat pseudoprimes

1. Poulet numbers with two prime factors

First 38 terms of the sequence (A214305 in OEIS): 341, 1387, 2047, 2701, 3277, 4033, 4369, 4681, 5461, 7957, 8321, 10261, 13747, 14491, 15709, 18721, 19951, 23377, 31417, 31609, 31621, 35333, 42799, 49141, 49981, 60701, 60787, 65077, 65281, 80581, 83333, 85489, 88357, 90751, 104653, 123251, 129889, 130561.

Conjecture 1:

For any biggest prime factor of a Poulet number P_1 with two prime factors exists a series with infinite many Poulet numbers P_2 formed this way: P_2 mod $(P_1 - d) = d$, where d is the biggest prime factor of P_1 .

Note: It can be seen that the Poulet numbers divisible by 73 bigger than 2701 (7957, 10585, 15841, 31609 etc.) can be written as 1314*n + 73 as well as 2628*m + 73.

Conjecture 2:

Any Poulet number P_2 divisible by d can be written as $(P_1 - d)*n + d$, where n is natural, if exists a smaller Poulet number P_1 with two prime factors divisible by d.

Note: This conjecture can't be extrapolated for Poulet numbers P_1 with more than two prime factors; for instance, if is taken 561 = 3*11*17 as p1, are indeed found bigger Poulet numbers divisible by 17 as 1105 and 4369 that can be written as 544*n + 17 but exists also such numbers that can't be written this way, like 2465. But can be extrapolated the first conjecture.

Conjecture 3:

For any biggest prime factor of a Poulet number P_1 exists a series with infinite many Poulet numbers p2 formed this way: P_2 mod $(P_1 - d) = d$, where d is the biggest prime factor of P_1 .

Examples:

For $P_1 = 341 = 11*31$ were obtained the following Poulet numbers P_2 for which P_2 mod 310 = 31: 2821, 4371, 4681, 10261 etc.

For $P_1 = 1387 = 19*73$ were obtained the following Poulet numbers P_2 for which P_2 mod 1314 = 73: 2701, 7957, 10585, 15841 etc.

For $P_1 = 2047 = 23*89$ were obtained the following Poulet numbers P_2 for which P_2 mod 1958 = 89: 31417, 35333, 60787, 62745 etc.

For p1 = 2701 = 37*73 were obtained the following Poulet numbers P₂ for which P₂ mod 2628 = 73: 7957, 10585, 15841 etc.

2. Poulet numbers with three prime factors

First 37 terms of the sequence (A215672 in OEIS): 561, 645, 1105, 1729, 1905, 2465, 2821, 4371, 6601, 8481, 8911, 10585, 12801, 13741, 13981, 15841, 16705, 25761, 29341, 30121, 30889, 33153, 34945, 41665, 52633, 57421, 68101, 74665, 83665, 87249, 88561, 91001, 93961, 113201, 115921, 121465, 137149.

Comments:

The most of the terms shown can be written in one of the following two ways:

- (1) p*((n+1)*p-n*p)*((m+1)*p-m*p);
- (2) p*((n*p-(n+1)*p)*(m*p-(m+1)*p),

where p is the smallest of the three prime factors and n, m natural numbers.

Exempli gratia for Poulet numbers from first category:

$$10585 = 5*29*73 = 5*(5*7 - 6)*(5*18 - 17);$$

$$13741 = 7*13*151 = 7*(7*2 - 1)*(7*25 - 24);$$

$$13981 = 11*31*41 = 11*(11*3 - 2)*(11*4 - 3);$$

$$29341 = 13*37*61 = 13*(13*3 - 2)*(13*5 - 4);$$

$$137149 = 23*67*89 = 23*(23*3 - 2)*(23*4 - 3).$$

Exempli gratia for Poulet numbers from second category: 6601 = 7*23*41 = 7*(7*4 - 5)*(7*7 - 8).

Note: From the numbers from the sequence above, just the numbers 30889, 88561 and 91001 can't be written in one of the two ways. What these three numbers have in common: they all have a prime divisor q of the form 30*k + 23 (*i.e.* 23, 53, 83) and can be written as q*((r + 1)*q - r), where r is a natural number.

Conjecture:

Any Poulet number P with three or more prime divisors has at least one prime divisor q for that can be written as $P = q^*((r + 1)^*q - r)$, where r is a natural number.

Note: It can be proved that a Carmichael number can be written this way for any of its prime divisors – see the sequence A213812 in OEIS.

Note: There are also a lot of Poulet numbers with two prime divisors that can be written this way, but here are few exceptions: 7957, 23377, 42799, 49981, 60787.

3. Poulet numbers with three prime factors divisible by a smaller Poulet number

First 30 terms of the sequence (A215944 in OEIS): 13981, 137149, 158369, 176149, 276013, 285541, 294409, 348161, 387731, 423793, 488881, 493697, 617093, 625921, 847261, 1052503, 1052929, 1104349, 1128121, 1152271, 1398101, 1461241, 1472353, 1507561, 1534541, 1549411, 1746289, 1840357, 1857241, 2299081.

Comments:

Almost all the numbers from the sequence above can be written as $p^*((m + 1)^*p - m)^*((n + 1)^*p - n)$, where m, n, p are natural numbers (in the brackets is written the Poulet number which every one of them is divisible by):

```
(1) n*(2*n-1)*(3*n-2): the number 294409 (2701);
(2) n*(2*n-1)*(5*n-4): the numbers 285541 (4681), 488881 (2701);
(3) n*(2*n-1)*(11*n-10): the number 625921 (10261);
(4) n*(2*n-1)*(15*n-14): the number 1461241 (2701);
(5) n*(3*n-2)*(4*n-3): the numbers 13981 (341), 137149 (2047);
(6) n*(3*n-2)*(5*n-4): the number 1152271 (5461);
(7) n*(3*n-2)*(8*n-7): the number 1840357 (5461);
(8) n*(3*n-2)*(10*n-9): the number 2299081 (5461):
(9) n*(3*n-2)*(12*n-11): the number 1746289 (4033);
(10) n*(3*n-2)*(31*n-30): the number 1052503 (15709);
(11) n*(3*n-2)*(102*n-101): the number 348161 (341);
(12) n*(3*n-2)*(442*n-441): the number 1507561 (341);
(13) n*(4*n-3)*(7*n-6): the number 176149 (1387);
(14) n*(4*n-3)*(11*n-10): the number 276013 (1387);
(15) n*(4*n-3)*(12*n-11): the number 1104349 (3277);
(16) n*(4*n-3)*(31*n-30): the number 1398101 (15709);
(17) n*(5*n-4)*(6*n-5): the number 847261 (4681);
(18) n*(5*n-4)*(8*n-7): the number 1128121 (4681):
(19) n*(5*n-4)*(11*n-10): the number 1549411 (4681);
(20) n*(6*n-5)*(11*n-10): the number 1857241 (10261);
(21) n*(6*n-5)*(16*n-15): the number 423793 (4369);
(22) n*(7*n-6)*(16*n-15): the number 493697 (4369);
(23) n*(15*n - 14)*(16*n - 15): the number 1052929 (4369);
(24) n*(16*n - 15)*(21*n - 20): the number 1472353 (4369).
```

Note: The only few numbers from the sequence above that can't be written this way are multiples of the Poulet number 5461 and can be, instead, written as 5461*(42*k - 13): 158369 = 5461*29, 387731 = 5461*71, 617093 = 5461*113 and 1534541 = 5461*281.

Conjecture:

The only Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 divisible by a smaller Fermat pseudoprime to base 2 that can't be written as p*((m + 1)*p - m)*((n + 1)*p - n), where m, n, p are natural numbers, are multiples of 5461 and can be written as 5461*(42*k - 13).

Note: Conjecture is checked for the numbers from the sequence above and for the first 15 Poulet numbers with four prime factors.

Note: There are Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 divisible with 5461 that can be written as p*((m+1)*p-m)*((n+1)*p-n); these ones can be written as 5461*(42*k+43)). Numbers from this category are: 1152271 = 5461*211, 1840357 = 5461*337, 2299081 = 5461*421.

4. Poulet numbers of the form (6*k+1)*(6*k*n+1), where k, n are integers different from 0

First 30 terms of the sequence (A214607 in OEIS): 1105, 1387, 1729, 2701, 2821, 4033, 4681, 5461, 6601, 8911, 10261, 10585, 11305, 13741, 13981, 14491, 15841, 16705, 18721, 29341, 30121, 30889, 31609, 31621, 39865, 41041, 41665, 46657, 49141, 52633, 57421, 63973, 65281, 68101, 75361.

Comments:

A few examples of how the formula looks like for k and n from 1 to 4:

For k = 1 the formula becomes 42*n + 7.

For k = 2 the formula becomes 156*n + 13.

For k = 3 the formula becomes 342*n + 19.

For k = 4 the formula becomes 600*n + 25.

For n = 1 the formula generates a perfect square.

For n = 2 the formula becomes (6*k + 1)*(12*k + 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 2701, 8911, 10585, 18721, 49141 etc.

For n = 3 the formula becomes (6*k + 1)*(18*k + 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 2821, 4033, 5461, 15841, 31621, 68101 etc.

For n = 4 the formula becomes (6*k + 1)*(24*k + 1). See the sequence A182123 in OEIS.

Note: The formula is equivalent to Poulet numbers of the form $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*k + 1$. From the first 68 Poulet numbers just 7 of them (7957, 23377, 33153, 35333, 42799, 49981, 60787) can't be written as $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*k \pm 1$ and k, n are integers different from 0.

5. Poulet numbers of the form (6*k-1)*((6*k-2)*n+1), where k, n are integers different from 0

First 37 terms of the sequence (A210993 in OEIS): 341, 561, 645, 1105, 1905, 2047, 2465, 3277, 4369, 4371, 6601, 8321, 8481, 10585, 11305, 12801, 13747, 13981, 15709, 16705, 18705, 19951, 23001, 30889, 31417, 34945, 39865, 41041, 41665, 55245, 60701, 62745, 65077, 68101, 72885, 74665, 75361.

Comments:

A Poulet number can be written in more than one way in this form: e.g. 561 = (6*2-1)*((6*2-2)*5+1) = (6*3-1)*((6*3-2)*2+1).

Few examples of how the formula looks like for k and n from 1 to 4:

For k=1 the formula becomes 20*n+5 and generates all the Poulet numbers divisible by 5 from the sequence above (beside 645, all of them have another solutions beside k=1).

For k=2 the formula becomes 110*n+11 and generates the Poulet numbers: 341,561 etc.

For k = 3 the formula becomes 272*n + 17 and generates the Poulet numbers: 561, 1105, 2465, 4369 etc.

For k=4 the formula becomes 506*n+23 and generates the Poulet numbers: 2047,6601 etc.

For n = 1 the formula generates a perfect square.

For n = 2 the formula becomes 3*(6*k - 1)*(4*k - 1) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 561 etc.

For n = 3 the formula becomes (6*k - 1)*(18*k - 5) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 341, 2465, 8321, 83333 etc.

For n = 4 the formula becomes (6*k - 1)*(24*k - 7) and were found the following Poulet numbers: 1105, 2047, 3277, 6601, 13747, 16705, 19951, 31417, 74665, 88357 etc.

Note: the formula is equivalent to Poulet numbers of the form $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*k - 1$. From the first 68 Poulet numbers just 26 of them (1387, 2701, 2821, 4033, 4681, 5461, 7957, 8911, 10261, 13741, 14491, 18721, 23377, 29341, 31609, 31621, 33153, 35333, 42799, 46657, 49141, 49981, 57421, 60787, 63973, 65281) can't be written as $p^*(n^*p - n + 1)$, where p is of the form $6^*k - 1$ and k, n are integers different from 0.

6. Poulet numbers of the form $7200*n^2 + 8820*n + 2701$

First 29 terms of the sequence (A214016 in OEIS): 2701, 18721, 49141, 93961, 226801, 314821, 534061, 665281, 1537381, 1755001, 1987021, 2233441,

3059101, 3363121, 4014361, 5489641, 6313681, 8134561, 9131401, 10185841, 13073941, 13694761, 18443701, 21474181, 27331921, 30058381, 30996001, 32914441, 34890481.

Comments:

Poulet numbers were obtained for the following values of n: 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23, 27, 29, 33, 35, 37, 42, 43, 50, 54, 61, 64, 65, 67, 69.

Conjecture:

There are infinite many Poulet numbers of the form $7200*n^2 + 8820*n + 2701$.

7. Poulet numbers of the form $144*n^2 + 222*n + 85$

First 29 terms of the sequence (A214017 in OEIS): 1105, 2047, 3277, 6601, 13747, 16705, 19951, 31417, 74665, 88357, 275887, 514447, 604117, 642001, 741751, 916327, 1293337, 1433407, 1520905, 2205967, 2387797, 2976487, 2976487, 3316951, 3539101, 4005001, 4101637, 4863127, 5575501, 8209657.

Comments:

Poulet numbers were obtained for the following values of n: 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 22, 43, 59, 64, 66, 71, 79, 94, 99, 102, 123, 128, 143, 151, 156, 166, 168, 183, 196, 238.

Conjecture:

There are infinite many Poulet numbers of the form $144*n^2 + 222*n + 85$.

8. Poulet numbers of the form $8*p*n + p^2$, where p is prime

First 29 terms of the sequence (A218483 in OEIS): 561, 1105, 1729, 1905, 2465, 4033, 4369, 4681, 6601, 8321, 8481, 10585, 11305, 12801, 15841, 16705, 18705, 18721, 23001, 23377, 25761, 30121, 30889, 31417, 31609, 33153, 34945, 35333, 39865, 41041, 41665, 46657, 52633, 62745, 65281, 74665, 75361, 83665, 85489.

Comments:

For p = 5 the formula becomes 40*n + 25. From the first 15 pseudoprimes divisible by 5, 12 are of the form 40*n + 25 (beside 3 of them which are of the form 40*n + 5). Conjecture: there are no pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form 40*n + 15.

Note: it can be seen that a pseudoprime can be written in this formula in more than one way: e.g. $561 = 8*3*23 + 3^2 = 8*11*5 + 11^2 = 8*17*2 + 17^2$ or $1905 = 8*3*79 + 3^2 = 8*5*47 + 5^2$.

Conjecture 1:

If a Fermat pseudoprime to base 2 can be written as $8*p*n + p^2$, where n is an integer number and p one of it's prime factors, than can be written this way for any of it's prime factors. Checked for all pseudoprimes from the sequence above.

Conjecture 2:

If a Fermat pseudoprime to base 2 with two prime factors can be written as $8*p1*n + p1^2$, where n is a natural number and p1 one of it's two prime factors, than can be written too as $8*p2*(-n) + p2^2$, where p2 is the other prime factor. Checked for 4033 = 37*109(n = 9), 4369 = 17*257(n = 30), 4681 = 31*151(n = 15), 8321 = 53*157(n = 13), 18721 = 97*193(n = 12), 23377 = 97*241(n = 18), 31417 = 89*353(n = 33), 31609 = 73*433 (n = 45), 65281 = 97*673(n = 72), 85489 = 53*1613 (n = 195).

Conjecture 3:

If a Fermat pseudoprime to base 2 can't be written as $8*p*n + p^2$, where n is an integer number and p one of it's prime factors, than can't be written this way for any of it's prime factors. Checked for the following pseudoprimes: 341, 645, 1387, 2047, 2701, 2821, 3277, 4371, 5461, 7957, 10261, 13741, 13747, 13981, 14491, 15709, 19951, 29341, 31621, 42799, 49141, 49981, 55245, 60701, 60787, 63973, 65077, 68101, 72885, 80581, 83333.

Note: from the first 72 pseudoprimes, 39 can be written this way.

9. Poulet numbers of the form $(n^2 + 2^*n)/3$

First 33 terms of the sequence (A216170 in OEIS): 341, 645, 2465, 2821, 4033, 5461, 8321, 15841, 25761, 31621, 68101, 83333, 162401, 219781, 282133, 348161, 530881, 587861, 653333, 710533, 722261, 997633, 1053761, 1082401, 1193221, 1246785, 1333333, 1357441, 1398101, 1489665, 1584133, 1690501, 1735841.

Comments:

The corresponding values of n: 31, 43, 85, 91, 109, 127, 157, 217, 277, 307, 451, 499, 697, 811, 919, 1021, 1261, 1327, 1399, 1459, 1471, 1729, 1777, 1801, 1891, 1933, 1999, 2017, 2047, 2113, 2177, 2251.

The formula can be generalised this way: Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 of the form $(n^m + m^*n)/(m + 1)$.

For m = 3 the formula becomes $(n^3 + 3*n)/4$ and were obtained the following Poulet numbers: 341, 1729, 188461, 228241, 1082809 (for n = 11, 19, 91, 97, 163).

Conjecture:

For any m natural, m > 1, there exist a series with infinite many Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2, P, formed this way: $P = (n^m + m^*n)/(m+1)$.

10. Poulet numbers that can be written as $2*p^2 - p$, where p is also a Poulet number

First 22 terms of the sequence (A215343 in OEIS): 831405, 5977153, 15913261, 21474181, 38171953, 126619741, 210565981, 224073865, 327718401, 377616421, 390922741, 558097345, 699735345, 1327232481, 1999743661, 4996150741, 8523152641, 11358485281, 13999580785, 15613830541, 17657245081, 20442723301.

Comments:

The correspondent p for the numbers from the sequence above: 645, 1729, 2821, 3277, 4369, 7957, 10261, 10585, 12801, 13741, 13981, 16705, 18705, 25761, 31621, 49981, 65281, 75361, 83665, 88357, 93961, 101101. Note that for 22 from the first 80 Poulet numbers we obtained thru this formula another Poulet numbers!

The formula could be generalised this way: Poulet numbers that can be written as $(n + 1)*p^2 - n*p$, where n is natural, n > 0, and p is another Poulet number.

For n = 1 that formula becomes the formula set out for the sequence above.

For n=2 that formula becomes $3*p^2 - 2*p$ and were obtained the following Poulet numbers: 348161, 1246785 (for p=341,645) etc.

For n = 3 that formula becomes $4*p^2 - 3*p$ and were obtained the following Poulet numbers: 119273701 (for p = 5461) etc.

For n=4 that formula becomes $5*p^2 - 4*p$ and were obtained the following Poulet numbers: 2077545, 9613297 (for p=645, 1387) etc.

Conjecture:

There are infinite many Poulet numbers that can be written as $(n + 1)*p^2 - n*p$, where n is natural, n > 0, and p is another Poulet number.

Note: Finally, considering, e.g., that for the Poulet number 645 were obtained Poulet numbers for n=1, 2, 4 (i.e. 831405, 1246785, 2077545), yet another conjecture.

Conjecture: For any Poulet number p there are infinite many Poulet numbers that can be written as $(n + 1)*p^2 - n*p$, where n is natural, n > 0.

11. Poulet numbers of the form $m*n^2 + (11*m - 23)*n + 19*m - 49$

First 37 terms of the sequence (A215326 in OEIS): 341, 645, 1105, 1387, 2047, 2465, 2821, 3277, 4033, 5461, 6601, 7957, 8321, 11305, 13747, 15841, 16705, 19951, 23001, 25761, 30889, 31417, 31621, 39865, 41665, 49981, 65077, 68101, 74665, 83333, 83665, 85489, 88357, 90751, 107185, 137149, 158369.

Comments:

The solutions (m,n) for the Poulet numbers from the sequence above are: (3,9); (3,13); (4,14); (4,16); (9,11) and (4,20); (3,27); (3,29); (4,26); (3,35); (290,0) and (3,41); (350,0) and (4,38); (259,1); (3,51); (367,1); (4,56); (94,8) and (3,71); (4,62); (329,3) and (4,68); (379,3); (3,91); (182,8); (319,5) and (4,86); (3,101); (888,2); (928,2) and (66,20); (43,29); (659,5); (3,149); (438,8) and (4,134); (3,165); (4406,0) and (4,142); (4502,0); (4,146); (4,148); (2384,2) and (38,48); (1387,5); (5111,1).

Few examples of how the formula looks like for m from 3 to 4.

For m=3 the formula becomes $3*n^2 + 10*n + 8$ and were found the following Poulet numbers: 341, 645, 2465, 2821, 4033, 5461, 8321, 15841, 25761, 31621, 68101, 83333 etc. (12 from the first 100 Poulet numbers can be written this way!).

For m = 4 the formula becomes $4*n^2 + 21*n + 27$ and were found the following Poulet numbers: 1105, 1387, 2047, 3277, 6601, 13747, 16705, 19951, 31417, 83665, 88357, 90751 etc. (12 from the first 100 Poulet numbers can be written this way!).

Note: For n = -2 the formula becomes (m - 3) and for n = -9 becomes (m + 158) so all the Poulet numbers have at least these integer solutions to this formula.

Note: For n = -1 the formula becomes (9*m - 26) and 37 from the first 100 Poulet numbers can be written this way! That means that for more than a third from Poulet numbers P checked is true that (P + 8) is divizible by 9 (for comparison, this relation is true for just 14 from the first 100 primes).

12. Poulet numbers that can be written as $(p^2 + 2*p)/3$, where p is also a Poulet number

First 22 terms of the sequence (A216276 in OEIS): 997633, 1398101, 3581761, 26474581, 37354465, 63002501, 70006021, 82268033, 93030145, 561481921,

804978721, 1231726981, 2602378721, 12817618945, 15516020833, 16627811905, 22016333333, 25862624705, 53707855201, 67220090785, 95074073281, 144278347201.

Comments:

The corresponding values of the Fermat pseudoprime p: 1729, 2047, 3277, 8911, 10585, 13747, 14491, 15709, 16705, 41041, 49141, 60787, 88357, 196093, 215749, 223345, 256999, 278545, 401401, 449065, 657901. Note that for 22 from the first 200 Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 were

Note that for 22 from the first 200 Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 were obtained also Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 through this formula!

Conjecture 1:

For any Fermat pseudoprime to base 2, p1, there exist infinite many Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2, p2, formed this way: $p2 = (p1^n + n*p1)/(n + 1)$, where n natural, n > 1.

Conjecture 2:

For any Carmichael number, c1, there exist infinite many Carmichael numbers, c2, formed this way: $c2 = (c1^n + n*c1)/(n+1)$, where n natural, n > 1. Note that, in the sequence above, from Fermat pseudoprimes to base 2 that are also Carmichael numbers (1729, 8911, 10585, 41041, 278545, 449065) were obtained too Carmichael numbers.

13. Poulet numbers that can be written as $p^2 = p^n + p$, where p is also a Poulet number

First 22 terms of the sequence (A217835 in OEIS): 348161, 831405, 1246785, 1275681, 2077545, 2513841, 5977153, 9613297, 13333441, 13823601, 18137505, 19523505, 21474181, 21880801, 37695505, 38171953, 44521301, 47734141, 54448153, 72887585, 75151441, 95423329.

Comments:

The numbers from sequence are the all numbers of this type up to 10⁸. The corresponding (p,n): (341,3), (645,2), (645,3), (341,11), (645,5), (561,8), (1729,2), (1387,5), (341,120), (561,44), (1905,5), (645,47), (3277,2), (2701,3), (2047,9), (4369,2), (341,384), (2821,6), (2047,13), (2465,12), (3277,7), (4369,5).

Conjecture 1:

For any Fermat pseudoprime p to base 2 there are infinitely many Fermat psudoprimes to base 2 equal to $p^2*n - p*n + p$, where n is natural.

Note: See the sequence A215343: the generalised formula from there is $p^2*n - p^n + p^2$, which suggests an extrapolated formula for obtaining some Fermat pseudoprime to base 2 from other: $p^2*n - p^n + p^k$.

Conjecture 2:

For any Fermat pseudoprime p to base 2 and any k natural, k > 0, there are infinitely many Fermat psudoprimes to base 2 equal to $p^2 + p^2 + p^k$, where n is natural.

14. Primes of the form (24*p + 1)/5, where p is a Poulet number

First 22 terms of the sequence (A218010 in OEIS): 1637, 2693, 20981, 22469, 40709, 42773, 49253, 65957, 69557, 123653, 140837, 235877, 451013, 623621, 626693, 716549, 1095557, 1370597, 1634693, 2108597, 2459813, 2548229, 2554421, 2563493, 2869781, 3534197, 3669557, 3755237, 4093637, 4337429, 4567109.

Comments:

The corresponding values of p: 341, 561, 4371, 4681, 8481, 8911, 10261, 13741, 14491, 25761, 29341, 49141, 93961, 129921, 130561, 149281, 228241, 285541, 340561, 439291, 512461, 530881, 532171, 534061, 597871, 736291, 764491, 782341, 852841, 903631, 951481.

It is notable that, from the first 128 natural solutions of this equation ((24*p + 1)/5, where p is Fermat pseudoprime to base 2), 31 are primes (the ones from the sequence above), 51 are products (not necessary squarefree) of two prime factors and 41 are products of three prime factors; only 5 of them are products of four prime factors.

It is notable yet another relation between numbers of the form (24*n + 1)/5, where n natural, and Fermat pseudoprimes:

Conjecture:

There is no absolute Fermat pseudoprime m for which n = (5*m - 1)/24 is a natural number (checked for the first 300 Carmichael numbers; if true, then the formula is a criterion to separate pseudoprimes at least from a subset of primes, because there are 37 primes m from the first 300 primes for which n = (5*m - 1)/24 is a natural number).

15. The smallest m for which the n-th Carmichael number can be written as $p^2*(m+1) - p^m$

First 60 terms of the sequence (A213812 in OEIS): 1, 3, 4, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 7, 24, 4, 4, 7, 47, 80, 9, 1, 23, 2, 46, 15, 24, 21, 24, 1, 1, 76, 8, 21, 16, 14, 6, 2, 150, 16, 8, 16, 3, 156, 36, 232, 2, 13, 10, 788, 40, 25, 2, 4, 123, 12, 44, 16, 8, 207, 226, 462, 92, 6.

Comments:

The corresponding values of p are (we write the Carmichael number in brackets): 17(561), 17(1105), 19(1729), 29(2465), 31(2821), 41(6601), 67(8911), 73(10585), 73(15841), 61(29341), 41(41041), 97(46657), 103(52633), 89(62745), 37(63973), 31(75361), 101(101101), 241(115921), 73(126217), 233(162401), 61(172081), 109(188461), 101(252601), 113(278545), 109(294409), 397(314821), 409(334153), 67(340561), 211(399001), 137(410041), 163(449065), 181(488881), 271(512461), 421(530881), 61(552721), 197(656601), 271(658801), 199(670033), 433(748657), 73(825265), 151(838201), 61(852841), 577(997633), 271(1024651), 307(1033669), 37(1050985), 163(1082809), 211(1152271), 631(1193221). 541(1461241), 113(1569457), 353(1615681), 199(1773289), 331(1857241), 461(1909001), 101(2100901), 97(2113921), 73(2433601), 163(2455921), 599(2508013).

Note: Any Carmichael number C can be written as $C = p^2(n+1) - p^n$, where p is any prime divisor of C (it can be seen that the smallest n is obtained for the biggest prime divisor). The formula $C = p^2(n+1) - p^n$ is equivalent to $C = p^2m - p^m(m-1) = p^2m - p^m + p$, equivalent to $p^2 - p$ divides C - p, which is a direct consequence of Korselt's criterion. It can be shown from p - 1 divides C - 1 not that just $p^2 - p$ divides C - p but even that $p^2 - p$ divides $C - p^k$ (if $C > p^k$) or $p^k - C$ (if $p^k > C$) which leads to the generic formula for a Carmichael number: $C = p^k + n^p^2 - n^p$ (if $C > p^k$) or $C = p^k - n^p^2 + n^p$ (if $p^k > C$) for any p prime divisor of C and any k natural number.

Note: The formulas generated giving values of k seems to be very useful in the study of Fermat pseudoprimes; also, the composite numbers C for which the equation $C = p^k - n^*p^2 + n^*p$ gives, over the integers, as solutions, all their prime divisors, for a certain k, deserve further study.

16. Carmichael numbers of the form (30k + 7)*(60k + 13)*(150k + 31)

First 18 terms of the sequence (A182085 in OEIS): 2821, 488881, 288120421, 492559141, 776176261, 1632785701, 3835537861, 6735266161, 9030158341, 21796387201, 167098039921, 288374745541, 351768558961, 381558955141, 505121232001, 582561482161, 915245066821, 2199733160881.

Conjecture:

The number C = (30k + 7)*(60k + 13)*(150k + 31) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30k + 7, 60k + 13 and 150k + 31 are all three prime numbers.

Note: We got Carmichael numbers with three prime divisors for $k=0,\,1,\,10,\,12,\,18,\,24,\,32,\,43,\,85,\,102,\,123,\,129,\,150,\,201,\,207,\,256.$ We got Carmichael numbers with more than three prime divisors for $n=14,\,29,\,109,\,112.$

Note: All these numbers can be written as well as N = (n + 1)*(2n + 1)*(5n + 1), where n = 30k + 6.

17. Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - 7)*(90n - 23)*(300n - 79)

First 16 terms of the sequence (A182132 in OEIS): 340561, 4335241, 153927961, 542497201, 1678569121, 2598933481, 25923026641, 63280622521, 88183003921, 155999871721, 209850699601, 240893092441, 274855097881, 380692027321, 733547013841, 1688729866321.

Conjecture:

The number C = (30n - 7)*(90n - 23)*(300n - 79) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n - 7, 90n - 23 and 300n - 79 are all three prime numbers.

Note: We got Carmichael numbers with three prime divisors for $n=2,\,9,\,15,\,32,\,43,\,48,\,58,\,64,\,67,\,78,\,97,\,128.$ We got Carmichael numbers with more than three prime divisors for $n=1,\,6,\,13,\,70.$

18. Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - 17)*(90n - 53)*(150n - 89)

First 17 terms of the sequence (A182133 in OEIS): 29341, 1152271, 34901461, 64377991, 775368901, 1213619761, 4562359201, 8346731851, 9293756581, 48874811311, 68926289491, 72725349421, 147523256371, 235081952731, 672508205281, 707161856941, 779999961061.

Conjecture:

The number C = (30n + 13)*(90n + 37)*(150n + 61) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n + 13, 90n + 37 and 150n + 61 are all three prime numbers.

Note: We got Carmichael numbers with three prime divisors for $n=0,\,1,\,5,\,12,\,14,\,12,\,27,\,28,\,49,\,55,\,56,\,71,\,83,\,121,\,125.$ We got Carmichael numbers with more than three prime divisors for n=4 and n=119.

19. Carmichael numbers C = (60k + 13)*(180k + 37)*(300k + 61)

First 16 terms of the sequence (A182416 in OEIS): 29341, 34901461, 775368901, 1213619761, 4562359201, 9293756581, 72725349421, 672508205281, 707161856941, 779999961061, 983598759361, 1671885346141, 1800095194261, 3459443867461, 6513448976101, 8369282635561.

Conjecture:

N = (60k + 13)*(180k + 37)*(300k + 61) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 60k + 13, 180k + 37 and 300k + 61 are all three prime numbers.

Note: We got Carmichael numbers with three prime divisors for $k=0,\,6,\,7,\,11,\,14,\,28,\,60,\,62,\,80,\,102,\,126,\,137,\,139,\,157,\,171.$ We got Carmichael numbers with more than three prime divisors for $k=2,\,59,\,67,\,82.$

Note: We can see that 13 = 7*2 - 1, 37 = 7*6 - 5 and 61 = 7*10 - 9, while 60 = 30*2, 180 = 30*6 and 300 = 30*10; we also have Carmichael numbers that can be written as (30n - 11)*(60n - 23)*(150k - 59), for instance 63973, or as (30n - 7)*(90n - 23)*(300k - 79), for instance 340561; we can see that, this time, 23 = 11*2 + 1, 59 = 11*5 + 4, 23 = 7*3 + 2 and 79 = 7*10 + 9, while 60 = 30*2, 150 = 30*5, 150 = 30*3 and 150 = 30*10.

Observation:

Many Carmichael numbers, not only with three prime divisors, can be written in one of the following two forms: C = ((30*a*m - (a*p + a - 1))*((30*b*m - (b*p + b - 1))*((30*c*m - (c*p + c - 1)) or C = ((30*a*m + (a*p - a + 1))*((30*b*m + (b*p - b + 1))*((30*c*m + (c*p - c + 1)), where p, a*p + a - 1, b*p + b - 1 and c*p + c - 1 are all (four or three, if a = 1)primes (in the first case) or p, a*p - a + 1, b*p - b + 1 and c*p - c + 1 are all primes (in the second case).

20. Carmichael numbers
$$C = (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89)*(180n - 179)$$

First 9 terms of the sequence (A182088 in OEIS): 31146661, 2414829781, 192739365541, 197531244744661, 741700610203861, 973694665856161, 2001111155103061, 2278278996452641, 4271903575869601.

Conjecture:

The number C = (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89)*(180n - 179) is a Carmichael number if (but not only if) 30n - 29, 60n - 59, 90n - 89 and 180n - 179 are all four prime numbers.

Note: We got Carmichael numbers with three prime divisors for n = 10, 52, 77, 143.

We got Carmichael numbers with more than three prime divisors for n = 2, 4, 72, 92, 95, 111.

21. Carmichael numbers
$$C = (330k + 7)*(660k + 13)*(990k + 19)*(1980k + 37)$$

First 11 terms of the sequence (A182089 in OEIS): 63973, 461574735553, 7103999557333, 35498632881313, 111463190499493, 271061745643873, 560604728986453, 1036648928639233, 1765997490154213, 2825699916523393, 4303052068178773.

Conjecture:

The number C = (330k + 7)*(660k + 13)*(990k + 19)*(1980k + 37) is a Carmichael number if 330k + 7, 660k + 13, 990k + 19 and 1980k + 37 are all four prime numbers.

22. Carmichael numbers of the form C = (30n - p)*(60n - (2p + 1))* (90n – (3p + 2)), where p, 2p + 1, 3p + 2 are all three primes

First 17 terms of the sequence (A182087 in OEIS): 1729, 172081, 294409, 1773289, 4463641, 56052361, 118901521, 172947529, 216821881, 228842209, 295643089, 798770161, 1150270849, 1299963601, 1504651681, 1976295241, 2301745249.

Comments:

These numbers can be reduced to only two possible forms: C = (30n - 23)*(60n - 47)*(90n - 71) or C = (30n - 29)*(60n - 59)*(90n - 89). In the first form, for the particular case when 30n - 23, 60n - 47 and 90n - 71 are all three prime numbers, we obtain the Chernick numbers of the form 10m + 1 (for k = 5n - 4 we have C = (6k + 1)*(12k + 1)*(18k + 1)). In the second form, for the particular case when 30n - 29, 60n - 59 and 90n - 89 are all three prime numbers, we obtain the Chernick numbers of the form 10m + 9 (for k = 5n - 5 we have C = (6k + 1)*(12k + 1)*(18k + 1)). So the Chernick numbers can be divided into two categories: Chernick numbers of the form (30n + 7)*(60n + 13)*(90n + 19) and Chernick numbers of the form (30n + 7)*(60n + 1)*(90n + 1).

23. Carmichael numbers of the form $C = p^*(2p-1)^*(3p-2)^*(6p-5)$, where p is prime

First 15 terms of the sequence (A182518 in OEIS): 63973, 31146661, 703995733, 21595159873, 192739365541, 461574735553, 3976486324993, 10028704049893, 84154807001953, 197531244744661, 741700610203861, 973694665856161, 2001111155103061, 3060522900274753, 3183276534603733.

Comments:

We get Carmichael numbers with four prime divisors for p = 7, 271, 337, 727, 1237, 1531, 2281, 3037, 3067.

We get Carmichael numbers with more than four prime divisors for p = 31, 67, 157, 577, 2131, 2731, 3301.

Note: We can see that p, 2p - 1, 3p - 2 and 6p - 5 can all four be primes only for p = 6k + 1 (for p = 6k + 5, we get 2p - 1 divisible by 3), so in that case the formula is equivalent to C = (6k + 1)(12k + 1)(18k + 1)(36k + 1).

24. Carmichael numbers of the form C = p*(2p-1)*(n*(2p-2) + p), where p and 2p-1 are primes

First 29 terms of the sequence (A182207 in OEIS): 1729, 2821, 41041, 63973, 101101, 126217, 172081, 188461, 294409, 399001, 488881, 512461, 670033, 748657, 838201, 852841, 997633, 1033669, 1050985, 1082809, 1461241, 2100901, 2113921, 2628073, 4463641, 4909177, 7995169, 8341201, 8719309.

Conjecture:

Any Carmichael number C divisible by p and 2p - 1 (where p and 2p - 1 are prime numbers) can be written as C = p*(2p - 1)*(n*(2p - 2) + p). Checked for the first 30 Carmichael numbers divisible by p and 2p - 1.

Note: We can see how easy is to obtain Carmichael numbers with this formula:

For n = 1 we get $p^*(2p - 1)^*(3p - 2)$ and Carmichael numbers 1729, 172081, 294409 etc.

For n=2 we get p*(2p-1)*(5p-4) and Carmichael numbers 2821, 63973, 488881 etc.

For n = 3 we get p*(2p - 1)*(7p - 6) and Carmichael numbers 399001, 53711113 etc.

25. Carmichael numbers of the form n*(2*n-1)*(p*n-p+1)*(2*p*n-2*p+1), where p is odd

First 17 terms of the sequence (A212882 in OEIS): 63973, 172081, 31146661, 167979421, 277241401, 703995733, 1504651681, 2414829781, 117765525241, 192739365541, 461574735553, 881936608681, 2732745608209, 3145699746793, 3307287048121, 3976486324993, 7066238244481.

Comments:

The following Carmichael numbers are of the form n*(2n - 1)*(3n - 2)*(6n - 5): 63973, 31146661, 703995733, 2414829781, 192739365541, 461574735553, 3976486324993.

The following Carmichael numbers are of the form n*(2n - 1)*(5n - 4)*(10n-9): 172081, 881936608681, 3307287048121, 8916642713161.

The following Carmichael number is of the form n*(2n-1)*(7n-6)*(14n-13): 167979421.

The following Carmichael number is of the form n*(2n-1)*(9n-8)*(18n-17): 277241401.

The following Carmichael number is of the form n*(2n - 1)*(11n - 10)*(22n - 21): 9924090391909.

The following Carmichael number is of the form n*(2n - 1)*(15n - 14)*(30n - 29): 7932245192461.

The following Carmichael number is of the form $n^*(2n - 1)^*(17n - 16)^*(34n - 33)$: 3145699746793.

The following Carmichael numbers are of the form n*(2n - 1)*(21n - 20)*(42n - 41): 1504651681, 117765525241, 2732745608209.

The following Carmichael number is of the form n*(2n - 1)*(23n - 22)*(46n - 45): 7066238244481.

For p = 13 and p = 19, there is no Carmichael number up to 10^{13} .

There is not any other Carmichael number of this form, for p from 3 to 23, up to 10^13.

Conjecture:

For any odd number p we have an infinite number of Carmichael numbers of the form n*(2*n-1)*(p*n-p+1)*(2*p*n-2*p+1).

Note: Many numbers of the form n*(2*n-1)*(p*n-p+1)*(2*p*n-2*p+1), not divisible by 2, 3 or 5, where p is odd or even, are squarefree and respects the Korselt's criterion for many of their prime divisors or are not squarefree but respects the Korselt's criterion sometimes even for all their divisors (but we didn't find Carmichael numbers when p is even).

26. Carmichael numbers of the form 3*n*(9n + 2)*(18n - 1), where n is odd

First 29 terms of the sequence (A213071 in OEIS): 561, 13833, 62745, 170625, 360801, 656601, 1081353, 1658385, 2411025, 3362601, 4536441, 5955873, 7644225, 9624825, 11921001, 14556081, 17553393, 20936265, 24728025, 28952001, 33631521, 38789913, 44450505, 50636625, 57371601, 64678761, 72581433, 81102945, 90266625.

Comments:

Carmichael numbers (561, 62745, 656601, 11921001, 174352641) were obtained for the following values of n: 1, 5, 11, 29, 71.

Note: The sequence can be generalized this way: C = p*n*(3*p*n + 2)*(6*p*n - 1), where p is prime.

Few examples for p from 5 to 23:

For p = 5 the formula becomes 5*n*(15*n + 2)*(30*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 2465, 62745, 11119105, 3249390145 (for n = 1, 3, 17, 113);

For p = 7 the formula becomes 7*n*(21*n + 2)*(42*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 6601 (for n = 1);

For p = 11 the formula becomes 11*n*(33*n + 2)*(66*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 656601 (for n = 3);

For p = 13 the formula becomes 13*n*(39*n + 2)*(78*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 41041, 271794601 (for n = 1, 21);

For p = 17 the formula becomes 17*n*(51*n + 2)*(102*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 11119105, 2159003281 (for n = 5):

For p = 19 the formula becomes 19*n*(57*n + 2)*(114*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 271794601 (for n = 13);

For p = 23 the formula becomes 23*n*(69*n + 2)*(138*n - 1) and were obtained the following Carmichael numbers: 5345340001 (for n = 29).

27. Carmichael numbers that have only prime divisors of the form 10k+1

First 28 terms of the sequence (A212843 in OEIS): 252601, 399001, 512461, 852841, 1193221, 1857241, 1909001, 2100901, 3828001, 5049001, 5148001, 5481451, 6189121, 7519441, 8341201, 9439201, 10024561, 10837321, 14676481, 15247621, 17236801, 27062101, 29111881, 31405501, 33302401, 34657141, 40430401, 42490801.

Conjecture:

All Carmichael numbers C (not only with three prime divisors) of the form 10n + 1 that have only prime divisors of the form 10k+1 can be written as C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 1)*(30c + 1), C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 11)*(30c + 11), or C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 11)*(30c + 11). In other words, there are no numbers of the form C = (30a + 1)*(30b + 1)*(30c + 11).

28. Carmichael numbers divisible by a smaller Carmichael number

First 29 terms of the sequence (A214758 in OEIS): 63973, 126217, 172081, 188461, 278545, 748657, 997633, 1773289, 5310721, 8719921, 8830801, 9890881, 15888313, 18162001, 26474581, 26921089, 31146661, 36121345, 37354465, 41471521, 93614521, 93869665, 101957401, 120981601, 151813201.

Comments:

Carmichael numbers by which the numbers from sequence are divisible: 1729, 1729, 2821, 1729, 2465, 1729, 1729, 8911, 29341, 6601, 8911, 41041, 8911, 75361, 8911, 46657, 2821 and 172081, 1105, 10585, 2821 and 172081, 41041, 2465, 1729 and 188461, 46657, 252601.

Note: A Carmichael number can be divisible by more than one Carmichael number: e.g. 31146661, 41471521, 101957401.

A subsequence of this sequence contains the numbers C_1 (and another subsequence the numbers C_3) that can be written as $C_1 = (C_2 + C_3)/2$, where C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are Carmichael numbers and C_1 and C_3 are both divisible by C_2 (e.g. 63973 = (1729 + 126217)/2; 93614521 = (41041 + 187188001)/2).

Conjecture:

A Carmichael number C_1 can be written as $C_1 = (C_2 + C_3)/2$, where C_2 and C_3 are also Carmichael numbers, only if both C_1 and C_3 are divisible by C_2 .

29. Carmichael numbers divisible by 1729

First 29 terms of the sequence (A212920 in OEIS): 1729, 63973, 126217, 188461, 748657, 997633, 101957401, 509033161, 705101761, 1150270849, 1854001513, 2833846561, 7103660473, 8039934721, 9164559313, 10298458261, 14530739041, 23597511301, 41420147041, 49923611101, 50621055121, 55677010753, 65039877721.

Conjecture:

If m*126 + n = 1729, m*126 > n, then exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms of the form C mod m*234 = n.

Verifying the conjecture:

- (1) For m < 7 we have m*126 < n;
- (2) For m = 7 the formula becomes C mod 882 = 847 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 15841, 1033669 etc.;
- (3) For m = 8 the formula becomes C mod 1008 = 721 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 15841, 41041, 172081, 670033, 748657, 825265, 997633 etc.;
- (4) For m = 9 the formula becomes C mod 1134 = 595 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 1033669 etc.;
- (5) For m = 10 the formula becomes C mod 1260 = 469 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 1033669 etc.;
- (6) For m = 11 the formula becomes C mod 1386 = 343 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 1082809 etc.;
- (7) For m = 12 the formula becomes C mod 1512 = 217 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 41041 etc.;
- (8) For m = 13 the formula becomes C mod 1638 = 91 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 41041, 63973, 670033, 997633 etc.

Conjecture:

If m*234 + n = 1729, m*234 > n, then exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms of the form C mod m*234 = n.

Verifying the conjecture:

- (1) For m < 4 we have m*234 < n;
- (2) For m = 4 the formula becomes C mod 936 = 793 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 41041, 46657, 126217, 748657, 4909177, 65037817, 193910977, 311388337, 633639097 etc.;
- (3) For m = 5 the formula becomes C mod 1170 = 559 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 1033669, 1082809, 7995169, 26921089 etc.;
- (4) For m = 6 the formula becomes C mod 1404 = 325 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 41041, 46657, 188461, 314821 etc.;
- (5) For m = 7 the formula becomes C mod 1638 = 91 and the case is similar with one from precedent conjecture.

Conjecture:

If m*342 + n = 1729, m*342 > n, then exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms of the form C mod m*342 = n.

Verifying the conjecture:

- (1) For m < 2 we have m*342 < n;
- (2) For m = 3 the formula becomes C mod 1026 = 703 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 8911 etc.;
- (3) For m = 4 the formula becomes C mod 1368 = 361 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 126217 etc.;
- (4) For m = 5 the formula becomes C mod 1710 = 19 and were obtained the Carmichael numbers: 1729, 1773289 etc.

Conclusion:

We can see that 126 = 18*7, 234 = 18*13 and 342 = 18*19 and 7, 13, and 19 are the prime factors of 1729, so the three conjectures could be expressed all in one. Even more than that, taking randomly another Carmichael number, 8911 = 7*19*67, taking randomly m = 7 in the formula m*18*67, we obtain the formula C mod 8442 = 469, which, indeed, leeds to a series of Carmichael numbers: 8911, 1773289, 8830801 etc., which means that the conjecture could be generalised:

Conjecture:

For any prime factor of a Carmichael number C1 exists a series with infinite many Carmichael terms C2 formed this way: C2 mod m*18*d = n,

where m*18*d + n = C1, where d is the prime factor of C1 and m, n are natural numbers, m*18*d < n.

Note: Finally, if we have a Carmichael number divisible by 1729 (i.e. 63973, see the sequence above), we can see that the formula C mod 62244 = 1729 (it can see that 62244 + 1729 = 63973) leeds too to a series of Carmichael numbers: 126217 etc. which means that 1729 can be treated like a prime factor. This can be probably generalised to the Carmichael numbers that are divisible with other Carmichael numbers or probably even for a randomly chosen product of prime factors.

30. Fermat pseudoprimes n to base 3 of the form $n=(3^{4}k + 2) - 1)/8$

First 9 terms of the sequence (A217853 in OEIS): 91, 7381, 597871, 48427561, 3922632451, 317733228541, 25736391511831, 2084647712458321, 168856464709124011.

Comments:

These numbers were obtained for values of k from 1 to 20, with the following exceptions: k = 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, for which were obtained $3^n \mod n = 3^7, 3^31, 3^37, 3^25, 3^31, 3^13$.

Conjecture:

There are infinitely many Fermat pseudoprimes to base 3 of the form $(3^{4}k + 2) - 1/8$, where k is a natural number.