

**Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe**

**Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe Michael John Sarnowski**

**email; thiele@charter.net**

**I. Abstract**

Due to the propagation of light, gravity, charge, magnetism etc., and their quantized values, it has been hypothesized that, the universe should be filled with some type of almost homogeneous structure. It was shown in “Discrete Calculations of Charge and Gravity with Planck Spinning Spheres and Kaluza Spinning Spheres”( 1) that charge and gravity could be modeled by a sphere with a Compton wavelength radius of the neutron. If the neutron Compton wavelength is important to the propagation of charge and gravity then it is proposed that it also must be connected to some structure of the volume in which these forces are transmitted. “Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe” establishes a strong correlation, on multiple levels, between the larger mass quarks and bosons, and a cuboctahedron –Vector Equilibrium structure using the mass of the neutron and the combining of the layers of a cuboctahedron packing of spheres.

The layers of a cuboctahedron follow a consistent progression for the quantity of spheres that can be packed in a layer. The masses of the w boson, z boson, bottom quark, top quark and the Higgs can be directly correlated to the first few layers of a cuboctahedron structure, and in a mirror manner described in this paper.

**II. Calculations**

When using cuboctahedron packing of spheres, a pattern for the masses of the W, Z, and Higgs bosons and Bottom and Top quarks can be observed. This is a calculated value, which is shown below.

Note that cuboctahedron packing of spheres has a predictable sequence to the amount of spheres contained in each layer.(3)

$$Layer(N) = 10N^2 + 2$$

except layer 0 which is equal to 1

Layer 0 - 1 sphere	Layer 2 - 42 spheres	Layer 4 - 162 spheres	Layer 6 - 362 spheres
Layer 1 - 12 spheres	Layer 3 - 92 spheres	Layer 5 - 252 spheres	

## Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe

### **W and Z Bosons**

The W boson and Z boson mediate the weak force. From “Discrete Calculations of Charge and Gravity with Planck Spinning Spheres and Kaluza Spinning Spheres”( 1) we found that the forces of charge and gravity could be calculated using 1/3 of the mass of the electron or neutron in the equations. We will also use 1/3 of the masses of the neutron for correlating the masses of the z boson and w boson as well as the Higgs boson and Bottom and Top Quark calculated further on.

The accepted value for the Z boson mass is as follows.

Z boson       $91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  (2)

If we take the 2nd and 5th layer of sphere packed cuboctahedron structure we have 42 and 252 spheres. Multiplying this by 1/3 of the neutron mass yields the following;

$$(42+252) * 0.939565378 \text{ GeV}/3 = 92.077407044 \text{ GeV}$$

When we take the ratio of the actual Z boson mass to this calculated value we obtain 0.99033631.

The accepted value for the W boson mass is as follows.

W boson       $80.385 \pm 0.015 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  (2)

If we take the 3rd and 4th layer of the sphere packed cuboctahedron structure we have 92 and 162 spheres. Multiplying this by 1/3 of the neutron mass yields the following;

$$(92+162) * 0.939565378 \text{ GeV}/3 = 79.5498686 \text{ GeV}$$

When we take the ratio of the calculated value to the actual mass of the w boson we obtain 0.98961085

It is interesting that the Z boson and W boson would use different layers for calculating their masses. It is also interesting that the measured values are nearly symmetrical with the calculated values. That this is so is a freakish accident or z and w bosons are connected to a cuboctahedron structure.

### **Bottom Quark and Top Quark**

Actual Bottom quark mass 4.18 GeV

## Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe

If we take the 0th and 1st layer of the sphere packed cuboctahedron structure we have 1 and 12 spheres. Multiplying this by 1/3 of the neutron mass yields the following;

$$\text{Cuboctahedron Layer 0 + Layer 1} = (1+12)*0.939565 \text{ GeV}/3 = 4.07 \text{ GeV}$$

When we take the ratio of the calculated mass to the actual measured value of the Bottom quark mass we obtain  $4.07/4.18=0.974$

Actual Top Quark mass 173.4 GeV

If we take the 2nd 3rd 4th, and 5th layer of the sphere packed cuboctahedron structure we have 42, 92, 162, and 252 spheres. Multiplying this by 1/3 of the neutron mass yields the following;

$$(42+92+162+252)*.939565378 \text{ GeV}/3 = 171.627 \text{ GeV}$$

When we take the ratio of the calculated value to the actual measured value of the Top quark mass we obtain  $171.627/173.4=0.9898$

It is interesting that the bottom quark and top quark would use different layers for calculating their masses. It is also interesting that the measured values are nearly symmetrical with the calculated values. That this is so is a freakish accident or bottom quark and top quark are connected to a cuboctahedron structure. Note that the ratio of the bottom quark and top quark masses, to the calculated values are not as equal at the w and z boson.

### **Higgs Bosons**

The mass of the Higgs is not well defined, but two values are being discussed. Which I have quoted from the following article(4)

Higgs upper mass boson  $126.6\pm 0.3\pm 0.7 \text{ GeV}/c^2(4)$

Higgs lower mass boson  $123.5\pm 0.9+0.4-0.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2(4)$

If we take the 4th and 5th layer of sphere packed cuboctahedron structure we have 162 and 252 spheres. Multiplying this by 1/3 of the neutron mass yields the following;

Cuboctahedron Layer 4 + Layer 5

$$(162+252)*0.939565378 \text{ GeV}/3 = 129.66 \text{ GeV}$$

## Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe

When we take the ratio of the actual upper measured value of the Higgs mass to the calculated we obtain  $126.6/129.66=0.9764$

Or alternate version

If we take the 2nd and 6th layer of sphere packed cuboctahedron structure we have 42 and 252 spheres. Multiplying this by 1/3 of the neutron mass yields the following;

$$(42+362)*0.939565 \text{ Gev}/3 = 126.53 \text{ GeV}$$

When we take the ratio of the actual lower measured value of the Higgs mass to the calculated we obtain  $123.5/126.53=0.9761$

It is interesting that the upper and lower Higgs mass would use different layers for calculating their masses. It is also interesting that the measured values are nearly symmetrical with the calculated values. That this is so is a freakish accident or bottom quark and top quark are connected to a cuboctahedron structure. Note that the ratios are almost identical. The cuboctahedron layers can be combined in a number of ways that result in values that are close to the measured values of the mass of the Higgs.

### **III Discussion**

To my knowledge there is no mainstream proposal for the mass of the bosons or the large mass quarks. The, strong, correlations of the layers of the cuboctahedron, with the masses of these particles, on multiple levels could be reason for studying this relationship further. It seems clear, that a cuboctahedron/vector equilibrium structure of the universe, is a possibility.

Please note that the masses of the top quark and the sum of the masses of the w and z boson are nearly identical. While this has not gone unnoticed in the past it seems to be serendipitous that a cuboctahedron layer combination was found for each.

In the future further study will be given to the difference in the calculated masses and the actual values. It is hoped that the values of the masses will be known to a greater extent to help this further study progress.

## Underlying Cuboctahedron Packing of Planck Spinning Spheres Structure of the Hubble Universe

### **IV References**

- 1) M.J. Sarnowski <http://www.vixra.org/abs/1403.0502>
  - 2) J. Beringer et al. (2012). "[2012 Review of Particle Physics - Gauge and Higgs Bosons](#)". Physical Review D 86: 1.
  - 3) <http://www.grunch.net/synergetics/sphpack.html>
  - 4) <http://profmattstrassler.com/2012/12/17/two-higgs-bosons-no-evidence-for-that/>
-