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#### Abstract

We consider the equations of motion of three-body problem in a Lagrange form (which means a consideration of relative motions of 3-bodies in regard to each other). Analyzing such a system of equations, we consider in details the case of moon's motion of negligible mass $m_{3}$ around the 2-nd of two giant-bodies $m_{1}, m_{2}$ (which are rotating around their common centre of masses on Kepler's trajectories), the mass of which is assumed to be less than the mass of central body.

Under assumtions of R3BP, we obtain the equations of motion which describe the relative mutual motion of the centre of mass of 2-nd giant-body $m_{2}$ (Planet) and the centre of mass of 3 -rd body (Moon) with additional effective mass $\xi \cdot m_{2}$ placed in that centre of mass $\left(\xi \cdot m_{2}+m_{3}\right)$, where $\xi$ is the dimensionless dynamical parameter. They should be rotating around their common centre of masses on Kepler's elliptic orbits.

For negligible effective mass $(\xi \cdot m z+m z)$ it gives the equations of motion which should describe a quasi-circle orbit of 3-rd body (Moon) around the 2-nd body $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ (Planet) for most of the moons of the Planets in Solar system. But the orbit of Earth's Moon should be considered as strictly elliptic motion for the effective mass $0.0178 \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ placed in the centre of mass for the 3-rd body (Moon). The position of their common centre of masses should obviously differ for the real mass $m_{3}=0.0123 \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ and for the effective mass $(0.0055+0.0123) \cdot m z$ placed in the centre of mass of the Moon.
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## Introduction.

The stability of the motion of the Moon is the ancient problem which leading scientists have been trying to solve during last 400 years. A new derivation to estimate such a problem from a point of view of relative motions in restricted three-body problem (R3BP) is proposed here.

Systematic approach to the problem above was suggested earlier in KAM-(Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser)-theory [1] in which the central KAM-theorem is known to be applied for researches of stability of Solar system in terms of restricted threebody problem [2-5], especially if we consider photogravitational restricted three-body problem [6-8] with additional influence of Yarkovsky effect of non-gravitational nature [9].

KAM is the theory of stability of dynamical systems [1] which should solve a very specific question in regard to the stability of orbits of so-called "small bodies" in Solar system, in terms of restricted three-body problem [3]: indeed, dynamics of all the planets is assumed to satisfy to restrictions of restricted three-body problem (such as infinitesimal masses, negligible deviations of the main orbital elements, etc.).

Nevertheless, KAM also is known to assume the appropriate Hamilton formalism in proof of the central KAM-theorem [1]: the dynamical system is assumed to be Hamilton system as well as all the mathematical operations over such a dynamical system are assumed to be associated with a proper Hamilton system.

According to the Bruns theorem [5], there is no other invariants except well-known 10 integrals for three-body problem (including integral of energy, momentum, etc.), this is a classical example of Hamilton system. But in case of restricted three-body problem, there is no other invariants except only one, Jacobian-type integral of motion [3].

Such a contradiction is the main paradox of KAM-theory: it adopts all the restrictions of restricted three-body problem, but nevertheless it proves to use the Hamilton formalism, which assumes the conservation of all other invariants (the integral of energy, momentum, etc.).

To avoid ambiguity, let us consider a relative motion in three-body problem [2].

## 1. Equations of motion.

Let us consider the system of ODE for restricted three-body problem in barycentric Cartesian co-ordinate system, at given initial conditions [2-3]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1} \boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-\gamma\left\{\frac{m_{1} m_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right|^{3}}+\frac{m_{1} m_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right|^{3}}\right\}, \\
& m_{2} \boldsymbol{q}_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-\gamma\left\{\frac{m_{2} m_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right|^{3}}+\frac{m_{2} m_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right|^{3}}\right\}, \\
& m_{3} \boldsymbol{q}_{3}^{\prime \prime}=-\gamma\left\{\frac{m_{3} m_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right|^{3}}+\frac{m_{3} m_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right|^{3}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- here $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}, \boldsymbol{q}_{2}, \boldsymbol{q}_{3}$ - mean the radius-vectors of bodies $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$, accordingly; $\gamma$ - is the gravitational constant.

System above could be represented for relative motion of three-bodies as shown below (by the proper linear transformations):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{3}\left\{\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right|^{3}}\right\}, \\
& \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{2}+m_{3}\right) \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{1}\left\{\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right|^{3}}\right\}, \\
& \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{3}\right) \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{2}\left\{\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right|^{3}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us designate as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}=\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{1}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}=\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{2}-\boldsymbol{q}_{3}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}=\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using of $(*)$ above, let us transform the previous system to another form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{3}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}\right\}, \\
& \boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{2}+m_{3}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{1}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}\right|^{3}}\right\},  \tag{1.1}\\
& \boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{3}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{2}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Analysing the system (1.1) we should note that if we sum all the above equations one to each other it would lead us to the result below:

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

If we also sum all the equalities $\left(^{*}\right)$ one to each other, we should obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}+\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}+\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}=0 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under assumption of restricted three-body problem, we assume that the mass of small 3-rd body $m_{3} \ll m_{1}, m_{2}$, accordingly; besides, for the case of moving of small 3-rd body $m_{3}$ as a moon around the 2 -nd body $m_{2}$, let us additionaly assume $\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right| \ll\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|$.

So, taking into consideration $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$, we obtain from the system (1.1) as below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}=0, \\
& \boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{2}+m_{3}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}=\gamma m_{1}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}-\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}+\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}+\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}\right\}, \\
& \boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}+\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}+\boldsymbol{R}_{3,1}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

- where the 1 -st equation of (1.2) describes the relative motion of 2 massive bodies (which are rotating around their common centre of masses on Kepler's trajectories); the 2-nd describes the orbit of small 3-rd body $m_{3}$ (Moon) relative to the 2-nd body $m_{2}$ (Planet), for which we could obtain according to the trigonometric "Law of Cosines" [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\gamma\left(m_{2}+m_{3}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\gamma m_{1}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}\left(1+3 \cos \alpha \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|}\right) \boldsymbol{R}_{2,3} \cong-3 \cos \alpha\left(\frac{\gamma m_{1}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}} \boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right) \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- here $\alpha$ - is the angle between the radius-vectors $\boldsymbol{R}{ }_{2,3}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}$.

Equation (1.3) could be simplified under additional assumption $|\boldsymbol{R} 2,3| \ll \mid \boldsymbol{R}$ restricted mutual motions of bodies $m_{1}, m_{2}$ in R3BP [3] as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{\gamma\left(m_{2}+m_{3}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\gamma m_{1}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we present Eq. (1.4) in a form below

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma(1+\xi+\eta) \cdot m_{2} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}=0,  \tag{1.5}\\
& \xi=\left(\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \cdot \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right|^{3}}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right|^{3}}\right), \quad \eta=\left(\frac{m_{3}}{m_{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

- then Eq. (1.5) describes the relative motion of the centre of mass of 2-nd giant-body $m_{2}$ (Planet) and the centre of mass of 3-rd body (Moon) with the effective mass ( $\xi \cdot m_{2}+$ $m_{3}$ ), which are rotating around their common centre of masses on the stable Kepler's elliptic trajectories.

Besides, if the dimensionless parameters $\xi, \eta \rightarrow 0$ then equation (1.5) should describe a quasi-circle motion of 3 -rd body (Moon) around the 2 -nd body $m_{2}$ (Planet).

## 2. The comparison of the moons in Solar system.

As we can see from Eq. (1.5), $\xi$ is the key parameter which determines the character of moving of the small 3 -rd body $m_{3}$ (the Moon) relative to the 2 -nd body $m_{2}$ (Planet). Let us compare such a parameter for all considerable known cases of orbital moving of the moons in Solar system [12] (Tab.1):

Table 1. Comparison of the parameters of the moons in Solar system.

| Masses of the Planets (Solar system), kg | Ratio $m$, <br> (Sun) <br> to mass $m_{2}$ <br> (Planet) | Distance <br> $\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right\|$ <br> (between <br> Sun-Planet), <br> AU | Parameter $\eta$, <br> ratio $m_{3}$ <br> (Moon) <br> to mass $m_{2}$ <br> (Planet) | Distance $\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right\|$ <br> (between <br> Moon-Planet) <br> in $10^{3} \mathrm{~km}$ | Parameter $\xi=\left(\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \cdot \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{2,3}\right\|^{3}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{1,2}\right\|^{3}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury, $3.3 \cdot 10^{23}$ | $\left(\frac{332,946}{0.055}\right)$ | 0.387 AU |  |  |  |
| Venus, $4.87 \cdot 10^{24}$ | $\left(\frac{332,946}{0.815}\right)$ | 0.723 AU |  |  |  |
| Earth, $5.97 \cdot 10^{24}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Earth }= \\ 332,946 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{AU}= \\ 149,500,000 \\ \mathrm{~km} \end{gathered}$ | 12,300 $\cdot 10^{-6}$ | 383.4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moon } \\ \mathbf{5 , 5 3 2} \cdot 10^{-6} \end{gathered}$ |
| Mars, $6.42 \cdot 10^{23}$ | $\left(\frac{332,946}{0.107}\right)$ | 1.524 AU | 1) Phobos $0.02 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 2) Deimos $0.003 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 1) Phobos $9.38$ <br> 2) Deimos $23.46$ | 1) Phobos $0.22 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 2) Deimos $3.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| Jupiter, <br> $1.9 \cdot 10^{27}$ | $\left(\frac{332,946}{317.8}\right)$ | 5.2 AU | 1) Ganymede <br> 79. $10^{-6}$ <br> 2) Callisto <br> $58 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 3) Io <br> $47 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 4) Europa <br> $25 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 1) Ganymede $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 0}$ <br> 2) Callisto $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 3}$ <br> 3) Io $422$ <br> 4) Europa | 1) Ganymede $2.73 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 2) Callisto $14.89 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 3) I $0.17 \cdot 10^{-6}$ <br> 4) Europa $0.67 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Neptune, } \\ & 1.02 \cdot 10^{26} \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\frac{332,946}{17.15}\right)$ | 30.07 AU | 1) Triton | 1) Triton | 1) Triton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 210. $10^{-6}$ | $355$ | $0.01 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
|  |  |  | 2) Proteus | 2) Proteus | 2) Proteus |
|  |  |  | $0.48 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 118 | $0.0004 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
|  |  |  | 3) Nereid | 3) Nereid | 3) Nereid |
|  |  |  | $0.29 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 5,513 | $35.81 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| Pluto, | (332,946 |  | Charon | Charon | Charon |
| $1.3 \cdot 10^{22}$ | ( 0.002$)$ | 39.48 AU | $124,620 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 20 | $0.006 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

## 3. Discussion.

As we can see from the Tab. 1 above, the dimensionless key parameter $\xi$, which determines the character of moving of the small 3-rd body $m_{3}$ (Moon) relative to the 2-nd body $m z$ (Planet), is varying for all variety of the moons of the Planets (in Solar system) from the meaning $0.0004 \cdot 10^{-6}$ (for Proteus of Neptune) to the meaning $54.46 \cdot 10^{-6}$ (for Iapetus of Saturn); but it still remains to be negligible enough for adopting the stable moving of the effective mass $\left(\xi \cdot m_{2}+m_{3}\right)$ on quasi-elliptic Kepler's orbit around their common centre of masses with the 2-nd body $m$.

If the total sum of dimensionless parameters $(\xi+\eta) \rightarrow 0$ is negligible then equation (1.5) should describe a quasi-circle orbit of 3-rd body (Moon) around the 2 -nd body $m z$ (Planet). Let us consider the proper examples of exceeding of negligibility case above (Tab.1) [12]:

1. Charon-Pluto: $(\xi+\eta)=(0.0062+124,620) \cdot 10^{-6}$, eccentricity 0.00
2. Nereid-Neptune: $(\xi+\eta)=(\mathbf{3 5 . 8 1}+0,29) \cdot 10^{-6}$, $\quad$ eccentricity $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 0 7}$
3. Triton-Neptune: $(\xi+\eta)=(0.01+210) \cdot 10^{-6}$, $\quad$ eccentricity 0.000016
4. Iapetus-Saturn: $(\xi+\eta)=(\mathbf{5 4 . 4 6}+3.4) \cdot 10^{-6}$, $\quad$ eccentricity 0.0286
5. Titan-Saturn: $\quad(\xi+\eta)=(2.2+240) \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad$ eccentricity 0.0288
6. Io-Jupiter: $\quad(\xi+\eta)=(0.168+47) \cdot 10^{-6}$, eccentricity 0.0041
7. Callisto-Jupiter: $(\xi+\eta)=(14.89+58) \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad$ eccentricity 0.0074
8. Ganymede-Jupiter: $(\xi+\eta)=(2.73+79) \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad$ eccentricity 0.0013
9. Phobos-Mars: $\quad(\xi+\eta)=(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2 1 7}+\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0 2}) \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad$ eccentricity 0.0151
10. Moon-Earth: $\quad(\xi+\eta)=(\mathbf{5 , 5 3 2}+\mathbf{1 2 , 3 0 0}) \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad$ eccentricity 0.0549

The obvious extreme exception is the Nereid (moon of Neptune) from this scheme: Nereid orbits Neptune in the prograde direction at an average distance of $5,513,400 \mathrm{~km}$, but its high eccentricity of 0.7507 takes it as close as $1,372,000 \mathrm{~km}$ and as far as $9,655,000 \mathrm{~km}$ [12].

The unusual orbit suggests that it may be either a captured asteroid or Kuiper belt object, or that it was an inner moon in the past and was perturbed during the capture of Neptune's largest moon Triton [12]. One could suppose that the orbit of Nereid should be derived preferably from the assumptions of R4BP (the case of Restricted Four-Body Problem) or more complicated cases.

As we can see from consideration above, only in case of the Earth's Moon such a dimensionless key parameters increase to the crucial meaning $\xi=0.0055$ and $\eta=$ 0.0123, $(\xi+\eta)=0.0178$. It means that the orbit for relative motion of the Moon in regard to the Earth could not be considered as quasi-circle orbit and should be considered as strictly elliptic orbit with the effective mass $\left(\xi \cdot m_{2}+m_{3}\right)$ placed in the centre of mass for the Moon.

As we know [3-4], the elements of that elliptic orbit depend on the position of the common centre of masses for 3-rd small body (Moon) and the planet (Earth). But
such a position of their common centre of mass should obviously differ for the real mass $m_{3}$ and the effective mass $\left(\xi \cdot m_{2}+m_{3}\right)$ placed in the centre of mass of the 3-rd body (Moon). So, the elliptic orbit of motion of the Moon derived from the assumtions of R3BP should differ from the elliptic orbit which could be obtained from the assumtions of R2BP (the case of Restricted Two-Body Problem: it means mutual moving of 2 gravitating masses without the influence of other central forces).

## Conclusion.

We have considered the equations of motion of three-body problem in a Lagrange form (which means a consideration of relative motions of 3-bodies in regard to each other). Analyzing such a system of equations, we consider in details the case of moon's motion of negligible mass $m_{3}$ around the 2-nd of two giant-bodies $m_{1}, m_{2}$ (which are rotating around their common centre of masses on Kepler's trajectories), the mass of which is assumed to be less than the mass of central body.

Under assumtions of R3BP, we obtain the equations of motion which describe the relative mutual motion of the centre of mass of 2-nd giant-body $m_{2}$ (Planet) and the centre of mass of 3 -rd body (Moon) with additional effective mass $\xi \cdot m_{2}$ placed in that centre of mass $\left(\xi \cdot m_{2}+m_{3}\right)$, where $\xi$ is the dimensionless dynamical parameter. They should be rotating around their common centre of masses on Kepler's elliptic orbits.

For negligible effective mass $\left(\xi \cdot m_{2}+m_{3}\right)$ it gives equations of motion which should describe a quasi-circle orbit of 3-rd body (Moon) around the 2-nd body $m z$ (Planet) for most of the moons of the Planets in Solar system. But the orbit of Earth's Moon should be considered as strictly quasi-elliptic motion for the effective mass $0.0178 \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ placed in the centre of mass for the 3-rd body (Moon). The position of their common centre of masses should obviously differ for the real mass $m_{3}=0.0123 \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ and for the effective mass $(0.0055+0.0123) \cdot m z$ placed in the centre of mass of the Moon.
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