

Dimas Sulo

Talking Sense into Nonsense



1 Knowledge of God is Power to withstand Evil

God says: “Then came the disciples to Jesus apart, and said, Why could not we cast him out? And Jesus said unto them, Because of your unbelief” (Matthew 17 KJV). The problem with proofs today, what they are leaving possibility of No-God idol. Preacher says in YouTube, what there is certainly the moral law. Good deed this. But he preacher spoils all by adding: the best explanation is God. No, brother, there is no room for “No God” paganism! Our freedom is not absence of sure proofs, but the choice between mind and hellish madness. Why? God leaves us no excuse to go hell:

“For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness; Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things... And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient” (Romans 1 KJV).

2 Mind, freewill and conscious

Christian: “we have no choice, but to love the God like the pets love us. Where is Freewill then?” Define the freewill. Certainly you will not find it in the confused agnostical Science. So everyone (and atheist) agrees with Christian definition, because there is no alternative definition. Definition of theists: Freewill is gift from God.

These three (Mind, freewill and conscious) are the single gift of life from God

(“Then the Lord God formed a man from the dust of the ground and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and the man became a living being”. Genesis 2:7 NIV), which separates us from our pets. Latter-s are guided by instincts, the beautiful one is love towards us.

One can not hate own parents (especially the God), one can not hate own mother. You exist because of parents, so hating latter-s you hate oneself. Thus, you hate everything about you, so you hate the hatred to parents. Latter means, you love the parents. But the fact of hatred mean, what you have lost the mind and become bio-robot, a satan’s doll, which engine is hatred. Indeed, if person hates and loves the parents, then the logical mistake tells us, what there is no mind in person. If for person it is no meter the parents live or they are dead, then the person has not excluded the own non-existence. (The hatred means, what the person excludes and not tolerates a wrong thing.) Therefore, there is logical mistake, and, thus, person is satan’s doll.

I was born as mind-full child, thus I was born already as theist. I was theist in my mother’s belly. In Soviet Darwin-istic School my mind was killed by the total madness: atheism. Many years have passed by. I was lucky not to die in the atheism. I was supernaturally healed by Jesus (from atheism, I had no medical cancer), only because my mother has prayed like only loving mother can do. Before that happy day I was accepting no reason. Thank You, Merciful Lord!

The known song “I love to hate You” is the crazy prayer of atheist to God, isn’t it? This was the song from God, I think. Therefore, it can be true. This is song about atheists’ feeling. They deal so hardly with saying “No God”, what they need Him even more, than we do. This song is not about demons, because God does not send to them “lovers”, latters are me and other nice people.

3 “No God” is idol

Nobody can serve no god. The idol of atheism is accepted false information about the God. Therefore they are pagans (recall the Spaghetti church of atheists???). Be simple: only two kinds of people, you are pagan or True

Christian.

Atheist: “we are not pagans”, “we believe to be not pagans”. Me: “What error has my proof of pagan atheism then? You just say: Dima is wrong. It is not very informative. I am wrong in what place of the proof above?” To atheist’s reply: “It can be put short and simple, without lost of information: Dima is wrong. No else you have explained. Why are you not agnostic?! You must have the proof to come to atheism.”

4 Word “God” is holy and alife!

The NYTimes writes about Dr. Flew’s feeling in atheism: The word “God” is too vague to be meaningful. Why then it is used in this sentence?! Thus, the word is not vague. What is then the problem? It is inside the atheism. The madness. According to Hawking, the atheists are mindless bio-robots without freewill. Thus, it is madness. So, if they are without freewill, but act as they have one, then the robots are directed by freewill creatures. The devils. Are the devils mad? Yes, because source of mind is God, not the satan. Demons hate the God, so they hate being meaningful. It is their free choice made long ago. So it is hardly to say, what devils have freewill. What is then their engine? It is the hate towards the God. The time when theist accepts the madness: “No God”, he immediately loses the mind and becomes will-less robot, driven by demons, latters are driven by hate. It is impossible for theists to heal any atheist without the bloody prayers to Jesus the Saviour. Sentence: “perhaps God is not existent” is “perhaps I am fool.” Repent like Dr. A. Flew has tried!

Without such strong prayers the mind of PhD Anthony Flew (elite educated philosopher) has directed him to another form of atheism – the deism. To deist. You know now, what God exists and, thus, you hate the atheism (because that the faith does: you are not tolerant to false teachings). Let us move further. God is God, because He is superior than man. Therefore, God is all-powerful. Latter is not possible without omnipresence. Latter means, what God is inside our Universe also. Deist says, that God is inactive inside the Universe. Inactive life-form is dead one. Therefore, there is no God in deism. Thus, latter is

atheism. Dear human, who repents like the Anthony Flew: you hate the atheism now with all your guts (like the Flew hates), so you MUST move to the theistic area.

Dr. Flew has got saying the true line: “God exists” with devastating after-taste: “I am deist”. Bio-robot? See: you can not define God without keeping in mind His existence. Thus, the God exists. Welcome all you in theism!

Ignostic says: God is undefinable. This to say is not possible, unless word “God” is defined. Agnostic says: no knowledge of God yet. He used word “God”, so he has knowledge of Him. Agnostic says: God is unprovable. God and afterlife are provable in afterlife. Therefore agnostic says: “no God”, this is atheism. Conclusion: the knowledge of God is the undeletable basis of all people. That is why the atheists judge what is right and what is wrong: there are no right no wrong in God-less mind. Such mind does not exist. Thus, all minds are created by God. Like the Sun is meant to shine, the atheists, including satan, can not reject God out of the mind. Quote: “No, they don’t claim that they know that God exists. They don’t believe that they have that knowledge.” Because they have lost the mind. Jesus through me recovers it for you. Be grateful and repent like Anthony Flew! Ignostic: “I was pointing out a definition that is made of religious...”. The God is defined by theists. By using word “God” and having no alternative definition, ignostic agrees to theistic definition. Proof ends. Welcome to theism!

Theist: “God is the creator and ruler of the universe” Ignostic: Untestable and unfalsifiable religious claims. This is useless as a definition. Not true, it is the definition. You refer, what God is absent, thus he can not be King. But theist used verb “is”, this verb means “existence”. Therefore, the definition reads: “God am Who exists and Who rules.” What is your problem with it now, blind God-definer? There is no God in the mind of atheist or ignostic, because they are violation of God’s definition. The violation of definitions is madness. Do not hear these sick people too much.

Let us see your theistic definition, dear “atheist”:

“God is a metaphysically transcendent being that with awareness and intelligence has created and/or orders our physical universe.”

I see: God has created our universe.

If there was no God, then God has not created our universe. This is logical mistake. Thus, the God am. Proof ends.

5 Eastern Orthodox Christianity is true to God

There is one general choice: be mind-less fool, or be mind-full. I have not violated my mind in Eastern Orthodox Christianity. Thus, my path is mind-full. Therefore, the Eastern Orthodox Christianity is true.

How one could violate the mind? Consider mental cases. If man believes, what he is Jesus, then tell him from Bible. Or ask him to talk in Spanish. If man believes, what he is king, then ask him about his blue-blooded relatives.

“I can say the same about my non-Eastern Orthodox faith.” Then, you are saying, what I am crazy. All issue is in the mind. The one, who has problem with it, is crazy. I am not crazy: my achievements! I have not violated or lied in my mind. Therefore, the God is Eastern Orthodox!

Sinner: ““I have not violated or lied in my mind” does not equal “therefore my beliefs must be true”.”

Define the Truth then. I am happy with my mind, and I am constantly using it. So why my mind is wrong? Just because you have closed an eye on some thing in your false religion? I am not crazy - thus, my mind is true to God.

Non-orthodox: “to form a Coherent understanding of His Creation and of Himself”. Our faith is Eastern Orthodox Christianity (“Holy Orthodoxy: The Ancient Church of Acts in the 21st Century”, YouTube). If you, outsider, have “coherent” faith You must destroy my faith. Because they are different and true faith hates any false teaching.

Christian: “Dmitri... assert that Christians of other parishes, denominations, or churches are less than Christian.”

The Verity exists. Proof: if “No Verity” is True, then it is Verity. Proof ends. Saviour Jesus prays to the Father: “I have given them the glory that you gave me, that they may be one as we are one I in them and you in meso that they may be brought to complete unity. Then the world will know that

you sent me and have loved them even as you have loved me.” (John 17 NIV). I see here no commandment to Christians to glue the different names to word “Christ”. Moreover: Holy Spirit says: “My brothers and sisters, some from Chloes household have informed me that there are quarrels among you. What I mean is this: One of you says, I follow Paul; another, I follow Apollos; another, I follow Cephas; still another, I follow Christ. Is Christ divided? Was Paul crucified for you? Were you baptized in the name of Paul?” (1 Corinthians 1 NIV). One can not melt together Catholicism and Orthodoxy: in first Holy Spirit originates from Son, in second originates not. Therefore, there is no God Holy Spirit in melted “Christianity”. If I will be banned because of Truth, I will be glorified by Holy Spirit. Thank You. Or you suggest to reject the knowledge of Holy Spirit’s origin? And some other knowledge? And some other knowledge?... Then you will end in atheism/solipsism.

Atheist to theist about me: “Dude, you are going light years over his head. Don’t even bother.”

If it is so, then he is not willing to give out his secret teaching, which some people can never penetrate. Therefore, according to this theist there are at least two Isolated Paradises, and, thus, the two rulers - gods. That is not the true, so my BROTHER must introduce me his “proof making” idea. It is warring sign, what Christian forums hate to do the apologetics and is prosecuting us (the ban of conversations) in doing apologetics. Then the CF is accepting the atheism as the (near zero) possibility?

6 Any straw-man as God is paganism

Christian to atheist: “How are you going to say that something which was purposefully intended to be fictitious is something I can’t prove?”

Good one, brother. Same is with Spaghetti Monster or with the Russell’s teapot. The atheists have invented these objects to kill the True God. To kill God is needed another god. Therefore, the atheists are pagans. Proof ends.

Atheist: “Bertrand Russell isn’t “killing” your God with another god. He’s not even disproving God with this disclosure. He’s only explaining in what

sense he's an atheist."

I bet many theists are in hell, killed by Russell's heavy teapot. The madness is contagious. Many dead theists have not become the Eastern Orthodox because of teapot. So, they are killed. Therefore: Holy Spirit was killed in them. Recall: "God is dead" (the Friedrich N., starter of Nihilism).

Atheist: "I do not see how one places trust in what appears to be, by every objective measure, fictional. Do you send letters to Santa, in hope of getting free stuff at Christmas?"

Santa is the God now?! It is the pagan fallacy: the "great and powerful" straw-man.

7 The madness is there catching the theists

Atheist: "Are people who disagree with you, mindless fools?"

So it is now wise to reject God and go to hell? No.

Atheist: "Which would mean, the vast majority of the world's population, is doomed according to this guy."

Wrong modern Majority is false argument: The Father, The Son and The Holy Spirit were the only ones before the Universe came. And they were happy.

Sinner: "I am not crazy - thus, my mind is true to Buddha." The man Buddha was atheist, isn't it? The modern Buddhism is not the atheism anymore, isn't it?

The use of mind on eastern philosophy can be devastating: If all is illusion, then this sentence we seeing is not illusion. We came to contradiction, thus, all around can not be illusion. Proof ends.

Then if you now try to defeat this obvious Truth, then you, who have gotten this knowledge from me, is violating and raping own mind. This way the false religions arise: dumb rape of the minds. God Jesus says: and they did NOT THINK, until the flood came and killed them all (Matthew 24:39 synodal)

8 Hostile Attacks against the Mind

sinner: “The nature of most world religions is that they are mostly grounded in allegedly external (outside-of-one’s self) absolutes (e.g. deity supposedly did this in the external world, supposedly transmitted that holy text in the external world...”

Here you have confusing fault: “external (outside-of-one’s self)”, which without our intervention will cause many theists to fall and go to hell. It sounds like “external (outside-of-the Religion itself)”, but it is only master illusion. Serious work devil is conducting. You are demoniacal, aren’t you?

sinner: “That is typical of theists. They like to think they are special.”

yes, we theists are not atheists. Atheism is trendy now. Thus, theists are special. Moreover, the atheists have lost the Holy Trinity, the theists have kept His “light yoke”. Proof ends.

Theist: “it is better to believe that God exists”

So its better to be in Paradise, than in hell. Can you prove this statement? In first step define the word “better”. The better is the God’s preference of one thing and rejecting the other. So, we already need God to decide what is better. You simply suggesting to atheist simply get to be theist. That is starting point here. They can not hear us, the army of evil spirits is blocking their common sense.

Atheist: “So says the man without common sense.”

Man without brain is saying, what you have departed from the common sense. This is PARADOX. How one can solve this? Just by ignorance to forget (and get to know the hell)?

9 Does the Existence exist?

There is major problem in Science: someone trusts, someone doesn’t think about, what the World exists. But Science so high respects illusion teaching of India people, what dears not to prove existence of the Existence.

I am not so tolerant. If the World does not exist, then this sentence is true.

Thus, it is real. Therefore, I came to contradiction. Then the World does exist. Proof ends. Objection: the Existence exists partly: some information about things is true and exists, but the things do not. Information about illusion is not true: “the apple is red, but there is no apple”, thus the true information about thing means, that the thing is not illusion. Defense is done.

Now, the Science can start to gain knowledge, not the illusions.

“There are infinitely many unknown, thus we know nothing.” Dear Podosenov, there are many things we know for sure. 1) Russia won in World War II, isn't it, dear Russian patriot?

10 Proofs are often wrongly called “arguments”

The arguments (and evidences) are not proofs. Do you agree? But they are proofs. So we have PARADOX. Solution: we call proofs “arguments”, because to any sentence like “ $2+2=4$ ” the demoniacal atheists say: “nonsense”, “your logic fails”. It is pure madness. But we know line of reason, thus, they are proofs. Here are not arguments, but proofs. Atheist: “I would not say “nonsense” to a sentence like “ $2+2=4$ ””.

1) “not proofs, but proofs” is PARADOX of Christians, who have once dealt with atheists. This paradox is making them the new atheists with some lapse of time. Why otherwise your kind is so trendy?! 2) Let us check: God am, because we are. You: “nonsense, give me evidence”.

Christian: “Here is the definition I found for proof: “evidence or argument establishing or helping to establish a fact or the truth of a statement” ...or as you say “a line of reason”. So I agree that arguments and evidences are proofs, but what Pascal was addressing was evidence that would *compel* one to accept the truth of God's existence, and that is usually the standard that I find atheists hold to. They are determined not to acknowledge that God exists unless compelled to do so. But that is not the way of God and incidentally Pascal addresses that subject also in the Pensees... Many unbelievers (by definition hostile to the Gospel) have considered various objective evidences and often reluctantly come to believe in the existence of God.”

“I apparently have a different view of epistemology than you do.”

Brother, thank you. Can you tell me more about your version of “proof making”? IF GOD really exists, we atheist needs proof of Him, not an argument nor evidence. The existent subject can be proved beyond all doubts. The God is omnipresent, thus it is not hard to accomplish. Our freedom is not the lack of rigid proof, but the choice between madness and mind. The God made us with mind, with heart. One must not left mind behind entering the gates of Heaven. The Christian do that, and thus, our vineyard (all the planet) produces not good fruits, but: homosexuals, false “Christian” religions, atheists, etc. Here is proof of God, what are the wrong parts? See:

Your car had good property: existence. The thief came and now car is non-existent, it is only one (bad) property of the car. Your car is stolen now. We are existent. God is superior, than us, thus the God is existent with absolute certainty.

11 Evil vs. Good

It is huge work of pretend, decay, poisoning of society, and self-decay. This does evil. Evil is not reliable, because it always like virus mutates (atheist in pagan Spaghetti Monster sect) and adjusts to destroy good.

No good no evil means, what there is no observer. This was observed, thus there is observer and there is good. If all cause is natural, then this sentence was caused supernaturally. Therefore, there are observers with freewill.

If there is only evil, This truth is good (because evil is not reliable). Therefore, there is good.

The evil destroys good, but there is good. Thus, there is good God.

Christian: “I agree with much of what you say,”

Why not with all? What is causing problem? Why are you humiliating my intellect in front of atheists?!

Atheist: “Which god is the good god? Atlas, Shiva, Allah? Please try to be specific.”

The God exists. Why to be more specific? To get to know God better?

12 Even the Comets “talk” sense into us

Christian: “Short-Lived Comets The problem for evolution is that if short period comets only last about 10,000 years, and the solar system is 5 billion years old, then there should not be any of these comets left in existence.” Atheist: “comets may originate in the Oort cloud... to get your scientific knowledge.”

If God probably non-existent, then we are probably fools. Do you agree? How you can put God in doubt by fictitious cloud? The PARADOX. Solution: the moment man says “No God” he loses the mind and talks about fictitious cloud. The cloud is by fact the fictitious, but God exists. If you reject this statement, you have the “mind” attached to satan. You used word “God”, why? Because you know, He exists. Otherwise you are mind-less robot, as the Hawking sees the things. The engine of atheist is not the freewill and reason, but the sick instincts, including rejection of hated God.

Christian George about the non-existence of Oort cloud: “(1) It has never been observed, and should be regarded as an evolutionary prediction; (2) The calculated motions of comets do not match well with any predictions based on the Oort Cloud; and (3) Cometary evidence does not support the existence of an Oort cloud. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, Vol. 31, 1974 p:385-401”.

13 Nihilism vs. Good God

Ladies and gentlemen, In 2014 was wrongly proved, that Black Holes are not (Prof. Laura). Was wrongly proved in 2015(?), what absurd called “Schrödinger’s cat” is real. Now one “proves” problem with thermal mission (*Progress in Physics*, v. 11, p.120-132, (2015), www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2015/PP-41-04.PDF). What is the root of evil? The right proofs of God and the right proofs of Nature are rejected (www.researchgate.net/post/Proofs_for_God_good_vs_evil_freewill_are_here_arent_they) (www.researchgate.net/post/Does_the_Existence_exist). But not by me. Best, Dmitri Martila.

14 I have reason to become extremist.

Pagan: "This reminds me... of extremism."

One quickly becomes extremist in any danger to his life. If atheist converts me, I am gone into hell. Very dangerous to my life here. SO, yes, extremism. In war against Hitler how many Russian extremists would you kill? They are very extremistic just you to know.