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Abstract:
The development of Satellite Imagery and associated analysis has opened up avenues in applications as diverse as meteorology, navigation, warfare and biodiversity. Motivated by this trend, the present article explores the application of nonlinear analysis tools such as entropy and fractal dimension in satellite imagery of the 29 states of India. It is seen that sharp and rich variations in terrain from green to arid or snow-clad mountainous regions contribute to high entropy, while rich variations in borders and varying scales of vegetation patches correspond to high fractal dimensions. The results obtained thus illustrate the significance and relevance of nonlinear analysis tools such as entropy and fractal dimension in satellite imagery.
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1. Introduction
The understanding of various atmospheric, biospheric, hydrospheric and lithospheric properties of our home, the planet Earth, has increased tremendously in recent years, thanks single handedly to Satellite Imagery [1-9]. Satellite images have found widespread application such as meteorology, oceanography, fishing, agriculture, biodiversity conservation, forestry, landscape, geology, cartography, regional planning, education, intelligence and warfare [8-9].

Owing to the costs of developing and maintaining a suitable infrastructure, Satellite Imagery has always been initiated and controlled by National Governments, International Collaborations and High-end Businesses [10-13]. However, tools such as Google Maps have been effective in bringing the power of this technology to the common man [14-15].

Recently, scientists have pondered over the possibility of using Satellite Imagery to forecast and predict natural disasters and catastrophes, such as earthquakes, cyclones and tsunamis, and subsequently also enhance the quality of satellite imagery based meteorology [8-13]. It is seen that, to achieve this, the various features and terrains and their association to satellite imagery must be established as a preliminary step.

It is in this light that the present article outlines the application of nonlinear analysis tools such as Entropy and Fractal Dimension to satellite imagery. As a study subject, the satellite images of the 29 states of the country of India, as obtained from Google Maps are used. The significance of the parameters, as well as their correlations with other key statistics such as area and elevation are outlined. The results obtained thus illustrate the significance and relevance of nonlinear analysis tools such as entropy and fractal dimension in satellite imagery.
2. Methodology

The base platform for the nonlinear analysis discussed in the present work consists of satellite images of the 29 Indian states, as obtained from Google Maps. For each state, the uncropped satellite image focusing on the corresponding state location is used as the base image. A sample of such an image is shown for the state of Rajasthan in Fig. 1.

![Satellite Image of Rajasthan](image)

This image is read into MATLAB as a collection of three 2-Dimensional arrays, corresponding to the intensity distributions of red, green and blue. The nonlinear analysis then comprises of the following two techniques:

1. Entropy: A standard chaotic characterization measure, this is essentially a statistical measure of the uncertainty in the signal. By assigning each of the $N$ quantifiable states of the amplitude of $C$ as an event ‘$i$’, the Entropy $E$ obtained depends on their probabilities ‘$p_i$’ according to the relation [16-18]:

$$
E = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log (p_i) \ (\text{bits/symbol})
$$

2. Fractal Dimension: The fractal/self-similar nature of a signal is further confirmed by computing the fractal dimension, using the Minkowski Bouligand Box Counting Method. In this method, various square ‘boxes’ of different sizes $e$ are formed and for each size $e$, the number of boxes $N(e)$ required to cover the entire set is computed. The fractal dimension is then given by [19-20]:

$$
D = \lim_{e \to 0} \frac{\log (N(e))}{\log (e)}
$$

For the satellite images under consideration, the entropy is calculated for each of the 2D arrays, corresponding to R, G and B intensity distributions. The average of these 3 values gives the entropy of the image. However, the fractal dimension is computed directly in 3D.
3. Results and Discussion

The table of obtained entropies and fractal dimensions is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>6.6818</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>6.4657</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>7.2466</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>6.4214</td>
<td>0.7925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>6.7967</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>5.8658</td>
<td>0.7925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>6.6301</td>
<td>1.4534</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>6.7092</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattisgarh</td>
<td>6.5141</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>Odisha</td>
<td>6.6284</td>
<td>1.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>5.9606</td>
<td>1.7925</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>6.5486</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>6.8047</td>
<td>1.4534</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>7.066</td>
<td>1.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>6.667</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>7.7576</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>7.6382</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
<td>Tamilnadu</td>
<td>6.9439</td>
<td>1.7925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>7.5337</td>
<td>1.5646</td>
<td>Telangana</td>
<td>6.7456</td>
<td>1.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>6.4915</td>
<td>1.5646</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>6.3188</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>6.6426</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>6.9518</td>
<td>1.4534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>6.5025</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>7.3694</td>
<td>1.4534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>6.5671</td>
<td>1.4534</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>6.8436</td>
<td>1.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>6.4231</td>
<td>1.4534</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following can be inferred from the table:

1. States which are predominantly mountainous, such as Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Arunachal Pradesh and Sikkim exhibit the highest entropy values, which lead to the inference that entropy is a measure of terrain height variations, since these mountainous states have highly varying terrains from plains to snow capped mountain peaks, as seen in Fig. 2.

Figure 2 Satellite Images of J&K, Uttarakhand (top) Himachal, Sikkim and Arunachal Pradesh (bottom)
2. The fact that entropy is a measure of overall variation in terrain and not just height variation is illustrated by the fact that Mizoram, Goa, Tripura and Meghalaya exhibit the lowest entropy values. This is because, in these states, while there are hills and valleys, vegetation cover is more or less uniform (seen as green in the images), with arid (brown/golden) or snow-capped regions (white) hardly seen, as in Fig. 3.

![Figure 3 Satellite Images of Meghalaya (top) Goa, Mizoram and Tripura (bottom)](image3)

3. The mean value of entropy is obtained at 6.749, and the states closest to this value are Nagaland, Telangana, Assam and Gujarat. As seen in Fig. 4, these states are characterized by a moderate...
amount of terrain variation, with predominance of greenery (as in Nagaland and Assam) or aridity (as in Gujarat and Telangana), with the other terrain forms interspersed in between.

4. The only cases of sub-unity fractal dimension are seen in Mizoram and Meghalaya. In accordance with Fig. 3, these states are characterized by a largely uniform greenery dominant terrain with very few variations. Thus, there is little scope for self-similar patterns in a multiscale resolution. Also, the aspect ratios of these two states are unique in that Meghalaya and Mizoram span large longitudes and large latitudes respectively.

5. Tripura, Karnataka, Haryana and Himachal Pradesh exhibit unity fractal dimensions. This is because, these states are characterized by either nearly uniform landscapes (as in Tripura), or landscapes which show a directional gradient (green to arid eastward in Karnataka, snowy to green southward in Himachal Pradesh and green to arid westward in Haryana). In such cases, while some form of fractal resembling branching is seen in the transition, as in Haryana, the self similarity does not spread through the entire area to warrant a significant fractal dimension.

6. The highest fractal dimension is seen for Goa and Tamilnadu. In the case of Goa, striata of near-hemispherical arid patches are seen interspersed into the greenery, and this fact, along with the coastline and the state border of Goa contribute to the high D value. In the case of Tamilnadu, self-similarity can be seen in its coastline reminiscent of a human face, in the four protrusions towards the Bay of Bengal at Chennai, Nagapattinam, Rameshwaram and Thoothukudi, resembling the forehead, nose, mouth and chin respectively. Additionally, the patches of dense greenery as seen in Nilgiris, Kollimalai and Kodaikanal are all of different areas, allowing scope for multiscale self-similarity.

7. The mean fractal dimension is obtained as 1.468, and the states closest to this value are Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra. These states exhibit moderate amounts of self-similarity both in respect of borders and vegetation patches.

8. As seen from Fig. 6, one notes a significant positive correlation between the entropies of states and the maximum height (metres) recorded in those states, in accordance with the first inference.
that variations in terrain height contribute to entropy. While such a significant correlation is absent for fractal dimensions, it is observed that the states having high fractal dimensions do possess high values of maximum heights.

4. Conclusion
Motivated by the increasing popularity and applications of satellite imagery, the present article explores nonlinear analysis in satellite images of the 29 states of India. Specifically, two parameters, entropy and fractal dimension are considered, and computed for the 29 states. The inferences are discussed, where it is found that sharp and rich variations in terrain from green to arid or snow-clad mountainous regions contribute to high entropy, while rich variations in borders and varying scales of vegetation patches correspond to high fractal dimensions. The results obtained thus illustrate the significance and relevance of nonlinear analysis tools such as entropy and fractal dimension in satellite imagery.
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