



Close

From: Cook, Nigel B
To: 'ivorcatt@electromagnetism.demon.co.uk'
Cc:
Subject: FW: Your_manuscript LZ8276 Cook
Sent: 22/01/2003 21:15

Importance: Normal

-----Original Message-----

From: Cook, Nigel B
To: 'Physical Review Letters '
Sent: 22/01/03 20:57
Subject: RE: Your_manuscript LZ8276 Cook

Dear Dr Stanley G. Brown,

Thank you for your attempted "reply" attaching the email of Richard Price, your Divisional Associate editor, stating:

"You are proposing a very nonstandard mechanism for gravity".

This remark is mistaken, for the whole point is that there is no standard mechanism for gravity. If there is, what is it?

Senior executives at Physical Review Letters, a journal of the American Physical Society, cannot make the response that my mechanism is "nonstandard" despite the fact that there is no alternative.

It is noteworthy that neither you nor your colleague appear aware of the lack of a current mechanism of gravity. You imply that there is one, and therefore no need exists for another mechanism which is less pretty.

A great many people would like to know what the standard mechanism of gravity is, and to see the proof proof for it deriving the gravity constant mathematically.

I will publish elsewhere, and refer to your remarks if anyone asks why you will not publish it. This correspondence is now closed.

Best wishes.

Yours sincerely,

NB Cook

-----Original Message-----

From: Physical Review Letters
To: s0210616@glos.ac.uk
Sent: 22/01/03 14:13
Subject: Your_manuscript LZ8276 Cook

Re: LZ8276

Proof of the mechanism of gravity
by N. Bryan Cook

N. Bryan Cook
Challinor 49
Univ. of Gloucestershire
POB 220, The Park
Cheltenham, GL50 2QF
UNITED KINGDOM

Dear Mr. Cook,

The complete file concerning the above manuscript has been reviewed by a Divisional Associate Editor. The enclosed comments advise against publication in Physical Review Letters. The Editors accept this advice.

Your appeal has been considered, and our decision to reject is maintained.

Yours sincerely,

Stanley G. Brown
Editor
Physical Review Letters
Email: prl@aps.org
Fax: 631-591-4141
<http://prl.aps.org/>

Report of the Divisional Associate Editor -- LZ8276/Cook

Dear Mr. Cook:

As a Divisional Associate Editor, I have received your appeal of the decision not to have your paper reviewed. I have read your paper and the communications between you and the editors.

I fully agree with the decision that your paper not be reviewed. You are proposing a very nonstandard mechanism for gravity, and you introduce features of this mechanism in ad hoc ways. There is no clear (or at the very least, clearly stated) mechanism that is applicable to all physical

situations. I disagree with your claim that the mechanism/model follows from experimental evidence.

Your mechanism/model is highly nonstandard and, as such, needs very thorough justification. Physical Review Letters is not the appropriate journal for such a presentation.

Sincerely,

Richard Price
Divisional Associate Editor
Physical Review Letters

Please see the following forms:

<http://forms.aps.org/author/resubpolicy-prl.pdf>
Resubmittal Policy (PRL)