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#### Abstract

: We obtained two interesting congruence relations related to Wilson's theorem.

\section*{Introduction:}

In[1] David M.Burton , Elementary Number Theory,[93] 2007 John Wilson has proved following theorem i. $(\mathrm{p}-1)!+1$ is divisible by p , where p is any prime number $(p-1)!\equiv-1(\bmod p)$ ii. $(\mathrm{p}-2)!-1$ is divisible by p , where p is any prime number $(\mathrm{p}-2)!\equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{p})$

Wilson appears to have guessed this on the basis of numerical computations; at any rate neither he nor Waring knew how to prove it. Confessing his inability to supply a demonstration, Waring added " Theorems of this kind will be very hard to prove, because of the absence of a notation to express prime numbers."

As an extension of the above theorem we obtained the following results in the empirical process.


## Main Results

Proposition 1:
$(\mathrm{p}-3)!-\frac{(p-1)}{2}$ is divisible by p , where p is any prime number greater than 2
$(p-3)!\equiv \frac{(p-1)}{2}(\bmod p)$
Table i.
$\mathrm{R}=$ Remainder
$\mathrm{p}=$ Prime number

| Prime Number (p) | Remainder(R) in (p-3)! |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 |
| 7 | 3 |
| 31 | 15 |
| 47 | 23 |
| 97 | 48 |
| 641 | 320 |
| 997 | 498 |
| 1277 | 638 |
| 1933 | 966 |
| 2647 | 1323 |
| 6257 | 3128 |
| 30529 | 15264 |
| 1213253 | 606626 |
| 1215649 | 607824 |
| 15,485,863 | 7742931 |
| 32,452,843 | 16226421 |
| 49,979,687 | 24989843 |
| 295,075,147 | 147537573 |
| 472,882,027 | 236441013 |
| 533,000,389 | 266500194 |
| 920,419,813 | 460209906 |
| 982,451,653 | 491225826 |
| 961,748,941 | 480874470 |
| 4,294,967,296 | 2147483648 |

Proposition 2:
As we know any prime number greater than 3 can be expressed as ( $6 \mathrm{k}-1$ ) or ( $6 \mathrm{k}+1$ )
Case I:
Let p is primer greater than 3 and of the form ( $6 \mathrm{k}-1$ ) then ( $\mathrm{p}-4$ )! -k is divisible by p $(\mathrm{p}-4)!\equiv \mathrm{k}(\bmod \mathrm{p})$

Table ii.
$\mathrm{R}=$ Remainder $\mathrm{p}=$ Prime number

| Prime number $\mathrm{p}=(6 \mathrm{k}-1)$ | Remainder (R) in (p-4)! =k |
| ---: | :--- |
| 5 | 1 |
| 11 | 2 |
| 29 | 5 |
| 47 | 8 |
| 89 | 15 |
| 197 | 33 |
| 641 | 107 |
| 1229 | 205 |
| 4259 | 710 |
| 9011 | 1502 |
| 560297 | 93383 |
| $49,979,687$ | 8329948 |
| $334,214,459$ | 55702410 |
| $817,504,253$ | 136250709 |

## Case II:

Let p is prime number greater than 3 and of the form $6 \mathrm{k}+1$ then ( $\mathrm{p}-4$ )! -5 k is divisible by p $(\mathrm{p}-4)!\equiv 5 \mathrm{k}(\bmod \mathrm{p})$

Table iii.

| Prime Number $\mathrm{p}=(6 \mathrm{k}+1)$ | Remainder(R) in $(\mathrm{p}-4)!=5 \mathrm{k}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 6 |
| 31 | 26 |
| 97 | 81 |
| 571 | 476 |
| 2719 | 2266 |
| 12073 | 10061 |
| 30661 | 25551 |
| 538333 | 448611 |
| 1213129 | 1010941 |
| $15,485,863$ | 12904886 |
| $32,452,843$ | 27044036 |
| $920,419,813$ | $767,016,511$ |

## Conclusion and Remarks:

I followed the empirical process and we got the above results. We tried our best and checked up to some high extent using prime numbers. We believe that we can prove those propositions using some advanced Number theory techniques.
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