

# Is microcanonical ensemble stable?

Yichen Huang (黄溢辰)\*

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA  
ychuang@caltech.edu

January 3, 2017

## Abstract

No, in a rigorous sense specified below.

## 1 Introduction

For the purpose of this work, it suffices to work with a chain of  $n$  spins (qudits), each of which has local dimension  $d = \Theta(1)$ . We are given a local Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j$  with open boundary conditions, where  $\|H_j\| = O(1)$  acts on the spins  $j$  and  $j+1$  (nearest-neighbor interaction). Since the standard bra-ket notation can be cumbersome, in most but not all cases quantum states and their inner products are simply denoted by  $\psi, \phi, \dots$  and  $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle$ , respectively, cf.  $\| |\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle \|^2$  versus  $\|\psi - \phi\|^2$ . Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{d^n}$  be the eigenstates of  $H$  with the corresponding eigenvalues  $E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots \leq E_{d^n}$  in non-descending order. The projector onto the energy window  $[E - \delta, E + \delta]$  is given by

$$P(E, \delta) = \sum_{j: |E_j - E| \leq \delta} |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j|. \quad (1)$$

A microcanonical ensemble is a fundamental concept in statistical mechanics. Throughout this paper, we only consider the physical situation that the bandwidth is (at most) a constant.

**Definition 1** (microcanonical ensemble). An (exact) microcanonical ensemble of energy  $E$  and bandwidth  $2\Delta_e = O(1)$  is the set

$$EXT = \{ \psi : \psi = P(E, \Delta_e) \psi \}. \quad (2)$$

The state in practice may well only be approximately rather than exactly in a microcanonical ensemble. A state is in an approximate microcanonical ensemble if the population “leakage” outside a distance (in the spectrum) from the target energy is exponentially small in the distance.

**Definition 2** (approximate microcanonical ensemble). An approximate microcanonical ensemble of energy  $E$  and bandwidth  $2\Delta_a = O(1)$  is the set

$$APX = \{ \phi : |\langle \phi, P(E, x) \phi \rangle| \geq 1 - O(e^{-x/\Delta_a}), \forall x \geq 0 \}. \quad (3)$$

---

\*We acknowledge funding provided by the Institute for Quantum Information and Matter, an NSF Physics Frontiers Center (NSF Grant PHY-1125565) with support of the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF-2644).

The stability of a microcanonical ensemble can be phrased as follows. Suppose a microcanonical ensemble has a universal physical property in the mathematical sense of an inequality satisfied by all states in  $EXT$ . Is this inequality valid (possibly up to small corrections) for all states in  $APX$ ? If not, the physical property of the microcanonical ensemble is not robust against perturbations.

One might tend to believe that a microcanonical ensemble is stable due to a continuity argument. Given  $\phi \in APX$ , let  $\psi = P(E, \Delta_e)\phi / \|P(E, \Delta_e)\phi\|$  so that  $\psi \in EXT$  and  $|\langle \psi, \phi \rangle| \geq 1 - O(e^{-\Delta_e/\Delta_a})$ . For  $\Delta_a \ll \Delta_e = O(1)$ , the states  $\psi, \phi$  are close to each other, and thus believed to behave similarly. The pitfall of this hand-waving argument is that  $\psi, \phi$  differ only by a small constant, which has the potential of affecting the physics significantly.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the continuity argument (if not combined with more sophisticated reasonings) does not immediately lead to the stability of a microcanonical ensemble.

We show that a microcanonical ensemble is unstable from an entanglement point of view.

**Definition 3** (entanglement entropy). The Renyi entanglement entropy  $R_\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) of a bipartite (pure) quantum state  $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  is defined as

$$R_\alpha(\psi) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \log \text{tr} \rho_A^\alpha, \quad \rho_A = \text{tr}_B \rho_{AB}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho_A$  is the reduced density matrix. The von Neumann entanglement entropy is defined as

$$S(\psi) = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} R_\alpha(\psi). \quad (5)$$

*Remark.* For fixed  $\psi$ , the Renyi entanglement entropy  $R_\alpha$  is a non-increasing function of  $\alpha$ .

We consider the evolution of entanglement entropy across a particular cut.

**Definition 4** (dynamical entanglement scaling exponent). Suppose the state  $\psi_0$  at time  $t = 0$  has bond dimension  $D_0$  across the cut. Let  $z$  be a nonnegative number such that

$$R_\alpha(e^{-iHt}\psi_0) \leq \log D_0 + O(t^z \text{poly} \log t), \quad \forall t. \quad (6)$$

*Remark.* On the right-hand side, the first term is an upper bound on the entanglement of the initial state. Note that  $D_0$  is allowed to grow (even exponentially, e.g.,  $D_0 = d^{n/100}$ ) with the system size. The second term, which involves polylogarithmic corrections due to a technical reason, characterizes the growth of entanglement.

Traditional Lieb-Robinson techniques imply a universal bound  $z \leq 1$  for arbitrary initial states. This bound can (cannot) be improved for states in an exact (approximate) microcanonical ensemble.

**Theorem 1.** *For any initial state  $\psi_0 \in EXT$ , we have  $z \leq 1/2$ , and this bound is tight.*

**Proposition 1.** *There is a Hamiltonian  $H_{XX}$  and an initial state  $\phi_0 \in APX$  such that  $z = 1$ .*

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank John Preskill for an insightful comment.

---

<sup>1</sup>For example, a generic state in a small-constant neighborhood of a product state has volume law for entanglement. The stability of area law for entanglement can be proved, but only if in the presence of additional structure.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

We go beyond traditional Lieb-Robinson techniques using the idea of polynomial approximation. For the dynamics in a microcanonical ensemble, consider the Taylor expansion

$$e^{-iHt}\psi_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} \psi_0 \approx \sum_{k=0}^g \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} \psi_0, \quad (7)$$

where  $E = 0$  is assumed without loss of generality. The truncation error is upper bounded by

$$\sum_{k=g+1}^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} \psi_0 \right\| = \sum_{k=g+1}^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} P(0, \Delta_e) \psi_0 \right\| \leq \sum_{k=g+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(\Delta_e t)^k}{k!} \approx \frac{(e\Delta_e t)^g}{g^g}, \quad (8)$$

which is super-exponentially small in  $g$  for  $g \geq 3\Delta_e t$ . Let  $\tilde{O}(x) := O(x \text{ poly log } x)$  hide a polylogarithmic factor. A polynomial interpolation argument leads to the following result.

**Lemma 1** ([1], Lemma 4.2). *Suppose  $\psi_0$  has bond dimension  $D_0$  across a particular cut. The bond dimension of  $p(H)\psi_0$  across the cut is  $\leq D_0 e^{\tilde{O}(\sqrt{g})}$ , where  $p$  is an arbitrary polynomial of degree  $g$ .*

Combining Lemma 1 with the error estimate (8), a straightforward calculation shows

$$R_\alpha(e^{-iHt}\psi_0) \leq \log D_0 + \tilde{O}(\sqrt{\Delta_e t} + 1/\alpha). \quad (9)$$

Therefore,  $z \leq 1/2$ . To prove the tightness of this bound on  $z$ , it suffices to construct an example that violates the bound  $z \leq 1/2 - \delta$  for any  $\delta > 0$ .

**Proposition 2** ([3]). *Let  $H_{\text{Is}}$  be the Hamiltonian of the critical transverse-field Ising chain with length  $n$ , and  $\psi_0$  be a product state that respects the  $Z_2$  symmetry of  $H_{\text{Is}}$ . The entanglement entropy  $S(e^{-iH_{\text{Is}}t}\psi_0)$  across the middle cut saturates to  $\Omega(n)$  in time  $t = O(n)$ .*

The Hamiltonian  $H'_{\text{Is}} = H_{\text{Is}}/n$  has bandwidth  $O(1)$ . Hence, any state, including  $\psi_0$ , is in a microcanonical ensemble (with respect to  $H'_{\text{Is}}$ ). The entanglement entropy  $S(e^{-iH'_{\text{Is}}t}\psi_0)$  saturates to  $\Omega(n)$  in time  $t = O(n^2)$ . This violates the bound  $z \leq 1/2 - \delta$ .

*Remark.* To approximate the propagator with polynomials, we used the “naive” Taylor expansion, which is known to be non-optimal. The optimal approach is to expand  $e^{-iHt}$  in the basis of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Unfortunately, this only improves the parameters hidden in  $\tilde{O}(\dots)$ . Also, the bound in Lemma 1 is tight up to polylogarithmic corrections due to the tightness of the bound  $z \leq 1/2$ .

## 3 Proof of Proposition 1

Consider the  $XX$  chain of length  $2n$  with a defect in the middle:

$$H_{XX} = (1-\lambda)(\sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y) + \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}(\sigma_n^z - \sigma_{n+1}^z) - \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \left( \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y \right), \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad (10)$$

where  $\sigma_j^x, \sigma_j^y, \sigma_j^z$  are the Pauli matrices at the site  $j$ . Let  $\phi_0 = |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle$  with  $|\uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle^{\otimes n}$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle^{\otimes n}$ . The entanglement entropy across the middle cut grows linearly with time only in the presence of a defect  $\lambda \neq 1$ .

**Proposition 3** ([4]). *In the thermodynamic limit, we have*

$$S(e^{-iHt}\phi_0) = h(\lambda^2)t/(4\pi) + O(\log t), \quad h(x) := -x \ln x - (1-x) \ln(1-x). \quad (11)$$

**Proposition 4.** *The state  $\phi_0$  is in an approximate microcanonical ensemble with  $E = 2\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$  and  $\Delta_a = 20$ .*

*Proof.* We decompose  $H_{XX}$  into three parts:  $H_{XX} = H_L + H_\partial + H_R$ , where  $H_L, H_R$  include the terms acting only on the left or right half of the chain, and  $H_\partial = -\lambda(\sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y)$  is the term across the middle cut. Note that  $H_L, H_R$  are decoupled from each other. For the domain wall state  $\phi_0 = |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle$ , it is easy to see that  $|\uparrow\rangle$  or  $|\downarrow\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $H_L$  or  $H_R$  with energy  $\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$ . The proof is completed by applying Theorem 2.3 in Ref. [2].  $\square$

## References

- [1] I. Arad, A. Kitaev, Z. Landau, and U. Vazirani. An area law and sub-exponential algorithm for 1D systems. arXiv:1301.1162, 2013.
- [2] I. Arad, T. Kuwahara, and Z. Landau. Connecting global and local energy distributions in quantum spin models on a lattice. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2016(3):033301, 2016.
- [3] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy. Evolution of entanglement entropy in one-dimensional systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2005(04):P04010, 2005.
- [4] V. Eisler and I. Peschel. On entanglement evolution across defects in critical chains. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 99(2):20001, 2012.