

Einstein's Special Theory of Relativity from the Law of Conservation of Spin

Sylwester Kornowski

Abstract: Here we showed that we can derive the Special-Theory-of-Relativity (SR) energy-momentum relation and the formulae for relativistic mass and time dilation on the basis of the law of conservation of spin on assumption that the SR length contraction is invalid. The SR length contraction follows from the Lorentz Transformation so the Lorentz Transformation violates the law of conservation of spin - the SR needs a reformulation. Notice as well that the reciprocation of the SR time dilation (each observer claims that the moving clocks are time dilated) suggests that the SR is an incomplete theory. Here we showed the origin of the SR reciprocation.

1. Introduction

We assume that the gravitating Einstein spacetime (ES) is grainy - it consists of the ES components moving with the speed of light in "vacuum" c . The ES components can be entangled so there can appear different structures composed of the ES components. In the Quantum Mechanics (QM) very important are loops created in ES. Consider a spinning loop in the rest in ES created from the ES components. Spin of such a loop, S_{Loop} , is defined as follows

$$S_{Loop} = m_o c R = const. = C_I, \quad (1)$$

where m_o is the rest mass of the loop and R is its radius.

Assume that such spinning loop accelerates. To protect its stability, the loop must adopt the orientation for which the spin is parallel or antiparallel to the motion velocity, v , which appears in the Lorentz Transformation. The loop consists of the ES components, which are moving with the resultant velocity equal to c , so there is valid following formula

$$c^2 = v^2 + v_{Spin}^2, \quad (2)$$

where v_{Spin} is the spin speed of the loop. Assume that mean radius of accelerated loop is invariant i.e. $R = const.$. Then, spin of the moving loop is defined by following formula and must be invariant

$$S_{Loop} = m_{Rel} v_{Spin} R = const. = C_1, \quad (3)$$

where m_{Rel} is the relativistic mass of the loop.

2. Relativistic mass

From formulae (1), (2) and (3), we obtain the well known SR formula for relativistic mass

$$m_{Rel} = m_o / (1 - v^2 / c^2)^{1/2}. \quad (4)$$

3. Energy-momentum relation

Since resultant energy is defined by $E = m_{Rel} c^2$ whereas momentum by $p = m_{Rel} v$ so we can transform formula (4) into the well known SR energy-momentum relation

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_o^2 c^4. \quad (5)$$

4. Time dilation

We can define unit of time as the period of spinning of the accelerated loop. For a resting loop is $\Delta t = 2\pi R / c$ whereas for moving is $\Delta\tau = 2\pi R / v_{Spin}$. Applying formula (2) we obtain

$$\Delta t = \Delta\tau (1 - v^2 / c^2)^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

We can see that for $v > 0$ is $\Delta t < \Delta\tau$ i.e. unit of time in moving loop is longer i.e. time is going slower. Notice that contrary to the SR derived here from the law of conservation of spin, the SR derived on basis of the Lorentz Transformation is logically inconsistent [1].

5. Summary

The SR says nothing about internal structure of spacetime, dark energy and observed objects so we can use the classical definition of spin to test it.

Notice that the derivation of the SR formulae on basis of the law of conservation of spin (instead the Lorentz Transformation) is much, much simpler and shows incompleteness, weak points and logical inconsistency of the SR based on the Lorentz Transformation.

The reciprocation of the SR time dilation (each observer claims that the moving clocks are time dilated) suggests that the SR is an incomplete theory. Is the time dilation or relativistic mass real? The Scale-Symmetric Theory (SST) [2], [3], shows that the reciprocation follows from the fact that the Lorentz Transformations neglects internal structure of spacetime, dark energy and relative motions of observed objects in relation to dark energy.

Moving loop must know what its state is in relation to an observer. It leads to conclusion that the moving loop must be entangled with the frame of reference associated with the observer. Due to the quantum entanglement, the loop knows whether it is accelerated and what is v_{Spin} in the frame of reference. According to SST, maximum value of v_{Spin} is c [2]. Emphasize as well that the gravitating ES components are not the SR masses – their mass is invariant [1]. Most important is the fact that resultant speed of the free or bound ES components in each entangled system (it can be an observer plus a loop) is always equal to c .

Consider a galaxy cluster. The local recessional velocities of the cluster and dark energy are the same i.e. the cluster knows the state of the local dark energy. It means that spin speed in a loop in the rest in relation to the cluster is c . Now we can accelerate a loop in relation to the resting frame of reference i.e. such loop is entangled with the cluster – increasing speed means that spin speed decreases (it is real), relativistic mass increases (it is real) while time is going slower and slower (it is real).

Why ES must be grainy and gravitating? Just only then the accelerating loop can increase its relativistic mass by absorbing more and more new ES components to conserve the spin of the loop. It leads to conclusion that the thickness of the accelerating loop must increase, not decrease as it is in SR! For $v = c$, the thickness should be infinite.

We know that in SR, the length contraction follows from the Lorentz Transformation. Here we showed that we can derive the SR formulae on the basis of the law of conservation of spin on the assumption that the SR length contraction is invalid. Since SR starts from the Lorentz Transformation so we proved logical inconsistency of the Lorentz Transformation. It is showed as well in S. Crothers paper [4]. SR needs a reformulation. Notice that there are not direct experimental confirmations of length contraction.

In reality, the SST shows that Nature is even more complicated because there are in existence stable tori/charges with internal helicity which distinguishes fermions from antifermions. When we accelerate the torus/charge of a nucleon then its thickness increases but the two characteristic mean radii of the torus, due to the very strong short-distance quantum entanglement between the ES components, are invariant – it leads to conclusion that the finite volume of the torus/charge of nucleon sets the upper limit for the relativistic mass of it. In SST, the half-integral spin of the tori/charges is the invariant as well.

SST shows also why the General-Theory-of-Relativity (GR) gravitational time dilation is not reciprocal.

On the basis of the SST and the partially incoherent SR, we can see that mathematical part of a theory should not be isolated from physical properties of Nature.

References

- [1] Stephen J. Crothers (5 March 2017). “On the Logical Inconsistency of Einstein’s Time Dilation”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1703.0093>
- [2] Sylwester Kornowski (6 June 2016). “Foundations of the Scale-Symmetric Physics (Main Article No 1: Particle Physics)”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0188>
- [3] Sylwester Kornowski (29 June 2016). “Foundations of the Scale-Symmetric Physics (Main Article No 2: Cosmology)”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0223>
- [4] Stephen J. Crothers (5 March 2017). “On the Logical Inconsistency of the Special Theory of Relativity”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1703.0047>