

# Closed-Form Solution for the Nontrivial Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function

Frederick Ira Moxley III  
(Dated: April 10, 2017)

In the year 2017 it was formally conjectured that if the Bender-Brody-Müller (BBM) Hamiltonian can be shown to be self-adjoint, then the Riemann hypothesis holds true. Herein we discuss the domain and eigenvalues of the Bender-Brody-Müller conjecture. Moreover, a second quantization of the BBM Schrödinger equation is performed, and a closed-form solution for the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function is obtained.

## I. INTRODUCTION

It was recently shown in [1] that the eigenvalues of a Bender-Brody-Müller (BBM) Hamiltonian operator correspond to the nontrivial zeroes of the Riemann zeta function [2]. Although the BBM Hamiltonian is pseudo-Hermitian, it is consistent with the Berry-Keating conjecture [3, 4]. The eigenvalues of the BBM Hamiltonian are taken to be the imaginary parts of the nontrivial zeroes of the zeta function

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^z} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{\exp(t) - 1} dt.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

The idea that the imaginary parts of the zeroes of Eq. (1) are given by a self-adjoint operator was conjectured by Hilbert and Pólya [5]. Formally, Hilbert and Pólya determined that if the eigenfunctions of a self-adjoint operator satisfy the boundary conditions  $\psi_n(0) = 0 \forall n$ , then the eigenvalues are the nontrivial zeroes of Eq. (1). The BBM Hamiltonian also satisfies the Berry-Keating conjecture, which states that when  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  commute, the Hamiltonian reduces to the classical  $H = 2xp$ .

**Remark.** *If there are nontrivial roots of Eq. (1) for which  $\Re(z) \neq 1/2$ , the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenstates are degenerate [1].*

## II. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

### A. Bender-Brody-Müller Hamiltonian

**Theorem 1.** *The eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian*

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\hat{p}}} (\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x})(1 - e^{-i\hat{p}})\tag{2}$$

are real, where  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$ ,  $\hbar = 1$ , and  $\hat{x} = x$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** *[1] Solutions to the equation  $\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$  are given by the Hurwitz zeta function*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_z(x) &= -\zeta(z, x+1) \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1+n)^z}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

on the positive half line  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with eigenvalues  $i(2z-1)$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , for the boundary condition  $\psi_z(0) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\Re(z) > 1$ , and  $\Re(x+1) > 0$ . As  $-\psi_z(0)$  is the Riemann zeta function, i.e., Eq. (1), this implies that  $z$  belongs to the discrete set of zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

*Proof.* Let  $\psi_z(x)$  be an eigenfunction of Eq. (2) with an eigenvalue  $\lambda = i(2z-1)$ :

$$\hat{H}\psi_z(x) = \lambda\psi_z(x).\tag{4}$$

Then we have the relation

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\hat{p}}}(\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x})(1 - e^{-i\hat{p}})\psi_z(x) = \lambda\psi_z(x). \quad (5)$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_z(x) &= [1 - \exp(-\partial_x)]\psi_z(x), \\ &= \hat{\Delta}\psi_z(x), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{\Delta}\psi_z(x) = \psi_z(x) - \psi_z(x-1)$ , and

$$\hat{\Delta} \equiv 1 - \exp(-\partial_x), \quad (7)$$

is a shift operator. Upon inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) with  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$ ,  $\hbar = 1$ , and  $\hat{x} = x$ , we obtain

$$[-ix\partial_x - i\partial_x x]\varphi_z(x) = \lambda\varphi_z(x). \quad (8)$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (x\partial_x\varphi_z(x))^*\varphi_z(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (\partial_x x\varphi_z(x))^*\varphi_z(x)dx = -i\lambda^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)\varphi_z(x)dx. \quad (9)$$

As  $\varphi_z(x \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$ , next we integrate the first term on the LHS of Eq. (9) by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} x\varphi_z(x)\partial_x\varphi_z^*(x)dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)\varphi_z(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z(x))dx, \quad (10)$$

and the second term on the LHS of Eq. (9) by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} x\varphi_z(x)^*\partial_x\varphi_z(x)dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x)\varphi_z^*(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z^*(x))dx. \quad (11)$$

Upon substituting Eqs. (10) and (11) into Eq. (9), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z(x))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z^*(x))dx = (i\lambda^* - 2)N, \quad (12)$$

where

$$N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)\varphi_z(x)dx. \quad (13)$$

Next, we split  $\varphi_z(x)$  into real and imaginary components, such that

$$\varphi_z(x) = \Re(\varphi_z(x)) + i\Im(\varphi_z(x)), \quad (14)$$

and substitute Eq. (14) into Eq. (12) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Re(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Re(\varphi_z(x))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Im(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Im(\varphi_z(x))dx + N = \frac{i\lambda^*}{2}N. \quad (15)$$

Upon setting  $\lambda = i(2z - 1)$ , Eq. (15) can be written

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Re(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Re(\varphi_z(x))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Im(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Im(\varphi_z(x))dx + N = \frac{1 - 2z}{2}N. \quad (16)$$

It can be seen that all terms on the LHS of Eq. (15) are real, thereby verifying Theorem 1.

Q.E.D.

□

**Remark.** If the Riemann hypothesis is correct [2], the the eigenvalues of Eq. (2) are degenerate [1].

Given that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_z(x) &= \hat{\Delta}\psi_z(x) \\
&= \psi_z(x) - \psi_n(x-1) \\
&= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1+n)^z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)^z},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

the second term on the LHS of Eq. (16) goes to zero, as  $\Im(\varphi_z(x)) = 0$ . Hence, we are left with

$$z = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x) x \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_z(x) dx + \frac{3}{2}. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
x \frac{d}{dx} (\varphi_z(x)) &= x \frac{d}{dx} \psi_z(x) - x \frac{d}{dx} \psi_z(x-1) \\
&= -x \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1+n)^z} + x \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)^z} \\
&= xz\zeta(z+1, x+1) - xz\zeta(z+1, x).
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Multiplying Eq. (19) by  $\varphi_n(x)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_z(x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1) - \varphi_z(x)xz\zeta(z+1, x) &= \varphi_z(x)[xz\zeta(z+1, x+1) - xz\zeta(z+1, x)] \\
&= -\zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1) \\
&\quad + \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) \\
&\quad + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1) \\
&\quad - \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

From the RHS of Eq. (20), it can be seen that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx = -\frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z}((n+1)(\frac{t}{n+1}+1)^{2z} - n + t(1-4z) - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))}, \tag{21}$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x)dx = -\frac{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{(2(2z-1))}, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx \\
&= (n+t)^{-z}(n+t+1)^{-z} \left[ -\frac{n}{(z-1)} - \frac{(tz)}{(z-1)} \right] - \frac{((n)^{-z}(n+1)^{-z}((n) {}_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+x+1) - n))}{(2z-1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where the hypergeometric function is

$${}_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+x+1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_n(1-2z)_n}{(1-z)_n} \frac{(n+x+1)^n}{n!}. \tag{24}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x) \varphi_z(x) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} [\psi_z(x) - \psi_z(x-1)]^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} [\psi_z^2(x) - 2\psi_z(x-1)\psi_z(x) + \psi_z^2(x-1)] dx \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} [(n+x+1)^{-2z} - 2(n+x)^{-z}(n+x+1)^{-z} + (n+x)^{-2z}] dx \\
&= \frac{\zeta(2z-1, x)}{(1-2z)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2(-n-x)^z (n+x)^{-z} (n+x+1)^{1-z} {}_2F_1(1-z, z, 2-z, n+x+1)}{z-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+x+1)^{1-2z}}{(1-2z)}, \quad \Re(z) > 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

with the hypergeometric function

$${}_2F_1(1-z, z, 2-z, n+x+1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-z)_n (z)_n (n+x+1)^n}{(2-z)_n n!}, \tag{26}$$

Eq. (18) can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
z_n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(1-2z)}{\zeta(2z-1, x)} + \frac{z-1}{2(-n-x)^z (n+x)^{-z} (n+x+1)^{1-z} {}_2F_1(1-z, z, 2-z, n+x+1)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(1-2z)}{(n+x+1)^{1-2z}} \left[ - \frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z} ((n+1)(\frac{t}{(n+1)} + 1)^{2z} - n + t(1-4z) - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))} \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{((n+t)^{-2z} (-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{(2(2z-1))} + (n+t)^{-z} (n+t+1)^{-z} \left[ - \frac{n}{(z-1)} - \frac{(tz)}{(z-1)} \right] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{((n)^{-z} (n+1)^{-z} ((n) {}_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+1) - n))}{(2z-1)} \right] + \frac{3}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

for  $\Re(z) > 1$ , and  $\Re(x+1) > 0$ . Upon imposing the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n(0) &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} \\
&= - \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{\exp(t) - 1},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Eq. (27) are the nontrivial zeros of Eq. (1), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
z_n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(1-2z)}{\zeta(2z-1)} + \frac{z-1}{2(-n)^z (n)^{-z} (n+1)^{1-z} {}_2F_1(1-z, z, 2-z, n+1)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(1-2z)}{(n+1)^{1-2z}} \left[ - \frac{((1)^{-2z} ((n+1)(\frac{-n}{(n+1)} + 1)^{2z} - n - n(1-4z) - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{((n)^{-z} (n+1)^{-z} ((n) {}_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+1) - n))}{(2z-1)} \right] + \frac{3}{2} \pm \text{const},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

for the boundary condition  $x = 0$ , and the convergence criteria  $n = -t$ .

## B. Convergence

For brevity, let us examine the convergence of the integral representation of the discrete nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function on the positive half line  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(z) > 1$ , and  $\Re(x+1) > 0$ . From Eq. (18), the

integral representation of the discrete nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function are given by

$$\begin{aligned} z_n = & -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx \\ & -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x)dx \\ & +\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx + \frac{3}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \left[ (n+x+1)^{-2z} - 2(n+x)^{-z}(n+x+1)^{-z} + (n+x)^{-2z} \right] dx. \quad (31)$$

**Lemma 1.1.** *From the first term on the RHS of Eq. (30), if*

$$\int_0^t \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx \quad (32)$$

*exists for every number  $t \geq 0$ , then*

$$\int_0^\infty \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx, \quad (33)$$

*provided this limit exists as a finite number.*

*Proof.*

$$\int_0^t \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx = \frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z}((n+1)(\frac{t}{(n+1)}+1)^{2z} - n - 2tz - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))}. \quad (34)$$

From L'Hospital's Rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z}((n+1)(\frac{t}{(n+1)}+1)^{2z} - n - 2tz - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))} \\ = & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z}((n+1)(\frac{t}{(n+1)}+1)^{2z} - n - 2tz - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))} \cdot \frac{(n+t+1)^{-2z}}{(n+t+1)^{-2z}} \\ = & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-2z(n+t+1)^{-4z-1}(n(\frac{t}{(n+1)}+1)^{2z} + (\frac{t}{(n+1)}+1)^{2z} - n - 4tz + t - 1)}{4(1-2z)z^2(n+t+1)^{-2z-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Upon evaluating Eq. (35) with a series expansion at  $t = \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1 - n + t + (1+n)(1 + \frac{t}{(1+n)})^{2z} - 4tz)}{(2(1+n+t)^{2z}z(-1+2z))} \\ = & \frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z}((n+1)(\frac{t}{(n+1)}+1)^{2z} - n + t(1-4z) - 1))}{(2z(2z-1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Hence, it can be seen that the first term on the RHS of Eq. (30) is convergent, given that the limit seen in Eq. (35) exists as a finite number as seen in Eq. (36).  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *From the second term on the RHS of Eq. (30), if*

$$\int_0^t \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x)dx \quad (37)$$

*exists for every number  $t \geq 0$ , then*

$$\int_0^\infty \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x)dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x)dx, \quad (38)$$

*provided this limit exists as a finite number.*

*Proof.*

$$\int_0^t \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x)dx = -\frac{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{(2(2z-1))}. \quad (39)$$

From L'Hospital's Rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{(2(2z-1))} \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{(2(2z-1))} \cdot \frac{(n+t)^{-2z}}{(n+t)^{-2z}} \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+t)^{-4z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz)}{2(2z-1)(n+t)^{-2z}} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Upon evaluating Eq. (40) with a series expansion at  $t = \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))} \\ &= \frac{((n+t)^{-2z}(-n(\frac{n+t}{n})^{2z} + n + 2tz))}{(2(2z-1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Hence, it can be seen that the second term on the RHS of Eq. (30) is convergent, given that the limit seen in Eq. (40) exists as a finite number as seen in Eq. (41).  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** *From the third term on the RHS of Eq. (30), if*

$$\int_0^t \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx \quad (42)$$

*exists for every number  $t \geq 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

*provided this limit exists as a finite number.*

*Proof.* From the RHS of Eq. (23) it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \zeta(z, x+1)xz\zeta(z+1, x) + \zeta(z, x)xz\zeta(z+1, x+1)dx \\ &= \frac{((n+t)^{-z}(n+t+1)^{-z}((n+t)_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+t+1) - n - 2tz))}{(2z-1)} \\ &= \frac{((n)^{-z}(n+1)^{-z}((n)_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+1) - n))}{(2z-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Since the second term on the RHS of Eq. (44) is independent of  $t$ , we are only concerned with the limit of the first term on the RHS of Eq. (44). As such, we consider the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t)_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+t+1) - n - 2tz)}{(n+t)^z(n+t+1)^z(2z-1)}. \quad (45)$$

Here, it is useful to employ Gauss' theorem, i.e.,

$${}_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+t+1) = \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z-1)}{\Gamma(-z)\Gamma(z)} \quad (46)$$

where  $\Re(z) > 1$ ,  $n = -t$ , and

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx \quad (47)$$

is the gamma function. Therefore, Eq. (45) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t) \frac{\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z-1)}{\Gamma(-z)\Gamma(z)} - n - 2tz)}{(n+t)^z (n+t+1)^z (2z-1)} \\ &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+t)^{-z} (n+t+1)^{-z} (n+t)}{(z-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Upon evaluating Eq. (48) with a series expansion at  $t = \infty$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t) {}_2F_1(1, 1-2z, 1-z, n+t+1) - n - 2tz)}{(n+t)^z (n+t+1)^z (2z-1)} = (n+t)^{-z} (n+t+1)^{-z} \left[ -\frac{n}{(z-1)} - \frac{(tz)}{(z-1)} \right]. \quad (49)$$

Hence, it can be seen that the third term on the RHS of Eq. (30) is convergent, given that the limit seen in Eq. (45) exists as a finite number as seen in Eq. (49).  $\square$

Finally, we must consider the convergence of the normalization factor  $N$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *From the first three terms on the RHS of Eq. (30), if*

$$\int_0^t \left[ (n+x+1)^{-2z} - 2(n+x)^{-z} (n+x+1)^{-z} + (n+x)^{-2z} \right] dx \quad (50)$$

exists for every number  $t \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left[ (n+x+1)^{-2z} - 2(n+x)^{-z} (n+x+1)^{-z} + (n+x)^{-2z} \right] dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \left[ (n+x+1)^{-2z} - 2(n+x)^{-z} (n+x+1)^{-z} + (n+x)^{-2z} \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

provided this limit exists as a finite number.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left[ (n+x+1)^{-2z} - 2(n+x)^{-z} (n+x+1)^{-z} + (n+x)^{-2z} \right] dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t+1)^{-2z} ((n+1) \left(\frac{t}{n+1} + 1\right)^{2z} - n - t - 1))}{(2z-1)} \\ &+ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+t)^{-2z} (n \left(\frac{n+t}{n}\right)^{2z} - 1) - t)}{(2z-1)} \\ &+ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2(-n-t)^z (n+t)^{-z} (n+t+1)^{1-z} {}_2F_1(1-z, z, 2-z, n+t+1))}{(z-1)} \\ &- \frac{(2(-n)^z (n)^{-z} (n+1)^{1-z} {}_2F_1(1-z, z, 2-z, n+1))}{(z-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where the last term on the RHS of Eq. (52) omits the limit, as it is independent of  $t$ . The limits seen on the RHS of Eq. (52) can be evaluated in a similar manner to those seen in Eqs. (36), (41), and (45), respectively.  $\square$

### C. Domain of the Bender-Brody-Müller Hamiltonian

For the BBM Hamiltonian operator as given by Eq. (2), the Hilbert space is  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, dx)$ . Moreover,  $\hat{p}$  and  $\hat{x}$  are self-adjoint operators that act in  $\mathcal{H}$ . In order to study the domain of the BBM Hamiltonian operator, we first introduce an auxiliary operator  $\hat{O}$ , such that

$$\hat{O} = \hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x}, \quad (53)$$

where  $\hat{p}\hat{p} = -\nabla^2$ , and  $\hat{x}\hat{x} = x^2$ . The set of finite linear combinations of Hermite functions is a core of  $\hat{O}$ , and therefore the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  is also a core of  $\hat{O}$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** [6] *If  $\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(\hat{O})$ , then*

$$\|\hat{p}\hat{p}\varphi\|^2 + \|\hat{x}\hat{x}\varphi\|^2 \leq \|\hat{O}\varphi\|^2 + c\|\varphi\|^2. \quad (54)$$

*Proof.* [6] We estimate  $\varphi$  for a core of  $\hat{O}$  via a double commutator to make the estimate [7],

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{O}\hat{O} &= \hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x} + \hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{x}\hat{x} + \hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{p}\hat{p} \\ &= \hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \hat{x}_i \hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{x}_i + [\hat{x}_i, [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}\hat{p}]] \right] \\ &\geq \hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x} - 2n, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Therefore, in Eq. (54)  $c = 2n$ . □

After rewriting Eq. (8) as

$$[x\partial_x + \partial_x x]\varphi = (1 - 2z)\varphi, \quad (56)$$

then  $\hat{p}\hat{p} = x\partial_x$  and  $f(\hat{x}) = \partial_x x$  are self-adjoint operators acting in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, dx)$ . Setting

$$\hat{H} = \hat{p}\hat{p} + f(\hat{x}), \quad (57)$$

defined on

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{p}\hat{p}) \cap \mathcal{D}(f(\hat{x})). \quad (58)$$

If  $f(\hat{x})$  is local in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then Eq. (57) is dense and Hermitian.

**Theorem 2.** *The BBM Hamiltonian operator in Eq. (2) is essentially self-adjoint, given that  $|\nabla f(\hat{x})| \leq a|\hat{x}| + b$ .*

The BBM Hamiltonian operator in Eq. (2) is real-valued on the positive half line  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , after being reduced to Eq. (56). From  $|\nabla f(\hat{x})| \leq a|\hat{x}| + b$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\hat{x})| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}\hat{x} + b|\hat{x}| \\ &\leq c\hat{x}\hat{x} + d. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Let us examine the uniqueness.

*Proof.* As shown in [6], if  $\hat{H}$  is Hermitian, and  $\hat{O}$  is a positive self-adjoint operator, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a core of  $\hat{O}$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}(\hat{H})$ . As such,

$$\|(\hat{p}\hat{p} + f(\hat{x}))\varphi\|^2 \leq a\|(\hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x})\varphi\|^2 + b\|\varphi\|^2, \quad (60)$$

where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $(1 + \hat{x}\hat{x})\varphi \in L^2$ ,  $f(\hat{x})\varphi \in L^2$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}(\hat{H})$ . Moreover, since  $f(\hat{x})^2 \leq r\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x} + s$ ,

$$\|f(\hat{x})\varphi\|^2 \leq r\|\hat{x}\hat{x}\varphi\|^2 + s\|\varphi\|^2. \quad (61)$$

As such, from Eq. (54), Eq. (60) is satisfied. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\nabla(f(\hat{x})\varphi) \in L^2$ . Since,

$$\pm i[\hat{H}, \hat{O}] \leq c\hat{O} \quad (62)$$

as quadratic forms on  $\mathcal{C}$ , we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \pm i[\hat{H}, \hat{O}] &= \pm i\{[\hat{p}\hat{p}, \hat{x}\hat{x}] + [f(\hat{x}), \hat{p}\hat{p}]\} \\ &= \pm\{2(\hat{p} \cdot \hat{x} + \hat{x} \cdot \hat{p}) - (\hat{p} \cdot \nabla f(\hat{x}) + \nabla f(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{p})\} \\ &\leq 2(\hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x}) + \hat{p}\hat{p} + (\nabla f(\hat{x}))^2 \\ &\leq 2(\hat{p}\hat{p} + \hat{x}\hat{x}) + \hat{p}\hat{p} + 2(a^2\hat{x}\hat{x} + b^2) \\ &\leq c\hat{O}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

for constant  $c$ . □



### D. Second Quantization

We begin with the Bender-Brody-Müller (BBM) Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dz} = \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{x} \hat{p} \hat{\Delta} \psi(x, z) + \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{p} \hat{x} \hat{\Delta} \psi(x, z), \quad (64)$$

where  $\hat{\Delta}$  is given by Eq. (7),  $\hat{x} = x$ ,  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$ ,  $\hbar = 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(x) &= -\zeta(z_n, x+1) \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1+n)^z} \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

be the solution of

$$\left( \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{x} \hat{p} \hat{\Delta} + \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{p} \hat{x} \hat{\Delta} \right) \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x), \quad (66)$$

where  $z_n$  are the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function given by Eq. (27),  $\Re(z) > 1$ , and  $\Re(x+1) > 0$ . Next, we write

$$\psi(x, z) = \sum_n b_n(z) \psi_n(x). \quad (67)$$

From Eq. (64) we find

$$\frac{d}{dz} b_n(z) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} E_n b_n(z). \quad (68)$$

We now find a Hamiltonian that yields Eq. (68) as the equation of motion. Hence, we take

$$\hat{H} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \psi^*(x, z) \left[ \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{x} \hat{p} \hat{\Delta} + \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{p} \hat{x} \hat{\Delta} \right] \psi(x, z) dx \quad (69)$$

as the expectation value. Upon substituting Eq. (67) into Eq. (69) and using Eq. (66) we obtain the harmonic oscillator

$$\hat{H} = \sum_n E_n b_n^*(z) b_n(z). \quad (70)$$

Taking  $b_n(z)$  as an operator, and  $b_n^*(z)$  as the adjoint, we obtain the usual properties:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{b}_n, \hat{b}_m] &= [\hat{b}_n^\dagger, \hat{b}_m^\dagger] = 0, \\ [\hat{b}_n, \hat{b}_m^\dagger] &= \delta_{nm}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

From the analogous Heisenberg equations of motion,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \hat{b}_n &= [\hat{b}_n, \hat{H}]_- \\ &= \sum_m E_m \left( \hat{b}_n \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_m - \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_m \hat{b}_n \right) \\ &= \sum_m E_m \left( \delta_{nm} \hat{b}_m - \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_n \hat{b}_m - \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_m \hat{b}_n \right) \\ &= \sum_m E_m \left( \delta_{nm} \hat{b}_m + \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_m \hat{b}_n - \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_m \hat{b}_n \right) \\ &= E_n \hat{b}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

The eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}$  are

$$\hat{H} = \sum_n E_n N_n, \quad (73)$$

where  $N_n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ . Since,  $E_n = i(2z_n - 1)$ , we can rewrite Eq. (73) as

$$\hat{H} = i \sum_n (2z_n - 1) N_n. \quad (74)$$

However, from Eq. (72) it can be seen that

$$-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \hat{b}_n = i(2z_n - 1) \hat{b}_n. \quad (75)$$

As such,

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dz} \hat{b}_n = \frac{1}{\hbar} (2z_n - 1) \hat{b}_n.} \quad (76)$$

### E. $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Bender-Brody-Müller Hamiltonian

**Theorem 3.** *The eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian*

$$i\hat{H} = \frac{i}{1 - e^{-i\hat{p}}} (\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x})(1 - e^{-i\hat{p}}) \quad (77)$$

are imaginary, where  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$ ,  $\hbar = 1$ , and  $\hat{x} = x$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** [1] *Solutions to the equation  $i\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$  are given by the Hurwitz zeta function*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_z(x) &= -\zeta(z, x+1) \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1+n)^z} \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

on the positive half line  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with eigenvalues  $i(2z-1)$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , for the boundary condition  $\psi_z(0) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\Re(z) > 1$ , and  $\Re(x+1) > 0$ . As  $-\psi_z(0)$  is the Riemann zeta function, i.e., Eq. (1), this implies that  $z$  belongs to the discrete set of zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be an eigenfunction of Eq. (77) with an eigenvalue  $\lambda = i(2z-1)$ :

$$i\hat{H}\psi = \lambda\psi. \quad (79)$$

Then we have the relation

$$\frac{i}{1 - e^{-i\hat{p}}} (\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x})(1 - e^{-i\hat{p}})\psi = \lambda\psi. \quad (80)$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_z(x) &= [1 - \exp(-\partial_x)]\psi_z(x), \\ &= \hat{\Delta}\psi_z(x), \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

where  $\hat{\Delta}\psi_z(x) = \psi_z(x) - \psi_z(x-1)$ , and inserting Eq. (81) into Eq. (80) with  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$ ,  $\hbar = 1$ , and  $\hat{x} = x$ , we obtain

$$[x\partial_x + \partial_x x]\varphi_z(x) = \lambda\varphi_z(x). \quad (82)$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (x\partial_x \varphi_z(x))^* \varphi_z(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (\partial_x x \varphi_z(x))^* \varphi_z(x) dx = \lambda^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x) \varphi_z(x) dx. \quad (83)$$

As  $\varphi_z(x \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$ , next we integrate the first term on the LHS of Eq. (83) by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} x_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x) \partial_x \varphi_z^*(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x) \varphi_z(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x) x \frac{d}{dx} (\varphi_z(x)) dx, \quad (84)$$

and the second term on the LHS of Eq. (83) by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} x\varphi_z^*(x)\partial_x\varphi_z(x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x)\varphi_z^*(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z^*(x))dx. \quad (85)$$

Upon substituting Eqs. (84) and (85) into Eq. (83), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z(x))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z(x)x\frac{d}{dx}(\varphi_z^*(x))dx = -(\lambda^* + 2)N, \quad (86)$$

where

$$N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_z^*(x)\varphi_z(x)dx. \quad (87)$$

Next, we split  $\varphi_z(x)$  into real and imaginary components, such that

$$\varphi_z(x) = \Re(\varphi_z(x)) + i\Im(\varphi_z(x)), \quad (88)$$

and substitute Eq. (88) into Eq. (86) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Re(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Re(\varphi_z(x))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Im(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Im(\varphi_z(x))dx + N = -\frac{\lambda^*}{2}N. \quad (89)$$

Upon setting  $\lambda = i(2z - 1)$ , Eq. (89) can be written

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Re(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Re(\varphi_z(x))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Im(\varphi_z(x))x\frac{d}{dx}\Im(\varphi_z(x))dx + N = \frac{i(1 - 2z)}{2}N. \quad (90)$$

It can be seen that all terms on the LHS of Eq. (89) are real, thereby verifying Theorem 3.

Q.E.D.

□

### III. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have discussed the domain and eigenvalues of the BBM Hamiltonian. Moreover, a second quantization procedure was performed for the BBM Schrödinger analogue equation. Finally, a closed-form expression for the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function was obtained, and a convergence test for the closed-form expression was performed.

- 
- [1] Bender, C.M., Brody, D.C. and Mller, M.P., 2016. Hamiltonian for the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.03679.
  - [2] Riemann, B., On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Quantity.(Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse.).
  - [3] Berry, M.V. and Keating, J.P., 1999.  $H = xp$  and the Riemann zeros. In *Supersymmetry and Trace Formulae* (pp. 355-367). Springer US.
  - [4] Connes, A., 1999. Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, 5(1), pp.29-106.
  - [5] Odlyzko, A.M., 2001. The 10-nd zero of the Riemann zeta function. *Dynamical, Spectral, and Arithmetic Zeta Functions: AMS Special Session on Dynamical, Spectral, and Arithmetic Zeta Functions, January 15-16, 1999, San Antonio, Texas*, 290, p.139.
  - [6] Faris, W.G. and Lavine, R.B., 1974. Commutators and self-adjointness of Hamiltonian operators. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 35(1), pp.39-48.
  - [7] Glimm, J. and Jaffe, A., 1972. The  $\lambda\varphi^4$  Quantum Field Theory without Cutoffs. IV. Perturbations of the Hamiltonian. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 13(10), pp.1568-1584.