

# Rare Meson Decay

---

*Many scientists working on the LHCb experiment at CERN had hoped that the exceptional accuracy in the measurement of the rare decay of the  $B_s^0$  meson would at last delineate the limits of the Standard Model, the current theory of the structure of matter, and reveal phenomena unknown to modern physics. [19]*

*While no evidence for new physics has yet been found, these new results have provided crucial input to our theoretical models and has greatly improved our understanding of the Standard Model. [18]*

*A quartet of researchers has boldly proposed the addition of six new particles to the standard model to explain five enduring problems. [17]*

*Symmetry is the essential basis of nature, which gives rise to conservation laws. In comparison, the breaking of the symmetry is also indispensable for many phase transitions and nonreciprocal processes. Among various symmetry breaking phenomena, spontaneous symmetry breaking lies at the heart of many fascinating and fundamental properties of nature. [16]*

*One of the biggest challenges in physics is to understand why everything we see in our universe seems to be formed only of matter, whereas the Big Bang should have created equal amounts of matter and antimatter.*

*CERN's LHCb experiment is one of the best hopes for physicists looking to solve this longstanding mystery. [15]*

*Imperial physicists have discovered how to create matter from light - a feat thought impossible when the idea was first theorized 80 years ago. [14]*

*How can the LHC experiments prove that they have produced dark matter? They can't... not alone, anyway. [13]*

*The race for the discovery of dark matter is on. Several experiments worldwide are searching for the mysterious substance and pushing the limits on the properties it may have. [12]*

*Dark energy is a mysterious force that pervades all space, acting as a "push" to accelerate the universe's expansion. Despite being 70 percent of the universe, dark energy was only discovered in 1998 by two teams observing Type Ia supernovae. A Type Ia supernova is a cataclysmic explosion of a white dwarf star. The best way of measuring dark energy just got better, thanks to a new study of Type Ia supernovae. [11]*

*Newly published research reveals that dark matter is being swallowed up by dark energy, offering novel insight into the nature of dark matter and dark energy and what the future of our Universe might be. [10]*

*The gravitational force attracting the matter, causing concentration of the matter in a small space and leaving much space with low matter concentration: dark matter and energy.*

*There is an asymmetry between the mass of the electric charges, for example proton and electron, can understood by the asymmetrical Planck Distribution Law. This temperature dependent energy distribution is asymmetric around the maximum intensity, where the annihilation of matter and antimatter is a high probability event. The asymmetric sides are creating different frequencies of electromagnetic radiations being in the same intensity level and compensating each other. One of these compensating ratios is the electron – proton mass ratio. The lower energy side has no compensating intensity level, it is the dark energy and the corresponding matter is the dark matter.*

## **Contents**

The most accurate measurement of rare meson decay confirms modern physics .....	3
From supersymmetry to the Standard Model: New results from the ATLAS experiment .....	5
The search for supersymmetry .....	5
Exotic explorations.....	6
Rare Higgs decays .....	6
Standard Model measurements.....	6
The search continues.....	7
Group introduces six new particles to standard model to solve five enduring problems.....	7
Physicists observe spontaneous symmetry breaking in an optical microcavity .....	8
Looking for charming asymmetries .....	9
Scientists discover how to turn light into matter after 80-year quest .....	10
Even If LHC Discovers New Undetectable Particles, Are They Really Dark Matter Particles?.....	12
Seeing dark matter without seeing .....	13
Gamma rays in space.....	13
Gamma rays on Earth .....	14
Cosmic rays .....	15
Neutrinos from the sun .....	15
Caught in the afterglow .....	15

The search goes on .....	16
Best way to measure dark energy just got better .....	16
The Big Bang .....	18
Study Reveals Indications That Dark Matter is Being Erased by Dark Energy .....	18
Evidence for an accelerating universe .....	18
Equation .....	19
Explanatory models.....	20
Dark Matter and Energy .....	20
Cosmic microwave background .....	20
Thermal radiation .....	20
Electromagnetic Field and Quantum Theory .....	21
Lorentz transformation of the Special Relativity .....	22
The Classical Relativistic effect .....	22
Electromagnetic inertia and Gravitational attraction .....	22
Electromagnetic inertia and mass.....	23
Electromagnetic Induction .....	23
Relativistic change of mass.....	23
The frequency dependence of mass .....	23
Electron – Proton mass rate .....	23
Gravity from the point of view of quantum physics .....	24
The Gravitational force .....	24
The Graviton .....	24
Dark Matter and Plank Distribution Law .....	24
Conclusions .....	25
References .....	26

Author: George Rajna

## **The most accurate measurement of rare meson decay confirms modern physics**

All physical theories are simplified representations of reality, and as a consequence, have a specified range of applicability. Many scientists working on the LHCb experiment at CERN had hoped that the exceptional accuracy in the measurement of the rare decay of the Bs0 meson would at last delineate the limits of the Standard Model, the current theory of the structure of matter, and reveal

phenomena unknown to modern physics. But the spectacular result of the latest analysis has only served to extend the range of applicability of the Standard Model.

Mesons are unstable particles that arise as a result of proton collisions. Physicists are convinced that in some very rare decays of these particles, processes can potentially occur that may reveal physics, with the participation of previously unknown elementary particles. Scientists at the LHC have been looking into the decay of the  $B_s^0$  meson into a muon and an anti-muon. The most recent analysis, carried out for a much greater number of events than ever before, has achieved a result that shows excellent agreement with the predictions of the Standard Model.

Prof. Mariusz Witek from the Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Polish Academy of Sciences (IFJ PAN) in Cracow, says, "This result is a spectacular victory, only that it's slightly pyrrhic. It is, in fact, one of the few cases where experimentation agrees with theory, but still causes worry. Together with the improvement in the accuracy of measurement of the decays of  $B_s^0$  mesons, we expected to see new phenomena beyond the Standard Model, which we know with all certainty is not the ultimate theory. But instead, we have only shown that the model is more accurate than we originally thought."

The Standard Model is a theoretical framework developed in the 1970s to describe phenomena occurring among elementary particles. The model describes matter as composed of elementary particles from a group called fermions, including quarks (down, up, strange, charm, true and beauty) and leptons (electrons, muons, tauons and their associated neutrinos). In the model, there are also particles of antimatter associated with their respective particles of matter. Intermediate bosons are responsible for carrying forces between fermions; photons are the carriers of electromagnetic forces; eight kinds of gluons are carriers of strong forces; bosons  $W^+$ ,  $W^-$  and  $Z^0$  are responsible for carrying weak forces. The Higgs boson, recently discovered at the LHC, gives particles mass (all of them except for gluons and photons).

Muons are elementary particles with characteristics similar to those of electrons, only around 200 times more massive. In turn, B mesons are unstable particles made up of two quarks: a beauty anti-quark and a down, up, strange or charm quark. The decay of the  $B_s^0$  meson into a muon and an anti-muon (endowed with positive electric charge) occurs extremely rarely. In the analyzed period of LHCb operation, there were hundreds of trillions of proton collisions during which whole cascades of disintegrating secondary particles were recorded. With such a large number of events in a multi-stage selection process, it was only possible to pick out a few cases of this decay. One of them can be viewed in 3-D here.

In its most recent analysis, the LHCb experiment team took into account not only the first but also the second phase of operation of the LHC. The deeper statistics provided exceptional decay measurement accuracy of the beauty meson into a muon and anti-muon—up to 7.8 standard deviations (commonly denoted by the Greek letter sigma). In practice, this means that the probability of registering a similar result by random fluctuation is less than one to over 323 trillion.

"The spectacular measurement of the decay of the beauty meson into a muon-anti-muon pair agrees with the predictions of the Standard Model with an accuracy of up to up to nine decimal places," says Prof. Witek.

Despite the result, physicists are confident that the Standard Model is not a perfect theory. It does not take into account the existence of gravity, it does not explain the dominance of matter over antimatter in the contemporary universe, it offers no explanation of the nature of dark matter, it gives no answers regarding why fermions are composed of three families. In addition, for the Standard Model to work, over 20 empirically chosen constants have to be accounted for, including the mass of each particle.

"The latest analysis significantly narrows down the values of the parameters that should be assumed by certain currently proposed extensions of the Standard Model—for example, supersymmetric theories. They assume that each existing type of elementary particle has its own more massive counterpart—its superpartner. Now, as a result of the measurements, theorists dealing with supersymmetry have a reduced possibility of adapting their theory to reality. Instead of coming closer, the new physics is again receding," concludes Prof. Witek.

Physicists plan to continue their studies of the decay of the  $B_s^0$  meson into the muon and anti-muon pair. There is still a possibility that new, undiscovered effects are smaller than expected and continue to get lost in measurement errors. [19]

## **From supersymmetry to the Standard Model: New results from the ATLAS experiment**

At the 2017 Moriond conference, the ATLAS Experiment at CERN presented its first results examining the combined 2015/2016 LHC data. Thanks to outstanding performance of the CERN accelerator complex, this new dataset is almost three times larger than that available at ICHEP, the last major particle physics conference held in August 2016.

The significant increase in data volume has greatly improved ATLAS' sensitivity to possible new particles predicted by theories beyond the Standard Model. At the same time, it has also allowed ATLAS physicists to perform precise measurements of the properties of known Standard Model particles.

### **The search for supersymmetry**

Supersymmetry (SUSY) has long been considered a front-runner for solving a number of mysteries left unexplained by the Standard Model, including the magnitude of the mass of the Higgs boson and the nature of the dark matter. Among the key new results presented at Moriond were the first searches for SUSY particles using the new dataset. These new ATLAS results, along with those from the CMS experiment, provide the most challenging tests of the SUSY theory carried out so far.

Searches for "squark" and "gluino" particles decaying to Standard Model particles revealed no evidence for their existence, and have set limits on the masses of these particles which extend, for the first time, as high as 2 TeV. Searches for "top squark" particles, the existence of which is crucial if SUSY is to explain the mass of the Higgs boson, also found no deviations from expected Standard Model processes.

A new search for long-lived "chargino" particles was also presented. This search utilizes the ATLAS Insertable B-Layer (IBL) detector installed during the 2014 LHC shutdown. The IBL is a new piece of ATLAS charged particle detection hardware as close as 3.3 cm to the LHC beam pipe. The new search

looks for 'disappearing' tracks created by charginos traversing the IBL before decaying into invisible dark matter. No evidence for such tracks was found, significantly constraining a large class of SUSY models. An alternative search for new long-lived particles decaying to charged particles via the signature of displaced decay vertices also found the data to be consistent with Standard Model expectations.

### Exotic explorations

In addition to searches for SUSY particles, ATLAS reported a number of new results in the search for "exotic" forms of beyond the Standard Model physics. Searches for new heavy particles that decay into pairs of jets (thus sensitive to a possible quark substructure) or to a Higgs boson and a W or Z boson set constraints on the masses of these exotic new particles as high as 6 TeV.

Searches for the production of dark matter particles were also reported. These look at events in which Standard Model particles, such as photons or Higgs bosons, recoil against the invisible dark matter particles to generate an event property called missing transverse energy. Again, the data were consistent with expectations from Standard Model processes.

In addition, a search for a heavy partner of the W boson (a  $W'$  boson), predicted by many Standard Model extensions, was carried out with the new dataset. In the absence of evidence of a signal, the search has set new limits on the  $W'$  mass up to 5.1 TeV.

### Rare Higgs decays

Following the discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012, a major component of the ATLAS physics programme has been devoted to measuring its properties and searching for rare processes by which it may decay. These analyses are crucial to establish whether the Higgs boson observed by ATLAS is that predicted by the Standard Model, or if it is instead the first evidence of new physics.

The ATLAS collaboration presented a new search for a rare process where the Higgs boson decays to muon pairs. Observation of this process above the rate predicted by the Standard Model could provide evidence for new physics. No evidence was seen however, allowing limits to be set on the decay probability of 2.7 times the Standard Model expectation. That limit probes (and proves) the fundamental Standard Model prediction of different Higgs boson-to-lepton couplings for different lepton generations.

### Standard Model measurements

Analysing data taken in 2012, the ATLAS Collaboration presented a number of measurements of the production and properties of known Standard Model particles. Among these was a major milestone result for the LHC programme: the first measurement of the W boson mass by the ATLAS experiment. Measured with a precision of 19 MeV, the result rivals the best previous result from a single experiment. The measurement provides an excellent test of the Standard Model via so-called virtual corrections through the interplay between the W boson, top-quark and Higgs boson masses, all precisely measured by ATLAS.

Another key new result was a measurement of the decay properties of  $B_d$  mesons decaying to a  $K^*$  meson and two muons. The LHCb and Belle collaborations had previously reported evidence of an excess above Standard Model expectations in one particular decay parameter,  $P_5'$ . The new ATLAS measurement also provides evidence of a modest excess, albeit with significant statistical

uncertainties. Analysis of the new dataset should enable a clearer picture of this process to be obtained.

In addition, ATLAS presented precise new measurements of the production and properties of photon pairs in 8 TeV collisions. This result represents an important addition to our understanding of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the Standard Model theory of the strong force.

### **The search continues**

While no evidence for new physics has yet been found, these new results have provided crucial input to our theoretical models and has greatly improved our understanding of the Standard Model. We can look forward more results using the new dataset in the coming months. What is more, with the LHC set to continue its excellent performance in 2017, ATLAS can expect even greater sensitivity in results to come. [18]

## **Group introduces six new particles to standard model to solve five enduring problems**

A quartet of researchers has boldly proposed the addition of six new particles to the standard model to explain five enduring problems. In their paper published in the journal *Physical Review Letters*, Guillermo Ballesteros with Université Paris Saclay, Javier Redondo with Universidad de Zaragoza, Andreas Ringwald with Max-Planck-Institut für Physik and Carlos Tamarit with Durham University describe the six particles they would like to add and why.

The standard theory is, of course, a model that has been developed over the past half-century by physicists to describe how the universe works, and includes such things as the electromagnetic, strong and weak interactions, and also describes what are believed to be the particles that play a role in it all. To date, the theory lists 17 fundamental particles and has stood up against rigorous testing, but it still does not include explanations for what are considered to be some fundamental things.

The researchers are quick to point out that they are not proposing any new physics. Instead, they have assembled what they believe are the most promising theories regarding several problems with the standard model and their possible solutions, and have put them together as an outline of sorts for research moving forward.

The five theories targeted by the research team seek to answer four major questions and to correct a problem with another: What exactly is dark matter, what caused inflation to come about, why is a neutrino so light, and why is there more matter than antimatter? The new model also seeks to correct a theoretical problem regarding asymmetry in the strong force.

The team has named their new model SMASH for "Standard Model Axion See-saw Higgs portal inflation." The proposed particles are rho (to help explain inflation), the axion (to help explain dark matter) a color triplet fermion and three heavy right-handed neutrinos.

It is still far too early to predict whether the model will be accepted by the physics community, but one thing it has in its favor is that the predictions it makes are clear, which means they can be tested

and either proved or disproved. It should be noted that the theory does not include such things as the hierarchy problem or deal with the cosmological constant. [17]

## **Physicists observe spontaneous symmetry breaking in an optical microcavity**

Symmetry is the essential basis of nature, which gives rise to conservation laws. In comparison, the breaking of the symmetry is also indispensable for many phase transitions and nonreciprocal processes. Among various symmetry breaking phenomena, spontaneous symmetry breaking lies at the heart of many fascinating and fundamental properties of nature.

Very recently, for the first time, a team led by Professor Xiao Yun-Feng at Peking University (China), collaborated with University of Science and Technology of China, Hunan Normal University (China) and the City University of New York (USA), proposes and demonstrates experimentally the emergence of spontaneous symmetry breaking in an ultrahigh-Q whispering-gallery microresonator. This work has been published in the recent issue of Physical Review Letters.

Spontaneous symmetry breaking describes a phenomenon where physical states violate the underlying invariance of the system, which has been being concerned in diverse fields, such as, Higgs physics, Bose-Einstein condensates, and superconducting. Meanwhile, spontaneous symmetry breaking in an optical system also holds great potential for the study of fundamental physics and high-performance photonic devices, which, however, is seldom realized.

In this work, the researchers have observed spontaneous symmetry breaking in an optical whispering gallery microcavity. The whispering gallery modes are analogous to the acoustic resonances in the whispering gallery in St. Paul Cathedral in London and the echo wall in the Temple of Heaven in Beijing. Due to the low propagation loss and the small size of such microcavities, where photons can circulate inside for up to millions of times, light-matter interactions are thus dramatically enhanced. Importantly, such a whispering gallery microresonator possesses the intrinsic rotation symmetry, and supports two degenerate propagating-wave modes: clockwise (CW) and counterclockwise (CCW) waves, manifesting the symmetry of this system.

In this work, the physicists apply the optical Kerr effect of the resonator to induce the spontaneous symmetry breaking, where the change of the refractive index is proportional to the intensity of light. "The Kerr effect provides a nonlinear coupling between the CW and CCW waves, which depends on the input power," said Heming Wang, who was an undergraduate at Peking University and now is a Ph.D. student at California Institute of Technology. "When the power reaches a threshold, the total coupling strength of the two propagating waves is modulated to zero due to the coherent nonlinear cross-mode effects, so that the original state with balanced CW and CCW components becomes unstable and then spontaneously transfers to the symmetry-breaking regime."

In the experiment, a whispering gallery mode with an ultra-high Q factor of a circular silica microresonator is excited. "To ensure the intrinsic symmetry of the system, we use the bi-directional inputs with the identical power and polarization, resulting in the balanced intensities of CW and CCW emissions at very weak input power," said Cao Qi-Tao, a Ph.D. student at Peking University. When the input power is above a threshold of a few hundred microwatts, the symmetry of CW and CCW waves was spontaneously broken with a dominant wave propagating in either the CW or the

CCW direction. "Note that the original state would randomly enter the two symmetry-breaking states, and the CW-to-CCW output ratio could exceed 20:1," said Cao.

"This experimental realization of spontaneous symmetry breaking in an optical microcavity offers a promising platform for optical simulations of related physics," said Prof. Xiao. "Furthermore, not only does such spontaneous symmetry breaking provide a new means to manipulate light on the chip scale, but also the underlying mechanism can be applied to acoustic waves, microwaves, and nonlinear dynamical systems in general." [16]

## Looking for charming asymmetries

One of the biggest challenges in physics is to understand why everything we see in our universe seems to be formed only of matter, whereas the Big Bang should have created equal amounts of matter and antimatter.

CERN's LHCb experiment is one of the best hopes for physicists looking to solve this longstanding mystery.

At the VIII International Workshop on Charm Physics, which took place in Bologna earlier this month, the LHCb Collaboration presented the most precise measurement to date of a phenomenon called Charge-Parity (CP) violation among particles that contain a charm quark.

CP symmetry states that laws of physics are the same if a particle is interchanged with its anti-particle (the "C" part) and if its spatial coordinates are inverted (P). The violation of this symmetry in the first few moments of the universe is one of the fundamental ingredients to explain the apparent cosmic imbalance in favour of matter.

Until now, the amount of CP violation detected among elementary particles can only explain a tiny fraction of the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry. Physicists are therefore extending their search in the quest to identify the source of the missing anti-matter.

The LHCb collaboration made a precise comparison between the decay lifetime of a particle called a  $D^0$  meson (formed by a charm quark and an up antiquark) and its anti-matter counterpart  $\bar{D}^0$  (formed by a charm antiquark and up quark), when decaying either to a pair of pions or a pair of kaons. Any difference in these lifetimes would provide strong evidence that an additional source of CP violation is at work. Although CP violation has been observed in processes involving numerous particles that contain b and s quarks, the effect is still unobserved in the charm-quark sector and its magnitude is predicted to be very small in the Standard Model.

Thanks to the excellent performance of CERN's Large Hadron Collider, for the first time the LHCb collaboration is accumulating a dataset large enough to access the required level of precision on CP-violating effects in charm-meson decays. The latest results indicate that the lifetimes of the  $D^0$  and  $\bar{D}^0$  particles, measured using their decays to pions or kaons, are still consistent, thereby demonstrating that any CP violation effect that is present must indeed be at a tiny level.

However, with many more analyses and data to come, LHCb is looking forward to delving even deeper into the possibility of CP violation in the charm sector and thus closing in on the universe's missing antimatter. "The unique capabilities of our experiment, and the huge production rate of

charm mesons at the LHC, allow us to perform measurements that are far beyond the sensitivity of any previous facility," says Guy Wilkinson, spokesperson for the LHCb collaboration. "However, nature demands that we dig even deeper in order to uncover an effect. With the data still to come, we are confident of responding to this challenge," he adds. [15]

## Scientists discover how to turn light into matter after 80-year quest

In just one day over several cups of coffee in a tiny office in Imperial's Blackett Physics Laboratory, three physicists worked out a relatively simple way to physically prove a theory first devised by scientists Breit and Wheeler in 1934.


Breit and Wheeler suggested that it should be possible to turn light into matter by smashing together only two particles of light (photons), to create an electron and a positron – the simplest method of turning light into matter ever predicted. The calculation was found to be theoretically sound but Breit and Wheeler said that they never expected anybody to physically demonstrate their prediction. It has never been observed in the laboratory and past experiments to test it have required the addition of massive high-energy particles.

What was so surprising to us was the discovery of how we can create matter directly from light using the technology that we have today in the UK. – Professor Steve Rose Department of Physics

The new research, published in Nature Photonics, shows for the first time how Breit and Wheeler's theory could be proven in practice. This 'photon-photon collider', which would convert light directly into matter using technology that is already available, would be a new type of high-energy physics experiment. This experiment would recreate a process that was important in the first 100 seconds of the universe and that is also seen in gamma ray bursts, which are the biggest explosions in the universe and one of physics' greatest unsolved mysteries.

The scientists had been investigating unrelated problems in fusion energy when they realized what they were working on could be applied to the Breit-Wheeler theory. The breakthrough was achieved in collaboration with a fellow theoretical physicist from the Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, who happened to be visiting Imperial.

Demonstrating the Breit-Wheeler theory would provide the final jigsaw piece of a physics puzzle which describes the simplest ways in which light and matter interact (see image). The six other pieces in that puzzle, including Dirac's 1930 theory on the annihilation of electrons and positrons and Einstein's 1905 theory on the photoelectric effect, are all associated with Nobel Prize-winning research (see image).



Theories describing light and matter interactions. Credit: Oliver Pike, Imperial College London

Professor Steve Rose from the Department of Physics at Imperial College London said: “Despite all physicists accepting the theory to be true, when Breit and Wheeler first proposed the theory, they said that they never expected it be shown in the laboratory. Today, nearly 80 years later, we prove them wrong. What was so surprising to us was the discovery of how we can create matter directly from light using the technology that we have today in the UK. As we are theorists we are now talking to others who can use our ideas to undertake this landmark experiment.”

Within a few hours of looking for applications of hohlraums outside their traditional role in fusion energy research, we were astonished to find they provided the perfect conditions for creating a photon collider. The race to carry out and complete the experiment is on! – Oliver Pike Department of Physics

The collider experiment that the scientists have proposed involves two key steps. First, the scientists would use an extremely powerful high-intensity laser to speed up electrons to just below the speed of light. They would then fire these electrons into a slab of gold to create a beam of photons a billion times more energetic than visible light.

The next stage of the experiment involves a tiny gold can called a hohlraum (German for ‘empty room’). Scientists would fire a high-energy laser at the inner surface of this gold can, to create a thermal radiation field, generating light similar to the light emitted by stars.

They would then direct the photon beam from the first stage of the experiment through the centre of the can, causing the photons from the two sources to collide and form electrons and positrons. It would then be possible to detect the formation of the electrons and positrons when they exited the can. [14]

## Even If LHC Discovers New Undetectable Particles, Are They Really Dark Matter Particles?

How can the LHC experiments prove that they have produced dark matter? They can't... not alone, anyway. Even if they have made a new type of undetectable particle, they will have to partner with at least one other experiment that can directly check whether the dark matter itself — the stuff found abundantly in the universe — is actually made from LHC's new particles. Simply knowing that the type of particle exists doesn't prove that it makes up most of the matter in the universe. Just like neutrinos, it might make up only a small amount of the matter in the universe. Or it might even make up none, if the new particles are unstable (as is the case for most types of particles), and have a lifetime long enough to travel out of the LHC detectors unseen before they decay, but short enough that they disappeared from the universe shortly after the Big Bang.

To say it more succinctly: even if the LHC makes and discovers a new class of undetectable particles, there's no way for LHC experimenters to figure out how many of these particles, if any, remain in the universe today. The LHC is the wrong machine for that purpose.

So what's to be done? Well, the LHC can be used to figure out some of the properties of the new particles, subject to some assumptions (which can be tested later.) For instance, in the previous section I gave you three examples (and there are many more) of how new undetectable particles could be discovered. In each case, the new particles were produced in a distinct and distinctive way, and other particles accompanied them that gave an indication as to how they were produced. For instance, if the new particles were produced alone, discovery occurred in collisions that made a single recoiling jet. If they were produced in Higgs decays, discovery could occur in events with two high-energy jets from two distinctive quarks. If they were produced in the decay of a new charged particle, discovery could occur in events with a charged lepton and a charged anti-lepton (charged lepton = electron, muon or tau.) So by looking at what accompanies the new particles, and going even deeper into the details of how much missing transverse momentum is typically produced, scientists can potentially begin to put together one or more hypotheses regarding the nature of these new particles. Those hypotheses will be put into the form of equations, which can be used to make predictions. [13]

## Seeing dark matter without seeing



Scientists know that dark matter exists because it has a gravitational effect on visible objects made of ordinary matter. And they know that there is a lot of it; dark matter is thought to be about five times as prevalent as other matter in the universe. Yet, dark matter has managed to evade detection so far.

Similar to normal matter, dark matter is commonly believed to be composed of particles. Scientists' current best guess is that these particles are WIMPs: weakly interacting massive particles. These particles would pass right through ordinary matter. That's because they would interact only through the weak nuclear force—which works only over short distances—and gravity.

Scientists are trying to create WIMPs in collisions at the Large Hadron Collider. But it could be that they are too massive to produce in such an accelerator. Scientists are also trying to find WIMPs with detectors deep underground. But so far they haven't appeared.

That's why scientists also search for dark matter indirectly—rather than trying to catch the WIMPs themselves, they look for other signs that they're around. These signs could come in the form of extra gamma rays, cosmic rays or neutrinos, or in patterns imprinted on the cosmic microwave background radiation left over from just after the big bang.

### Gamma rays in space

It could be that WIMPs are their own antimatter partners. That means that if one dark matter particle meets another dark matter particle, the two could annihilate, leaving behind a host of lighter particles and gamma rays.

It could also be that unstable dark matter particles produce gamma rays as they decay.

Either way, one would expect that an area dense with dark matter would be marked by a higher-than-usual amount of these energetic rays. Many recent studies claim to have found hints of the existence of dark matter in gamma rays, but not all scientists are convinced.

One area that should be dense with dark matter is the center of our own galaxy, the Milky Way. That's where scientists are looking for excess gamma rays using the Large Area Telescope on NASA's Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope spacecraft, which has been orbiting the Earth since 2008.

Last year, the Fermi-LAT collaboration reported its latest analysis of the galactic center, in which the scientists saw a gamma-ray excess similar to other groups before. However, the researchers have not ruled out interpretations due to sources other than dark matter.

The center of the galaxy is an extremely complex region, says Fermi-LAT researcher Troy Porter of the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, a joint institute of Stanford University and SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory.

"The galactic center is very active and it contains many different gamma-ray sources, some of which we don't even know yet," he says. "In order to be able to identify any potential dark matter signal, we must first know the level of gamma rays from all other possible sources very precisely."

Other locations to search for dark matter signals are dwarf satellite galaxies that orbit the Milky Way, says Fermi-LAT researcher Matthew Wood at KIPAC, who was the co-leader of two recent analyses of 15 known dwarf galaxies and eight new dwarf galaxy candidates discovered by scientists of the Dark Energy Survey and University of Cambridge in the UK.

"Dwarf galaxies are dominated by dark matter and don't contain any known gamma-ray sources," he says. "These objects, which have more than a million times fewer stars than our own galaxy, are ideal targets for indirect dark matter searches."

In March, researchers from Carnegie Mellon University, Brown University and the University of Cambridge published an analysis claiming to have found excess gamma rays in one of these dwarf galaxy candidates. The Fermi-LAT and DES collaborations, however, found no definitive sign of such an excess.

## **Gamma rays on Earth**

The Fermi-LAT instrument can detect gamma rays with energies of up to several hundred billion electronvolts. However, the gamma rays produced by WIMPs could be even more energetic.

This is where ground-based gamma-ray observatories come in.

"To detect gamma rays with an energy of a trillion electronvolts or larger, we need detectors with a large surface area—larger than what we can possibly accommodate aboard a spacecraft," says physicist Gernot Maier, who leads a group at the German research center DESY that is searching for high-energy gamma rays on the VERITAS experiment in Arizona.

VERITAS, along with MAGIC on the Canary Islands and H.E.S.S. in Namibia, uses an array of telescopes that detect particle showers caused by gamma rays as they travel through the Earth's atmosphere. None of them have spotted signs of dark matter yet.

Next year, a new ground-based gamma-ray observatory will begin construction. The Cherenkov Telescope Array will consist of about 100 telescopes and will be 10 times as sensitive to high-energy gamma rays from dark matter interactions.

## Cosmic rays

Cosmic rays are extremely energetic radiation composed of charged particles. Just as dark matter annihilations or decays could produce gamma rays, they could also produce cosmic rays. So an unexplained excess of this type of radiation might point to the presence of dark matter.

This is the way the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer experiment, run by MIT physicist and Nobel Prize winner Sam Ting, hopes to discover dark matter.

For the past four years, AMS has studied cosmic rays from its perch on the side of the International Space Station.

“So far the data are totally consistent with WIMP annihilations,” Ting says of the AMS measurements of electrons and positrons in cosmic rays.

AMS isn’t the only experiment to spot a possible sign of dark matter in cosmic rays. In 2009, the PAMELA satellite experiment reported a surplus of cosmic-ray positrons—a result that Fermi-LAT researchers confirmed in 2011.

Ting says the AMS collaboration plans to release their next results this month.

## Neutrinos from the sun

Dark matter annihilations could also produce almost massless particles called neutrinos.

Experiments that search for signs of dark matter in neutrinos use the sun as a dark matter detector. WIMPs could get gravitationally trapped in the center of the massive star. Once the density of WIMPs there became large enough, they could annihilate and produce neutrinos.

Scientists use observatories such as ANTARES under the Mediterranean Sea, the Lake Baikal Neutrino Telescope in Russia, Super-Kamiokande in Japan and IceCube at the South Pole to look for such an event.

“Only neutrinos are able to escape from the center of the sun,” says IceCube leader Francis Halzen of the University of Wisconsin, Madison. “If we ever find such a high-energy neutrino signal, there will be no debate as to whether we have found a dark matter signature or not.”

Unlike gamma or cosmic rays, which can have several astrophysical origins, high-energy neutrinos emerging from the center of the sun could be produced only in dark matter annihilations.

## Caught in the afterglow

The Cosmic Microwave Background is the afterglow of the big bang 14 billion years ago. It exists as a faint pattern of light on the sky. If WIMPs existed in the early universe, they should have left their fingerprint on this radiation.

From 2009 to 2013, the European Space Agency’s Planck space telescope recorded a precise map of this light.

“We measured the dark matter content of the young universe, when it was only 380,000 years old,” says Planck project scientist Jan Tauber.

Planck’s latest publication, released in February, put constraints on the properties of hypothetical WIMPs that are in conflict with the interpretation of positron-excess data from PAMELA, AMS and Fermi-LAT.

Tauber says the Planck collaboration will release more data early next year.

### **The search goes on**

No one knows which method, if any, will lead to the discovery of dark matter. But one thing is clear: Dark matter is quickly losing places to hide. [12]

### **Best way to measure dark energy just got better**



A Type Ia supernova occurs when a white dwarf accretes material from a companion star until it exceeds the Chandrasekhar limit and explodes. By studying these exploding stars, astronomers can measure dark energy and the expansion of the universe. CfA scientists have found a way to correct for small variations in the appearance of these supernovae, so that they become even better standard candles. The key is to sort the supernovae based on their color.

Dark energy is a mysterious force that pervades all space, acting as a "push" to accelerate the Universe's expansion. Despite being 70 percent of the Universe, dark energy was only discovered in

1998 by two teams observing Type Ia supernovae. A Type Ia supernova is a cataclysmic explosion of a white dwarf star.

These supernovae are currently the best way to measure dark energy because they are visible across intergalactic space. Also, they can function as "standard candles" in distant galaxies since the intrinsic brightness is known. Just as drivers estimate the distance to oncoming cars at night from the brightness of their headlights, measuring the apparent brightness of a supernova yields its distance (fainter is farther). Measuring distances tracks the effect of dark energy on the expansion of the Universe.

The best way of measuring dark energy just got better, thanks to a new study of Type Ia supernovae led by Ryan Foley of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. He has found a way to correct for small variations in the appearance of these supernovae, so that they become even better standard candles. The key is to sort the supernovae based on their color.

"Dark energy is the biggest mystery in physics and astronomy today. Now, we have a better way to tackle it," said Foley, who is a Clay Fellow at the Center. He presented his findings in a press conference at the 217th meeting of the American Astronomical Society.

The new tool also will help astronomers to firm up the cosmic distance scale by providing more accurate distances to faraway galaxies.

Type Ia supernovae are used as standard candles, meaning they have a known intrinsic brightness. However, they're not all equally bright. Astronomers have to correct for certain variations. In particular, there is a known correlation between how quickly the supernova brightens and dims (its light curve) and the intrinsic peak brightness.

Even when astronomers correct for this effect, their measurements still show some scatter, which leads to inaccuracies when calculating distances and therefore the effects of dark energy. Studies looking for ways to make more accurate corrections have had limited success until now.

"We've been looking for this sort of 'second-order effect' for nearly two decades," said Foley.

Foley discovered that after correcting for how quickly Type Ia supernovae faded, they show a distinct relationship between the speed of their ejected material and their color: the faster ones are slightly redder and the slower ones are bluer.

Previously, astronomers assumed that redder explosions only appeared that way because of intervening dust, which would also dim the explosion and make it appear farther than it was. Trying to correct for this, they would incorrectly calculate that the explosion was closer than it appeared. Foley's work shows that some of the color difference is intrinsic to the supernova itself.

The new study succeeded for two reasons. First, it used a large sample of more than 100 supernovae. More importantly, it went back to "first principles" and reexamined the assumption that Type Ia supernovae are one average color. [11]

## The Big Bang

The Big Bang caused acceleration created radial currents of the matter, and since the matter is composed of negative and positive charges, these currents are creating magnetic field and attracting forces between the parallel moving electric currents. This is the gravitational force experienced by the matter, and also the mass is result of the electromagnetic forces between the charged particles. The positive and negative charged currents attracts each other or by the magnetic forces or by the much stronger electrostatic forces!?

The gravitational force attracting the matter, causing concentration of the matter in a small space and leaving much space with low matter concentration: dark matter and energy.

There is an asymmetry between the mass of the electric charges, for example proton and electron, can understood by the asymmetrical Planck Distribution Law. This temperature dependent energy distribution is asymmetric around the maximum intensity, where the annihilation of matter and antimatter is a high probability event. The asymmetric sides are creating different frequencies of electromagnetic radiations being in the same intensity level and compensating each other. One of these compensating ratios is the electron – proton mass ratio. The lower energy side has no compensating intensity level, it is the dark energy and the corresponding matter is the dark matter.

## Study Reveals Indications That Dark Matter is Being Erased by Dark Energy

Researchers in Portsmouth and Rome have found hints that dark matter, the cosmic scaffolding on which our Universe is built, is being slowly erased, swallowed up by dark energy.

The findings appear in the journal *Physical Review Letters*, published by the American Physical Society. In the journal cosmologists at the Universities of Portsmouth and Rome, argue that the latest astronomical data favors a dark energy that grows as it interacts with dark matter, and this appears to be slowing the growth of structure in the cosmos.

“Dark matter provides a framework for structures to grow in the Universe. The galaxies we see are built on that scaffolding and what we are seeing here, in these findings, suggests that dark matter is evaporating, slowing that growth of structure.”


Cosmology underwent a paradigm shift in 1998 when researchers announced that the rate at which the Universe was expanding was accelerating. The idea of a constant dark energy throughout space-time (the “cosmological constant”) became the standard model of cosmology, but now the Portsmouth and Rome researchers believe they have found a better description, including energy transfer between dark energy and dark matter. [10]

## Evidence for an accelerating universe

One of the observational foundations for the big bang model of cosmology was the observed expansion of the universe. [9] Measurement of the expansion rate is a critical part of the study, and it has been found that the expansion rate is very nearly "flat". That is, the universe is very close to the critical density, above which it would slow down and collapse inward toward a future "big

crunch". One of the great challenges of astronomy and astrophysics is distance measurement over the vast distances of the universe. Since the 1990s it has become apparent that type Ia supernovae offer a unique opportunity for the consistent measurement of distance out to perhaps 1000 Mpc. Measurement at these great distances provided the first data to suggest that the expansion rate of the universe is actually accelerating. That acceleration implies an energy density that acts in opposition to gravity which would cause the expansion to accelerate. This is an energy density which we have not directly detected observationally and it has been given the name "dark energy".

The type Ia supernova evidence for an accelerated universe has been discussed by Perlmutter and the diagram below follows his illustration in Physics Today.



The data summarized in the illustration above involve the measurement of the redshifts of the distant supernovae. The observed magnitudes are plotted against the redshift parameter  $z$ . Note that there are a number of Type Ia supernovae around  $z=0.6$ , which with a Hubble constant of 71 km/s/mpc is a distance of about 5 billion light years.

### Equation

The cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  appears in Einstein's field equation [5] in the form of

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu},$$

where  $R$  and  $g$  describe the structure of spacetime,  $T$  pertains to matter and energy affecting that structure, and  $G$  and  $c$  are conversion factors that arise from using traditional units of measurement.

When  $\Lambda$  is zero, this reduces to the original field equation of general relativity. When  $T$  is zero, the field equation describes empty space (the vacuum).

The cosmological constant has the same effect as an intrinsic energy density of the vacuum,  $\rho_{\text{vac}}$  (and an associated pressure). In this context it is commonly moved onto the right-hand side of the equation, and defined with a proportionality factor of  $8\pi$ :  $\Lambda = 8\pi\rho_{\text{vac}}$ , where unit conventions of general relativity are used (otherwise factors of  $G$  and  $c$  would also appear). It is common to quote values of energy density directly, though still using the name "cosmological constant".

A positive vacuum energy density resulting from a cosmological constant implies a negative pressure, and vice versa. If the energy density is positive, the associated negative pressure will drive an accelerated expansion of the universe, as observed. (See dark energy and cosmic inflation for details.)

## Explanatory models

Models attempting to explain accelerating expansion include some form of dark energy, dark fluid or phantom energy. The most important property of dark energy is that it has negative pressure which is distributed relatively homogeneously in space. The simplest explanation for dark energy is that it is a cosmological constant or vacuum energy; this leads to the Lambda-CDM model, which is generally known as the Standard Model of Cosmology as of 2003-2013, since it is the simplest model in good agreement with a variety of recent observations.

## Dark Matter and Energy

Dark matter is a type of matter hypothesized in astronomy and cosmology to account for a large part of the mass that appears to be missing from the universe. Dark matter cannot be seen directly with telescopes; evidently it neither emits nor absorbs light or other electromagnetic radiation at any significant level. It is otherwise hypothesized to simply be matter that is not reactant to light. Instead, the existence and properties of dark matter are inferred from its gravitational effects on visible matter, radiation, and the large-scale structure of the universe. According to the Planck mission team, and based on the standard model of cosmology, the total mass–energy of the known universe contains 4.9% ordinary matter, 26.8% dark matter and 68.3% dark energy. Thus, dark matter is estimated to constitute 84.5% of the total matter in the universe, while dark energy plus dark matter constitute 95.1% of the total content of the universe. [6]


## Cosmic microwave background

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) is the thermal radiation assumed to be left over from the "Big Bang" of cosmology. When the universe cooled enough, protons and electrons combined to form neutral atoms. These atoms could no longer absorb the thermal radiation, and so the universe became transparent instead of being an opaque fog. [7]

## Thermal radiation

**Thermal radiation** is electromagnetic radiation generated by the thermal motion of charged particles in matter. All matter with a temperature greater than absolute zero emits thermal radiation. When the temperature of the body is greater than absolute zero, interatomic collisions

cause the kinetic energy of the atoms or molecules to change. This results in charge-acceleration and/or dipole oscillation which produces electromagnetic radiation, and the wide spectrum of radiation reflects the wide spectrum of energies and accelerations that occur even at a single temperature. [8]



## Electromagnetic Field and Quantum Theory

Needless to say that the accelerating electrons of the steady stationary current are a simple demystification of the magnetic field, by creating a decreasing charge distribution along the wire, maintaining the decreasing U potential and creating the  $\underline{A}$  vector potential experienced by the electrons moving by  $\underline{v}$  velocity relative to the wire. This way it is easier to understand also the time dependent changes of the electric current and the electromagnetic waves as the resulting fields moving by c velocity.

It could be possible something very important law of the nature behind the self maintaining  $\underline{E}$  accelerating force by the accelerated electrons. The accelerated electrons created electromagnetic fields are so natural that they occur as electromagnetic waves traveling with velocity c. It shows that the electric charges are the result of the electromagnetic waves diffraction.

One of the most important conclusions is that the electric charges are moving in an accelerated way and even if their velocity is constant, they have an intrinsic acceleration anyway, the so called spin, since they need at least an intrinsic acceleration to make possible they movement .

The bridge between the classical and quantum theory is based on this intrinsic acceleration of the spin, explaining also the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle. The particle – wave duality of the electric charges and the photon makes certain that they are both sides of the same thing. Basing the gravitational force on the accelerating Universe caused magnetic force and the Planck Distribution Law of the electromagnetic waves caused diffraction gives us the basis to build a Unified Theory of the physical interactions. [4]

## Lorentz transformation of the Special Relativity

In the referential frame of the accelerating electrons the charge density lowering linearly because of the linearly growing way they takes every next time period. From the referential frame of the wire there is a parabolic charge density lowering.

The difference between these two referential frames, namely the referential frame of the wire and the referential frame of the moving electrons gives the relativistic effect. Important to say that the moving electrons presenting the time coordinate, since the electrons are taking linearly increasing way every next time period, and the wire presenting the geometric coordinate. The Lorentz transformations are based on moving light sources of the Michelson - Morley experiment giving a practical method to transform time and geometric coordinates without explaining the source of this mystery.

The real mystery is that the accelerating charges are maintaining the accelerating force with their charge distribution locally. The resolution of this mystery that the charges are simply the results of the diffraction patterns, that is the charges and the electric field are two sides of the same thing. Otherwise the charges could exceed the velocity of the electromagnetic field.

The increasing mass of the electric charges the result of the increasing inductive electric force acting against the accelerating force. The decreasing mass of the decreasing acceleration is the result of the inductive electric force acting against the decreasing force. This is the relativistic mass change explanation, especially importantly explaining the mass reduction in case of velocity decrease.

## The Classical Relativistic effect

The moving charges are self maintain the electromagnetic field locally, causing their movement and this is the result of their acceleration under the force of this field.

In the classical physics the charges will distributed along the electric current so that the electric potential lowering along the current, by linearly increasing the way they take every next time period because this accelerated motion.

## Electromagnetic inertia and Gravitational attraction

Since the magnetic induction creates a negative electric field as a result of the changing acceleration, it works as an electromagnetic inertia, causing an electromagnetic mass.

It looks clear that the growing acceleration results the relativistic growing mass - limited also with the velocity of the electromagnetic wave.

Since  $E = h\nu$  and  $E = mc^2$ ,  $m = h\nu / c^2$  that is the  $m$  depends only on the  $\nu$  frequency. It means that the mass of the proton and electron are electromagnetic and the result of the electromagnetic induction, caused by the changing acceleration of the spinning and moving charge! It could be that the  $m_0$  inertial mass is the result of the spin, since this is the only accelerating motion of the electric charge. Since the accelerating motion has different frequency for the electron in the atom and the

proton, they masses are different, also as the wavelengths on both sides of the diffraction pattern, giving equal intensity of radiation.

If the mass is electromagnetic, then the gravitation is also electromagnetic effect caused by the accelerating Universe! The same charges would attract each other if they are moving parallel by the magnetic effect.

The Planck distribution law explains the different frequencies of the proton and electron, giving equal intensity to different lambda wavelengths! Also since the particles are diffraction patterns they have some closeness to each other – can be seen as a gravitational force.

## Electromagnetic inertia and mass

### Electromagnetic Induction

Since the magnetic induction creates a negative electric field as a result of the changing acceleration, it works as an electromagnetic inertia, causing an electromagnetic mass. [1]

### Relativistic change of mass

The increasing mass of the electric charges the result of the increasing inductive electric force acting against the accelerating force. The decreasing mass of the decreasing acceleration is the result of the inductive electric force acting against the decreasing force. This is the relativistic mass change explanation, especially importantly explaining the mass reduction in case of velocity decrease.

### The frequency dependence of mass

Since  $E = h\nu$  and  $E = mc^2$ ,  $m = h\nu / c^2$  that is the  $m$  depends only on the  $\nu$  frequency. It means that the mass of the proton and electron are electromagnetic and the result of the electromagnetic induction, caused by the changing acceleration of the spinning and moving charge! It could be that the  $m_0$  inertial mass is the result of the spin, since this is the only accelerating motion of the electric charge. Since the accelerating motion has different frequency for the electron in the atom and the proton, they masses are different, also as the wavelengths on both sides of the diffraction pattern, giving equal intensity of radiation.

### Electron – Proton mass rate

The Planck distribution law explains the different frequencies of the proton and electron, giving equal intensity to different lambda wavelengths! Also since the particles are diffraction patterns they have some closeness to each other – can be seen as a gravitational force. [1]

There is an asymmetry between the mass of the electric charges, for example proton and electron, can understood by the asymmetrical Planck Distribution Law. This temperature dependent energy distribution is asymmetric around the maximum intensity, where the annihilation of matter and antimatter is a high probability event. The asymmetric sides are creating different frequencies of electromagnetic radiations being in the same intensity level and compensating each other. One of these compensating ratios is the electron – proton mass ratio. The lower energy side has no compensating intensity level, it is the dark energy and the corresponding matter is the dark matter.

## Gravity from the point of view of quantum physics

### The Gravitational force

The gravitational attractive force is basically a magnetic force.

The same electric charges can attract one another by the magnetic force if they are moving parallel in the same direction. Since the electrically neutral matter is composed of negative and positive charges they need 2 photons to mediate this attractive force, one per charges. The Big Bang caused parallel moving of the matter gives this magnetic force, experienced as gravitational force.

Since graviton is a tensor field, it has spin = 2, could be 2 photons with spin = 1 together.

You can think about photons as virtual electron – positron pairs, obtaining the necessary virtual mass for gravity.

The mass as seen before a result of the diffraction, for example the proton – electron mass rate  $M_p=1840 M_e$ . In order to move one of these diffraction maximum (electron or proton) we need to intervene into the diffraction pattern with a force appropriate to the intensity of this diffraction maximum, means its intensity or mass.

The Big Bang caused acceleration created radial currents of the matter, and since the matter is composed of negative and positive charges, these currents are creating magnetic field and attracting forces between the parallel moving electric currents. This is the gravitational force experienced by the matter, and also the mass is result of the electromagnetic forces between the charged particles. The positive and negative charged currents attracts each other or by the magnetic forces or by the much stronger electrostatic forces!?

### The Graviton

In physics, the graviton is a hypothetical elementary particle that mediates the force of gravitation in the framework of quantum field theory. If it exists, the graviton is expected to be massless (because the gravitational force appears to have unlimited range) and must be a spin-2 boson. The spin follows from the fact that the source of gravitation is the stress-energy tensor, a second-rank tensor (compared to electromagnetism's spin-1 photon, the source of which is the four-current, a first-rank tensor). Additionally, it can be shown that any massless spin-2 field would give rise to a force indistinguishable from gravitation, because a massless spin-2 field must couple to (interact with) the stress-energy tensor in the same way that the gravitational field does. This result suggests that, if a massless spin-2 particle is discovered, it must be the graviton, so that the only experimental verification needed for the graviton may simply be the discovery of a massless spin-2 particle. [2]

### Dark Matter and Planck Distribution Law

The Ultraviolet Catastrophe resolved by the Planck Distribution Law, but born a new problem of the Dark Matter and Energy. Part of the UV radiation has no compensating Infrared radiation on the same intensity level giving diffraction patterns that is real matter constructions. Increasing the temperature increases the uncompensated UV radiation so it looks like there is a weak interaction changing the charge distribution between the diffraction sides of the Planck curve. This gives the

idea of WIMP and the Sterile Neutrinos, thinking also about chunks of Dark Matter. Since charge is not moving from one side of the diffraction pattern to the other side it could not be weak interaction. Since matter is disappearing with the increasing temperature we could think about annihilation of matter involving also anti matter. It is happening on the peak of the Planck curve but not on the sides of the UV and Infrared oscillation. This means that there is a matter to energy conversation with the increasing temperature. Of course there would be new diffraction patterns also on higher temperature and we would be seen in the LHC some new diffraction patterns, but surely no Dark Matter and of course more Dark Energy.

## Conclusions

Lead researcher Oliver Pike who is currently completing his PhD in plasma physics, said: "Although the theory is conceptually simple, it has been very difficult to verify experimentally. We were able to develop the idea for the collider very quickly, but the experimental design we propose can be carried out with relative ease and with existing technology. Within a few hours of looking for applications of hohlraums outside their traditional role in fusion energy research, we were astonished to find they provided the perfect conditions for creating a photon collider. The race to carry out and complete the experiment is on!" [14]

No one knows which method, if any, will lead to the discovery of dark matter. But one thing is clear: Dark matter is quickly losing places to hide. [12]

The discovery provides a better physical understanding of Type Ia supernovae and their intrinsic differences. It also will allow cosmologists to improve their data analysis and make better measurements of dark energy -- an important step on the road to learning what this mysterious force truly is, and what it means for the future of the cosmos. [11]

Newly published research reveals that dark matter is being swallowed up by dark energy, offering novel insight into the nature of dark matter and dark energy and what the future of our Universe might be.

The changing temperature of the Universe will change the proportionality of the dark energy and the corresponding dark matter by the Planck Distribution Law, giving the base of this newly published research.

The gravitational force attracting the matter, causing concentration of the matter in a small space and leaving much space with low matter concentration: dark matter and energy.

There is an asymmetry between the mass of the electric charges, for example proton and electron, can understood by the asymmetrical Planck Distribution Law. This temperature dependent energy distribution is asymmetric around the maximum intensity, where the annihilation of matter and antimatter is a high probability event. The asymmetric sides are creating different frequencies of electromagnetic radiations being in the same intensity level and compensating each other. One of these compensating ratios is the electron – proton mass ratio. The lower energy side has no compensating intensity level, it is the dark energy and the corresponding matter is the dark matter. The electric currents causing self maintaining electric potential is the source of the special and general relativistic effects. The Higgs Field is the result of the electromagnetic induction. The Graviton is two photons together. [3]

## References

- [1] 3 Dimensional String Theory  
Author: George Rajna  
Publisher: Academia.edu  
[http://www.academia.edu/3834454/3\\_Dimensional\\_String\\_Theory](http://www.academia.edu/3834454/3_Dimensional_String_Theory)
- [2] Graviton Production By Two Photon and Electron-Photon Processes In Kaluza-Klein Theories With Large Extra Dimensions  
<http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9909392>
- [3] Higgs Field and Quantum Gravity  
Author: George Rajna  
Publisher: Academia.edu  
[http://www.academia.edu/4158863/Higgs\\_Field\\_and\\_Quantum\\_Gravity](http://www.academia.edu/4158863/Higgs_Field_and_Quantum_Gravity)
- [4] The Magnetic field of the Electric current  
Author: George Rajna  
Publisher: Academia.edu  
[https://www.academia.edu/3833335/The\\_Magnetic\\_field\\_of\\_the\\_Electric\\_current](https://www.academia.edu/3833335/The_Magnetic_field_of_the_Electric_current)
- [5] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Einstein\\_field\\_equations](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Einstein_field_equations)
- [6] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dark\\_matter](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dark_matter)
- [7] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cosmic\\_microwave\\_background](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cosmic_microwave_background)
- [8] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermal\\_radiation](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermal_radiation)
- [9] <http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/astro/univacc.html>
- [10] <http://scitechdaily.com/study-reveals-indications-dark-matter-erased-dark-energy/>
- [11] Best way to measure dark energy just got better  
<http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2011/01/110113145054.htm>
- [12] Seeing dark matter without seeing  
<http://www.symmetrymagazine.org/article/april-2015/seeing-dark-matter-without-seeing>
- [13] Searching for Dark Matter at the LHC  
<http://profmattstrassler.com/articles-and-posts/relativity-space-astronomy-and-cosmology/dark-matter/searching-for-dark-matter-at-the-lhc/>
- [14] Scientists discover how to turn light into matter after 80-year quest  
<http://phys.org/news/2014-05-scientists-year-quest.html>

[15] Looking for charming asymmetries  
<http://phys.org/news/2016-09-charming-asymmetries.html>

[16] Physicists observe spontaneous symmetry breaking in an optical microcavity  
<https://phys.org/news/2017-01-physicists-spontaneous-symmetry-optical-microcavity.html>

[17] Group introduces six new particles to standard model to solve five enduring problems  
<https://phys.org/news/2017-02-group-particles-standard-problems.html>

[18] From supersymmetry to the Standard Model: New results from the ATLAS experiment  
<https://phys.org/news/2017-04-supersymmetry-standard-results-atlas.html>

[19] The most accurate measurement of rare meson decay confirms modern physics  
<https://phys.org/news/2017-04-accurate-rare-meson-modern-physics.html>