Dental Biofilm and Saliva Biochemical Composition Changes in Young Orthodontic Patients
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Abstract

Saliva and dental biofilm of children with orthodontic treatment may be associated with high risk factors that increase incidence of caries development in this population.

Aim: To assess the dental biofilm and saliva biochemical composition of young fixed orthodontic patients.

Design: The sample comprised 64 participants between 12 to 18 years of age, of whom 32 had fixed orthodontic treatment as study group and 32 did not as a control group. Supragingival biofilm samples were collected from all teeth of all participants by using sterile curettes. The level of insoluble extracellular polysaccharide (IEPS) calcium (Ca), and phosphorus (Pi) concentrations in dental biofilm were measured using phenol sulphuric acid colorimetric method. The estimated unstimulated salivary flow, pH, buffering capacity and count of Streptococcal Mutants were determined on selective media of all participants.

Results: Dental biofilm Ca, and Pi concentration did not differ between both groups but the dental biofilm of orthodontic patients showed higher IEPS levels parallel with high salivary count of S. Mutans. However, there were lowering in pH and buffering capacity than others without orthodontic treatment (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: The saliva and dental biofilm of fixed orthodontic patients have higher cariogenic incidence than who are without orthodontic appliances.

Introduction

Enamel smooth surfaces demineralization, usually is unfortunately a common complication that range from 2-96% of orthodontic patients [1]. This variation arises as a result of different methods used to assess and score the presence of decalcification [2]. However, the teeth most commonly affected are maxillary lateral incisors, maxillary canines and mandibular premolars [3]. Another study revealed that, any tooth in the mouth can be affected, and often a number of anterior teeth show decalcification. Whilst the surface demineralization remains intact, there is a possibility of demineralization of the lesion. In severe cases, frank cavitation is seen which requires restorative intervention. Half of children treated with fixed orthodontic appliances had at least one white spot after treatment; maxillary lateral incisors are most commonly affected site [4]. The incidence or number of white spot formations did not in proportional to the length of treatment, although many studies [5,6] found that rapidly demineralization can occur within the first month of fixed orthodontic appliance treatment. This has focused on aesthetic implications and the need for the rate of caries assessment before treatment. On the other hand, there was no incidence of white spot formation associated with lingual bonded retainers, which would suggest flow rate and salivary buffering capacity, have an important role in surface protection against acid attack [4]. Some authors concluded that, the biochemical of saliva and dental biofilm contribute significantly to interact between the oral environment and the mineral tissue of the teeth. Saliva is an exocrine secretion with 99% water and a variety of proteins and electrolytes including sodium, potassium, calcium, chloride, magnesium, bicarbonate, and phosphorus. The main functions of saliva include protecting and cleaning the mouth, also to maintain the pH and integrity of hard and soft tissues in the oral cavity [7]. The analysis of organic and inorganic composition of saliva may contribute to the evaluation of caries incidence and to diagnose of other associated diseases [8]. Cariogenicity of the dental biofilm depend on electrolytes level such as fluoride, calcium, and phosphorus, may also be associated with caries incidence increase if its Insoluble extracellular polysaccharide content is low [9,10]. Insoluble extracellular polysaccharide plays an important role in bacterial adhesion; the Insoluble extracellular polysaccharide on cell walls of the bacteria also increases biofilm thickness, resulting in increased acid formation at the tooth...
biofilm interface [11]. This study was conducted to evaluate the changes in biochemical composition of dental biofilm and saliva in fixed orthodontic young patients.

**Material And Methods**

According to world medical association declaration of Helsinki [12], study population and ethical local institutional approval for study sample comprised 64 participants between 12 to 18 years-old. The study group was composed of 32 patients scheduled for fixed orthodontic treatment at the department of orthodontics, (Al-Azhar University, Assuit, Egypt), and the control group consisted of 32 patients without any orthodontic treatment. Patients with debilitating diseases, that were under current treatment with drugs, that were users of mouth wash, that had dental prosthesis or inability to provide a saliva sample due to lack of cooperation or difficulty expectorating were excluded from the study. All parents or legal guardians received adequate information and written consent for collection of dental biofilm and saliva samples from participants.

**Dental biofilm samples**

Prior collection of samples, patients were asked to stop oral hygiene measures for 24 hour, which was performed on other day from the clinical examination. Supragingival biofilm samples were collected from all teeth by using sterile curettes and stored at −20°C for analysis [13]. For 24 hours, dental biofilm samples were vacuum-dried over phosphorus pentoxide [14]. After dissection dry weight of samples were measured using an analytical balance. For Ca, and Pi extraction, 0.5ml of HCl was added to the microtube in the proportion of 0.1ml/mg dry weight biofilm. At room temperature, after 3 hour and under constant agitation, an equal volume of total ionic strength adjustment buffer II, modified with 20g naoh/L, pH 5.0 was added to the microtube. For 10 min, the samples were centrifuged at 11,000g, and the supernatant was retained for measuring of the Ca, and Pi concentrations. Ca, Pi level were measured by using (ELI TECH kit), processed in microlab analyzer spictrophoton (Microlab 300). 1M naoh was added to the remaining precipitate after washing by saline in the proportion of each 0.2ml/mg dry weight biofilm. For 3 hours, samples were agitated and then centrifuged. Supernatant was removed for measuring Insoluble extracellular polysaccharide (IEPS) levels that were determined by colorimetric phenol sulphuric acid method [15,16].

**Salivary pH and buffering capacity**

Other part of saliva samples used to measure salivary pH by using pH meter [22] (pH18 Aqua Lytic Co., USA).Buffering capacity is determined by quantitative test using a handheld. This method involves the addition of 0.5ml of saliva to 1.5ml of 5M Hcl. Mixture was vigorously shaken. Then stream of Nitrogen was passed through the mixture for 20 minutes to eliminate carbon dioxide from the sample and allowed to stand for 10 min when the final pH is measured [23].

**Results**

Data were checked entered and analyzed by using SPSS (version 22). Data were presented as mean and Standard Deviation (SD) or quantitative variable. Student’s t-test was used for composition of two means (P < 0.05) was considered statistically significant. Normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. (Table 1) shows the characteristics of study participants. No group differences were found in participant age and sex (P > 0.05). Since no statistically significant differences were found between sex and age so that, data were combined for them. (Table 2) shows nonmicrobialbiofilm concentration of Ca, Pi and salivary flow rate (P > 0.05). These values did not differ between the two groups. Salivary pH and buffering capacity were, however, significantly lower in Salivary samples obtained from study group (P < 0.05). Additionally, IEPS concentrations were significantly higher (P < 0.05) and S.Mutans colonies count (P < 0.001) in study group.
Table 1: Shows the mean values, standard deviations and the statistical analysis of for sex and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study group n: 32</th>
<th>Control Group n: 32</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>16.4±1.4</td>
<td>15.8±1.6</td>
<td>0.09 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>n.</td>
<td>n.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.91 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences (p < 0.05) *
Insignificant differences (p > 0.05) NS

Table 2: shows the mean values, standard deviations range and the statistical analysis of the oral variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study group n: 32</th>
<th>Control Group n: 32</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (Ca) mg/dl Range</td>
<td>14.1±1.2 (10.77) - (17.1)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.75 (11.1) - (19.3)</td>
<td>0.91 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (P) mg/dl Range</td>
<td>27.4 ± 2.6 (19.15) - (31.4)</td>
<td>26.2 ± 3.6 (22.06) - (34.21)</td>
<td>0.12 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EPS) lg EPS/mg Range</td>
<td>187.1 ± 60.9 (118.92) - (327.8)</td>
<td>155 ± 67.1 (101.19) - (331.23)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH Range</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.3 (5.6) - (6.8)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.46 (5.54) - (7.14)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffering capacity Range</td>
<td>2.114 ± 0.184 (1.9) - (2.56)</td>
<td>2.365 ± 0.248 (1.98) - (2.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstimulated Salivary flow rate Range</td>
<td>0.693 ± 0.005 (0.604) - (0.791)</td>
<td>0.694 ± 0.04 (0.628) - (0.776)</td>
<td>0.94 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. mutans (cfu/ml) ×10^3/ml Range</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.46 (1.337) - (2.767)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5 (0.604) - (1.984)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences (P < 0.05) *
Highly significant differences (P < 0.001) **
Insignificant differences (p > 0.05) NS

Discussion

Saliva has an important role in caries development due to many factors such as it participates in the dilution of substances intra oral cavity, mechanical cleaning, post-eruptive maturation, enamel dental remineralization and demineralization, pellicle formation, antimicrobial action and buffering action produced by biofilm and foods [24,25,26,27]. Many studies have been conducted to investigate the changes of microbial environment in patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment [28,29,30]. On the other view, a few previous researches designed to evaluate changing salivary nonmicrobial composition of orthodontic patients [31,32]. The saliva of fixed orthodontic appliances patients had lower pH, buffering capacity and calcium concentration than that of patients without it. These oral changes are enough to cause tooth demineralization [23]. The saliva buffering capacity, defined as salivary resistance to change in pH, is assigned to carbonate bicarbonate systems, phosphates and proteins [33,34]. The bicarbonate system is responsible for about 85% of the buffering capacity in the pH range of 7.2 to 6.8. When the salivary flow rate increases, the concentration of bicarbonate ions also increases [35,36,37]. Another study revealed that, saliva with low calcium concentration has a lower pH and, therefore, a greater susceptibility to demineralization than others who have higher concentrations of calcium [25]. Some investigators reported that the salivary calcium concentration is highly dependent on salivary flow rate and pH, so that, the more increase in the salivary flow rate the more increase in the calcium ions concentration of saliva [38]. However, some other authors have found that the concentration of calcium ions decreases as salivary flow rates increase [37,39]. Our finding revealed that, there was insignificant correlation between calcium ion concentration and salivary flow rate or between salivary flow rate and buffering capacity. Some others found [40,41,42] an increase in the salivary flow rate of fixed orthodontic appliances patients.

Conclusion

Although, our finding revealed that the biochemical composition being similar between both groups, the caries risk factors were altered in the orthodontic patients So that the effective preventive measures, oral hygiene measures, diet counseling, prophylaxis and topical fluoride application should be applied for orthodontic patients to control increasing in biofilm cariogenicity which reflect on the prevalence of caries.
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Why This Paper Is Important In Dentistry Field?

Dentists should be aware that most of orthodontic appliances patients from children and adolescents who have oral environment with higher cariogenic potential than who are without appliances. Therefore, supervision for restricted oral hygiene measures by parents or guardians is fundamental for effective control and the prevention of dental caries in orthodontics patients.
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