

Refutation of control by quantum observation

© Copyright 2018 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

From: Biele, R; Rodríguez-Rosario, CA; Frauenheim, T; Rubio, A. 2016. Controlling heat and particle currents in nanodevices by quantum observation. arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1611/1611.08471.pdf.
 Emails: (robert.biele@gmx.net), (crodrig@mpsd.mpg.de), and (angel.rubio@mpsd.mpg.de).

"*A quantum observer has zero entropy flow.* Examining the entropy flow due to the local observation shows that the quantum observer does not add a new entropy flow to the system in contrast to a standard thermodynamic heat bath. Inserting [Eq. (10)] into Eq. (9) shows that the entropy flux due to the quantum observer is zero. This means that a quantum observer changes the energy flow in the system directly, without having an entropy flow connected with it."

We assume the apparatus and method of Meth8/VL4, where T is the designated proof value. (Other values are F for contradiction, C for falsity, and N for truth; 16-valued truth tables are row-major.)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{LET: } p \ q \ r \ s & p; |k\rangle; \text{Tr}; \ vD^2; \\ 1 \ 2 \ 0 & (\%p\>\#p); (\%p\<\#p); (\%p\>\#p)-(\%p\>\#p) \\ \text{lc_sigmaD} & |k\rangle\langle k| \\ \ln(p) & 0\<p\<1 \end{array}$$

$$LDp = \sim(vD^2)[2|k\rangle\langle k|p|k\rangle\langle k| - |k\rangle\langle k|p - p|k\rangle\langle k|] \quad (10.1)$$

$$LDp = s\&(((\%p\<\#p)\&(((q\&\sim q)\&p)\&(q\&\sim q))) - (((q\&\sim q)\&p) - (p\&(q\&\sim q)))) \quad (10.2)$$

$$0 = -\text{Tr}[LDp(\ln(\text{lc_sigmaD}))] \quad (9.1)$$

$$((\%p\>\#p)-(\%p\>\#p)) = (\sim r\&((LDp)\&(((p\&\sim q)\<(\%q\>\#q))\&((q\&\sim q)\>((\%p\>\#p)-(\%p\>\#p)))))) \quad (9.2)$$

$$\text{Eq. 10.1 is substituted into Eq. 9.1:} \quad (11.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\%p\>\#p)-(\%p\>\#p)) = (\sim r\&((s\&(((\%p\<\#p)\&(((q\&\sim q)\&p)\&(q\&\sim q))) - (((q\&\sim q)\&p) - \\ (p\&(q\&\sim q))))))\&(((q\&\sim q)\<(\%q\>\#q))\&((q\&\sim q)\>((\%q\>\#q)-(\%q\>\#q)))))) ; \\ \text{NNNN NNNN NNNN NNNN} \end{aligned} \quad (11.2)$$

Eq. 11.2 as rendered is *not* tautologous. This means that control by quantum observation is refuted.