

Intuitive explanation of the Riemann hypothesis

1. Characterisation of the nontrivial zeroes of ζ .

There is a unique (canonical) one-form α on \mathbb{H} invariant under $\Gamma(2)$ with a pole of residue 1 at the image of $i\infty$ and a pole of residue -1 at the image of 1. Under the embedding $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with τ the coordinate on \mathbb{C} the ratio $[\alpha : d\tau]$ tends to one at the upper end of the interval $(0, i\infty)$. Let T be the connected real multiplicative group and consider the multiplication actions

$$\begin{cases} \mu_+ : T \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \\ (g, z) \mapsto \sqrt{g}z \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_- : T \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \\ (g, z) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}z \end{cases}$$

1. Theorem. For each unitary character ω of T and each real number c with $0 < c < 1$, the differential two-form

$$g^{2-2c}\omega(g)\mu_+^*(\alpha - d\tau) \wedge \mu_-^*(\alpha - d\tau)$$

is real and integrable (rapidly decreasing, that is ‘Schwartz’) on $T \times (0, i\infty)$. Among rapidly decreasing forms, it is exact if and only if $\zeta(c + i\omega_0)$ is zero where ζ is Riemann’s zeta function and ω_0 is the real number corresponding to ω under the rule $\omega(g) = g^{i\omega_0}$.

Proof. It is real because the factors besides $\omega(g)$ are anti-symmetric with respect to interchanging μ_+ and μ_- which matches the reversal of orientation of T . The two-form integrates to the squared absolute value of a holomorphic integral, namely $\int g^{1-c}\omega(g)(\alpha - d\tau)$. In turn, it is easy to calculate the holomorphic *definite* integral; it is $iL(s, \chi)\Gamma(s)\pi^{-s}$ where i is the imaginary unit, and L is the L series for sums of four squares, χ is the Dirichlet sign character and $s = c + i\omega_0$. The rule $\omega(g_1g_2^{-1}) = \omega(g_1)\omega(g_2)^{-1}$ is all that is needed.

2. Remark about the dynamical interpretation.

Here is an intuitive way of integrating the two-form let, us call it A_s for $s = c + i\omega_0$. if we let $\tau = ie^t$. By ‘integration by parts’

$$\frac{1}{i\pi} \int e^{(c-1)t+i\omega_0 t} d \log(\lambda/q) = \frac{-c+1-i\omega_0}{i\pi} \int e^{(c-1)t+i\omega_0 t} \log(\lambda/q(ie^t)) dt.$$

Therefore

$$\int \int A_s = \left(\frac{|(s-1)|}{\pi} \right)^2 \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega_0 t} e^{(c-1)t} \log(\lambda/q(ie^t)) dt \right|^2.$$

The second term on the right is the squared magnitude of the Fourier transform value at frequency ω_0 of the real function

$$e^{(c-1)t} \log(\lambda/q(ie^t)).$$

A disk spinning with angular rate ω_0 with pivot point held by a pair of opposing movable bearings, if we move the bearings in a line according to this function (of time), the limiting radius of the circle traced by the initial pivot point will be the magnitude and

2. Theorem.

$$\frac{\pi^3}{|s-1|^2} \int A_s = \text{area inside final circle.}$$

3. Lie actions.

Whenever A_s is a Lie derivative, meaning $A_s = \delta B$ for some rapidly decreasing B , under the action of a vector-field δ , then A_s can be obtained by multiplying B by a suitable divergence ratio; put differently $A_s = d i_\delta B$ which is an exact form; this can only happen if $\zeta(s) = 0$ (still assuming $0 < 1 < c$).

4. The action of $\frac{\partial}{\partial c}$.

A vector field which does not preserve $T \times (0, i\infty)$ is the partial derivative with respect to c . If $g = e^t$ it sends A_s to $2tA_s$.

2. Conjecture. For $0 < c < 1/2$, the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial c} A_{c+i\omega_0}$ is non-positive.

The conjecture implies that A_s is non-exact, and $\zeta(c + i\omega_0) \neq 0$, for all c in the same range, because it would imply that $\frac{\partial}{\partial c} \int A_s \leq 0$. For each value of ω_0 the dependence on c is a non-increasing real analytic function $(0, 1/2) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Such a function cannot take the value of zero.

Let's attempt to estimate the partial derivative.

3. Lemma. The function

$$h(r, v) = e^{2(c-1)v} \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{q}(v + r/2)\right) \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{q}(v - r/2)\right)$$

has the properties that for $0 < c < 1/2$

$$\begin{cases} h(r, v) > 0 & \text{for all } r, v \\ h(r, v) - h(r, -v) < 0 & \text{for all } r \text{ and all } v > 0 \end{cases}$$

Now we calculate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c} \int A_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial c} \frac{(c-1)^2 + \omega_0^2}{\pi^2} \int \int e^{(2c-2)v} \cos(r\omega_0) h(r, v) dv dr.$$

Symmetrizing and cancelling a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int \cos(r\omega_0) \int (c-1)(h(r, v) + h(r, -v)) + ((c-1)^2 + \omega_0^2) v (h(r, v) - h(r, -v)) dv dr$$

Since $c - 1$ is negative, the lemma implies that the inner integrand, and in fact each of the two terms separately, take strictly negative values for all r and all v .

We can begin to unwind the definition again; the first term corresponds to the multiple by the negative number $2c - 2$ of a cosine transform that we already knew evaluates to the magnitude of a complex number. The final contribution from that term is the negative of the magnitude and must be non-positive.

The coefficient of v is more problematic and will require concepts of estimates.

References

1. Marcus Du Sautoy, The music of the primes: why an unsolved problem in Mathematics matters, 2004
2. Keith Ball, Rational approximations to the zeta function, June, 2017
3. Robert Mackay, email, 19 October, 2017
4. Robert Mackay, email, 20 October, 2017
5. Robert Mackay, email, 22 October, 2017
6. Robert Mackay, email, 14 December, 2017
7. Nayo Reid, conversation, Euston Place, Leamington, 23 January, 2018
8. Robert Mackay, email, 28 February, 2018
9. Robert Mackay, email, 20 March, 2018

John Atwell Moody
20 April 2018