

A SIMPLE, DIRECT PROOF, USING SET-THEORY, OF FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM (FLT)

(V. 20) PHILIP A. BLOOM; EBLOOM2357@HOTMAIL.COM

ABSTRACT. A *simple* proof of FLT for each integral $n > 2$ is not confirmed. Our simple proof of FLT is based on our algebraic identity, denoted, for convenience, as $r^n + s^n = t^n$. For $n \geq 1$ we relate (r, s, t) , a *function of two variables*, for which $r^n + s^n = t^n$ holds, with (x, y, z) for which $x^n + y^n = z^n$ holds. We infer by *direct argument* (not by way of contradiction) that $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, r^n + s^n = t^n\} = \{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, x^n + y^n = z^n\}$ for any given n . In addition, we show, for $n > 2$, that $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$. Consequently, for values of $n > 2$, it is true that $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$.

1. INTRODUCTION

FLT states, for integral $n > 2$, that $x^n + y^n = z^n$ does not hold for (x, y, z) with integers $x, y, z \geq 1$. No accepted *simple* proof of FLT exists for each $n > 2$.

We propose a *direct proof*, i.e., not by way of contradiction, for each $n > 2$.

2. OUR DEVISED EQUATION : THE BASIS OF OUR DIRECT PROOF

For integral $n \geq 1$, a statement shown, below, to be sufficient for this proof, is :

$$(1) \quad \left((4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n + \left((p - 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n = \left((p + 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n .$$

For all integral $n \geq 1$: With *algebraic identity* (1) we restrict q to all positive rational values; unrestricted p has all positive real values such that $p > 2q^n$.

The values of rational q are *sufficient* for our argument, per Prop. 3.1, below.

Denote $4q^n$, $p - 2q^n$, and $p + 2q^n$, respectively, by r^n , s^n , $t^n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for convenience.

We *designed* the resulting equation, $r^n + s^n = t^n$, not only to be a *true statement* for $n \geq 1$ with (r, s, t) such that $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(p, q)$ for which $r^n + s^n = t^n$ holds - - - but, also to be specifically true for $n = 1, 2$ with $q = \frac{r}{4}, \frac{r}{2}$, respectively. For $n \geq 1$: A *false statement* is $\rho^n + \sigma^n = \tau^n$ for which ρ, σ, τ each is a function of identical variables, that, for $n = 1$, or $n = 2$, does not hold for (ρ, σ, τ) such that $\rho, \sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. THE DIRECT ARGUMENT USING ELEMENTARY SET-THEORY

For $n \geq 1$: $x^n + y^n = z^n$ is a *true statement* with (x, y, z) , such that $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which $x^n + y^n = z^n$ holds. Clearly, $x^n + y^n = z^n$ is true for *non-trivial* $n = 1, 2$.

With each a nonempty set or each an empty set : We intend to infer, for any given $n \geq 1$, that $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, r^n + s^n = t^n\} = \{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, x^n + y^n = z^n\}$.

Should we confirm this equality it would show with $n = 3$, as the main example for values of $n > 2$, that $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, x^n + y^n = z^n\} = \emptyset$ - - - because, for $n > 2$, it is shown in Sect. 4, below, that $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, r^n + s^n = t^n\} = \emptyset$.

Date: July 25, 2018.

3.1. For Integral $n \geq 1$, Distinct Sets Each Essential To Our Proof. . . .

Let $A \supset C$ be $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}, r, s, t > 0, r^n + s^n = t^n\}$.

Let $B \subset A$ be $\{(r, s, t) | r \cdot s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, r \cdot s, t \text{ are coprime}, r, s, t > 0, r^n + s^n = t^n\}$.

Let $C \subset B$ be $\{(r, s, t) | r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, r, s, t \text{ are coprime}, r, s, t \geq 1, r^n + s^n = t^n\}$.

Let $D \supset F$ be $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}, x, y, z > 0, x^n + y^n = z^n\}$.

Let $E \subset D$ be $\{(x, y, z) | x \cdot y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, x \cdot y, z \text{ are coprime}, x^n + y^n = z^n\}$.

Let $F \subset E$ be $\{(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y, z \text{ are coprime}, x, y, z \geq 1, x^n + y^n = z^n\}$.

Let $G \supset J$ be $\{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} | \frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{r \cdot s}{t} > 0, (r, s, t) \in A\}$.

Let $H \subset G$ be $\{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} | \frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in \mathbb{Q}, \frac{r \cdot s}{t} > 0, (r, s, t) \in A\}$.

Let $J \subset H$ be $\{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} | \frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in \mathbb{Q}, \frac{r \cdot s}{t} > 0, (r, s, t) \in B\}$.

Let $K \supset M$ be $\{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} | \frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{x \cdot y}{z} > 0, (x, y, z) \in D\}$.

Let $L \subset K$ be $\{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} | \frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in \mathbb{Q}, \frac{x \cdot y}{z} > 0, (x, y, z) \in D\}$.

Let $M \subset L$ be $\{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} | \frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in \mathbb{Q}, \frac{x \cdot y}{z} > 0, (x, y, z) \in E\}$.

3.2. Formal Propositions Essential To Our Argument.

Proposition 3.1. *For any given $n : H = L$, with each nonempty or each empty.*

Proof. Note that $G \supset J$, and $K \supset M$. With $\frac{(4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}(p-2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(p+2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \in G$, or $\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in G$:

For an arbitrarily chosen value of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, choose an arbitrary value of $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. We can always find a value of unrestricted real p for which $\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in G$ takes an arbitrarily chosen real value; so, $\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in G$ takes any given real value. Thus, for any given $n : \{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in G\}$ includes $\{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in K\}$ which, in turn, includes $\{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in G\}$ since $x^n + y^n = z^n$ is the most general such triple- n -th-power formulation. Hence, for any given $n : \{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in G\} = \{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in K\}$, a *big idea* since for $n > 2$, $A \neq D$, per Sec. 4.

Consequently, for any given value of $n : \{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in H \subset G\} = \{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in L \subset K\}$. \square

Values of rational q are sufficient for Prop. 3.1 to be true, as follows :

Non-rational values of q can be ignored since values taken by p, q , with $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ independent of determining Prop. 3.1, are sufficient for our proof of Prop. 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *For any given $n : B = E$ with each nonempty or each empty.*

Proof. With $\{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in H\} = \{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in L\}$, it follows, for any given value of n , that $\{\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in J \subset H\} = \{\frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in M \subset L\}$ with each set nonempty or each set empty.

Thus, $\{r \cdot s | (r, s, t) \in B\} = \{x \cdot y | (x, y, z) \in E\}$; $\{t | (r, s, t) \in B\} = \{z | (x, y, z) \in E\}$.

Therefore, $\{r \cdot s, t | (r, s, t) \in B\} = \{x \cdot y, z | (x, y, z) \in E\}$. \square

Proposition 3.3. For any given value of n , the elements of B are : $r = \left(\frac{w^n \pm \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$,
 $s = \left(\frac{w^n \mp \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and $t = w$.

Proof. For any given value of n , notate taken-as-known values of $\frac{r \cdot s}{t} \in J$ by $\frac{v}{w}$ for which v, w are positive coprime values, such that $v \neq w$.

Thus, $\left\{ \frac{r \cdot s}{t} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{v}{w} \right\}$. Hence, $\{t|(r, s, t) \in B\} = \{w\}$, and $\{r \cdot s|(r, s, t) \in B\} = \{v\}$.

Solving $t = w$ and $r \cdot s = v$ simultaneously with $r^n + s^n = t^n$ results in

$$(r^n)^2 - (r^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0 \text{ and } (s^n)^2 - (s^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0.$$

The solution in J is $r = \left(\frac{w^n \pm \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, $s = \left(\frac{w^n \mp \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, $t = w$. \square

Proposition 3.4. For any given value of n , the elements of E are : $x = \left(\frac{w^n \pm \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$,
 $y = \left(\frac{w^n \mp \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and $z = w$.

Proof. For any given value of n , notate taken-as-known values of $\frac{x \cdot y}{z} \in M$ by $\frac{v}{w}$, with coprime v, w , as with proposition 3.3.

Thus, $\left\{ \frac{x \cdot y}{z} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{v}{w} \right\}$. So, $\{z|(x, y, z) \in E\} = \{w\}$, and $\{x \cdot y|(x, y, z) \in E\} = \{v\}$.

Solving $z = w$ and $x \cdot y = v$ simultaneously with $x^n + y^n = z^n$ results in equations $(x^n)^2 - (x^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0$ and $(y^n)^2 - (y^n)(w^n) + v^n = 0$.

The solution in M is $x = \left(\frac{w^n \pm \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, $y = \left(\frac{w^n \mp \sqrt{w^{2n} - 4v^n}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, $z = w$. \square

Proposition 3.5. For any given n : $C = F$ with each nonempty or each empty.

Proof. Per Props. 3.3-3.4 : For any given n : $\{r|(r, s, t) \in B\} = \{x|(x, y, z) \in E\}$;
 $\{s|(r, s, t) \in B\} = \{y|(x, y, z) \in E\}$; and $\{t|(r, s, t) \in B\} = \{z|(x, y, z) \in E\}$

Hence, for any given value of n : $\{r|(r, s, t) \in C \subset B\} = \{x|(x, y, z) \in F \subset E\}$;
 $\{s|(r, s, t) \in C \subset B\} = \{y|(x, y, z) \in F \subset E\}$.

We have shown, above, that $\{t|(r, s, t) \in B, t \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{z|((x, y, z) \in E, z \in \mathbb{Z})\}$.

Thus, $\{(r, s, t) \in C\} = \{(x, y, z) \in F\}$ with each set nonempty or each empty. \square

We fully prove Prop. 3.5 regardless of whether q is rational or q is irrational.

4. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

With the triple $((4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}, (p - 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}, (p + 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}})$, term $(4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ reduces to $2^{\frac{2}{n}}q$.

It logically follows, for $n > 2$, with $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, that $\{2^{\frac{2}{n}}q \in \mathbb{Q}, r^n + s^n = t^n\} = \emptyset$.

Thus, for $n > 2$, it is true that its subset, $\{2^{\frac{2}{n}}q \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}, r^n + s^n = t^n\} = \emptyset$.

[So, for $n > 2$, sets $A \neq D$. With $n = 3$, for example, $x^n + y^n = z^n$ holds for $x = 1$, $y = 2$, and $z = 9^{\frac{1}{3}}$; though, for $n = 3$, equation $r^n + s^n = t^n$ for $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ does not hold with $(4q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$, $(p - 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2$, and $(p + 2q^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 9^{\frac{1}{3}}$. But, for $n > 2$, that $A \neq D$ is irrelevant, since $C = F$ is possible either with $A \neq D$ or $A = D$.]

Hence, for $n > 2$, equation (1) does not hold for (r, s, t) such that $r, s, t \in C$.

Per proposition 3.5, for any given value of n : $(r, s, t) \in C = (x, y, z) \in F$.

Ergo, by using our simple, direct argument we conclude the following :

For $n > 2$: $x^n + y^n = z^n$ does not hold for (x, y, z) such that $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. QED