

Stephen+

Sam Iam / Salvatore Gerard Micheal

In the beginning, She said "Let there be life" and there *was* Life .. Besides topology and 3 dimensions, we need certain cosmological attributes that, in the very least, *allow* life. The following line of research is not about parameters and topology; it's about that first second of time and its consequences.

A balanced curvature/energy scheme not only makes sense, it's likely **fundamental** like causality. So when we postulate the universe began with 50/50 matter and antimatter, it's probably because that's the **only** way it **can BE** in a life-bearing cosmos.

We currently propose the universe contains approximately:
70% dark energy
25% dark matter and
5% ordinary matter.

A balanced curvature/energy scheme implies:
50% matter and
50% antimatter.

How did the universe get so out-of-whack?

In continuation of Dr. Hawking's work, I propose a very simple culprit: PABHs, primordial antimatter black holes. Their unique characteristics **define** the early cosmos and evolution of it. Temporal curvature around them behaves **opposite** of matter PBHs: *time compresses/speeds-up, gravitation repels* (except for antimatter), and generally causes all kinds of havoc on a cosmic scale.

What I will attempt to explain is how:

50% antimatter → 70% dark energy

50% matter → 30% matter

100% 100%

cosmic inflation occurred and
baryon asymmetry happened.

In other words, how did 50% antimatter "turn into" 70% dark energy, matter lose 20% – and – explain inflation and baryon asymmetry? Obviously, "turn into" is in quotes for a **reason**: in this framework, dark energy **IS** antimatter so *all we really have to do is explain the 20% gain for antimatter / loss for matter.*

The answer is in the phrase from another article: **cosmic vacuum cleaner**. Recall the characteristics of PABHs: they attract antimatter, they repel matter, and they do this **really fast** because they speed-up local time. So as they clean-house / clear the universe of baryonic antimatter, they *accelerate expansion* because they're growing. The 20% matter loss must have been incidental/friendly-fire.

In other words, Murphy's law strikes again: in the 14 **BILLION** years of our universe's existence, there must have been *direct hits* between PBHs and PABHs. My guess is *because they're faster, they dominated the mergers* losing matter 20% in the process.

QED, 2018/JUN/17

This article is dedicated to the late Stephen Hawking and my lovely daughter Hope. She's only 1.5 years old. But I make recordings for her continuously, even now.