

Proof of the Fourth Landau's Problem

Andrey Skrypnyk

ansk66@mail.ru

Abstract—This article solves the problem for the third time using the Formula of Disjoint Sets of Odd Numbers numbers that I proposed

Index Terms—algorithm

I. DEFINITION OF THE FOURTH LANDAU'S PROBLEM

Is the set of primes of the form $(n^2 + 1)$ infinite, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and \mathbb{N}^* be natural numbers without zero?

II. ALGORITHM FOR PROOF OF THE FOURTH LANDAU'S PROBLEM

Let the odd number be y .

Half of the numbers $(n^2 + 1)$, following odd $n^2 = y^2$ are even and correspondingly composite numbers.

The other half $(n^2 + 1)$ follows an even number of $n^2 = (y + 1)^2$.

Then:

$$n^2 + 1 = (y + 1)^2 + 1 = y^2 + 2y + 2 = y(y + 2) + 2. \quad (1)$$

Let's represent (1) with respect to the odd number y_k following the given y :

$$n^2 + 1 = y_k(y_k - 2) + 2. \quad (2)$$

Consider the sets:

$$\{y_n \mid y_k(y_k - 2) < y_n < y_k^2, y_k \geq 3\}. \quad (3)$$

The number of terms in each set (3):

$$N_{y_n} = y_k - 1. \quad (4)$$

But the segments between $y_k(y_k - 2)$ and y_k^2 are segments in a sequence of odd numbers for which the Formula of Disjoint Sets of Odd Numbers is valid. For the entire sequence of odd numbers y , it has the form of the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_y = & \left(0, 0 \dots 01\%(1) + 33, 3 \dots 3\%(\{3y\}) + \right. \\ & + \sum_{n=3}^{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_{y_{on}} \left(\{y_{on}y_n \mid \frac{y_n}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}^*, \dots \right. \\ & \left. \left. \dots, \frac{y_n}{y_{o(n-1)}} \notin \mathbb{N}^* \right) \right) \rightarrow 100\%, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where:

Z_y is of appearance of all odd numbers y ;

the number of digits represented by (...) in the first two terms $\rightarrow \infty$;

$Z_{y_{on}}$ is the frequency of appearance of the given set (in %)

in the sequence $\{y\}$;

n is the number of a member of a sequence of odd primes; y_n is a sequence of odd numbers with the conditions given in the formula;

$y_{o(n-1)}$ is the prime number in sequence of primes just before y_{on} .

For the segments (4) of (3) Formula of Disjoint Sets of Odd Numbers (5) takes the following form, where the percentage of the sets remains unchanged:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{y_{comp}}(\{y_{comp}\}) = & \\ = & 33, 3 \dots 3\%(\{3y \mid y \geq 3, 3y = y_n\}) + \\ & + \sum_{m=3} Z_{y_{om}} \left(\{y_{om}y_m \mid y_m \geq y_{om}, y_{om}y_m = y_n, \right. \\ & \left. y_{om} < N_{y_n}, \frac{y_m}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}^*, \dots, \frac{y_m}{y_{o(m-1)}} \notin \mathbb{N}^* \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where:

$Z_{y_{comp}}$ is the frequency of the appearance of composite numbers (in %) in a given segment of the sequence $\{y\}$;

y_{comp} is a composite odd number in a given segment of a sequence of odd numbers y ;

the number of digits represented by (...) in the first term, $\rightarrow \infty$;

m is the number of a member of a sequence of odd primes; $Z_{y_{om}}$ is the frequency of appearance of the given set (in %) in the sequence $\{y\}$;

y_m is a sequence of odd numbers with the conditions given in the formula;

N_{y_n} is the number of terms in (3) (see (4)).

But since in the whole sequence of odd numbers y the frequency of appearance of known sets according to (5) only tends to 100%, then in the case of (6):

$$Z_{y_{comp}}(\{y_{comp}\}) < 100\%. \quad (7)$$

But the number represented by (2) is the first in (3).

Therefore, although with increasing y_k the probability of the appearance of a composite number in the first term of the set (3) increases, it never reaches 100%.

That is, the set of primes of the form $(n^2 + 1)$ is infinite.

(2015 year)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES