

## Refutation of the Hahn-Banach theorem

© Copyright 2018 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

**Abstract:** We evaluate the Hahn-Banach theorem. Without or with the universal quantifiers, the equations are *not* tautologous. This refutes the Hahn-Banach theorem.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VL4 with Tautology as the designated *proof* value, **F** as contradiction, **N** as truthity (non-contingency), and **C** as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal. The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET  $\sim$  Not,  $\neg$ ; + Or,  $\vee$ ; - Not Or; & And,  $\wedge$ ; \ Not And;  
 $>$  Imply, greater than,  $\rightarrow$ ;  $<$  Not Imply, less than,  $\in$   
 $=$  Equivalent,  $\equiv$ ; @ Not Equivalent,  $\neq$ ;  
 $\%$  possibility, for one or some,  $\exists, \diamond$ ; # necessity, for every or all,  $\forall, \square$ ;  
 $\sim(y < x) (x \leq y), (x \subseteq y); (p=p)$  Tautology.

From: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hahn-Banach\_theorem

LET  $p, q, r, s, u, v: p, x, \varphi, \psi, U, V$ .

Hahn-Banach theorem (Rudin 1991, Th 3.2). If  $p: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a sublinear function, and  $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a linear functional on a linear subspace

$$U \subseteq V \tag{0.1}$$

$$\sim(v < u) = (p=p); \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{FFFF} \mathbf{FFFF} \mathbf{FFFF} \mathbf{FFFF} ( \mathbf{4} ) , \\ \mathbf{TTTT} \mathbf{TTTT} \mathbf{TTTT} \mathbf{TTTT} (12) \end{array} \tag{0.2}$$

which is dominated by  $p$  on  $U$ , i.e.

$$\varphi(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in U \tag{1.1}$$

**Remark 1:** We ignore the universal quantification on  $U$  and  $V$  in this test.

$$\sim((p \& q) < (r \& q)) = (p=p); \quad \mathbf{TTTF} \mathbf{TTTT} \mathbf{TTTF} \mathbf{TTTT} \tag{1.2}$$

then there exists a linear extension  $\psi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  of  $\varphi$  to the whole space  $V$ , i.e., there exists a linear functional  $\psi$  such that

$$\psi(x) = \varphi(x) \quad \forall x \in U, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(r \& q) = (s \& q); \quad \mathbf{TTTT} \mathbf{TTFF} \mathbf{TTFF} \mathbf{TTTT} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\psi(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in V. \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sim((p \& q) < (s \& q)) = (p = p); \quad \text{TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT} \tag{3.2}$$

If Eqs 1, then (2 and 3). (4.1)

$$\sim((p \& q) < (r \& q)) > (((r \& q) = (s \& q)) \& \sim((p \& q) < (s \& q))); \tag{4.2}$$

TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT

Eq. 4.2 as rendered is *not* tautologous, hence refuting the Hahn-Banach theorem.

**Remark 5:** To include the relationship of  $U$  and  $V$  in Eqs. 0 and the universal quantification on  $U$  and  $V$  in 1 and 2 produces this result. (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sim(v < u) > \\ &(((\#q < u) \& \sim((p \& \#q) < (r \& \#q)))) > \\ &(((\#q < u) \& ((r \& \#q) = (s \& \#q))) \& (((\#q < v) \& \sim((p \& \#q) < (s \& \#q))))); \end{aligned}$$

TTTT TTCC TTCT TTTT ( 4),  
TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT (12) (5.2)

Eq. 5.2 is also *not* tautologous, hence refuting the Hahn-Banach theorem.