

# A set of formulas for primes

by  
Simon Plouffe  
December 31, 2018

## Abstract

In 1947, W. H. Mills published a paper describing a formula that gives primes : if  $A = 1.3063778838630806904686144926\dots$  then  $\lfloor A^{3^n} \rfloor$  is always prime, here  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the integral part of  $x$ . Later in 1951, E.M.Wright published also a formula for primes, if  $g_0 = \alpha$  and  $g_{n+1} = 2^{g_n}$  then

$$\lfloor g_n \rfloor = \lfloor 2^{\dots 2^{2^\alpha}} \rfloor \text{ is always prime.}$$

When  $\alpha = 1.9287800$ , the primes are uniquely determined, 3, 13, 16381, ...

The growth rate of these functions is very high since the fourth term of Wright formula is a 4932 digit prime and the 8'th prime of Mills formula is a 762 digit prime.

A new set of formulas are presented here, minimizing the growth rate. The first one is : if  $S_0 = 43.8046877158 \dots$  and  $S_{n+1} = \{ S_n^{5/4} \}$ , where  $\{ x \}$  is the rounded value of  $x$  then  $S(n) = 113, 367, 102217, 1827697, 67201679, 6084503671, \dots$ . Other exponents can also give primes like  $11/10$ ,  $21/20$  or  $51/50$ . If  $S_0$  is well chosen then it is conjectured that any exponent  $> 1$  can also give an arbitrary series of primes. The method for obtaining the formulas is explained. All results are empirical.

## Résumé

Une série de formules donnant un nombre arbitraire de nombres premiers est présentée. Les formules sont inspirées des résultats de W. H. Mills (1947) et E.M. Wright (1951). La première de ces formules est : si  $S_0 = 43.8046877158 \dots$  et  $S_{n+1} = \{ S_n^{5/4} \}$ ,  $\{ x \}$  étant l'arrondi de  $x$ , alors  $S(n) = 113, 367, 102217, 1827697, 67201679, 6084503671, \dots$  est une série de nombres premiers dont la croissance est nettement plus petite que les 2 formules historiques. Les premiers sont uniquement déterminés. On peut même trouver des exposants aussi petits que  $11/10$ ,  $21/20$  ou même  $51/50$  en autant que  $S_0$  soit bien choisi. Il est conjecturé que l'exposant peut être aussi près de 1 que l'on veut. Tous les résultats présentés ici sont empiriques.

## Introduction

An experiment was done with a variety of formulas using a Monte-Carlo method and the Simulated Annealing method (principe du recuit simulé in french). The first one tested was  $\lfloor c * n^n \rfloor$ , where  $c$  is 0.26558837294314339089... and  $n \geq 3$ .

The best one found was the following series of primes for  $n = 3$  to 22.

$\lfloor c * n^n \rfloor = 7, 67, 829, 12391, 218723, 4455833, 102894377, 2655883729, 75775462379, 2368012611049, 80440106764817...$

But fails when  $n = 23$ .

Formulas like  $\lfloor c * n^n \rfloor$ ,  $\lfloor c * \frac{2^{n+2}n!}{\pi^{n+1}} \rfloor$  where tested but failed after less than 10 primes. The main reason is that they grow too slowly. The next step was to consider formulas like Mills or Wright but they grow too fast. The question was then : is there a way to get a useful formula that grows just enough to produce primes ?

If we consider the recurrence  $a_{n+1} = a_n^2 - a_n + 1$  that arises in the context of Sylvester sequence. The Sylvester sequence is A000058 of the OEIS catalogue and begins like this : (2, 3, 7, 43, 1807, 3263443, 10650056950807, ...), that sequence has the property that

$$1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{43} + \frac{1}{1807} + \frac{1}{3263443} + \dots$$

The natural extension that comes next is: can we choose  $a(0)$  so that  $a(n)$  will always produce primes ? The answer is yes, when  $a(0) = 1.6181418093242092 \dots$  and by using the  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  function we get,

$$a(n) = 2, 3, 7, 43, 1811, 3277913, 10744710357637, \dots$$

The sequence and formula are interesting for one reason the growth rate is quite smaller than the one of Mills and Wright.



## Description of the algorithm and method

There are 3 steps

- 1) First we choose a starting value and exponent (preferably a rational fraction for technical reasons).
- 2) Use Monte-Carlo method with the Simulated Annealing, in plain english we keep only the values that show primes and ignore the rest. Once we have a series of 4-5 primes we are ready for the next step.
- 3) We use a formula for forward calculation and backward. The forward calculation is

Forward : Next smallest prime to  $\{ S(n)^e \}$ .

It is easy to find a probable prime up to thousands of digits. Maple has a limit of about 10000 digits on a Intel core i7 6700K, if I use PFGW I can get a probable prime of 1000000 digits in a matter of minutes.

Backward : (to check if the formula works)

Previous prime = solve for  $x$  in  $x^e - S(n + 1)$ . Where  $S(n + 1)$  is the next prime candidate. This is where  $e$  needs to be in rational form in order to solve easily in floating point to high precision using Newton-like methods.

## Conclusion

There are no proofs of all this, just empirical results. In practical terms, we have now a way to generate an arbitrary series of primes with (so far) a minimal growth function. The formulas are much smaller in growth rate than of the 2 historical results of Mills and Wright. Perhaps there is even a simpler formulation, I did not find anything simpler.

## References

- [1] Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, N.J.A. Sloane, Simon Plouffe, Academic Press 1995.
- [2] Mills, W. H. (1947), *A prime-representing function*, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **53** (6): 604, doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08849-2.
- [3] E. M. Wright (1951). *A prime-representing function*. *American Mathematical Monthly*. **58** (9): 616–618. doi:10.2307/2306356. JSTOR 2306356.
- [4] The OEIS, Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, sequences : sequences A051021, A051254, A016104
- [5] Wikipedia : formulas for primes (effective and non-effective formulas).  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formula\\_for\\_primes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formula_for_primes)
- [6] Baillie Robert, The Wright's fourth prime :  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1705.09741.pdf>
- [7] Wikipedia : Le recuit simulé :  
[https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recuit\\_simul%C3%A9](https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recuit_simul%C3%A9)
- [8] Wikipedia : Simulated Annealing :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simulated\\_annealing](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simulated_annealing)