

Refutation of poison modal logic (PML)

© Copyright 2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

Abstract: We evaluate four formulas as validities of poison modal logic (PML). None is tautologous, thereby refuting poison modal logic (PML).

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VL4 with Tautology as the designated *proof* value, **F** as contradiction, **N** as truthity (non-contingency), and **C** as falsity (contingency). For results, the 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET $p, q, r, s: \phi, \psi$ (also U), $\blacksquare, \blacklozenge$;
 \sim Not, \neg ; $+$ Or, \vee, \cup ; $-$ Not Or; $\&$ And, \wedge, \cap ; \setminus Not And;
 $>$ Imply, greater than, $\rightarrow, \mapsto, \succ, \supset$; $<$ Not Imply, less than, \in, \prec, \subset ;
 $=$ Equivalent, $\equiv, \vDash, :=, \iff, \leftrightarrow, \triangleq$ $@$ Not Equivalent, \neq ;
 $\%$ possibility, for one or some, \exists, \diamond, M ; $\#$ necessity, for every or all, \forall, \square, L ;
 $(z=z)$ **T** as tautology, **T**; $(z@z)$ **F** as contradiction, $\emptyset, \text{Null}, \perp$;
 $(\%z\<\#z)$ **C** as contingency, Δ , ordinal 1;
 $(\%z\>\#z)$ **N** as non-contingency, ∇ , ordinal 2;
 $\sim(y < x)$ ($x \leq y$), ($x \subseteq y$); $(A=B)$ ($A \sim B$).

From: Gross, D.; Rey, S. (2019).

Credulous acceptability, poison games and modal logic.

arxiv.org/pdf/1901.09180.pdf d.grossi@rug.nl, srey@ens-paris-saclay.fr

Remark: Because the modal sabotage notations of \blacksquare and \blacklozenge act as functions, we assign them variable names.

In particular, formula

$$[U](p \rightarrow \neg \blacklozenge p) \wedge [U](p \rightarrow \blacklozenge p) \tag{2.1}$$

$$(q \& (p \succ \sim (s \& p))) \& (q \& (p \succ (s \& p))) ; \tag{2.2}$$

FFTF FFTF FFTF FFTF

expresses the property “the set denoted by p under function V is admissible” (in the underlying argumentation framework)

3.2 Validity and Expressivity: Examples

Fact 1. Let $p \in P$ and $\phi, \psi \in Lp$. The following formulas are validities of PML (w.r.t. class $M\emptyset$):

$$\neg p \wedge \blacksquare p \tag{3.2.3.1}$$

$$\sim p \& (r \& p) ; \tag{3.2.3.2}$$

FFFF FFFF FFFF FFFF

$$\blacksquare p \leftrightarrow \square p \quad (3.2.5.1)$$

$$(r \& p) = \#p ; \quad \text{TCTC TNTN TCTC TNTN} \quad (3.2.5.2)$$

$$\square p \rightarrow (\blacksquare \phi \leftrightarrow \square \phi) \quad (3.2.6.1)$$

$$\#p > ((r \& p) = \#p) ; \quad \text{TCTC TTTT TCTC TTTT} \quad (3.2.6.2)$$

Proofs are omitted.

The four Eqs. above are *not* tautologous, thereby refuting poison modal logic (PML).