

# A potential resolution for the labour and civil servant problem in the industrialized information society of Europe.

Johan Noldus\*

February 23, 2019

## Abstract

We propose a solution for people who are lagging behind the technological evolution of the twentieth century and who have engaged in the fake information society Europe partially is today.

## 1 Problem setting and the systemic solution.

last century has been enduring for human brains; technology has made a huge leap forwards developing electronic systems of low intelligence which can serve humanity and make life more prosperous and easy. However, mankind in Europe has not evolved at the same rate spiritually given that an improved life at low levels of intelligence strain the higher ones. This has created a new class of “pseudo-intellectual” workers deeming themselves capable of governing the globe with as boundary condition that technology and most of all the exact sciences need stagnation if not a return to more medieval points of view when it comes down to natural philosophy. This evokes a new kind of resentment against the higher class, especially the intellectual part of it, mainly situated in the high level banking sector as well as academia. However, extremely chaotic medicinal practices emating from the ivory tower have caused for mistrust as well as the genuine danger that the tower itself has been partially polluted and destroyed under the guise of a new educational programme mainly supported by professors with a labour history. There is a priori nothing wrong with educating the lower class and promoting the very best of them, but alas what was supposed to be a mere meandering river has become a full apocalypsian flood spoiling everything which has ever been decent in society. The intend behind this is not malicious per se but emerges from a righteous feeling of inferiority. These kind of people are dubbed servants by me, those who would belong nowhere a century ago competence wise and have found a place as a pseudo artist or pseudo intellectual guided by

---

\*email: johan.noldus@gmail.com, Relativity group, departement of mathematical analysis, University of Gent, Belgium.

the social sector. In other words, the situation is temporarily psychiatric leading to the very best also needing psychiatric treatment due to gap widening “you are too sexy and hot sir”.

Another problematic aspect is that Royalty, as the epithimum of nobility, has lost its natural position; Hitler partially destroyed religious belief and this author intends to do so even more drastically. Nevertheless, nobility has always served as a shepherd for the farmer with the priest as a snaky servant and looks back upon the enlightenment period with rather negative sentiment. Indeed, nobody can open the legs for Pauli, Jung and Heisenberg and at the same time for Lech Walensa; if you do so, you are fucked anally too. So, from their business point of view, society has become impossible and Louis XIV, being a total giant, must have look like an isolated example of sheer flamboyance and intellectual strength not to be paralleled any time soon. But even if Louis comes back, one encounters the problem that a new kind of broader Athenic democracy has installed itself into western Europe. Politicians would vehemently protest, just as medical doctors given that their profession would become obsolete. The solution has to be human as well as systemic as Louis is good for the working class as well as Royalty itself, he is just too stubborn minded and clever for the intellectual and political middle class. The reason is his flamboyance, he knows better as the politicians do, how to enthuse the lower class. The current Queen of England also has this capacity although she seems to less enlightened when it comes down to natural sciences which is rather normal after all for a woman. So far as sketch of the problem.

Going back in time regarding evolutionary viewpoints on God has never been a wise strategy; the church will keep on having and always had the duty to modernise and slightly adapt its message. Indeed, the days of the punishing God are mostly over and God is now more challenging (teasing like a devil) and care-taking as ever (albeit too much care could be seen as a wicked substitute for a punishment). Therefore, the pseudo intellectual labour class solution regarding the social system is not the right way to go. I originate from Belgium which is after all the social trial ground of the world with the most challenging racial as well as cultural differences being present. To my taste and within my experience, the main issue or benchmark problem is that the old labour class cannot reconcile old fashioned quality family life with the modern professional constraints. Keeping both at the same time mandates deterioration from both sides leading to an explosion of behavioral problems which are manu militari sedated (not solved, neither rectified) in psychiatry by means of “slibbery” pharmaceutical substances. The problem is therefore, in my view a European one. From one side, coming together in all diversity is good to learn to reconcile and settle for the differences but the strain comes when this happens for the period of an entire generation which is around fifty to sixty years (nowadays added with twenty to thirty years of retirement). What I propose for the working class as well as “civil servants” is partial nomadic behaviour. A clear split has to come between the working years and family years; in this vein, it would be good to take the number 80 as that one of a family-professional lifetime. Specifically, 40 years should be devoted to nomadic “workership” with no long-lasting *sexual* relation with one person exclusively. Indeed, skype allows one to call and talk to one and another on larger distances and email allows for

more restrained conversational purposes. In case the love is overarching, two “workers” could demand the same location and live together in the same flats or houses. Point is that during the working period, *no* children are produced or taken into the house by means of adoption procedures which solves for a whole bunch of other issues. The removal period I foresee is two to five years (every five years a new venue); a working period could also be one of study at a foreign university. The other forty years are one of family life where the couple works for ten hours per week per individual and children are raised in the old fashioned way.

This raises three problems: (a) one of nationality and in particular (who pays the bill of the family life) and (b) one of ownership (who owns the houses, who pays for education) and finally (c) of political democracy, who can meaningfully vote locally if one only lives there for at most five years. There exist plenty of solutions to those issues ranging from fairly conservative and nationalistic to revolutionary and European. I leave those discussions for the specialists given that interpol, the medical maffia and the church have to be involved too leading to more holistic and global benchmarks. At the local level of cities and villages, this could lead to the following model: 35 percent of inhabitants is solid and goes over several generations, this is the so called fixed middle class of intellectuals and industrials. Five percent goes to nobility and is equally stable. The remaining 60 percent is split half-half in those labour people and servants who are in the family or but not and swerving 40 year period. Those with a family are fixed for 40 years and have to move on thereafter whereas the swerver workers maximally last for five years. Henceforth, with exception of the 35 percent consisting out of swervers and nobility, everybody else is involved in the local elections giving rise to a 7-6 ratio of conservatism (over several generations) versus a 10 election impetus (assuming elections take place every 4 years).

There remains the problem of those who cannot integrate anywhere; those people are currently represented by those who display psychic violence at level one, have no morals (fail at level two of the ten commandments) and finally have little if no skills in sustaining elementary conversations and henceforth fail at level three. At the physical level, they are neither thuggish nor sloppy but look bohemian. A well known triab known to satisfy these criteria is given by the Roma who are wandering around Europe for centuries by now and have found a place in the nursing sector due to higher capacities regarding intelligence. Their problem has to be solved in the old fashioned way; they need to for kinesiteraphy to remain healthy (especially magma treatment and electro stimulation as well as subtle gymnastic exercises making the muscles dense and magnetic) but need poisenous shots in the palm of the hands in order to restrain violence. Furthermore, they need to dispose of their hair, take hot showers twice a day for twenty minutes (with creamy soap) and keep a Buddhist lifestyle. Catholicism is not the right religion for them as they know no pity; they are strong and stubborn minded. For them, it is best to reinstall the *ecole polytechnique militaire*; university is too subtle and delicate for them but not too demanding on the side of intelligence where they can outperform several professors in certain ways. Maybe, one psychological session of an hour with a Jewish-Egyptian psychotherapist of the old school is advisory but certainly no psychiatric intake which stimulates further feelings of hatred towards society. Moreover, and here resides the main clash with

christian society, they are awfully difficult to match with one solid partner in marriage; the males are too flamboyant, boorish and violent whereas the females too seductive and poisonous. For them another solution of cohabitation needs to be developed.