## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics

## The SRQM Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics

Using Special Relativity (SR) as a starting point, then noting a few empirical 4-Vector facts, one can derive the Principles that are normally considered to be Axioms of Quantum Mechanics (QM).

Since many of the QM Axioms are rather obscure, this seems a more logical and understandable paradigm than QM as a separate theory from SR, and sheds light on the origin and meaning of the QM Principles. For instance, the properties of SR <Events> can be "quantized by the Metric", while SpaceTime \& the Metric are not themselves "quantized", in agreement with all known experiments and observations to-date.

The SRQM or [SR $\rightarrow$ QM] Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics
A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors
or: Why General Relativity (GR) is *NOT* wrong
or: Don't bet against Einstein ;)
or: QM, the easy way...

4-Vectors are a fantastic language/tool for describing the physics of both relativistic and quantum phenomena.
They easily show many interesting properties and relations of our Universe, and do so in a simple and concise mathematical way.
Due to their tensorial nature, these SR 4-Vectors are automatically coordinate-frame invariant, and can be used
to generate *ALL* of the physical SR Lorentz Scalar tensors and higher-index-count SR tensors.
Let me repeat: You can mathematically build *ALL* the Lorentz Scalars and larger SR tensors from SR 4-Vectors.
4-Vectors are likewise easily shown to be related to the standard 3-vectors that are used in
Newtonian classical mechanics, Maxwellian classical electromagnetism, and standard quantum theory.
Each 4-Vector also connects a special relativistically-related scalar to a 3-vector: ex. energy (E) \& 3-momentum (p) as 4-Momentum $\mathrm{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$

Why 4-Vectors as opposed to some of the more abstract mathematical approaches to QM?
Because the components of 4-Vectors are physical properties that can actually be empirically measured. Experiment is the ultimate arbiter of which theories actually correspond to reality. If your quantum logics and string theories give no testable/measurable predictions, then they are basically useless for real physics.

In this treatise, I will first extensively demonstrate how 4-Vectors are used in the context of Special Relativity, and then show that their use in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics is really not fundamentally different.

Quantum Principles, without need of QM Axioms, then emerge in a natural and elegant way.
I also introduce the SRQM Diagramming Method: an instructive, graphical charting-method, which visually shows how the SRQM 4-Vectors, Lorentz 4-Scalars, and 4-Tensors are all related to each other.
This symbolic representation clarifies a lot of physics and is a great tool for teaching and understanding.
SRQM: A treatise of SR $\rightarrow$ QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

# Some Physics Abbreviations 

GR = General Relativity
SR = Special Relativity
CM = Classical Mechanics
EM = ElectroMagnetism/ElectroMagnetic
QM = Quantum Mechanics
RQM = Relativistic Quantum Mechanics
NRQM = Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics
QFT = Quantum Field Theory
QED = Quantum ElectroDynamics
RWE = Relativistic Wave Equation
KG = Klein-Gordon (Relativistic Quantum) Equation
PDE = Partial Differential Equation
$\beta=$ Relativistic Beta $=\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=\{0 . .1\} \hat{n} ; \mathbf{v}=3$-velocity $=\{0 . . c\} \hat{n}$
$\gamma=$ Relativistic Gamma $=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]=1 / \sqrt{2}[1-\beta \cdot \beta]=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-|\beta|^{2}\right]=\{1 . . \infty\}$
$\mathrm{D}=$ Relativistic Doppler $=1 /[\gamma(1-|\beta| \cos [\theta])]$
$\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}=$ Lorentz (SpaceTime) Transform: prime () specifies aliemate reference frame
$\mathrm{I}_{(3)}=3 \mathrm{D}$ Identity Matrix = Diag[1,1,1]; $\mathrm{I}_{(4)}=4 \mathrm{D}$ Identity Matrix = Diag[1,
$\delta^{i j}=\delta_{j}^{i}=\delta_{i j}=I_{(3)}=\{1$ if $i=j$, else 0\} 3D Kronecker delta
$\delta^{u v=}=\delta_{v}^{n}=\delta_{\mu v}=I_{(4)}=\{1$ if $\mu=v$, else 0\} 4D Kronecker Delta
$\eta^{\text {tv }} \rightarrow \eta_{\text {tw }} \rightarrow$ Diag $\left[1,-I_{(3)}\right]_{\text {rect }} \quad$ Minkowski "Flat SpaceTime" Metric
$\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{u}{ }_{v}=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1, \mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\mathrm{I}_{(4)}=\mathrm{g}^{4}{ }_{v}$ \{also true in GR\} $(1,1)$-Tensor Identity Mixed Metric
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}}}=3 \mathrm{D}$ Levi-Civita anti-symmetric permutation symbol ${ }_{(\text {even: }: 1, \text { oddi-1, else:0) }}$

\{other upper:lower index combinations possible for Levi-Civita symbol, but always anti-symmetric\}

MCRF = Momentarily Co-Moving Reference:Rest Frame
$\mathrm{H}=$ The Hamiltonian $=\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) ; \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$
$\mathrm{L}=$ The Lagrangian $=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma$
Tensor-Index \& 4-Vector Notation:
$\nabla=3$-gradient $\rightarrow\{$ rectangular basis\} $\}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}, \partial_{z}\right)=(\partial / \partial x, \partial / \partial y, \partial / \partial z)$
$\partial=4$-Gradient $=\partial_{R}=\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) ; \partial_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c}, \nabla\right)$
$\mathrm{S}=$ The Action ( 4 -TotalMomentum $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial[\mathrm{S}]$ )
$\Phi=$ The Phase ( 4 -TotalWaveVector $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial[\Phi]$ )
$\tau=$ Proper Time (Invariant Rest Time) $=\mathrm{t}_{0}$
$\Sigma=$ Sum of Range $; \Pi=$ Product of Range
$\Delta=$ Difference ; d = Differential ; $\partial=$ Partial
$A^{\mu}=\mathbf{A}=\left(a^{\mu}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a\right): 4$-Vector [Greek index $\{0.3\}$, TimeSpace] $A^{4} B_{\mu}=A_{v} B^{v}=A \cdot B$ : Einstein Sum : Dot Product : Inner Product
$A^{4} B^{\nu}=A \otimes B$ : Tensor Product : Outer Product
$A^{\wedge} \mathrm{B}^{v}-\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{B}^{\mu}=\mathrm{A}^{[\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{V}]}=\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} \mathrm{B}$ : Wedge : Exterior : Anti-Symmetric Product
$A^{4} B^{v}-A^{4} B^{v}=0^{\text {iv }}:(2,0)-$ Zero Tensor
$A^{\wedge} B^{v}-B^{v} A^{\mu}=\left[A^{\mu}, B^{v}\right]=[A, B]$ : Commutation
$A^{\prime} B^{v}-B^{\nu} A^{v}=? ? ?$

SRQM $=$ The $[S R \rightarrow Q M]$ Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics, by John B. Wilson

## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics

 The SRQM Interpretation: LinksSee also: http://scirealm.org/SRQM.html (alt disususion) http://scirealm.org/SRQM-RoadMap.html (main sRom wessite) http://scirealm.org/4Vectors.html (4-Vector study) http://scirealm.org/SRQM-Tensors. html (Tensor 84 .-vecolor Caleulaler) http://scirealm.org/SciCalculator.html (Complexceapable RPN Calaulator)

## or Google "SRQM"

http://scirealm.org/SRQM.pdf (this document: most current ver. at SciRealm. org)
SRQM: A treatise of SR $\rightarrow$ QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

# SRQM Study: Physical/Mathematical Tensors Tensor Types: 4-Scalar, 4-Vector, 4-Tensor Arpen sum Component Types: Temporal, Spatial, Mixed 



# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics SRQM Diagramming Method 

The SRQM Diagramming Method shows the properties and relationships of various physical objects in a graphical way. This "flowchart" method aids understanding.

Representation: 4-Scalars by ellipses, 4-Vectors by rectangles, 4-Tensors by octagons. Physical/mathematical equations and descriptions inside each shape/object. Sometimes there will be additional clarifying descriptions around a shape/object.

Relationships: Lorentz Scalar Products or tensor compositions of different 4-Vectors are or simple lines between the related 4-Vectors. Lorentz Scalar Products of a single 4-Vector, or Invariants of Tensors, are next to that object and highlighted in a different color.

Flow: Objects that are some function of a Lorentz 4-Scalar with another 4-Vector or 4-Tensor are on lines with arrows indicating the direction of flow. (ex. multiplication)

Properties: Some objects will also have a symbol representing its properties nearby, and sometimes there will be color highlighting within the object to emphasize temporal-spatial properties. I typically use
red=Spatial, purple=mixed TimeSpace.
Alternate ways of writing 4-Vector expressions in physics:
$(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ is a 4-Vector style, which uses vector-notation (ex. inner product "dot= " " or exterior product "wedge=^"), and is typically more compact, always using bold UPPERCASE to represent the 4-Vector, ex. $(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})=\left(A^{\mu} \eta_{\mathrm{IV}} B^{v}\right)$, and bold lowercase to represent 3 -vectors, ex. $(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})=\left(a^{i} \delta_{j k} b^{k}\right)$. Most 3 -vector rules have analogues in 4-Vector mathematics.
$\left(A^{\mu} \eta_{1 v} B^{v}\right)$ is a Ricci Calculus style, which uses tensor-index-notation and is useful for more complicated expressions, especially to clarify those expressions involving tensors with more than one index, such as the Faraday EM Tensor $F^{\mu v}=\left(\partial^{\mu} A^{\vee}-\partial^{V} A^{\mu}\right)=\left(\partial^{\wedge} A\right)$

## SRQM Diagramming Method



SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{wv}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ (0,2)-Tensor T
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar $(0,0)$-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

Relativistic Gamma $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }[1-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}], \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{u} / \mathbf{c}$

# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics SRQM Tensor Invariants 

One of the extremely important properties of Tensor Mathematics is the fact that there are numerous ways to generate Tensor Invariants. These Invariants lead to Physical Properties that are fundamental in our Universe. They are totally independent of the coordinate systems used to measure them. Thus, they represent symmetries that are inherent in the fabric of SpaceTime. See the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, esp. for the Anti-Symmetric Tensor Products.

Trace Tensor Invariant: $\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T_{v}{ }^{v}=\Sigma\left[\right.$ EigenValues $\left.\lambda_{n}\right]$ for $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$
Determinant Tensor Invariant: $\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\Pi\left[\right.$ EigenValues $\left.\lambda_{n}\right]$ for $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$
Inner Product Tensor Invariant: IP[T $\left.T^{\mu v}\right]=T^{\mu v} T_{\mu v}$
4-Divergence Tensor Invariant: 4-Div[T $\left.T^{\mu}\right]=\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu}=\partial \cdot T=\partial T^{\mu} / \partial X^{\mu}: 4-\operatorname{Div}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu v}=S^{v}$ Lorentz Scalar Product Tensor Invariant: LSP[T $\left.{ }^{\mu}, S^{\vee}\right]=T^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} S^{\vee}=T^{\mu} S_{\mu}=T_{v} S^{\vee}=T \cdot S$ Phase Space Tensor Invariant: PS[T $\left.{ }^{\mu}\right]=\left(d^{3} t / t^{0}\right)=\left(d t^{1} d t^{2} d t^{3} / t^{0}\right)$ for $(T \cdot T)=$ constant The Ratio of 4-Vector Magnitudes (Ratio of Rest Value 4-Scalars): T•T $/ \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}=\left(\mathrm{t}^{0} / \mathrm{s}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}$

Tensor EigenValues $\lambda_{n}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right\}$ : could also be indexed 0.3
The various Anti-Symmetric Tensor Products, etc. $\mathrm{T}^{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}=$ Trace $=\Sigma$ [ EigenValues $\left.\lambda_{n}\right]$ for (1,1)-Tensors $\mathrm{T}_{[\alpha}^{\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=$ Asymm Bi-Product $\rightarrow$ Inner Product $\mathrm{T}_{[\alpha}^{\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{\gamma}{ }_{v]}=$ Asymm Tri-Product $\rightarrow$ ?Name?
$\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{[a} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{\gamma}{ }^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{T}^{\delta}{ }_{\delta]}=$ Asymm Quad-Product $\rightarrow$ 4D Determinant $=\Pi\left[\right.$ EigenValues $\lambda_{n}$ ] for $(1,1)$-Tensors
These are not all always independent, some invariants are functions of other invariants.
 Lorentz Scalar

## SRQM Study: Physical/Mathematical Tensors Tensor Types: 4-Scalar, 4-Vector, 4-Tensor

## Physical 4-Tensors: Objects which have Invariant 4D SpaceTime properties



SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensors
$\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$
$=\left(v^{v}, v\right)=\left(v^{v}, v\right) \rightarrow\left(v^{t}, v^{v}, v^{v}, v^{2}\right)$
$\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{U}^{\mu}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$
$=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau$
4-Momentum
$\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}$
$=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}$

SR 4-CoVector = "Dual" 4-Vector
( 0,1 )-Tensors aka. One-Forms
$C_{\mu}=\eta_{\mu \nu} C^{\sigma}=\left(c_{\mu}\right)=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(c_{t}, c_{x}, c_{y}, c_{z}\right)$ $=\left(\mathrm{c}^{0},-\mathrm{c}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}^{0},-\mathrm{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{t}}\right.$,

Gradient One-Form $\partial_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / c, \nabla\right)$
$\rightarrow\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c}, \partial_{x}, \partial_{y}, \partial_{z}\right)$
$=(\partial / c \partial t, \partial / \partial x, \partial / \partial y, \partial / \partial z$



SR Lowered 4-Tensor
(0,2)-Tensors
$T_{\mu v}=\eta_{\mu \rho} \eta_{v \sigma} T^{\rho \sigma}$

Lowered Minkowski $=$ $\partial_{\mu}\left[R_{v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v}=(\cdot)$ Metric
Projection Tensors $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ Temporal Proj. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{\mu v}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{uv}}$ Spatial Proj. $\mathrm{P}_{\mu v} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mu v}$

Riemann Curvature Tensor
$R^{\rho}{ }_{\sigma \mu v}=\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{v \sigma}-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \sigma}+\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{v \sigma}-\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \Gamma} \lambda_{\mu \sigma} \rightarrow 0^{\rho}{ }_{\sigma \mu v}$ for SR "Flat" Minkowski SpaceTime

Weyl (Conformal) Curvature Tensor $\mathrm{C}^{\rho}{ }_{\text {ouv }}=$ Traceless part of Riemann $\left[\mathrm{R}^{\rho}{ }_{\text {ouv }}\right]$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

Ricci Decomposition of Riemann Tensor
$R^{\rho}{ }_{\sigma \mu v}=S^{\rho}{ }_{\sigma \mu v}{ }^{\text {(scalar part) }}+\mathrm{E}^{\rho}{ }_{\sigma \mu v}{ }^{\text {(semi-traceless part) }}+\mathrm{C}^{\rho}{ }_{\text {Juv }}$ (traceless part)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{Vv}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \nu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$

## SRQM 4-Vectors = (1,0)-Tensors 4-Tensors = (2+ index)-Tensors

## 4-Vector $=$ Type (1,0)-Tensor

4-Position $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$

$$
\text { 4-Velocity } \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{U}^{\mu}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})=(\gamma \mathrm{c}, \gamma \mathbf{u})
$$

$$
\text { 4-UnitTemporal } \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{T}^{\mu}=\gamma(1, \beta)=(\gamma, \gamma \beta)
$$

$$
\text { 4-Momentum } P=P^{\mu}=(E / c, p)
$$

$$
\text { 4-TotalMomentum } P_{T}=P_{T}^{\mu}=\left(E_{T} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]
$$

$$
\text { 4-Acceleration } \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{\mu}=\gamma\left(\mathrm{c} \gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \mathbf{u}+\mathrm{\gamma} \mathrm{a}\right)
$$

$$
\text { 4-Force } \mathbf{F}=\mathrm{F}^{\mu}=\gamma(\dot{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f})=(\gamma \dot{E} / \mathrm{c}, \gamma \mathrm{f})
$$

$$
\text { 4-WaveVector } K=K^{\mu}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})
$$

$$
\text { 4-TotalWaveVector } \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{K}_{T^{\mu}}=\left(\omega_{T} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]
$$

$$
\text { 4-CurrentDensity } \mathbf{J}=\mathrm{J}^{\mu}=(\rho c, j)
$$

$$
\text { 4-VectorPotential } \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{\mu}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{EM}}
$$

$$
\text { 4-PotentialMomentum } \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{Q}^{\mu}=\mathrm{q} \mathbf{A}=(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}=\varphi \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{qa})
$$

$$
\text { 4-Gradient } \partial_{R}=\partial_{x}=\partial=\partial^{\mu}=\partial / \partial R_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{\|} / c,-\nabla\right)
$$

$$
\text { 4-NumberFlux } \mathbf{N}=\mathrm{N}^{\mu}=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})=(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{nu})
$$

$$
4-\text { Spin } S=S^{\mu}=\left(s^{0}, s\right)=(s \cdot \beta, s)=(s \cdot u / c, s)
$$

## 4-Tensor = Type (2,0)-Tensor

Faraday EM Tensor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{Nv}}=[0,-\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{c}]$

4-Angular Momentum $\mathrm{M}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & \left.,-\mathrm{cn}^{j}\right]\end{array}\right]$

$$
\text { Tensor } \quad\left[+n^{\prime},-\varepsilon_{k^{i}}^{k}\right]
$$

Minkowski Metric $\eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu \mathrm{VN}}+\mathrm{H}^{\mu \mathrm{VV}} \rightarrow$ Diag[1,- $\left.\boldsymbol{o}^{\text {ik }}\right]$
Temporal Projection Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}} \rightarrow$ Diag $[1,0]$
Spatial Projection Tensor $\quad H^{[v /} \rightarrow$ Diag $\left[0,-0^{\text {lk }}\right]$
Perfect-Fluid Stress-Energy $T^{\mathrm{pv}} \rightarrow$ Diag[ $\left.\rho_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}\right]$
Tensor
SI Dimensional Units
$[\mathrm{m}]$
$[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$
$[$ dimensionless $]$
$[\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$
$[\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$
$\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$
$[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{m}]$
$[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{m}]$
$\left[\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}\right]$
$[\mathrm{T} \cdot \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}]$
$[\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$
$[1 / \mathrm{m}]$
$\left[\# / \mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]$

## [Temporal: Spatial] components <br> [Time ( t ) : Space (r)]

[Temporal "velocity" factor ( $\gamma$ ) : Spatial "velocity" factor ( $\gamma \mathrm{u}$ ), Spatial 3-velocity (u)]
[Temporal "velocity" factor ( $\gamma$ ) : Spatial normalized "velocity" factor $(\gamma \beta)$, Spatial 3-beta ( $\beta$ )] [energy (E) : 3-momentum (p)]
[total-energy $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=$ Hamiltonian $(\mathrm{H}):$ 3-total-momentum $\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ ]
[relativistic Temporal acceleration ( $\gamma^{\prime}$ ) : relativistic 3-acceleration ( $\gamma^{\prime} u+\gamma a$ ), 3-acceleration (a)] [relativistic power ( $\gamma \mathrm{E}$ ), power (E) : relativistic 3-force ( $\gamma \mathrm{f}$ ), 3-force (f)] [angular-frequency ( $\omega$ ) : 3-angular-wave-number ( $k$ )] [total-angular-frequency $\left(\omega_{T}\right)$ : 3-total-angular-wave-number $\left(\mathrm{k}_{T}\right)$ ] [charge-density ( $\rho$ ) : 3-current-density = 3-charge-flux ( j )] [scalar-potential $(\varphi): 3$-vector-potential (a)], typically the EM versions $\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{EM}}\right):\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{EM}}\right)$ [potential-energy $(\mathrm{V}=\varphi \mathrm{q})$ : 3-potential-momentum ( $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{qa}$ )] [Temporal differential $\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ : Spatial 3-gradient $\left(\nabla=\partial_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ ]
[number-density ( n ) : Spatial 3-number-flux ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{nu}$ )]
[Temporal-Temporal: Temporal-Spatial: Spatial-Spatial] components
$[\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}]$
[ 0 : 3-electric-field $\left(e=e^{\prime}\right): 3$-magnetic-field $\left(b=b^{k}\right)$ ]
$F^{i v}=\partial^{\wedge} A=\partial^{u} A^{v}-\partial^{v} A^{\mu}$
$\left[\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]\left[0\right.$ : 3-mass-moment $\left(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}^{\prime}\right): 3$-angular-momentum $\left.\left(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \quad \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{\mu v}}=\mathbf{X}^{\wedge} \mathbf{P}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{v}}-\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{P}^{\mu}$
[dimensionless]
$\left[1: 0:-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\left[1: 0:-\right.$ ® $\left.^{\mathrm{K}}\right]$
[dimensionless]
[dimensioness]
[1:0:0]
$\left[0: 0:-I_{(3)}\right]=\left[0: 0:-\delta^{\text {jk }}\right]$
$\eta^{\mathrm{LV}}=\partial^{u}\left[\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{V}}\right]=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}}+\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Lv}}$
$V^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{V}}$
$H^{\text {pv }}=\eta^{\mu \mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{v}}$
$\left[\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]\left[\rho_{\mathrm{e}}: 0: \mathrm{pI}_{(3)}\right]=\left[\rho_{\mathrm{e}}: 0: p \delta^{\mathrm{k}}\right]$
$T^{\mathrm{NV}}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{oo}}+p_{o}\right) T^{\mu} T^{\mathrm{V}}-\left(p_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \partial^{\mu}\left[R^{\mathrm{V}}\right]$ $T^{\text {IV }}=\left(\rho_{\text {eo }}\right) V^{\text {IV }}+\left(-p_{o}\right) H^{\text {iv }}$


SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector


4-Tensors can be constructed from the Tensor Products of 4-Vectors. Technically, 4-Tensors refer to all SR objects (4-Scalars, 4-Vectors, etc), but typically reserve the name 4-Tensor for SR Tensors of 2 or more indices. Use ( $m, n$ )-Tensor notation to specify more precisely.

## SRQM 4-Scalars = (0,0)-Tensors = Lorentz Scalars $\rightarrow$ Physical Constants

## 4-Scalar $=$ Type $(0,0)$-Tensor

RestTime:ProperTime ( $\mathrm{t}_{0}=\tau$ )
RestTime:ProperTime Differential $\left(\mathrm{dt}_{0}=\mathrm{d} \tau\right)$
Speed-of-Light (c)
RestMass ( $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ )
RestEnergy ( $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}$ )
RestAngFrequency ( $\omega_{0}$ )
RestChargeDensity ( $\rho_{\circ}$ )
RestScalarPotential ( $\varphi_{0}$ )
ProperTimeDerivative ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$ )
RestNumberDensity ( $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ )
SR Phase ( $\Phi_{\text {phase }}$ )
SR Action ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {action }}$ )
Planck Constant (h)
Planck-Reduced:Dirac Constant ( $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h} / 2 \pi$ ) SpaceTime Dimension (4)
Electric Constant ( $\varepsilon_{0}$ )
Magnetic Constant ( $\mu_{\circ}$ )
EM Charge (q)
EM Charge (Q) *alt method*
Particle \# (N)
Rest Volume (Vo)
Rest(MCRF) EnergyDensity ( $\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}=\mathrm{n}_{0} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ )
Rest(MCRF) Pressure ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{o}}$ )
Faraday InnerProduct Invariant 2(b-b-e-e/c²)
Faraday Determinant Invariant (e-b/c) ${ }^{2}$
$[\mathrm{s}]$
$[\mathrm{s}]$
$[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$

## SI Dimensional Units:

[s]
[kg]
$\left[\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$
[rad/s]
[ $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ]
$\left[\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$
[1/s]
[\#/m]
$[\mathrm{rad}]_{\text {angle }}$
$[\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}]_{\text {action }}$
$\left[\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]$
[dimensionness]
$\left[\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{C}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{2} / \mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{C}^{2}\right]$
[C=A•s]
[C=A•s]
[\#]
[ $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ]
$\left[\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{m}^{3}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$

## 4-Scalar $=$ Type ( 0,0 )-Tensor (generally composed of 4-Vector combinations)

$(\tau)=[\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{U}][\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]=[\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}][\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{U}]$ **Time as measured in the at-rest frame**
$(\mathrm{d} \tau)=[\mathrm{dR} \cdot \mathrm{U}] / \mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}]$ **Differential Time as measured in the at-rest frame ${ }^{* *}$
(c) $=$ Sqrt $[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]=[\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}]$ with 4 -UnitTemporal $\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \beta) \&[\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}]=1=$ "Unit"
$\left(m_{0}\right)=[P \cdot U] /[U \cdot U]=[P \cdot R] /[U \cdot R] \quad\left(m_{0} \rightarrow m_{e}\right)$ as Electron RestMass
$\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right)=[\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{U}]$
$\left(\omega_{0}\right)=[K \cdot U]$
$\left(\rho_{0}\right)=[\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{U}] /[\mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}]=(\mathrm{q})[\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{U}][\mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}]=(\mathrm{q})\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}\right)$
$\left(\varphi_{o}\right)=[\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathrm{U}], \quad\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \varphi_{\text {Ew }}\right)$ as the EM version RestScalarPotential
$(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)=[\mathrm{U} \cdot \partial]=\gamma(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}){ }^{* *}$ Note that the 4-Gradient operator is to the right of 4-Velocity**
$\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=[\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{U}] /[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]$


(h) $=\left(\hbar{ }^{*} 2 \pi\right)$
$(\mathrm{h})=[\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{U}] / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{U}]=[\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{R}] /[\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{R}]$
(4) $=[\partial \cdot R]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\alpha \beta}\right]$ **SR Dimension = 4-Divergence[4-Position] $=$ Trace[MinkowskiMetric]**
$\partial \cdot F^{\alpha \beta}=\left(\mu_{o}\right) J=\left(1 / \varepsilon_{0} c^{2}\right) J \quad$ Maxwell EM Eqn. $\quad \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0}=1 / \mathrm{c}^{2}$
$\partial \cdot F^{\alpha \beta}=\left(\mu_{0}\right) J=\left(1 / \varepsilon_{0} c^{2}\right) J \quad$ Maxwell EM Eqn. $\quad \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0}=1 / \mathrm{c}^{2}$
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\alpha \beta}=(1 / \mathrm{q}) \mathbf{F} \quad$ Lorentz Force Eqn.
$(\mathrm{Q})=\int \rho(\mathrm{dxdydz})=\int \rho \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=\int \rho_{\mathrm{o}} \gamma \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=\int\left(\rho_{\mathrm{o}}\right)(\mathrm{dA})(\gamma \mathrm{dr})$
$(\mathrm{N})=\int \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{dxdydz})=\int \mathrm{nd}^{3} \mathrm{x}=\int \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \gamma \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}=\int\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{dA})(\gamma \mathrm{dr})$ Integration of volume charge
Integration of volume number density
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)=\int_{\gamma(\mathrm{dxdydz})}=\int_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}=\int(\mathrm{dA})(\gamma \mathrm{dr})$ Integration of volume elements (Riemannian Volume Form)
$\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a} \mathrm{\beta}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\beta}} \quad=$ Temporal "(V)ertical" Projection of PerfectFluid Stress-Energy Tensor
$\left(p_{o}\right)=(-1 / 3) H_{\alpha \beta} T^{\alpha \beta}=$ Spatial "(H)orizontal" Projection of PerfectFluid Stress-Energy Tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(b \cdot b-e \cdot e / c^{2}\right)=F^{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} \\
& (\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{b} / c)^{2}=\operatorname{Det}\left[F^{\alpha \beta}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

SR 4-Scalar
( 0,0 )-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

# SRQM Study: Physical 4-Vectors Some SR 4-Vectors and Symbols 

4-Gradient
$\partial=\partial_{R}=\partial_{x}=\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\nabla\right)$
$\rightarrow\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\partial_{x^{\prime}},-\partial_{y^{\prime}},-\partial_{z}\right)$
$=(\partial / c \partial t,-\partial / \partial x,-\partial / \partial y,-\partial / \partial z)$

Gradient 4-Vector [operator] $\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)$
$\partial_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / c, \nabla\right)$
Gradient One-Form [operator]

4-Displacement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta R=\Delta R^{\mu}=(c \Delta t, \Delta r)=R_{\mathbf{2}}-R_{1} \text { \{\{ninite\} } \\
& d R=d R^{\mu}=(c d t, d r) \quad \text { \{infintesimal\} }
\end{aligned}
$$

4-Position
$\mathbf{R}=\mathrm{R}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})=<$ Event $>$ $\rightarrow(c t, x, y, z)$ Lorentz Invariant, but not Poincaré Invariant
alt. notation $X=X^{\mu}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Velocity } \\ \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{H}}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \\ =\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau=\mathrm{c} \mathbf{T} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-UnitTemporal } \\ & \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{T}^{\mu}=\gamma(1, \beta) \\ & =\gamma(1, \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{c})=\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | --------> |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4-Accel } \\ \mathbf{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mu}=\gamma(\mathrm{c} \\ =\mathrm{d} \mathbf{U} / \mathrm{d} \tau=\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathbf{R} / \mathrm{c} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leration } \\ & \left.\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{u}+\gamma \mathrm{a}\right) \\ & \tau^{2}:\left\{\gamma^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} \gamma / \mathrm{dt}\right\} \end{aligned}$ | - $\cdot \cdot-\cdot>$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Minkowski } \\ \partial[R]=\partial^{\mu}\left[R^{v}\right]=\eta^{\mu \nu} \\ \text { Metric } \end{gathered}$ | Lorentz $\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\wedge^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}$ <br> Transform | SpaceTime $\partial \cdot R=\partial_{\mu} R^{\mu}=4$ Dimension |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4-Momentum } \\
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{P}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{mu})=m_{0} \mathbf{U} \\
=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}
\end{array} \\
\text { 4-WaveVector } \\
\mathbf{K}=K^{\mu}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})=\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U} \\
=\left(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \omega \hat{n} / \mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}\right)=(1 / \mathrm{c} \mp, \hat{n} / A)
\end{gathered}
$$

4-(EM)VectorPotential A $=A^{\mu}=(\varphi / c, a)=\left(\varphi_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}$
$\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {EM }}=$ A $_{\text {EM }}{ }^{\mu}=\left(\varphi_{\text {ем }} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}_{\text {Ем }}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4-(Vector)PotentialMomentum } \\
& \mathbf{Q}=Q^{\mu}=(q \varphi / c, q a)=(V / c, q) \\
& =q A=\left(q \varphi_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(V_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

4-Force
F=F $=\gamma(\dot{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f})$
$=\mathrm{dP} / \mathrm{d} \tau=\gamma \mathrm{dP} / \mathrm{dt}$

4-MassFlux 4-MomentumDensity $\mathbf{G}=\mathrm{G}^{\mu}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}\right)=\rho_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$ $=m_{0} \mathbf{N}=n_{0} m_{0} \mathbf{U}$ 4-HeatEnergyFlux
$\mathbf{Q}=Q^{\mu}=\left(\rho_{E} c, q\right)=\rho_{E}(c, u)$
$=E_{0} N=n_{0} E_{0} U=C^{2} G$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4-PureEntropyFlux } \\
& \mathbf{S}_{\text {ent_pure }}=S_{\text {ent_pure }}{ }^{\mu} \\
& =\left(S_{\text {ent_pure }}, \mathbf{S}_{\text {ent_pure }}\right) \\
& =S_{\text {ent }} N=n_{0} S_{\text {ent }} \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

> 4-HeatEntropyFlux
> $\mathbf{S}_{\text {ent heat }}=\left(S_{\text {ent_heat }}^{0}, S_{\text {ent_heat }}\right)$ $=S_{\text {ent }} \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{Q} / T_{0}=S_{\text {ent }} N+E_{0} N / T_{0}$ $=n_{0}\left(S_{\text {ent }}+E_{0} / T_{0}\right) U$

4-ChargeFlux : 4-CurrentDensity $\mathbf{J}=J^{\mu}=(\rho c, j)=\rho(c, u)=\rho_{0} \mathbf{U}$ $=q n_{0} \mathbf{U}=q \mathbf{N}$

## 4-(Dust)NumberFlux $N=N^{\mu}=(n c, n)=n(c, u)=n_{0} \mathbf{U}$

4-ThermalVector
4-InverseTemperatureMomentum $\Theta=\Theta^{\mu}=\left(\theta^{0}, \theta\right)=\left(c / k_{B} T, u / k_{B} T\right)=\left(\theta_{0} / c\right) U$ $=\left(1 / k_{B} T\right)(c, u)=\left(1 / k_{B} \gamma T\right) U=\left(1 / k_{B} T_{o}\right) U$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu \nu}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T^{v}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$ $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$
4-Vector $\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mu}\right)=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}^{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$
SR 4-Vector $\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\left(\right.$ scalar ${ }^{*} \mathrm{c}^{ \pm 1}, 3$-vector $)$

# SRQM Study: Physical 4-Tensors Some SR 4-Tensors and Symbols 

$\leftarrow$ Discrete Continuous $\rightarrow$ SR:Lorentz Transforms

## Lorentz x-Boost Transform



General Time-Space Boost




## General Space-Space Rotation

 Non-symmetric Mixed 4-Tensor

Lorentz
Space-Parity Transform $\Lambda_{v i}{ }_{v} \rightarrow P_{v}{ }_{v}=$


Lorentz
Time-Reverse Transform $\Lambda_{v}{ }_{v} \rightarrow T^{w_{v}}=$


# SRQM Study: Physical 4-Tensors Some SR 4-Tensors and Symbols 


Null-Dust=Photon Gas
(Cold) Matter-Dust $\mathrm{T}^{\mu v} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\mu \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right) \mathrm{V}^{\mu v} \rightarrow\{$ MCRF $\}$
 Projection (2,0)-Tensor $P^{\mu v} \rightarrow H^{\mu v}=\eta^{\mu v}-T^{\mu} T^{v}$ $\left.\rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}\left[0,-I_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[0,-\delta^{\prime}\right]\right]_{\text {MCRF }}$

$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Hv}]}\right]=3$
4-Tensor
Symmetric

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mathrm{\mu v}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu_{v}}$ or $T^{\prime}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T^{\text {uv }}$
$(0,1)$-Tensor $V_{\mu}=\left(v_{0},-v\right)$


Note that the Projection Tensors \& the Minkowski Metric are dimensionless. Energy Density (temporal) \& Pressure (spatial) have the same dimensional measurement units

Equation of State (EoS) $w=\left(p_{0} / \rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right)$

# SRQM Study: Physical 4-Tensors Projection 4-Tensors 

4-Tensor
Symmetric Projection (0,2)-Tensor $P_{\mu v} \rightarrow H_{\mu v}=\eta_{\mu v}-T_{\mu} T_{v}$
$\rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}\left[0,-I_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[0,-\delta_{i j}\right]_{\{M C R F\}}$

| t | $\underline{\mathrm{x}}$ | y | $\underline{\underline{z}}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0_{j} \\ 0_{i} & -\delta_{i j}\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t [ 0 | 0 | 0 | $0]$ |  |  |
| $\underline{x}[0$ | -1 | 0 | $0]$ |  |  |
| $y[0$ | 0 | -1 | $0]$ |  |  |
| z[0 | 0 | 0 | -1] |  |  |



SR Perfect Fluid 4-Tensor
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {perfectifuid }}{ }^{\text {PV }}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right) \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{HV}}+\left(-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) H^{\mathrm{HV}} \rightarrow_{\text {(MCRF }}$

##  <br> [EnergyDensity=Pressure]

The projection tensors can work on 4-Vectors to give a new 4-Vector, or on 4-Tensors to give either a 4-Scalar component or a new 4-Tensor.


Symmetric

4-Tensor
Symmetric

4-UnitTemporal $T^{\mu}=\gamma(1, \beta)$
4-Generic $A^{v}=\left(a^{0}, a\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)$
$V^{\mu}{ }_{v} A^{v}=\left(1 \cdot a^{0},+0 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}\right.$,
$0 \cdot a^{0}+0 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}$,
$0 \cdot a^{0},+0 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}$,

$\left.0 \cdot a^{0},+0 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}\right)=\left(a^{0}, 0,0,0\right)=\left(a^{0}, 0\right)$ : Temporal Projection
$H^{\mu} v A^{v}=\left(0 \cdot a^{0},+0 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}\right.$,
$0 \cdot a^{0},+1 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}$,
$0 \cdot a^{0}+0 \cdot a^{1}+1 \cdot a^{2}+0 \cdot a^{3}$,
$\left.0 \cdot a^{0},+0 \cdot a^{1}+0 \cdot a^{2}+1 \cdot a^{3}\right)=\left(0, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)=(0, a)$ : Spatial Projection
$\mathrm{V}_{\mu \mathrm{V}} T^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mu \mathrm{V}}\left[\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right) \mathrm{V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}+\left(-\mathrm{p}_{0}\right) H^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}\right]=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{\mu v}}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right) \quad:\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{\mu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{T}^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}$
$H_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=H_{\mu v}\left[\left(\rho_{e o}\right) V^{\mu v}+\left(-p_{o}\right) H^{\mu v}\right]=\left(-p_{o}\right) H_{\mu v} H^{\mu v}=\left(-3 p_{o}\right):\left(p_{o}\right)=(-1 / 3) H_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}$
 $H^{\mu_{\alpha}} T^{a v}=H^{\mu}{ }_{a}\left[\left(\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}\right) V^{\text {av }}+\left(-p_{o}\right) H^{a v}\right]=(0)+\left(-p_{o}\right) H^{\mu}{ }_{a} H^{a v}=\left(-p_{o}\right) H^{\mu v} \rightarrow$ Diag[0,p,p,p]

Note that the Projection Tensors are dimensionless:
the object projected retains its dimensional measurement units
Also note that the $(2,0)-\&(0,2)$ - Spatial Projectors have opposite signs from the (1,1)- Spatial due to the (+,-,-,-) Metric Signature convention

## SRQM Diagram:

# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics 

 SR Lorentz Transforms SR Action $\rightarrow$ 4-Momentum SR Phase $\rightarrow 4$-WaveVector SR Proper Time SR \& QM Waves $\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla$$=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}$
$=(\partial / c)^{2}$

## SR d'Alembertian \&

 Klein-Gordon Relativistic Quantum Wave Relation Schrödinger QWE is $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}$ limit of KG QWE ${ }^{* *}[\mathrm{SR} \rightarrow$ QM ]**4-WaveVector=Substantiation of SR Wave <Events> oscillations proportional to mass:energy \& 3-momentum

*START HERE*: 4-Position=L

## SRQM Chart:

## SRQM: The [SR $\rightarrow$ QM] Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics

Special Relativity (SR) Axioms: Invariant Interval + LightSpeed (c) as Physical Constant lead to SR, although technically SR is itself the Minkowski-SpaceTime low-curvature:"flat" limiting-case of GR. $\left\{c, \tau, m_{0}, \hbar, i\right\}=\left\{c:\right.$ SpeedOfLight, $\tau$ :ProperTime, $m_{0}$ :RestMass, $\hbar:$ DiracConstant, i:ImaginaryNumber $\left.\sqrt{ }[-1]\right\}$ : are all Empirically Measured SR Lorentz Invariants and/or Mathematical Constants

Standard SR 4-Vectors:
4-Position $\quad \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r}) \quad$ Related

4-Position
$\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$
= <Event>
$(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R})=(\mathrm{c} \tau)^{2}$
4-Velocity
$\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$
$=(\mathrm{U} \cdot \partial) \mathbf{R}=\left({ }^{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{r}\right) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau$
$(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U})=(\mathrm{c})^{2}$
4-Momentum
$\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$
$=m_{0} \mathbf{U}$
$(P \cdot P)=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}$
4-WaveVector
$\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})$
$=P / \hbar$
$(K \cdot K)=\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}$
$|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}$
4-Gradient $\quad \partial=(\partial / \mathrm{c},-\overline{ })$
$(\partial \cdot \partial)=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=$ KG Eqn:Relation $\rightarrow R Q M \rightarrow Q M$

SR + Empirically Measured Physical Constants lead to RQM via the Klein-Gordon Quantum Eqn, and thence to QM via the low-velocity limit $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}$, giving the Schrödinger Eqn. This fundamental KG relation also leads to the other

Quantum Wave Equations:
spin=0 field=4-Scalar:
spin=1/2 field=4-Spinor:
spin=1

RQM
RQM
QM
$\left\{|v|=c: m_{0}=0\right\}$
Free Scalar Wave
Weyl
Maxwell (EM)
$\left\{0<=|v|<c: m_{0}>0\right\}$
Klein-Gordon
Dirac (w/ EM)
Proca
$\left\{0<=|v| \ll c: m_{0}>0\right\}$
Schrödinger (regular QM)
Pauli (w/ EM)

SRQM: A treatise of SR $\rightarrow$ QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com) <br> \title{
SRQM 4-Vector Topic Index <br> \title{
SRQM 4-Vector Topic Index SR \& QM via 4-Vector Diagrams
} SR \& QM via 4-Vector Diagrams
}

## Mostly SR Stuff

4-Vector Basics, SR 4-Vectors
Paradigm Assumptions, Where is Quantum Gravity?
Minkowski SpaceTime, <Events>, WorldLines, Minkowski Metric
SR 4-Scalars, 4-Vectors, 4-Tensors \& Tensor Invariants, Cayley-Hamilton Theorem
SR Lorentz Transforms, CPT Symmetry, Trace Identification, Antimatter, Feynman-Stueckelberg Fundamental Physical Constants = Lorentz Scalar Invariants = SR 4-Scalars
Projection Tensors: Temporal "(V)ertical" \& Spatial "(H)orizontal"
Stress-Energy Tensors, Perfect Fluids, Special Cases (Dust,Radiation,DarkEnergy, etc)
Invariant Intervals, Measurement, Causality, Relativity
SpaceTime Kinematics \& Dynamics, ProperTime Derivative
Einstein's $E=m c^{2}=\gamma m_{0} c^{2}=\gamma E_{0}$, Rest Mass:Rest Energy, Invariants
SpaceTime Orthogonality: Time-like 4-Velocity, Space-like 4-Acceleration
Relativity of Simultaneity:Stationarity, Invariant Causality:Topology
Relativity: Time Dilation ( $\leftarrow$ clock moving $\rightarrow$ ), Length Contraction ( $\rightarrow$ ruler moving $\leftarrow$ )
Invariants: Proper Time (| clock at rest |), Proper Length (| ruler at rest |)
Temporal Ordering: Causality (Time-like) is Absolute; Simultaneity (Space-like) is Relative Spatial Ordering: Stationarity (Time-like) is Relative; Topology (Space-like) is Absolute SR Motion * Lorentz Scalar = Interesting Physical 4-Vector
SR Conservation Laws \& Local Continuity Equations, Symmetries
Relativistic Doppler Effect, Relativistic Aberration Effect
SR Wave-Particle Relation, Invariant d'Alembertian Wave Eqn, SR Waves, 4-WaveVector SpaceTime is 4D = (1+3)D: $\partial \cdot R=\partial_{\mu} R^{\mu}=4, \Lambda_{\mu v} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4, \operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu \nu}\right]=4, A=A^{\mu}=\left(a^{\mu}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)$
Minimal Coupling = Interaction with a (Vector)Potential
Conservation of 4-TotalMomentum (Energy \& 3-momentum)
SR Hamiltonian:Lagrangian Connection
Lagrangian, Lagrangian Density
Hamilton-Jacobi Equation (differential), Relativistic Action (integral) Euler-Lagrange Equations
Noether's Theorem, Continuous Symmetries, Conservation Laws
Relativistic Equations of Motion, Lorentz Force Equation
$c^{2}$ Invariant Relations, The Speed-of-Light (c)
Thermodynamic 4-Vectors, Unruh-Hawking Radiation, Particle Distributions

## Mostly QM \& SRQM Stuff

Relativistic Quantum Wave Equations
Klein-Gordon Equation/ Fundamental Quantum Relation
RoadMap from SR to QM: SR $\rightarrow$ QM, SRQM 4-Vector Connections
QM Schrödinger Relation
QM Axioms? - No, (QM Principles derived from SR) = SRQM
Relativistic Wave Equations: based on mass \& spin \& relative velocity:energy
Klein-Gordon, Dirac, Proca, Maxwell, Weyl, Pauli, Schrödinger, etc.
Classical Limits: SR's $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c\}$; QM's $\{\hbar|\nabla \cdot \mathrm{p}| \ll(\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{p})\}$
Photon Polarization
Linear PDE's $\rightarrow$ \{Principle of Superposition, Hilbert Space, <Bra|,|Ket> Notation\}
Canonical QM Commutation Relations $\leftarrow$ derived from SR
Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (due to non-zero commutation)
Pauli Exclusion Principle (Fermion), Bose Aggregation Principle (Boson)
Complex 4-Vectors, Quantum Probability, Imaginary values
CPT Theorem, Lorentz Invariance, Poincaré Invariance, Isometry Hermetian Generators, Unitarity:Anti-Unitarity
QM $\rightarrow$ Classical Correspondence Principle, similar to SR $\rightarrow$ Classical Low Vel.
The Compton Effect = Photon:Electron Interaction (neglecting Spin Effects)
Photon Diffraction, Crystal-Electron Diffraction, The Kapitza-Dirac Effect
The $\hbar$ Relation, Einstein-de Broglie, Planck:Dirac
The Aharonov-Bohm Effect, The Josephson Junction Effect
Dimensionless Quantities
Quantum Relativity: GR is *NOT* wrong, *Never bet against Einstein* :) Quantum Mechanics is Derivable from Special Relativity, SR $\rightarrow$ QM: SRQM

## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions (part 1)

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

Relativistic Physics **IS NOT** the generalization of Classical Physics.
Classical Physics **|S** the low-velocity $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c\}$ limiting-case approximation of Relativistic Physics.
This includes (Newtonian) Classical Mechanics and Classical QM, (meaning the non-relativistic Schrödinger QM Equation). Classical EM is for the most part already compatible with Special Relativity.
However, Classical EM doesn't include intrinsic spin, even though spin is a result of SR Poincaré Invariance, not QM.
So far, in all of my research, if there was a way to get a result classically,
then there was usually a much simpler way to get the result using 4-Vectors and SRQM relativistic thinking. Likewise, a lot of QM results make much more sense when approached from SRQM (ex: Temporal vs. Spatial relations).

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hamiltonian: $\mathrm{H}=\gamma\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)_{\{\text {Relativistic }\}} \rightarrow(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{V})=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {kinetic }}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {potential }}\right)$ \{Classical-limit only, \|u | Complex Plane-Wave Relation: $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{i} \partial \rightarrow\left\{\omega=\mathrm{i} \partial_{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{k}=-\mathrm{i} V\right.$ \} |
| Lagrangian: $\mathrm{L}=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma_{\{\text {Relativistic }\}} \rightarrow(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{V})=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {kinetic }}-\mathrm{E}\right.$ | Schrödinger Relations: $\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial \rightarrow\left\{\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{p}=-i \hbar \nabla\right\}$ |
| SR Wave Eqn (dififerenial format) $^{\text {S }}$, $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} / \hbar \rightarrow\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\Phi]: \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}=\nabla[\Phi]\right\}$ | Canonical QM Commutation Relations inc. QM Time-Energy: |
| Hamilton-Jacobi Eqn (dififerential format): $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {action }}\right]=\hbar \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow\left\{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial_{\mathrm{T}}[\mathrm{S}]: \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}=\nabla[\mathrm{S}]\right\}$ |  |
|  | Minimal Coupling: $\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{qA} \rightarrow\left\{\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{q} \varphi: \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{qa}\right\}$ |
| SR/QM Wave Equation (integral format): $^{\text {a }}$, $\Phi_{\text {phase }}=-\int_{\text {path }} \mathbf{K}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{d X}=-\int_{\text {path }}\left(\mathbf{K}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau=\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {action }} / \hbar$ | Josephson Junction Relation ${ }_{\text {(difierential format) }} \mathbf{A}=-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \partial\left[\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right]$ |
| Euler-Lagrange Equation: $(\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathrm{R}) \rightarrow\left(\partial_{\mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial^{\prime}\right.$ | Aharonov-Bohm Relation ${ }_{\text {(integral format) }}: \Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}=-(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \int_{\text {path }} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathrm{dX}$ |
| n's Equations: $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)[\mathrm{X}]=\left(\partial / \partial \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{0}\right]$ \& $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$ | Compton Scattering: $\Delta A=\left(A^{\prime}-\lambda\right)=\left(\hbar / m_{0} \mathrm{c}\right)(1-\cos [\varnothing])$ |
| d'Alembertian Wave Equation: $\partial \cdot \partial=(\partial / / c)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla$, with solutions $\sim \Sigma_{n} e^{ \pm}$ | Klein-Gordon Relativistic Quantum Wave Eqn: $\partial \cdot \partial=-\left(m_{0} \mathrm{c} / \hbar\right)^{2}$ |

4-Vector formulations are all extremely easy to derive in SRQM and are all relativistically covariant.

# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions (part 2) 

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

SR 4D Physical 4-Vectors *ARE NOT* generalizations of Classical/Quantum 3D Physical 3-vectors. While a "mathematical" Euclidean ( $n+1$ )D-vector is the generalization of a Euclidean ( $n$ )D-vector, the "Physical/Physics" analogy ends there.

Minkowskian SR 4-Vectors *ARE* the primitive elements of 4D Minkowski SR SpaceTime. Classical/Quantum Physical 3-vectors are just the spatial components of SR Physical 4-Vectors. There is also a fundamentally-related Classical/Quantum Physical scalar related to each 3-vector, which is just the temporal component scalar of a given SR Physical 4-Vector.

$$
\text { ex. 4-Position } R=\left(r^{\mu}\right)=\left(r^{0}, r\right)=(c t, r) \rightarrow(c t, x, y, z): 4 \text {-Momentum } P=\left(p^{\mu}\right)=\left(p^{0}, p\right)=(E / c, p) \rightarrow\left(E / c, p^{x}, p^{y}, p^{z}\right)
$$

These Classical/Quantum \{scalar\}+\{3-vector\} are the dual \{temporal\}+\{spatial\} components of a single SR 4-Vector = (temporal scalar * $\mathrm{c}^{ \pm 1}$, spatial 3-vector)
with SR lightspeed factor ( $\mathrm{c}^{ \pm 1}$ ) to give correct overall dimensional measurement units.
While different observers may see different "values" of the Classical/Quantum components $\left(v^{0}, v^{1}, v^{2}, v^{3}\right)$ from their point-of-view in SpaceTime, each will see the same actual SR 4-Vector $\mathbf{V}$ and its "magnitude" $\sqrt{ }[\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}]$ at a given <Event> in SpaceTime.
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## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions (part 3)

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

We will **NOT** be employing the commonly-(mis)used Newtonian classical limits $\{\mathrm{c} \rightarrow \infty\}$ and $\{\hbar \rightarrow 0\}$.
Neither of these is a valid physical assumption, for the following reasons:
[1]
Both (c) and ( $\hbar$ ) are unchanging Physical Constants and Lorentz Scalar Invariants.
Taking a limit where these change is non-physical. They are CONSTANT.
Many, many experiments verify that these constants have not changed over the lifetime of the universe.
This is one reason for the 2019 Redefinition of SI Base Units on Fundamental Constants $\left\{c, \hbar, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{cd}}, \Delta \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}\}}\right\}$. [2]
Let $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{pc}$. If $\mathrm{c} \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \infty$. Then Classical EM light rays/waves have infinite energy.
Let $E=\hbar \omega$. If $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, then $E \rightarrow 0$. Then Classical EM light rays/waves have zero energy.
Obviously neither of these is true in the Newtonian limit.
In Classical EM and Classical Mechanics, LightSpeed (c) remains a large but finite constant. Likewise, Dirac's (Planck-reduced) Constant ( $\hbar$ ) remains very small but never becomes zero.

The correct way to take the limits is via:
The low-velocity non-relativistic limit $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c\}$, which is a physically-occurring situation. The Hamilton-Jacobi non-quantum limit $\{\hbar|\nabla \cdot p| \ll(p \cdot p)\}$, which is a physically-occurring situation.
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## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

We will *NOT* be implementing the common $\{\rightarrow$ lazy and extremely misguided\} convention of setting physical constants to the value of (dimensionless) unity, often called "Natural Units", to hide them from equations; nor using mass ( $m$ ) instead of ( $m_{0}$ ) as the RestMass. Likewise for other components vs Lorentz Scalars with naughts, like energy $(E)$ vs $\left(E_{0}\right)$ as the RestEnergy.

One sees this very often in the literature. The usual excuse cited is "For the sake of brevity". Well, the "sake of brevity" forsakes "clarity"

The *ONLY* situation in which setting constants to unity is practical or advisable is in numerical simulation. When teaching physics, or trying to understand physics: it helps when equations are dimensionally correct. In other words, the technique of dimensional analysis is a powerful tool that should not be disdained. i.e. Brevity only aids speed of computation, Clarity aids understanding.

The situation of using "naught = ${ }^{\circ}$ " for rest-values, such as ( $m_{0}$ ) for RestMass and ( $E_{0}$ ) for RestEnergy:
Is intrinsic to SR, is a very good idea, absolutely adds clarity, identifies Lorentz Scalar Invariants, and will be explained in more detail later.
Essentially, the relativistic gamma ( $\gamma$ ) pairs with a (Lorentz scalar:rest value o) to make a relativistic component: $m=\gamma m_{0} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}=\gamma \mathrm{E}_{0}$
Note the multiple equivalent ways that one can write 4-Vectors using these rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 4-Momentum } \mathbf{P}=P^{\mu}=\left(p^{\mu}\right)=\left(p^{0}, p^{\prime}\right)=(m c, p)=m_{0} \mathbf{U}=m_{0} \gamma(c, u)=\gamma m_{o}(c, u)=m(c, u)=(m c, m u)=(m c, p)=m c(1, \beta) \\
=(E / c, p)=\left(E_{o} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(E_{o} / c^{2}\right) \gamma(c, u)=\gamma\left(E_{d} / c^{2}\right)(c, u)=\left(E / c^{2}\right)(c, u)=\left(E / c, E u / c^{2}\right)=(E / c, p)=(E / c)(1, \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

This notation makes clear what is \{ relativistic (varying) vs. invariant \}, \{ temporal vs. spatial \}
BTW, I prefer the "Particle Physics" Metric-Signature-Convention (+,-,-,-). \{Makes rest values positive, fewer minus signs to deal with\}
Show the physical constants and naughts in the work. They deserve the respect and you will benefit.
You can always set constants to unity later, when you are doing your numerical simulations.
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## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions (part 5)

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

Many physics books say that the Electric field E and the Magnetic field B are the "real" physical objects, and that the EM scalar-potential $\varphi$ and the EM 3-vector-potential A are just "calculational/mathematical" artifacts.

Neither of these statements is relativistically correct.
All of these physical EM properties: $\{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}, \varphi, \mathrm{A}\}$ are actually just the components of SR tensors, and as such, their values will vary in different observers' reference-frames.
The truly SR invariant physical objects are:
The 4-Gradient $\partial$, the 4 -VectorPotential $\mathbf{A}$, and their combination via exterior (wedge=^) product into the Faraday EM Tensor $F^{\alpha \beta}=\partial^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{A}^{\beta}-\partial^{\beta} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{a}}=\partial^{\wedge} \mathrm{A}$

Given this SR knowledge, to match 4-Vector notation, we demote the physical property symbols, (the tensor components) to their lower-case equivalents $\{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b}, \varphi, \mathbf{a}\}$. : see Wolfgang Rindler

Temporal-spatial components of 4-Tensor $F^{\alpha \beta}$ : electric 3-vector field e. Spatial-spatial components of 4-Tensor $\mathrm{F}^{\alpha \beta}$ : magnetic 3-vector field b . Temporal component of 4-Vector A: EM scalar-potential $\varphi$. Spatial components of 4-Vector A: EM 3-vector-potential a.

Note that the Speed-of-Light (c) plays a prominent role in the component definitions. Also, QM requires the 4-VectorPotential A as explanation of the Aharonov-Bohm Effect.
 Again, all the higher-index-count SR tensors can be built from fundamental 4-Vectors.
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# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions 

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

A number of QM philosophies make the assertion that particle "properties" do not "exist" until measured.
The assertion is based on the QM Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle, and more specifically on quantum non-zero commutation, in which a measurement on one property of a particle alters a different non-commuting property of the same particle.

That is an incorrect analysis. Properties define particles: what they do, how they interact with other particles. Particles and their properties "exist" independently of human intervention or observation. The correct way to analyze this is to understand what a measurement is: the arrangement of some number of fundamental particles in a particular manner as to allow an observer to get information about one or more of the subject particle's properties. Typically this involves "counting" spacetime events and using SR invariant intervals as a basis-of-measurement.

Some properties are indeed non-commuting. This simply means that it is not possible to arrange a set of particles in such a way as to measure (ie. obtain "complete" information about) both of the "subject particle's" non-commuting properties at the same spacetime event. The measurement arrangement events can be done at best sequentially, and the temporal order of these events makes a difference in observed results. EPR-Bell, however, allows one to "infer" properties on a subject particle by making a measurement on a different \{space-like separated but entangled\} particle.

This does *not* imply FTL signaling. It just updates local partial-information one has about particles that interacted/entangled then separated.
So, a better way to think about it is this: The "measurement" of a property does not "exist" until a physical setup event is arranged. Non-commuting properties require different physical arrangements in order for the properties to be measured, and the temporally-first measurement alters the particle's properties in a minimum sort of way, which affects the latter measurement. All observers agree on the time order of temporally-separated spacetime events. However, individual observers may have different sets of partial information about the same particle(s).

This makes way more sense than the subjective belief that a particle's property doesn't exist until it is observed, which is about as unscientific and laughable a statement as I can imagine.
*Relativity is the system of measurement that QM has been looking for*

# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions (part 7) 

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

## Correct Notation is critical for understanding physics

Unfortunately, there are a number of "sloppy" notations in relativistic and quantum physics.

$T^{i i}$ is actually just the diagonal part of 3-tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{i}}$, the components: $\mathrm{T}^{i \mathrm{i}}=\operatorname{Diag}\left[\mathrm{T}^{11}, \mathrm{~T}^{22}, \mathrm{~T}^{33}\right]$
$T_{i}^{i}$ is the Trace of 3-tensor $T^{\mathrm{i}}$ : $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{T}_{1}{ }^{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}{ }^{3}=3$-trace $\left[\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\delta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{T}}=+\mathrm{T}^{11}+\mathrm{T}^{22}+\mathrm{T}^{33}$ in the Euclidean Metric $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{i}}=\delta^{\mathrm{j}}$
$T^{\nu \mu}$ is actually just the diagonal part of 4-Tensor $T^{\nu \mathrm{V}}$, the components: $T^{\nu \mu}=\operatorname{Diag}\left[T^{00}, T^{11}, T^{22}, T^{33}\right]$


Incorrect: Hiding factors of LightSpeed (c) in relativistic equations, ex. E = m
The use of "natural units" leads to a lot of ambiguity, and one loses the ability to do dimensional analysis.
Wrong: E=m: Energy is *not* identical to mass.
Correct: E=mc²: Energy is related to mass via the Speed-of-Light, ie. mass is a type of concentrated energy.
Incorrect: Using $m$ instead of $m_{0}$ for rest mass, Using $E$ instead of $E_{0}$ for rest energy

$$
\text { Correct: } \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}=\gamma \mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}=\gamma \mathrm{E}_{0}
$$

$E$ \& $m$ are relativistic internal components of $4-M o m e n t u m ~ P=(m c, p)=(E / c, p)$ which vary in different reference-frames.
$E_{0}$ \& $m_{0}$ are Lorentz Scalar Invariants, the rest values, which are the same, even in different reference-frames: $P=m_{0} U=\left(E_{0} / c^{2}\right) U$
SRQM: A treatise of SR $\rightarrow$ QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

## Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Paradigm Background Assumptions (part 8)

## There are some paradigm assumptions that need to be cleared up:

Incorrect: Using the same symbol for a tensor-index and a component The biggest offender in many books for this one is quantum commutation. Unclear because ( i ) means two different things in the same equation. Better: ( $\mathrm{i}=\sqrt{ }[-1]$ ) is the imaginary unit ; $\{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}\}$ are tensor-indicies

Wrong: $\left[\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]=\mathrm{i} \hbar \delta^{\mathrm{ij}}$
Right: $\left[x^{j}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{k}}\right]=i \hbar \delta^{\mathrm{ik}}$
Better: $\left[P^{\mu}, X^{V}\right]=i \hbar \eta^{\mu \nu}$

In general, any equation which uses complex-number math should reserve (i) for the imaginary, not as a tensor-index.
Incorrect: Using the 4-Gradient notation incorrectly
The 4-Gradient is a 4-Vector, a (1,0)-Tensor, which uses an upper index, and has a negative spatial component $(-\nabla)$ in SR.
The Gradient One-Form, its natural tensor form, a ( 0,1 )-Tensor, uses a lower index in SR.
4-Gradient: $\partial=\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial_{l} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \quad$ Gradient One-Form: $\partial_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c}, \nabla\right)$
Incorrect: Mixing styles in 4-Vector naming conventions There is pretty much universal agreement on the $4-$ Momentum $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})$ Do not in the same document use 4-Potential $\mathbf{A}=(\varphi, \mathbf{A})$ : This is wrong on many levels.
The correct form is 4 -VectorPotential $\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mu}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a})=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a})$, with $(\varphi)$ as the scalar-potential \& $(\mathrm{a})$ as the 3 -vector-potential
For all 4-Vectors, one should use a consistent notation:
The Upper-Case SpaceTime 4-Vector Names match the lower-case spatial 3-vector names
There is a LightSpeed (c) factor in the temporal component to give overall matching dimensional units for the entire 4-Vector 4 -Vector components are typically lower-case with a few historical exceptions, mainly energy (E) vs. energy-density (e) or ( $\rho_{\mathrm{e}}$ )

SRQM: A treatise of SR $\rightarrow$ QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

# Old Paradigm: QM (as I was taught) SR and QM as separate theories 

Simple GR Axioms:
Principle of Equivalence Invariant Interval Measure Tensors describe Physics SpaceTime Metric $g^{\mathrm{Hv}}$ $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{G}=$ physical constants

GR limiting-case: $\mathrm{g}^{\mu v} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu v}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime Metric $=($ Curvature $\sim 0)$

## Obscure QM Axioms:

Wave-Particle Duality Unitary Evolution Operator Formalism Hilbert Space Representation Principle of Superposition Canonical Commutation Relation Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle Pauli Exclusion Principle Hermitian Generators Correspondence Principle to CM Born Probability Interpretation $\mathrm{h}, \hbar=$ physical constants


This was the QM paradigm that I was taught while in Grad School; everyone trying for Quantum Gravity

## Simple GR Axioms:

Principle of Equivalence Invariant Interval Measure Tensors describe Physics SpaceTime Metric $g^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}$


It is known that $Q M+S R$ "join nicely" together to form RQM, but problems with RQM + GR...

# Physical Theories as Venn Diagram Which regions are real? 

Instantaneous QM entangled connections Instantaneous Physical Wavefunction Collapse

## CM:

QM physicists think these areas, anything outside of QM, doesn't exist...

Hence the attempt to Quantize Gravity: Unsuccessful for 50+ years..

A new approach is needed:
Try SRQM

## RQM:

Relativistic

Many QM physicists believe that the regions outside of QM don't exist... SRQM Interpretation would say that the regions outside of GR probably don't exist...

# Physical Limit-Cases as Venn Diagram Which limit-regions use which physics? 

Instead of taking the Physical Theories as set, examine Physical Reality and then apply various limiting-conditions.

What do we then call the various regions?
As we move inwards from any region on the diagram, we are adding more stringent conditions which give physical limiting-cases of "larger:more encompassing" theories.

If one is in Classical GR, one can get Classical SR by moving toward the Minkowski SpaceTime limit.

If one is in RQM, one can get Classical SR by moving toward the Hamilton-Jacobi non-QM limit, or to standard QM by moving toward the SR low-velocity limit.

Looking at it this way, I can define SRQM to be equivalent to Minkowski SpaceTime, which contains RQM, and leads to Classical SR, or QM, or CM by taking additional limits.

My assertion:
There is no "Quantized Gravity" Actual GR contains SRQM and Classical GR.

Perhaps "Gravitizing QM"...

SRQM: A treatise of SR $\rightarrow$ QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Background: Proven Physics 

but a few special macro-scale systems: ex. Bose-Einstein condensates, super-currents, super-fluids, long-distance entanglement, etc.\}.
To date, however, there is no observational/experimental indication that quantum effects "alter" the fundamentals of either SR or GR.
Likewise, there are no known violations, QM or otherwise, of Local Lorentz Invariance (LLI) nor of Local Position/Poincaré Invariance (LPI).
In fact, in all known experiments where both SR/GR and QM are present, QM respects the principles of SR/GR, whereas SR/GR modify the results of QM All tested quantum-level particles, atoms, isotopes, super-positions, spin-states, etc. obey GR's Universality of FreeFall \& Equivalence Principle and SR's $\left\{E=m c^{2}\right\}$ and speed-of-light (c) communication/signaling limit. Meanwhile, quantum-level atomic clocks are used to measure gravitational red:blue-shift effects. i.e. GR gravitational frequency-shift (gravity time-dilation) alters atomic=quantum-level timing. Think about that for a moment...

Some might argue that QM modifies the results of SR, such as via non-commuting measurements. However, that is an alteration of CM expectations, not SR expectations. In fact, there is a basic non-zero commutation relation fully within SR: $\left[\partial^{\mu}, X^{\vee}\right]=\eta^{\mu v}$ which will be derived from purely SR Principles in this treatise. The actual commutation part ( Commutator $[a, b]$ ) is not about ( $\hbar$ ) or ( $i$ ), which are just Lorentz invariant multipliers.

On the other hand, GR Gravity *does* induce changes in quantum interference patterns and hence modifies QM:
See the COW gravity-induced neutron QM interference experiments and the LIGO gravitational-wave detections via QM interferometry. Likewise, SR induces fine-structure splitting of spectral lines of atoms, "quantum" spin, spin magnetic moments, spin-statistics (fermions \& bosons), antimatter, QED, Lamb shift, relativistic heavy-atom effects (liquid mercury, color of gold, lead batteries, heavy noble-gas interactions, relativistic chemistry...), etc. - essentially requiring QM to be RQM to be valid. QM is instead seen to be limiting-case of RQM for $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}$.

Some QM scientists say that quantum entanglement is "non-local", but you still can't send any real messages/signals/information/particles faster than SR's speed-of-light (c). The only "non-local" aspect is the alteration of probability-distributions based on knowledge-changes obtained via measurement. A local measurement can only alter the "partial information" known about the probability-distribution of a distant (entangled) system. There is no FTL communication-with nor alteration-of the distant particle. Getting a Stern-Gerlach "up" here doesn't cause the distant entangled particle to suddenly start moving "down" there. One only knows "now" that it "would" go down "if" the distant experimenter actually performs the measurement.

# Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Quantum Mechanics Background: GR Principles 

## Principles/Axioms and Mathematical Consequences of GR:

Equivalence Principle: Inertial Motion = Geodesic Motion, Universality/Equivalency of Free-Fall, Mass inerrial $=$ Mass $_{\text {gravitational }}$
Relativity Principle: SpaceTime (M) has a Lorentzian/pseudo-Riemannian Metric ( $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{LV}}$ ), SR:Minkowski Space rules apply locally ( $\eta^{\mu \mathrm{LV}}$ )
General Covariance Principle: Tensors describe Physics, Laws of Physics are independent of chosen Coordinate System
Invariance Principle: Invariant Interval Measure comes from Tensor Invariance Properties, 4D SpaceTime from Invariant Trace[g $\left.{ }^{\text {IVV }}\right]=4$
Causality Principle: Minkowski Diagram/Light-Cone give \{Time-Like, Light-Like(Null), Space-Like\} Measures and Causality Conditions
Einstein:Riemann's Ideas about Matter \& Curvature:
Riemann(g) has 20 independent components $\rightarrow$ too many
Ricci(g) has 10 independent components = enough to describe/specify a gravitational field
\{c,G\} are Fundamental Physical Constants
To-date, there are no known violations of any of these GR Principles.

> GR limiting-case: $g^{\mu v} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu v}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime Metric $=($ Curvature $\sim 0)$

It is vitally important to keep the mathematics fixed to known physics. There are too many instances of trying to apply theoretical math to physics (ex. String Theory - no physical evidence to date). It doesn't work that way. Nature is the arbiter of what math works with physics. Tensor mathematics applies well to known physics \{SR and GR\}, which have been extremely well-tested in a variety of physical situations.

Simple GR Axioms:
Principle of Equivalence Invariant Interval Measure Tensors describe Physics SpaceTime Metric $g^{\text {uv }}$ $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{G}=$ physical constants GR limiting-case: $g^{\mu \nu} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu \nu}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime Metric $=($ Curvature $\sim 0)$

## Obscure QM Axioms:

Wave-Particle Duality Unitary Evolution Operator Formalism Hilbert Space Representation Principle of Superposition Canonical Commutation Relation Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle Pauli Exclusion Principle Hermitian Generators Correspondence Principle to CM Born Probability Interpretation h, $\hbar=$ physical constants


It is known that $Q M+S R$ "join nicely" together to form RQM, but problems with RQM + GR...

# *New Paradigm: SRQM or [SR $\rightarrow$ QM]* 

 QM derived from SR + a few empirical facts

This new paradigm explains why RQM "miraculously fits" SR, but not necessarily GR

## *New Paradigm: SRQM w/ EM* QM, EM, CM derived from SR + a few empirical facts



This new paradigm explains why RQM "miraculously fits" SR, but not necessarily GR

## Classical SR w/ EM Paradigm (for comparison) CM \& EM derived from SR + a few empirical facts



GR limiting-case: $g^{\mu \nu} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu \nu}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime Metric $=($ Curvature $\sim 0)$

The entire classical $\operatorname{SR} \rightarrow E M, C M$ structure is based on the limiting-case of quantum effects being negligible.

Notice that only the SR 4-Vector relation: $\mathrm{K}=(1 / \hbar) \mathrm{P}$ is missing from the Classical Interpretation...

## Background Inherent Assumption

All of the SR 4-Vectors, including ( $\mathrm{K} \& \partial$ ),
are still present in the Classical setting.
K is used in the Relativistic Doppler Effect and EM waves. $\partial$ is used in the SR Conservation/Continuity Equations, Maxwell Equations, Hamilton-Jacobi, Lorenz Gauge, etc. $\partial=(-i) \mathrm{K}$ may be somewhat controversial, but it is the equation for complex plane-waves, which are in classical EM (in real form).

QM limiting-case:
$\{\hbar|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}| \ll(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p})\}$ or $\{\psi \rightarrow \operatorname{Re}[\psi]\}$ Hamilton-Jacobi non-quantum Change by a few quanta has negligible effect on overall state

CM ${ }^{\text {q }}=0$
EM ${ }_{q \neq 0}$

This (Classical=non-QM) SR $\rightarrow\{E M, C M\}$ paradigm has been working successfully for decades... <br> \section*{New Paradigm: <br> \section*{New Paradigm: SRQM View as Venn Diagram SRQM View as Venn Diagram <br> <br> } <br> <br> }

GR
General Relativity

## SRQM

Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Relativistic QM
GR limiting-case: $g^{\mu v} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu v}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime = (Curvature $\left.\sim 0\right)$

## QM

Non-relativistic Quantum Mechanics
SR limiting-case: $|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$

## CM

Classical Mechanics
QM limiting-case: $\hbar|\nabla \cdot p| \ll(p \cdot p)$ or $\psi \rightarrow \operatorname{Re}[\psi]$
Change by a few quanta has negligible
effect on overall state

The SRQM view: Each level (range of validity) is a subset of the larger level.

## New Paradigm:

## SRQM View wl EM as Venn Diagram

## GR <br> General Relativity

## SRQM

Special Relativity $\rightarrow$ Relativistic QM
GR limiting-case: $g^{\mu v} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu v}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime $=($ Curvature $\sim 0)$
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{EM}$ charge
A = 4-EMVectorPotential
The SRQM view: Each level (range of validity) is a subset of the larger level

# SR language beautifully expressed with Physical 4-Vectors 

Newton's laws of classical physics are greatly simplified by the use of physical 3-vector notation, which converts 3 separate space components, which may be different in various coordinate systems, into a single invariant object, a vector, with an invariant magnitude: The basis-values of these components can differ, yet still refer to the same overall 3 -vector object.
$\rightarrow\left(\mathrm{a}^{x}, \mathrm{a}^{y}, \mathrm{a}^{z}\right)$ Cartesian/Rectangular 3D basis
$\rightarrow\left(a^{r}, a^{\theta}, a^{2}\right)$ Polar/Cylindrical 3D basis
$\rightarrow\left(a^{r}, a^{\theta}, a^{\Phi}\right)$ Spherical 3D basis

$\left.\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}=a^{j} \delta_{j k} a^{k}=\left(a^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a^{3}\right)^{2}=|a|^{2} \rightarrow a^{1}, a^{6}, a^{\Phi}\right)$ Spherical 3D basis $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { The scalar products of either type: }\{3 D, 4 D\} \text { are basis-independent. }\end{gathered}$ However, unlike the 3D magnitude (only +)=Riemannian=positive-definite, the 4D magnitude can be (+/-)=pseudo-Riemannian $\rightarrow$ CausalConditions
$\rightarrow\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ Cartesian/Rectangular 4D basis
$\rightarrow\left(a^{t}, a^{r}, a^{\theta}, a^{2}\right)$ Polar/Cylindrical 4D basis
$\rightarrow\left(a^{t}, a^{\top}, a^{\oplus}, a^{\varphi}\right)$ Spherical 4D basis

SR is able to expand the concept of mathematical vectors into the Physical 4-Vector, which combines both (time) and (space) components into a single (TimeSpace) object: These 4-Vectors are elements of Minkowski 4D SR SpaceTime.
Typically there is a Speed-of-Light factor (c) in the temporal component to make the dimensional units match. eg. $\mathbf{R}=$ (ct,r): overall dimensional units of [length] = SI Unit [m] This also allows the 4-Vector name to match up with the 3-vector name.

## In this presentation:

I use the (,,,+---$)$ metric signature, giving $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}=A^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} \mathrm{~A}^{v}=\left[\left(a^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{a}\right]=\left(a^{0}{ }_{0}\right)^{2}$


4-Vectors will use Upper-Case Letters, ex. A; 3-vectors will use lower-case letters, ex. a; I always put the (c) in the temporal component.
Vectors of both types will be in bold font; components and scalars in normal font and usually lower-case. 4-Vector name will match 3-vector name.
Tensor form will usually be normal font with a tensor index, ex. $A^{\mu}$ or $a^{i}$, with Greek TimeSpace index ( $0,1 . .3$ ); Latin SpaceOnly index (1..3)
SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{H}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S

$$
(0,1) \text {-Tensor } \mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)
$$ Lorentz Scalar

| Classical (scalar | 3-vector) <br> Galilean <br> Invariant Lorentz <br> Invariant |
| :--- | ---: |

Classical 3D objects styled this way to emphasize that they are actually just the separated components of SR 4-Vectors. The triangle/wedge (3 sides) represents splitting the components into a scalar and 3 -vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}} T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
& \mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{v}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

## SR 4-Vectors \& Lorentz Scalars Frame-Invariant Equations SRQM Diagramming Method

```
4-Vectors are type (1,0)-Tensors, Lorentz {4-}Scalars are type (0,0)-Tensors, 4-CoVectors are type (0,1)-Tensors,
(m,n)-Tensors have (m) # upper-indices and (n) # lower-indices.
V }\mp@subsup{V}{}{\mu},S,\mp@subsup{C}{\mu}{},\mp@subsup{T}{}{\alpha\beta\gamma.{m
```

Any equation which employs only Tensors, such as those with only 4-Vectors and Lorentz 4-Scalars, (ex. $\mathbf{P}=m_{0} \mathbf{U}$ ) is automatically Frame-Invariant, or coordinate-frame-independent. One's frame-of-reference plays no role in the form of the overall equations.
This is also known as being "Manifestly-Invariant". This is exactly what Einstein meant by his postulate:
"The laws of physics should have the same form for all inertial observers". Use of the RestFrame-naught (o) helps show this.
It is seen when the spatial part of a magnitude can be set to zero (at-rest). Then the temporal part would equal the rest value.

The components $\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)$ of the 4-Vector $\mathbf{A}$ can vary depending on the observer and their choice of coordinate system, but the 4-Vector $\mathbf{A}=A^{\mu}$ itself is invariant. Equations using only 4-Tensors, 4-Vectors, and Lorentz 4-Scalars are true for all inertial observers. The SRQM Diagramming Method makes this easy to see in a visual format, and will be used throughout this treatise.
The following examples are SR frame-invariant equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=(c)^{2} \\
& \mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u}) \\
& \mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathbf{p})=(\mathbf{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{p})=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}=\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U} \\
& \mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k})=\left(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \omega \hat{n} / \mathbf{v}_{\text {phase }}\right)=\left(\omega_{o} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U} \\
& \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{E}_{0} \quad \text { Fguation Form }
\end{aligned}
$$

The SRQM Diagram Form has all of the info of the Equation Form, but shows overall relationships and symmetries among the 4 -Vectors much more clearly.

Blue: Temporal components
Red: Spatial components
Purple: Mixed TimeSpace components

$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar
$(0,0)$-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$

## SR 4-Vectors are primitive elements of

We want to be clear, however, that SR 4-Vectors are NOT generalizations of Classical or Quantum 3-vectors.

```
SR 4-Vectors are the primitive elements of Minkowski SpaceTime (4D) which incorporate both:
a \{temporal scalar element\} and a \{spatial 3 -vector element\} as components. Temporals and Spatials are metrically distinct, but can mix in SR. 4-Vector \(\mathbf{A}=A^{\mu}=\left(a^{\mu}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a\right) \rightarrow\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)\) with component scalar \(\left(a^{t}\right) \&\) component 3 -vector \(a \rightarrow\left(a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)\)
```

It is the Classical or Quantum 3-vector (a) which is a limiting-case approximation of the spatial part of SR 4-Vector (A) for $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}$.
i.e. The Energy ( $\mathbf{E}$ ) and 3-momentum ( $\mathbf{p}$ ) as "separate" entities occurs only in the low-velocity limit $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}$ of the Lorentz Boost Transform. They are actually part of a single 4D entity: the 4-Momentum $\mathbf{P}=(E / c, p)$; with the components: temporal ( $E$ ), spatial ( $p$ ), dependent on a frame-of-reference, while the overall 4-Vector $\mathbf{P}$ is invariant. Likewise with ( t ) and ( $\mathbf{r}$ ) in the 4-Position $\mathbf{R}$.

SR is Minkowskian; obeys Lorentz/Poincaré Invariance. , CM is Euclidean; obeys Galilean Invariance.
(E) can intermix with (p) via a Lorentz Boost Transformation $\Lambda^{N_{v}} \rightarrow B^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$

Spatial components can intermix via a Lorentz Rotation Transform $\wedge{ }^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v} \rightarrow R^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$
(t) can intermix with (r) via a Lorentz Boost Transformation $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}{ }_{v} \rightarrow B^{\mu^{\prime}}$


| Classical (scalar |
| :--- | :---: |
| Galilean |
| Invariant | | 3-vector) |
| :---: |
| Not Lorentz |
| Invariant |

## Invariant

Relations among 4-Vectors and Lorentz 4-Scalars are Manifestly Invariant, meaning that they are true in all inertial reference frames.
Consider a particle at a SpaceTime <Event> that has properties described by 4 -Vectors $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ :
One possible relationship is that the two 4-Vectors are related by a Lorentz 4-Scalar (S): ex. $\mathbf{B}=(\mathrm{S}) \mathbf{A}$.
How can one determine this? Answer: Make an experiment that empirically measures the tensor invariant [ B•A / A•A ].
If $\mathbf{B}=(\mathrm{S}) \mathbf{A}$
$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A}=(\mathrm{S}) \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C}=(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C}$
$(S)=[B \cdot A / A \cdot A] \quad$ Note that this basically a vector projection.
$(S)=[\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C}] \quad$ Can also be mediated by another 4 -Vector $\mathbf{C}$


Run the experiment many times. If you always get the same result for $(\mathrm{S})$, then it is likely that the relationship is true, and thus invariant.
Example: Measure $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=[\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathbf{U} / \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]$ for a given particle type.
Repeated measurement always give $\left(S_{P}\right)=m_{0}$
This makes sense because we know [ $\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{U}]=\gamma(\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u})=\mathbf{E}_{0}$ and $[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]=\mathrm{c}^{2}$ Thus, 4 -Momentum $\mathbf{P}=\left(E_{o} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(m_{0}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(m_{0}\right)^{*} 4$-Velocity $\mathbf{U}$

Example: Measure $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)=[\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathbf{U} / \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]$ for a given particle type.
Repeated measurement always give $\left(\mathrm{S}_{K}\right)=\left(\omega_{o} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$
This makes sense because we know [ K•U ] = $\gamma(\omega-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u})=\omega_{o}$ and [ $\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}$ ] = $\mathbf{c}^{2}$ Thus, 4 -WaveVector $\mathbf{K}=\left(\omega_{o} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(\omega_{o} / c^{2}\right)^{*} 4$-Velocity $\mathbf{U}$

Since $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ are both related to $\mathbf{U}$, this would also mean that the
 4-Momentum $\mathbf{P}$ is related to the 4-WaveVector $\mathbf{K}$ in a particular manner for each given particle type... a hint for later...

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $V^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(v^{0}, v\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu V}\right]=\eta_{I V} T^{\mu V}=T^{\mu}=T \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{H}} \eta_{\mathrm{pv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

# Some SR Mathematical Tools Definitions and Approximations 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=v / c ; \beta=|\beta|: \\
& \gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]=1 / \sqrt{ }[1-\beta \cdot \beta]:
\end{aligned}
$$

dimensionless Velocity Beta Factor dimensionless Lorentz Relativistic Gamma Factor
$\{\beta=(0 . .1)$; rest at $(\beta=0)$; speed-of-light (c) at $(\beta=1)\}$
$\{\gamma=(1 . . \infty)$; rest at $(\gamma=1)$; speed-of-light (c) at $(\gamma=\infty)\}$
$(1+x)^{n} \sim\left(1+n x+O\left[x^{2}\right]\right)$ for $\{|x| \ll 1\}$ Approximation used for SR $\rightarrow$ Classical limiting-cases
Lorentz Transformation $\wedge^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}=\partial X^{\mu^{\prime}} / \partial X^{v}=\partial_{v}\left[X^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]$ : a relativistic frame-shift, such as a rotation or velocity boost
It transforms a 4-Vector in the following way: $X^{\mu^{\prime}}=\Lambda^{\mu_{v}}{ }_{v} X^{v}$ : with Einstein summation over the paired indices, and the (') indicating an alternate frame. A typical Lorentz Boost Transformation $\Lambda^{\mu_{v}}{ }_{v} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}^{\mu_{v}}$ for a linear-velocity frame-shift ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}$ )-Boost in the $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$-direction:


Original $A^{v}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)$
Boosted $A^{\mu^{\prime}}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)^{\prime}=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v} A^{v} \rightarrow B^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} A^{v}=\left(\gamma a^{t}-\gamma \beta a^{x},-\gamma \beta a^{t}+\gamma a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)\{$ for $\hat{x}$-boost Lorentz Transform $\}$
$A^{\prime} \cdot B^{\prime}=\left(\Lambda^{\mu}{ }^{\prime} A^{v}\right) \cdot\left(\Lambda^{\circ}{ }_{0} B^{\sigma}\right)=A \cdot B=A^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} B^{v}=A^{\mu} B_{\mu}=A B_{v}^{v}=\Sigma_{v=0.3}\left[a_{v} b^{v}\right]=\Sigma_{u=0.3}\left[a^{u} b_{u}\right]=\left(a^{0} b_{0}+a^{1} b_{1}+a^{2} b_{2}+a^{3} b_{3}\right)$ $=\left(a^{0} b^{0}-a \cdot b\right)=\left(a^{0} b^{0}-a^{1} b^{1}-a^{2} b^{2}-a^{3} b^{3}\right)$
using the Einstein summation convention where upper:lower paired-indices are summed over
$\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial^{\mu}\left[X^{\prime}\right]=\left(\partial_{\lambda} / c,-\nabla\right)(c t, \mathbf{x})=\operatorname{Diag}\left[\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{ct}],-\nabla[\mathbf{x}]\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]=\eta^{\mu v}$ Minkowski "Flat" SpaceTime Metric

SR:Minkowski Metric
$\partial[R]=\partial^{\nu} R^{v}=\eta^{\text {LV }}=V^{\text {LV }}+$ $\rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\delta^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$


> SR:Minkowski Metric $\partial[R]=\partial^{\mu} R^{v}=\eta^{\mu v}=V^{\mu v}+H^{\mu v} \rightarrow$
> $\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-I_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\delta^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ \{in Cartesian form\} "Particle Physics" Convention $\left\{\eta_{\mu \mu}\right\}=1 /\left\{\eta^{\mu \mu}\right\}: \eta_{\mu}{ }^{v}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{v} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu \nu}\right]=4$

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu \nu}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\nu V}\right]=\eta_{T V} T^{\mu V}=T^{\mu}=T \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{wv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$ of Physical 4-Vectors

## Space-Like Ordering of...

## Time-Like Separated Events

Time-Like Invariant Interval
$\Delta \mathbf{R} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{c} \Delta t)^{2}-\Delta \mathbf{r} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{r} \rightarrow+(c \Delta \tau)^{2}$
Time-Like Separated Events
Causal: Invariant $=$ Absolute Temporal $\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C})$ $\left\{\right.$ ProperTime $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}=\tau\right)$ for | clock at-rest | \} \{ Time Dilation $\left(t=\gamma t_{0}=\gamma \tau\right)$ for $\leftarrow$ moving clock $\rightarrow$ \} All observers agree on temporal order of time-separated events, Non-Topological: Relative $\rightarrow$ Relativity of St
Stationarity: (only if in reference-frame with Stationarit $(A \leftarrow ? \rightarrow B)$ occurrence) although temporal event separation may be $\leftarrow$ Time-Dilated $\rightarrow$.
("no motion" for stationary particle/worldline, "motion" in all other frames) 2 time-separated events may occur in any spatial order $=$ frame dependent

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Causal: Invariant $=$ Absolute Temporal $\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C})$ All observers agree on temporal order of light-separated events, and on the invariant time:space event interval measurement. All observers measure invariant LightSpeed (c) in their own frames. |  | Topological: Invariant $=$ Absolute Spatial $\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C})$ All observers agree on spatial order/topology of light-separated events, and on the invariant time:space event interval measurement. All observers measure invariant LightSpeed (c) in their own frames. |

## Space-Like Separated Events

Space-Like Invariant Interval
$\Delta R \cdot \Delta R=(c \Delta t)^{2}-\Delta r \cdot \Delta r \rightarrow-\left(\left|\Delta r_{0}\right|\right)^{2}$

## Space-Like Separated Events

 (co-linear)Non-Causal: Relative $\rightarrow$ Relativity of Simultaneity $(\mathrm{A} \leftarrow ? \rightarrow \mathrm{~B})$
Topological: Invariant $=$ Absolute Spatial $\operatorname{Order}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C})$ or $(\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A})$ Simultaneity: (only if in reference-frame with Same-Time occurrence)
\{ ProperLength ( $L_{0}$ ) for | ruler at-rest | \} ("no wait" for simultaneous events, "wait" in all other refence frames)
All observers agree on spatial order/topology of space-separated events, although spatial event separation may be

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathbf{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics Special Relativity via 4-Vectors


4-Gradient
$\partial=\partial_{R}=\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial^{\mu}\right)=\left(\partial^{0}, \partial^{i}\right)=\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\nabla\right)$
$=\left(\partial^{0}, \partial^{1}, \partial^{2}, \partial^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\partial_{x},-\partial_{y},-\partial_{z}\right) \quad 4$

These 4-Vectors give some of the main classical results of Special Relativity, including SR concepts like:
The Minkowski Metric, SpaceTime Dimension = 4, Lorentz Transformations <Events>, Invariant Interval Measure,
Causality (=Temporal Ordering), Topology (=Spatial Ordering)
The Invariant Speed-of-Light (c), Invariant Proper Measurements (Time:Space) Relativity: Time Dilation ( $\leftarrow$ clock moving $\rightarrow$ ), Length Contraction ( $\rightarrow$ ruler moving $\leftarrow$ )
Invariants: Proper Time (| clock at rest |) , Proper Length (| ruler at rest |)
Temporal Ordering: Causality (Time-like event separation) is Absolute, Simultaneity (Space-like event separation) is Relative Spatial Ordering: Stationarity (Time-like event separation) is Relative, Topology (Space-like event separation) is Absolute Relativity of Simultaneity:Stationarity, Minkowski Diagrams, Light Cone
Use of the Lorentz Scalar Product to make Lorentz Invariants
Invariant SR Wave Equations, via the d'Alembertian (Lorentz Scalar Product of 4-Gradient with itself) Continuity Equations, etc.

SR 4-CoVector

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics Special Relativity via 4-Vectors

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

SRQM Diagram:

The Basis of most all Classical SR Physics is in the SR Minkowski Metric of "Flat" SpaceTime $\eta^{\text {pV }}$ which can be generated from the 4-Position $\mathbf{R}$ and 4-Gradient $\partial$, and determines the measurement between <Events>.

This Minkowski Metric $\eta^{\mu v}$ provides the relations between the 4-Vectors of SR: 4-Position R, 4-Gradient $\partial, 4$-Velocity U.

The Tensor Invariants of these 4-Vectors give the: Invariant Interval Measures \& Causality:Topology, from R•R Invariant d'Alembertian Wave Equation, from $\partial \cdot \partial$ Invariant Magnitude LightSpeed (c), from U•U

The relation between 4-Gradient $\partial$ and 4-Position $R$ gives the Dimension of SpaceTime (4), the Minkowski Metric $\eta^{\mu v}$, and the Lorentz Transformations $\Lambda^{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$.

The relation between 4-Gradient $\partial$ and 4 -Velocity U gives the ProperTime Derivative $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$. Rearranging gives the ProperTime Differential $\mathrm{d} \tau$, which leads to relativistic Time Dilation \& Length Contraction.

The ProperTime Derivative d/d $\tau$ : acting on 4-Position $\mathbf{R}$ gives 4-Velocity $\mathbf{U}$ acting on the SpaceTime Dimension Lorentz Scalar gives the Continuity of 4-Velocity Flow.

The relation between 4-Displacement $\Delta R$ and 4-Velocity $U$ gives Relativity of Simultaneity:Stationarity.

One of the most important properties is the Tensor Invariant Lorentz Scalar Product ( dot = • ), provided by the lowered- index form of the Minkowski Metric $\eta_{\mathrm{pv}}$.

From here, each object will be examined in turn...

SR is a theory about the relations between 4D SpaceTime <Events
ie. how they are ie. how they are "measured"
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics 4-Position, 4-Displacement, 4-Differential

## 4-Displacement $\Delta \mathbf{R}=(c \Delta t, \Delta r)=U \Delta \tau=\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{ct}_{2}-\mathrm{ct}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}-\mathrm{r}_{1}\right)$ : \{finite $\}$

 4-Differential $\mathbf{d R}=(\mathrm{cdt}, \mathrm{dr})=\mathbf{U d} \tau$ : \{infintesimal\} 4-Position $R^{\mu}$$\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})=\left(\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)=<$ Event $>$
The 4-Position is essentially one of the most fundamental 4-Vectors of SR. It is the SpaceTime location of an <Event>, the basic element of Minkowski SpaceTime: a time $(t) \&$ a place $(r) \rightarrow($ when, where $)=(c t, r)=\left(r^{\mu}\right)$. Technically, the 4-Position is just one of the possible properties of an <Event>, which may also have a 4-Velocity, 4-Momentum, 4-Spin, etc. But I write the 4-Position as = to an <Event> since that is the most basic property.

The 4-Position relates time to space via the fundamental physical constant (c): the Speed-of-Light = "(c)elerity ; (c)eleritas", which is used to give consistent dimensional units across all SR 4-Vectors.

The 4-Position is a specific type of 4-Displacement, for which one of the endpoints is the <Origin>, or 4-Zero.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$
$\Delta R=R_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{R}$
$Z=(0,0)=(0,0,0,0)=\left(0^{\mathrm{H}}\right)=<$ Origin $>$
As such, the 4-Position and 4-Zero are Lorentz Invariant (point rotations and boosts), but not Poincaré Invariant (Lorentz + time \& space translations), since translations can move the <Origin>.

The general 4-Displacement and 4-Differential(Displacement) are invariant under both Lorentz and Poincaré transformations, since neither of their endpoints are pinned this way.

The 4-Differential(Displacement) is just the infinitesimal version of the finite 4-Displacement, and is used in the calculus of $S R$. $\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau: \mathrm{dR}=\mathrm{Ud} \tau$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\nu}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{\mu v}}$
 $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

Music is to time as artwork is to space
$\mathbf{R}=\int \mathrm{d} \mathbf{R}=\int \mathbf{U} \mathrm{d} \tau=\int \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \mathrm{d} \tau=\int(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \gamma \mathrm{d} \tau=\int(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \mathrm{dt}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$ $\mathbf{R}=\Sigma \Delta \mathbf{R}=\Sigma \mathbf{U} \Delta \tau=\Sigma \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \Delta \tau=\Sigma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \gamma \Delta \tau=\Sigma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \Delta \mathrm{t}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{I N V} T^{I V}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{4} \eta_{\mathrm{lv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { = Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

SRQM Diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The Basis of Classical SR Physics } \\
& \text { Invariant Intervals, TimeSpace }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R} & =(\mathrm{ct})^{2}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \\
=\left(\mathrm{ct} \mathrm{t}_{0}\right)^{2}=(\mathrm{c} \tau)^{2} \\
\Delta \mathbf{R} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{R} & =(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t})^{2}-\Delta \mathrm{r} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{r}
\end{array}=\left(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}_{0}\right)^{2}=(\mathrm{c} \Delta \tau)^{2}\right)
$$ time-like interval (+)




LightCone

The 4D intervals are invariant, meaning that all observers must time-displacement measured by a clock at-rest, and ProperLength ( $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ), which is space-displacement measured by a ruler at-rest. This also leads to the various Causality Conditions of SR, and the concept of the (Minkowski Diagram) Light Cone. The differential form dR•dR is apparently also still true in GR.

$(\mathrm{c} \Delta \tau)^{2}$ Time-like:Temporal $\boldsymbol{\Delta R} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{R}=\left[(c \Delta t)^{2}-\Delta r \cdot \Delta r\right]=(0) \quad$ Light-like:Null:Photonic
$-\left(\Delta r_{0}\right)^{2}$ Space-like:Spatial
(+) \{causal = 1D temporally-ordered, non-topological\}
(0) \{causal \& topological, maximum signal speed $(|\Delta r / \Delta t|=c)\}$
(-) \{non-causal, topological = 3D spatially-ordered\}

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\nu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

Absolute/Invariant (Ordering of Events)
Causality is temporal Topology: Topology is spatial Causality

Trace $\left[T^{\mu \nu}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu \nu}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T$ $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{V}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{V}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}$ $=$ Lorentz Scalar

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics SpaceTime Dimension $=4 \mathrm{D} \leftarrow(1+3) \mathrm{D}$


$=(\partial / \mathrm{c},-\nabla) \cdot(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$
$=\left[\left(\partial_{1} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{*}(\mathrm{ct})-(-\nabla) \cdot(\mathrm{r})\right]$
$=\left(\partial_{i}[t]+\nabla \cdot r\right)$
$=\left(\partial_{i}[t]+\partial_{x}[x]+\partial_{y}[y]+\partial_{z}[z]\right)$
$=(\partial[t] / \partial t+\partial[x] / \partial x+\partial[y] / \partial y+\partial[z] / \partial z)$
$=(1+1+1+1)$
$=4$
Alt. Derivation:
$(\partial \cdot R)=\left(\partial^{\alpha} \cdot R^{\beta}\right)=\left(\partial^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha \beta} R^{\beta}\right)=\eta_{\alpha \beta}\left(\partial^{\alpha} R^{\beta}\right)=\eta_{\alpha \beta}\left(\eta^{\alpha \beta}\right)=\eta_{\beta}{ }^{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha}=\delta_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha}$
$=\left(\delta_{0}{ }^{0}+\delta_{1}{ }^{1}+\delta_{2}{ }^{2}+\delta_{3}{ }^{3}\right)=(1+1+1+1)=4$


This Tensor Invariant Lorentz Scalar relation gives the dimension of SpaceTime. The only way there can more dimensions is if there is another SpaceTime direction available. 4-Divergence $\left(\partial_{\cdot}\right.$ _) is also used in SR Conservation Laws, ex. $(\partial \cdot J)=0$

All empirical evidence to-date indicates that there are only the 4 known dimensions: 1 temporal ( t : : measured in SI units = [s], with (ct): measured in SI units [m]

3 spatial $(x, y, z)$ : measured in SI units $=[m]$

These are of course the ones that appear in the 4-Position
$\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$ : measured in SI units [m]


OD () 1D (x)
2D ( $x, y$ )


SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor $T^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

## SR 4-Vector

$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{d}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar ( 0,0 ) -Tensor S Lorentz Scalar 4D Kronecker Delta

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

## SRQM Diagram:

4-Vector SRQM Interpreta of QM

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics

 The Minkowski Metric ( $\eta^{\mu \mathrm{V}}$ ), Measurement
## 4-Gradient $\partial^{\mu}$ $\partial=\left(\partial_{1} / c,-\nabla\right)=\left(\partial^{\mu}\right)$

4-Position $\mathrm{R}^{\mu}$<br>$\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})=\left(\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{r}}\right)=<$ Event $>$

## SR:Minkowski Metric

 $\partial[R]=\partial^{\mu} R^{v}=\eta^{\mu v}=V^{\mu v}+H^{\mu v} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\delta^{\mathrm{jk}}\right]$ \{in Cartesian form\} "Particle Physics" Convention $\left\{\eta_{\mu \mu}\right\}=1 /\left\{\eta^{\mu \mu}\right\}: \eta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu \nu}\right]=4$

SR:Temporal Projection "Vertical" $\mathrm{V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{V}} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Diag}[1,0,0,0]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,0^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$


SR:Spatial Projection "Horizontal" $\mathrm{H}^{\mu \mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{n}^{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{\mu} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{v}} \rightarrow}$ $\operatorname{Diag}[0,-1,-1,-1]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[0,-\delta^{\text {ji }}\right.$

The component representation of the Minkowski Metric $\eta^{\mu v}$
will differ with the chosen basis, $=\left[\partial_{t} / c^{*} c t,-\nabla c t\right]$ $\left[\partial_{t} / c^{*} r,-\nabla r\right]$
$=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\partial_{t} t, & 0\end{array}\right]$
[ $\mathbf{0},-\nabla \mathbf{r}$ ]
just like with 4 -Vectors.
$\eta^{\text {LV }} \rightarrow$ Diag[1,-1,-1,-1] : Cartesian/Rectangular basis $\eta^{\mu v} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-1,-1 / r^{2},-1\right]:$ Polar/Cylindrical basis $\eta^{\mu \mathrm{VN}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-1,-1 / \mathrm{r}^{2},-1 /(\mathrm{r} \sin [\theta])^{2}\right]:$ Spherical basis

Generally, components $\left[\eta^{\mu \mu}\right]=1 /\left[\eta_{\mu \mu}\right]$ and $\eta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}$
$=$ Diag[+1,- $\left.\delta^{\text {jik }}\right]=\eta^{\text {nv }}$
Alt. Derivation: $\partial^{\mu} X^{\vee}=\eta^{\mu \sigma} \partial_{\sigma} X^{\vee}=\eta^{\mu \sigma}\left(\partial / \partial X^{\sigma}\right) X^{\vee}=\eta^{\mu \sigma}\left(\partial X^{\vee} / \partial X^{\sigma}\right)=\eta^{\mu \sigma}\left(\delta_{\sigma}{ }^{\vee}\right)=\eta^{\mu \nu}$

The SR:Minkowski Metric $\eta^{\mu v}$ is the fundamental SR ( 2,0 )-Tensor, which shows how intervals are "measured" in SR SpaceTime It is itself the low-mass $=($ Curvature $\sim 0)$ limiting-case of the more general GR metric $\mathrm{g}^{\mu \mathrm{VN}}$. It can be divided into temporal and The Minkowski Metric can be used to raise/lower indices on other tensors and 4-Vectors.

The SR : Minkowski Metric $\eta^{\text {piv }}$ is the "Flat SpaceTime" low-curvature limiting-case of the more general GR Metric $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{NJ}}$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{wv}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

[^0]
## The Basis of Classical SR Physics The Lorentz Transform $\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu}\right]=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}$

## SRQM Diagram:

4-Gradient $\partial^{\mu}$
$\partial=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)=\left(\partial^{\mu}\right)$


Tensorial Lorentz Transform $\Lambda^{\mu_{v}}$ acting on 4 -Vector [ $R^{u^{\prime}}=\Lambda^{\omega^{\prime}}{ }^{v} R^{v}$ ] $\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu}\right]=\left(\partial \partial R^{v}\right)\left[R^{\omega^{\prime}}\right]=\left(\partial \partial R^{v}\right)\left[\Lambda^{\omega^{\prime}}{ }_{\alpha} R^{a}\right]$ $=\Lambda^{\mu^{\alpha}}\left(\partial \partial R^{v}\right)\left[R^{\alpha}\right]=\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \eta^{a}{ }_{v}=\Lambda^{\nu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$
$\underset{=L \text { Lorentz Transform Type }}{\operatorname{Tr}\left[{ }^{\mu}\right]=\{\infty .+\infty\}} \operatorname{Det}\left[\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}\right]= \pm 1$


General Lorentz Boost Transform (symmetric,continuous) for a linear-velocity time-space-mixing frame-shift (Boost) in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\beta^{1}, \beta^{2}, \beta^{3}\right)$-direction: $\wedge_{v}^{\mu^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }_{v}=$


General Lorentz Rotation Transform (non-symmetric, continuous): for an angular-displacement spatial-only frame-shift (Rotation) angle $\theta$ about the $\hat{n}=\left(n^{1}, n^{2}, n^{3}\right)$-direction: $\Lambda_{v}{ }_{v} \rightarrow R^{w^{\prime}}=$


General Lorentz Discrete Transforms (symmetric,discrete): Identity I(4) Time-Reverse Parity ComboPT $\Lambda_{v}^{u^{\prime}} \rightarrow \eta^{u^{\prime}}=\delta_{v}^{u^{\prime}}$ $=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1, \delta_{j}^{i}\right]$

| $=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1, \delta_{j}^{i}\right]$ | $=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1-, \delta_{j}\right]$ |  | $=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\delta_{j}^{i}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}[-1,-\delta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 0 <br> 0 $\delta_{j}^{i}$ | -1 0 <br> 0 $\delta_{j}^{i}$ | 1 0 <br> 0 $-\delta_{j}^{i}$ | -1 0 <br> 0 $-\delta_{j}^{i}$ |

Lorentz Transform Properties: $\Lambda_{v}^{\mu}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}$

$\Lambda_{u v} \wedge^{\mu v}=4$ : SpaceTime Dimension
$\eta_{\nu v} \wedge{ }_{\alpha} \wedge^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}$
Det $\left[\Lambda_{v}{ }_{v}\right]= \pm 1$ : $(+)=$ Linearity; $(-)=$ Anti-Linearity
LightSpeed
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$
${ }^{* *}$ The Trace Invariant of the various Lorentz Transforms leads to very interesting results: CPT Symmetry and Antimatter**

## SR:Lorentz Transform

 $\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{u^{\prime}} / \partial R^{v}=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$ $\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }_{v}: \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ $\eta_{u v} \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha B}$(1et $\left[\Lambda_{v}^{\mu}\right]= \pm D \quad \Lambda_{\mu v} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4$
Invariant Tr[ $\left.\wedge_{v}^{\nu_{v}}\right] \rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mathrm{LV}}\right]=\eta_{\mathrm{LV}} T^{\mathrm{NV}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mu_{\mu}}=\mathrm{T} \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{wv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mathrm{pv}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$ of Physical 4-Vectors

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics SpaceTime Dimension = 4D, again!

## The SpaceTime Dimension Relations

Tensor Invariants include: Trace, InnerProduct, Determinant, etc. 4-Div[4-Pos] , Trace of the Minkowski Metric , and the InnerProduct of any of the Lorentz Transforms give the Dimension of SR SpaceTime = 4D.

## Minkowski Metr Trace Invariant

4-Divergence Lorentz Transform of 4-Position

Inner Prod Invariant
Trace $\left[\eta^{\mu v}\right]$

$$
\eta_{\mu v} \Lambda_{\alpha}^{u} \wedge_{\beta} v_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

$=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{N V}\right]$
$=\eta_{\text {Iv }}\left[\eta^{n+V}\right]$
$=\eta_{\mu}^{4}$
$=\delta_{\mu}^{\mu}$
$=(1+1+1+1)$
$=4$
$\partial \cdot R$

$$
=\partial^{\mu} \cdot R^{v}
$$

$$
=\eta_{\mu \nu} \eta^{\mu V} \quad \Lambda^{\mu \beta} \Lambda_{\mu \beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta} \eta^{\alpha \beta}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu \nu}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=\operatorname{Tr}\left[n^{\mu V}\right] & \Lambda^{\mu \beta} \Lambda_{\mu \beta}^{\mu \nu}=4 \\
=4
\end{array}
$$

General Tensor
Trace Invariant

| $\begin{array}{r} 4 \text {-Tensor } \\ \mathrm{T}^{\text {uv }}=\left(T^{000}, T^{11}-T^{02}-T^{33}\right)=T \\ {\left[T^{010}, T^{011}, T^{12}, T^{12}, T^{13}\right]} \\ {\left[T^{20}, T^{21}, T^{22}, T^{23}\right]} \\ {\left[T^{30}, T^{31}, T^{32}, T^{33}\right]} \end{array}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |

$=4 \quad$ Trace Invariant
$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mathrm{Lv}}\right]=\eta_{v}{ }^{\mathrm{V}}=(1)-(-1)-(-1)-(-1)=$

## Minkowski

Metric $\eta^{\mu v}$
$\rightarrow$
[ $+1,0,0,0$ ]
[0,-1,0,0]
[0,0,-1,0]
[0,0,0,-1] SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics Lorentz Scalar (Dot) Product ( $\eta_{\mu v}=\cdot$ )

The Tensor Invariant Lorentz Scalar Product (LSP) is the SR 4D (Dot) Product.
$a^{0}$ or $a_{0}:(0)^{\text {th }}=$ temporal component (can relativistically vary) $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ : (o)bserver's rest-frame Invariant value (does not vary)

It is used to make Invariant Lorentz Scalars from two 4-Vectors. $A \cdot B=A^{\nu} \cdot B^{v}=A^{\nu} \eta_{\nu v} B^{v}=A_{v} B^{v}=A^{\nu} B_{\mu}=\left(a^{0} b^{0}-a \cdot b\right)=\left(a^{0} b^{0}{ }^{0}\right)$ $A \cdot A=A^{\mu} \cdot A^{v}=A^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} A^{v}=A_{v} A^{v}=A^{\mu} A_{\mu}=\left(a^{0} a^{0}-a \cdot a\right)=\left(a^{0}\right)^{2}$

$\rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[+1,-1,-1,-1]_{\text {\{Cartesian }\}}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{v}$ as basis vectors $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mu} \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\mu}{ }_{\{\text {Cartesian }\}}$
( $\eta_{\mathrm{pv}}$ ) is itself just the lowered-index form of the SR Minkowski Metric ( $\eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}$ ), with individual components [ $\eta_{\mu \mu}$ ] = 1/[ $\eta^{\mu \nu}$ ], else 0. In Cartesian basis, this gives $\left\{\eta_{\mu v}=\eta^{\mu v}\right\}$.
The LSP is used in just about every relation between any two interesting 4-Vectors. It also gives the Invariant Magnitude of a single 4-Vector. If the 4-Vector is temporal, then the spatial component can be set to zero, giving the rest-frame invariant value, or the (o)bserver rest value ("naught" = o).

$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Lv}}$

Lorentz Scalar



A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

SRQM Diagram: The Basis of Classical SR Physics 4-Velocity U, SpaceTime <Event> Motion


## 4-Velocity Magnitude = Invariant Speed-of-Light (c)

4-Velocity $\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=(\gamma \mathbf{c}, \gamma \mathbf{u})=(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial) \mathbf{R}=\gamma\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathbf{R}=$ $=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau=(\mathrm{dt} / \mathrm{dt})(\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau)=(\mathrm{dt} / \mathrm{d} \tau)(\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{dt})=\gamma(\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{dt})=\gamma(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{a}}$

The Lorentz Scalar Product of the 4-Velocity gives the Invariant Magnitude Speed-of-Light (c), one the main fundamental SR physical constants of physics. Technically, it is the maximum speed of SR causality, which any massless particles, ex. the photon, travel at.

## U.U

$=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$
$=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathbf{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)$
$=[1 /(1-\beta \cdot \beta)]\left(c^{2}-u \cdot u\right)=[1 /(1-\beta \cdot \beta)] c^{2}(1-\beta \cdot \beta)$
$=c^{2}:$ Invariant Magnitude Speed-of-Light (c)
This fundamental constant Invariant (c) provides an extra constraint on the components of 4-Velocity $\mathbf{U}$, making it have only 3 independent components ( $\mathbf{u}$ ).

This allows one to make new 4 -Vectors related to 4-Velocity by multiplying by other Lorentz Scalars. $(\text { Lorentz Scalar })^{*}(4$-Velocity $)=($ New 4-Vector) Components: 3 independent
$\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}$
$\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})=\left(\omega_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}$


A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

SRQM Diagram: Relativity of Simultaneity:No-Wait
(Simultaneity = Same-Time Occurrence)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Relativity of Simultaneity: } \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{U} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \Delta \mathbf{x})=\gamma\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}\right) \\
\\
=\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \tau
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

If Lorentz Scalar ( $\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{\Delta X}=0=\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \tau$ ), then the ProperTime displacement $(\Delta \tau)$ is zero, and the <Event>'s separation $\left(\Delta \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}_{2}-\mathbf{X}_{1}\right)$ is orthogonal to the worldline at U.
<Event>'s $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ are therefore simultaneous ( $\Delta \tau=0$ ) for the observer on this worldine at $\mathbf{U}$.

Examining the equation we get $\gamma\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\Delta x}\right)=0$.
The coordinate time difference between the events is ( $\Delta t=\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{c}^{2}$ ) The condition for simultaneity in an alternate reference frame (moving at 3 -velocity $\mathbf{u}$ wrt. the worldline $\mathbf{U}$ ) is $\Delta t=0$, which implies $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\Delta x})=0$.

This condition can be met by:
( $|\mathbf{u}|=0$ ), the alternate observer is not moving wrt. the events, i.e. is on worldline $\mathbf{U}$ or on a worldline parallel to $\mathbf{U}$.
$(|\Delta \mathbf{x}|=0)$, the events are at the same spatial location (co-local). ( $\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}=0=|\mathbf{u}||\Delta \mathbf{x}| \cos [\theta])$, the alternate observer's motion is perpendicular (orthogonal, $\theta=90^{\circ}$ ) to the spatial separation $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ of the events in that frame

If none of these conditions is met, then the events will not be simultaneous in the alternate reference-frame.

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

SRQM Diagram: Relativity of Stationarity:No-Motion
(Stationarity = Same-Place Occurrence)

Relativity of Stationarity:
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{X}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \Delta \mathbf{x})=\gamma\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}\right)$

$$
=c^{2} \Delta t_{0}=c^{2} \Delta \tau
$$

Let <Event>'s $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ be local $\left(\Delta x^{\prime}=0\right)$ for the observer on worldline at $\mathbf{U}$.

This has equation $(\mathbf{U} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X})=\gamma\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}\right)=\gamma^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$.
To be stationary/motionless in the Rest-Frame is $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$.
This gives:
$\gamma\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}\right)=\gamma^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)$
To be stationary/motionless in the Boosted Frame is $\mathbf{\Delta x}=\mathbf{0}$.
$\gamma\left(c^{2} \Delta t\right)=\gamma^{\prime}\left(c^{2} \Delta t^{\prime}\right)$
$\gamma(\Delta t)=\gamma^{\prime}\left(\Delta t^{\prime}\right)$
There are combinations of the Relativistic Gamma factor determined by boosts which allow for this, but many more which do not...

If this conditions is not met, then the events will not be stationary in the alternate reference-frame.

This can be shown on a Minkowski Diagram.

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\nu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$
SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$\Delta x^{\prime}=0$

$$
\text { Stationary in }\left\{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

$\Delta x \neq 0$
Not Stationary in $\{\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{x}\}$
$-x^{\prime}$
X'

Can appear in any temporal order, depending on one's reference frame. (Boost)

## Causality is Absolute

Time-like Separated Events:
All observers agree on 1D causal ordering. Causality is an invariant concept.

## Spatial Ordering

Stationarity (same place occurrence=no motion) is Relative Time-like Separated Events:
Can appear in any spatial order
depending on one's reference frame. (Boost)

## Topology is Absolute

Space-like Separated Events:
All observers agree on 3D spatial ordering.
Topology/topological-extension is an invariant concept.

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics The ProperTime Derivative ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$ )

| 4-Velocity |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})=\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mu}\right)$ |$\quad$| 4-Gradient $\partial^{\mu}$ |
| :---: |
| $\partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)=\left(\partial^{\mu}\right)$ |

ProperTime Derivative

| $\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)=\gamma\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\right)$ |
| :---: |
| $=\gamma\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}+(\mathrm{dx} / \mathrm{dt}) \partial_{\mathrm{x}}+(\mathrm{dy} / \mathrm{dt}) \partial_{\mathrm{j}}+(\mathrm{dz} / \mathrm{dt}) \partial_{z}\right)$ |
|  |
| $=\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$ |

The derivation shows that the ProperTime Derivative (d/d $\tau$ ) is an Invariant Lorentz Scalar. Therefore, all observers must agree on its magnitude, regardless of their frame-of-reference.

It can be used to make new 4 -Vectors from existing 4 -Vectors, as it is taking the derivative of an existing 4-Vector by a Lorentz Scalar: the ProperTime $\tau$.


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu \nu}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar $(0,0)$-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

Relativistic Gamma $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }[1-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}], \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{u} / \mathbf{c}$

SRQM Diagram:

The ProperTime Derivative $\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)=\gamma\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{u} \cdot \nabla\right)=\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$

4-Vectors (some acted on by PT Derivative): 4-Position R = <Event> 4-Velocity U = dR/d $\tau$ 4-Acceleration $\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{dU} / \mathrm{d} \tau$

4-Momentum $\mathbf{P}=m_{0} \mathbf{U}$ 4-Force F = dP/d $\tau$

As one can see from the list, the ProperTime Derivative gives the 4 -Vectors that are the change in status of the 4-Vector that ProperTime Derivative acts on. It can also act on Scalar Values to give deep SR results.
$\partial \cdot R=4$ : SpaceTime Dimension is 4
$\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau(4)=0$
$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=d / d \tau[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}+\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=0$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=0$ : Conservation of the SR 4-Velocity Flow
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$ : Tensor Invariant of 4-Velocity $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}]=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau\left[\mathrm{c}^{2}\right]=0$
$\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}]=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{U}] \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}[\mathbf{U}]=2(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A})=0$ $\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}$ ' $=0$ : The 4-Velocity is SpaceTime orthogonal to it's own 4-Acceleration



R moves along Worldline

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\nu}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu \mathrm{v}}$
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} v^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics ProperTime Differential ( $\mathrm{d} \tau$ ) $\rightarrow$ Time Dilation \& Length Contraction

## There are several ways to derive Time Dilation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { The ProperTime Derivative } \\
\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{l},-\nabla\right)=\gamma\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{u} \cdot \nabla\right)=\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

ProperTime Differential (Lorentz 4-Scalar): $\mathrm{d} \tau=(1 / \gamma) \mathrm{dt}$

## $\mathrm{dR} \cdot \mathrm{dR}=(\mathrm{cd} \tau)^{2}$

4-Differential dR=(cdt,dr)

| d $\tau^{2}$ | $U \cdot \mathbf{U}=c^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d \tau$ | 4 -Velocity |
| $\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{U})=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$ |  |

Take the temporal component of the 4-Vector relation. $\mathrm{dt}=\gamma \mathrm{d} \tau=\gamma \mathrm{dt}_{0}$
$\Delta t=\gamma \Delta \tau=\gamma \Delta \mathrm{t}_{0}$ : Time Dilation!
The coordinate time $\Delta t$ measured by an observer is "dilated", compared to the ProperTime as measured by a clock moving with the object. This has the effect that moving objects appear to age more slowly than at-rest objects. The effect is reciprocal as well. Since velocity is relative, each observer will see the other as ageing more slowly, similarly to the effect that each will appear smaller to the other when seen at a distance.

Now multiply both sides by the moving-frame speed [v]. $\mathrm{v} \Delta \mathrm{t}=\gamma \mathrm{v} \Delta \tau$
$\mathrm{v} \Delta \mathrm{t}=$ distance $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ the moving clock travels wrt. frame, which is a proper (fixed-to-frame) displacement length. $\mathrm{L}_{0}=\gamma \mathrm{L}$
$\mathrm{L}=(1 / \gamma) \mathrm{L}_{0}:$ Length Contraction!


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu \nu}$

SR 4-Vector

[^1]
## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics

$4-$ Gradient
$\partial=\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial^{\mu}\right)=\left(\partial_{/} \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)$
$=\left(\partial_{1} / \mathrm{c},-\partial_{x^{\prime}},-\partial_{y},-\partial_{z}\right)$
$=(\partial / c \partial t,-\partial / \partial x,-\partial / \partial y,-\partial / \partial z)$

The 4-Gradient $\left(\partial^{\mu}\right)=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)$ is the index-raised version of the SR Gradient One-Form $\left(\partial_{\mu}\right)=\left(\partial_{i} / \mathrm{c}, \nabla\right)$. It is the 4D version of the partial derivative function of calculus, one partial for each dimensional direction.

It is a 4 -Vector function that can act on other 4 -Vectors, 4-Scalars, or 4-Tensors. The 4-Gradient tells how things change wrt. time and space.

It is instrumental in creating the ProperTime Derivative $\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial=\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau$.

The 4-Gradient plays a major role in advanced physics, showing how SR waves are formed, creating the Hamilton-Jacobi equations, the Euler-Lagrange equations, Conservation Equations ( $\partial \cdot=0$ ), Maxwell's Equations, the Lorenz Gauge, the d'Alembertian, etc. It gives the Dimension of SpaceTime, the Minkowski Metric, and the Lorentz Transformations. In QM, it provides the Schrödinger relations.
 Lorentz Scalar

Hamilton-Jacobi Equation: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {acion }}\right]$
SR Plane-Wave Equation: $\mathbf{K}_{T}=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]$

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

## SRQM Diagram:

The Basis of Classical SR Physics Invariant d'Alembertian Wave Equation ( $\partial \cdot \partial$ )

## The Lorentz Scalar Invariant of the 4-Gradient gives the

 Invariant d'Alembertian Wave Equation, describing SR wave motion. It is seen in the SR Maxwell Equation for EM light waves.

Lorenz Gauge Conservation of $(\partial \cdot \partial) \mathbf{A}-\partial(\partial \cdot \mathbf{A})=\mu_{0} J \quad$ EM Potential: $\partial \cdot \mathbf{A}=0$ Maxwell EM Wave Eqn
Importantly, the d'Alembertian is fully from basic SR rules with no quantum axioms required. However,
it will be seen again in the Klein-Gordon RQM wave equation
It provides for the introduction of an SR Wave 4-Vector K, which can also be given by the negative Gradient of a Lorentz Scalar Phase.

4-WaveVector $K=\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathrm{U}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{K})=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]=\partial[K \cdot R]$
The usual mathematical (complex) plane-wave solutions apply in SR: $f=(a)^{*} e^{\wedge}[ \pm(K \cdot R)]$, with (a)mplitude possibly $\left\{4\right.$-Scalar S, 4-Vector $V^{\mu}, 4$-Tensor $\left.T^{\mu M}\right\}$

$\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{R}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k}) \cdot(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$



M $=$

4-Velocity
$\mathbf{U}=\gamma(c, u)$
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=c^{2}$




4-Gradient $\partial=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)$ $=\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\partial_{x^{\prime}}-\partial_{y^{\prime}},-\partial_{z}\right)$ $=(\partial / c \partial t,-\partial / \partial x,-\partial / \partial y,-\partial / \partial z)$ Invariant d'Alembertian
Wave Equation Wave Equation
$\partial \cdot \partial=(\partial 1 /)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla$




Invariant Magnitude LightSpeed $\mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$

ProperTime Differential $\mathrm{d} \tau=(1 / \gamma) \mathrm{dt}$

SRQM Diagram
4-Velocity
$\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$
$=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau$

SR is the "natural" 4D arena for the description
of waves, using the d'Alembertian $\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$


4-(EM)VectorPotential $A=A^{\mu}=(\varphi / c, a)=\left(\varphi_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}$ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{EM}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{EM}}{ }^{\mu}=\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{EM}} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{EM}}\right)$

## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics Continuity of 4-Velocity Flow ( $\partial \cdot \mathrm{U}=0$ )

Continuity of 4-Veiocity Flow $\partial \cdot \mathrm{U}=0$ This leads to all the SR Conservation Laws.

## $\partial \cdot R=4$

$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=d / d \tau(4)=0$
$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=d / d \tau(\partial) \cdot \mathbf{R}+\partial \cdot d / d \tau(\mathbf{R})=0$
$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=d / d \tau[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}+\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=0$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial)[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}$
$\partial \cdot U=-\left(U_{v} \partial^{v}\right)\left[\partial_{\mu}\right] R^{\mu}$
$\partial \cdot U=-U_{\nu} \partial^{v} \partial_{\mu} R^{\mu}$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-U_{V} \partial_{\mu} \partial^{V} R^{\mu}:$ I believe this is legit, partials commute
$\partial \cdot U=-U_{v} \partial_{\mu} \eta^{v \mu}$
$\partial \cdot U=-U_{v}\left(0^{v}\right)$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=0$
Conservation of the 4-Velocity Flow
(4-Velocity Flow-Field)
All of the Physical Conservation Laws are in the form of a 4-Divergence, which is a Lorentz Invariant Scalar equation.

These are local continuity equations which basically say that the temporal change of a quantity is balanced by the flow of that quantity into or out-of a local region.


## SRQM Diagram:

 John B. WilsonNow focus on a few more of the main SR 4-Vectors.


## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics 4-Momentum, Einstein's E = mc ${ }^{2}$

Spatial part: $\mathbf{p}=\gamma m_{0} \mathbf{u}=m \mathbf{u}$ \{3-momentum\}

4-Momentum $\mathbf{P}=(E / c, p)=-\partial\left[S_{\text {action,free }}\right]=-(\partial / c,-\nabla)\left[S_{\text {action,free }}\right]$

Temporal part: \{energy\}

Spatial part: \{3-momentum\}
$E=-\partial_{i}\left[S_{\text {action,free }}\right]$
$p=+\nabla\left[S_{\text {action,free }}\right]$

$\left(m_{0}\right)=\left(E_{d} / c^{2}\right)$ $=[\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{U}] /[\mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}]=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ $=[P \cdot R] /[U \cdot R]=-S_{\text {ac }} / C^{2} \tau$
which matches:
$S_{\text {act }}=-\int m_{o} c^{2} d \tau$
$S_{\text {att }}=-\int E_{0} d \tau$
for a free particle
$S_{\text {act }}=-\int\left(m_{0} c^{2}+V\right) d \tau$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P \cdot P)= & (E / c)^{2}-(p \cdot p)=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2} \\
E^{2}=(|p| c)^{2}+\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)^{2} & \text { in a potential }=-f\left(E_{o}+V\right) d \tau \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E^{2}=(|p| c)^{2}+\left(E_{0}\right)^{2}: \text { Einstein Mass:Energy }
$$

Relativistic Energy(E):Mass(m) vs Invariant Rest Energy(Eo):Mass(mo) $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$
$(0,1)$-Tensor $V_{\mu}=\left(v_{0},-v\right)$
$E=\gamma E_{0}=\gamma m_{0} c^{2}=m c^{2}$

## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics 4-WaveVector, $u^{*} \mathbf{v}_{\text {phase }}=\mathbf{c}^{2}$

4-Position $\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, ~)$
4-Gradient $\partial=(\partial . / \mathrm{C},-\mathrm{V})$
4-Velocity $\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$
4-WaveVector K = ( $\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})=\left(\omega_{o} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\gamma\left(\omega_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{c}, 山)$
Temporal part: \{angular frequency\}

Spatial part:
\{3-wavevector\}

```
k=\gamma(\mp@subsup{\omega}{0}{}/\mp@subsup{c}{}{2})u=(\omega/\mp@subsup{c}{}{2})u=\omega\hat{N}/\mp@subsup{v}{p}{}
```

4-WaveVector K $=(\omega / c, k)=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase,free }}\right]=-(\partial / c,-\nabla)\left[\Phi_{\text {phase,free }}\right]$

Temporal part:

$$
\omega=-\partial_{t}\left[\Phi_{\text {phase, free }}\right]
$$

$\mathbf{k}=+\nabla\left[\Phi_{\text {phase,free }}\right]$

SR 4-CoVector

## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics 4-CurrentDensity, Charge Conservation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{2} \\
& =|j|^{2} / c^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2} \\
& =\rho^{2}|\mathbf{u}|^{2} / c^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2} \\
& =\rho^{2}|\beta|^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2} \\
& =\rho_{0}^{2} /\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right) \\
& =\gamma^{2} \rho_{0}^{2} \\
& \rho=\gamma \rho_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J}) & =(\rho c)^{2}-(\mathrm{j} \cdot \mathbf{j})=\left(\rho_{o} c\right)^{2} \\
\rho^{2} & =(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{c})^{2}+\left(\rho_{o}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Relativistic ChargeDensity( $\rho$ ) vs Invariant Rest ChargeDensity ( $\rho_{\circ}$ )
$\rho=\gamma \rho_{\circ}$

Charge is neither created nor destroyed It just moves around as charge currents.

SR 4-Scalar
$(0,0)$-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}=\int_{\gamma \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=\int \gamma \mathrm{dr} \mathrm{dA}, ~}^{\text {Res }}$
mhasizing linear contraction along direction

## SRQM Diagram:

## The Basis of Classical SR Physics

 4-(Dust)NumberFlux, Particle \# Conservation4-NumberFlux $\mathbf{N}=(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}_{0} \mathbf{U}=\gamma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$

Temporal part: \{number-density\}

Spatial part:

$$
\mathbf{n}=\gamma n_{0} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{n} \mathbf{u}
$$

\{3-number-flux\}

Conservation of Particle \# (N)

$\partial \cdot \mathbf{N}=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \cdot(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{n})=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}+\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)=0$
Continuity Equation:Noether's Theorem The temporal change in number density is balanced by the spatial change in number-flux.
Particle \# is neither created nor destroyed
It just moves around as number currents...


Relativistic NumberDensity(n) vs Invariant Rest NumberDensity( $n_{0}$ )

Lorentz Transforms $\wedge^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\partial_{v}\left[X^{\mu}\right]$

## (Continuous) vs (Discrete)

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors (Proper Det=+1) vs (Improper Det=-1)

The main idea that makes a generic 4-Vector into an SR 4-Vector is that it must transform correctly according to an SR Lorentz Transformation $\left\{\Lambda^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}=\partial X^{\nu^{\prime}} / \partial X^{v}=\partial_{v}\left[X^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]\right\}$, which is basically any linear, unitary or antiunitary, transform (Determinant $\left[\wedge \nu^{\prime},\right]= \pm 1$ ) which leaves the Invariant Interval unchanged. The SR continuous transforms (variable with some parameter) have \{Det $=+1$, Proper\} and include:
"Rotation" \{a mixing of space-space coordinates\} and "(Velocity) Boost" \{a mixing of time-space coordinates\}. The SR discrete transforms can be $\{$ Det $=+1$, Proper\} or $\{$ Det $=-1$, Improper $\}$ and include: "Space Parity-Inversion" \{reversal of the space coordinates\}, "Time-Reversal" \{reversal of the temporal coordinate\}, The "Identity" \{no change\}, and various single dimension Flips and their combinations.


SR:Lorentz Transform $\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{\mu^{\prime}} / \partial R^{v}=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$

$$
\Lambda_{v}{ }_{v}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }_{v}: \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha} \Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}
$$

$$
\eta_{u v} \wedge_{\alpha}^{\mu} \wedge^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

$\operatorname{Det}\left[\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}\right]= \pm D \quad \Lambda_{\mu v} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4$

Typical Lorentz Boost Transformation, for a linear-velocity frame-shift ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}$ )-Boost in the $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$-direction:
$A^{v}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)$
$A^{u^{\prime}}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)$
$=B^{u^{\prime}} \mathrm{A}^{v}$
$=\left(\gamma a^{t}-\gamma \beta a^{x},-\gamma \beta a^{t}+\gamma a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)$
\{for $\hat{x}$-boost Lorentz Transform\}
Lorentz Parity-Inversion Transformation:
$A^{v}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)$
$A^{u^{\prime}}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)^{\prime}$
$=P{ }^{u^{\prime}} A^{v}$
$=\left(a^{t},-a^{x},-a^{y},-a^{2}\right)$
\{for Parity Inverse Lorentz Transform\}
$4-$ Vector
A= $=A^{\vee}=\left(a^{0}, a\right)$
$\rightarrow\left(a^{\mathrm{t}}, a^{\mathrm{x}}, a^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)$

Continuous: ex. Boost depends on variable parameter $\beta$, with $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]$


Discrete: ex. Parity has no variable parameters

$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mathrm{LV}}\right]=\eta_{\mathrm{Lv}} T^{\mathrm{LV}}=T^{\mu}=T \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{H}} \eta_{\mathrm{Lv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proper Lorentz Transforms (Det=+1): Continuous: (Boost) vs (Rotation)

Typical Lorentz Boost Transform (symmetric): for a linear-velocity frame-shift (x,t)-Boost in the $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$-direction: $\Lambda^{\nu^{\prime}}{ }_{v} \rightarrow B^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}[\zeta]=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}-(\zeta \cdot K)=$


```
Av}=(\mp@subsup{a}{}{t},\mp@subsup{a}{}{x},\mp@subsup{a}{}{y},\mp@subsup{a}{}{z}
A
```

Typical Lorentz Rotation Transform (non-symmetric): for an angular-displacement frame-shift ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ )-Rotation about the $\hat{z}$-direction: $\Lambda^{\nu^{\prime}}{ }_{v} \rightarrow R^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}[\theta]=e^{\wedge}(\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{J})=$

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\cos [\theta]$ | $-\sin [\theta]$ | 0 |
| 0 | $\sin [\theta]$ | $\cos [\theta]$ | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

$A^{v}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)$
$A^{\mu^{\prime}}=\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{z}\right)^{\prime}=R^{r^{\prime}}{ }_{v} A^{v}=\left(a^{t}, \cos [\theta] a^{x}-\sin [\theta] a^{y}, \sin [\theta] a^{x}+\cos [\theta] a^{y}, a^{z}\right)$

SR:Lorentz Transform
$\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{\mu^{\prime}} / \partial R^{v}=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$
$\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}: \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}$
$\eta_{u v} \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha B}$
(1)et[ $\left.\Lambda_{v}^{\mu}\right]= \pm D \quad \Lambda_{\mu v} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4$

SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$ It simply adds the time component, which remains unchanged, to the standard 3D rotation matrix.

Lorentz Transforms Lambda( $\wedge$ ) for Lorentz ( B ) for Boost ( R ) for Rotation

Proper Transforms Determinant $=+1$
$\left\{\cos ^{2}+\sin ^{2}=+1\right\}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma^{2}-\beta^{2} \gamma^{2}=+1 \\ \left\{\cosh ^{2}-\sinh ^{2}=+1\right.\end{array}\right\}$
$\zeta=$ rapidity = hyperbolic angle
$\gamma=\cosh [\zeta]=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]$
$\beta \gamma=\sinh [\zeta]$
$\beta=\tanh [\zeta]$

General Lorentz Boost Transform (symmetric,continuous): for a linear-velocity frame-shift (Boost) in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\beta^{1}, \beta^{2}, \beta^{3}\right)$-direction: $\Lambda_{v}{ }_{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{u_{v}^{\prime}}{ }_{v}=$

| $\gamma$ | $-\gamma \beta_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $-\gamma \beta^{i}$ | $(\gamma-1) \beta^{j} \beta_{j} /(\beta \cdot \beta)+\delta_{j}^{i}$ |



General Lorentz Rotation Transform (non-symmetric,continuous): for an angular-displacement frame-shift (Rotation) angle $\theta$ about the $\hat{n}=\left(n^{1}, n^{2}, n^{3}\right)$-direction:
$\Lambda_{v}{ }_{v} \rightarrow R^{w_{v}}=$

| 1 | $0_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0^{i}$ | $\left(\delta_{j}^{i}-n^{i} n_{j}\right) \cos (\theta)-\left(\varepsilon_{j k}^{i} n^{k}\right) \sin (\theta)+n^{i} n_{j}$ |

Lorentz Identity Transform (symmetric,"discrete:continuous"): for a non-frame-shift (Identity) in any direction $\Lambda^{\nu_{v}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}=\delta^{u^{\prime}}{ }_{v}=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1, \delta_{j}^{\prime}\right]=\mathrm{I}_{(4)}=$ $1 \quad 0_{j}$ $0^{i} \delta_{j}^{i}$
SR:Lorentz Transform
$\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{u^{\prime}} \partial R^{v}=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$

$$
\Lambda_{v}^{\mu}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }_{v}: \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{a}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}
$$ $\eta^{\omega v} \wedge^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha B}$

(1et $\left[\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}\right]= \pm D \quad \Lambda_{\mu v} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4$
$\beta=\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ : dimensionless Velocity Beta Factor $\{\beta=(0.1)$, with speed-of-light (c) at $(\beta=1)\}$
$\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]=1 / \sqrt{ }[1-\beta \cdot \beta]$ : dimensionless Lorentz Relativistic Gamma Factor $\{\gamma=(1 . . \infty)\}$ Identity transformation for zero relative motion/rotation: $\mathrm{B}[0]=\mathrm{R}[0]=\mathrm{I}_{(4)}$ Proper Transformation $=$ positive unit determinant: $\operatorname{det}[B]=\operatorname{det}[R]=\operatorname{det}[\eta]=+1$.
Inverses: $B(v)^{-1}=B(-v)$ (relative motion in the opposite direction), and $R(\theta)^{-1}=R(-\theta)$ (rotation in the opposite sense about the same axis)
Matrix symmetry: $B$ is symmetric (equals transpose, $B=B^{\top}$ ), while $R$ is nonsymmetric but orthogonal (transpose equals inverse, $R^{\top}=R^{-1}$ )


The Lorentz Rotation $R^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}(\operatorname{Tr}=\{0 . .4\})$ meets the Lorentz Boost $B^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}(\operatorname{Tr}=\{4 . .+\infty\})$ at the 4D Identity $\mathrm{I}_{(4)}(\operatorname{Tr}=\{4\})$

[^2]
## Lorentz Transforms $\wedge^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}=\partial_{v}\left[X^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]$ Discrete (non-continuous) (Parity-Inversion) vs (Time-Reversal) vs (Identity)

General Lorentz Parity-Inversion Transform: $\Lambda_{v}^{\nu_{v}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_{v}^{\mathrm{v}^{\prime}}$ (Improper,symmetric, discrete)

$=$| 1 | $0_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0^{i}$ | $-\delta_{j}^{i}$ |

General Lorentz Time-Reversal Transform: $\Lambda_{v}^{\nu_{v}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}^{\nu_{v}^{\prime}}$ (Improper,symmetric, discrete)

$=$| -1 | $0_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0^{i}$ | $\delta_{j}^{i}$ |

Identical 4-Vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime}=A^{\mu^{\prime}} & =\eta^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }^{v} A^{\nu}=\left(a^{0}, a^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left(a^{0}, a\right)=A
\end{aligned}
$$

Time-Reversed 4-Vector
$A^{\prime}=A^{\mu^{\prime}}=T^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} A^{v}=\left(a^{0}, a^{\prime}\right)$
$=\left(-a^{0}, a\right)$
Lorentz Identity Transform $\Lambda^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}{ }_{v} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu^{\prime}}$

Combo PT'd 4-Vector $A^{\prime}=A^{\mu^{\prime}}=(P T)^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} A^{v}=\left(a^{0}, a^{\prime}\right)$ $=\left(-a^{0},-a\right)$

Lorentz ComboPT Transform
$\wedge_{v}{ }_{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ (PT)

General Lorentz Identity Transform:
$\Lambda^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \eta^{\mu_{v}}=\delta^{L^{L_{v}}}=\mathrm{I}_{(4)}$ (Proper, symmetric)

$=$| 1 | $0_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\delta_{j}^{i}$ |



Both the Parity-Inversion (P) and Time-Reversal ( T ) have a Determinant of -1 , which is an improper transform. However, combinations (PP), (TT), (PT) have overall Determinant of +1 , which is proper. Classical SR Time Reversal neglects spin and charge. When included, there is also a Charge-Conjugation(C) transform. Then one gets (CC),(PP),(TT),(PT)(PT) \& (CPT) transforms all leading back to the Identity ( $\mathrm{I}_{(4)}$ ).

SR 4-Tensor
$(2,0)$-Tensor T (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\nu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar $(0,0)$-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

Note that the Trace of Discrete Lorentz Transforms goes in steps from $\{-4,-2,2,4\}$. As we will see in a bit, this is a major hint for SR antimatter.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$



SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{\mu v}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ (0,2)-Tensor T
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$

# Lorentz Transforms $\wedge^{\mu_{v}^{\prime}}=\partial_{v}\left[X^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]$ Lorentz Transform Connection Map 

Boost (any Axis)
$\Lambda_{\nu_{v}^{\prime}}^{\mu^{\prime}} \rightarrow B^{\mu^{\prime}}$
t:x | t:y | t:z


# Lorentz Transform Connection Map - Discrete Transforms CPT, Big-Bang, (Matter-AntiMatter), Arrow-of-Time 

Examine all possible combinations of Discrete Lorentz Transformations which are Linear (Determinant of $\pm 1$ ).
A lot of the standard SR texts only mention (P)arity-Inverse and (T)ime-Reversal. However, there are many others, including (F)lips and (R)otations of a fixed amount. However, the (T)imeReversal and Combo(P)arity(T)ime take one into a separate section of the chart. Taking into account all possible discrete Lorentz Transformations fills in the rest of the chart. The resulting interpretation is that there is CPT Symmetry (Charge:Parity:Time) and Dual TimeSpace (with reversed timeflow). In other words, one can go from the Identity Transform (all +1 ) to the Negative Identity Transform (all -1 ) by doing a Combo PT Lorentz Transform
 or by Negating the Charge (Matter $\rightarrow$ Antimatter). The Feynman-Stueckelberg Interpretation aligns with this as the AntiMatter Side.

This is similar to Dirac's prediction of AntiMatter, but without the formal need of Quantum Mechanics, or Spin. In fact, it is more general than Dirac's work, which was about the electron. This is from general Lorentz Transforms for any kind of particle:event.

| Tao - I Ching - YinY fantastic metaphors | t | $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{+1}$ | $\frac{y}{+1}$ | $\frac{z}{+1}$ | Discrete NormalMatter (NM) Lorentz Transform Type Minkowski-Identity : AM-Flip-txyz=AM-ComboPT | Trace : Determinant $\mathrm{Tr}=+4$ : Det = +1 Proper |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR SpaceTime... | +1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tao: "Flow of the Universe |  | +1 | +1 | -1 | Flip-z | $\mathrm{Tr}=+2$ | Det = -1 Improper |
| 1 Ching: "Book of Changs | +1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | Flip-y | Tr $=+2$ | Det = -1 Improper |
| "Transformations" YinYang: "Positive/Negat | +1 | +1 | -1 | -1 | Flip-yz=Rotate-yz(ד) | Tr $=0$ | et $=+1$ Proper |
| "complementary opposit | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | Flip-x | Tr $=+2$ | Det = -1 Improper |
|  |  | -1 |  |  | Flip-xz=Rotate-xz(T) | Tr | Det = +1 Proper |
|  |  | -1 | -1 | +1 | Flip-xy=Rotate-xy( $\pi$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr}=0 \\ & \mathrm{Tr}=-2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Det = +1 Proper <br> Det = -1 Improper |
|  | +1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | Flip-xyz=Paritylnverse : AM-Flip-t=AM-TimeReversal |  |  |
|  | -1 |  |  |  | Flip-t=TimeReversal : AM-Flip-xyz=AM-Parity | $\operatorname{Tr}=+2$ : Det = -1 Improper <br> $\operatorname{Tr}=0$ : Det $=+1$ Proper <br> $\operatorname{Tr}=0$ : Det $=+1$ Proper |  |
|  | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | M-Flip-xy=AM-Rotate-xy( $\pi$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | -1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | AM-Flip-xz=AM-Rotate-xz( $\pi$ ) |  |  |  |
| Matter-AntiMatter <br> Dual balance along Temporal | -1 | +1 | -1 | -1 | AM-Flip-x | Tr $=-2$ | Det $=-1$ Improper |
|  | -1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | AM-Flip-yz=AM-Rotate-yz(m) | Tr $=0$ | Det = +1 Proper |
|  | -1 | -1 | +1 | 1 | AM-Flip-y | Tr $=-2$ | Det $=-1$ Improper |
| Transform (1,1)-Tensor | -1 | -1 | -1 | +1 | AM-Flip-z | Tr = -2 | Det = -1 Improper |
| ctagon representation \} Pair production ( + - ) | -1 | $\frac{-1}{x}$ | -1 | -1 | AM-Minkowski-Identity : Flip-txyz=ComboPT | Tr = -4 | Det = +1 Proper |
| $\text { in little circles }(\cdot \bullet)$ |  | x | y | z | Discrete AntiMatter (AM) Lorentz TransformType | Trace |  |

Note that the (T)imeReversal and

## Combo

(P)arityInverse \& (T)imeReversal
take
NormalMatter AntiMatter

## Lorentz Transform Connection Map - Trace Identification CPT, Big-Bang, (Matter-AntiMatter), Arrow-of-Time

All Lorentz Transforms have Tensor Invariants: Determinant of $\pm 1$ and Inner Product of 4 . However, one can use the Tensor Invariant Trace to Identify CPT Symmetry \& AntiMatter

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{Tr}[\text { NM-Rotate ] }=\{0 \ldots+4\} & \operatorname{Tr}[\text { NM-Identity] }=+4 & \operatorname{Tr}[\text { NM-Boost }]=\{+4 \ldots+\infty\} \\
\operatorname{Tr}[\text { AM-Rotate }]=\{0 \ldots-4\} & \operatorname{Tr}[\text { AM-Identity }]=-4 & \operatorname{Tr}[\text { AM-Boost }]=\{-4 \ldots . . \infty\} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



NormalMatter Boosts Det $=+1$ Proper $\operatorname{Tr}=\{+4 . .+\infty\}$ NormalMat
Identity

Discrete NormalMatter (NM) Lorentz Transform Type
Minkowski-Identity : AM-Flip-txyz=AM-ComboPT
Flip-t=TimeReversal, Flip-x, Flip-y, Flip-z
AM-Flip-xyz=AM-ParityInverse
Flip-xy=Rotate-xy( $\pi$ ), Flip-xz=Rotate-xz( $\pi$ ), Flip-yz=Rotate-yz( $\pi$ )

AM-Flip-xy=AM-Rotate-xy( $\pi$ ), AM-Flip-xz=AM-Rotate-xz( $\pi$ ), AM-Flip-yz=AM-Rotate- $y z(\pi)$
Flip-xyz=ParityInverse
AM-Flip-t=AM-TimeReversal, AM-Flip-x, AM-Flip-y, AM-Flip-z
AM-Minkowski-Identity : Flip-txyz=ComboPT
Discrete AntiMatter (AM) Lorentz TransformType

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \frac{\operatorname{Tr} r a c e ~: ~ D e t e r m i n a n t ~}{\operatorname{Tr}=+4}: \\
\mathrm{Tr}=+2: \text { Det }=+1 \text { Proper }=-1 \text { Improper } \\
\mathrm{Tr}=0: \text { Det }=+1 \text { Proper } \\
\hline \mathrm{Tr}=0: \text { Det }=+1 \text { Proper } \\
\mathrm{Tr}=-2: \text { Det }=-1 \text { Improper } \\
\mathrm{Tr}=-4: \text { Det }=+1 \text { Proper } \\
\hline \text { Trace }: \text { Determinant } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Two interesting properties of (1,1)-Tensors, of which the Lorentz Transform is an example: Trace $=$ Sum $(\Sigma)$ of EigenValues : Determinant $=$ Product $(\Pi)$ of EigenValues As Rank 4 Tensors, each Lorentz Transform has 4 EigenValues (EV's). Create an Anti-Transform which has all EigenValue Tensor Invariants negated. $\Sigma[-(E V ' s)]=-\Sigma[E V ' s]$ : The Anti-Transform has negative Trace of the Transform. $\Pi[-(E V ' s)]=(-1)^{4} \Pi[E V ' s]=\Pi[E V ' s]$ : The Anti-Transform has equal Determinant.

SR:Lorentz Transform $\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{\mu^{\prime}} \partial R^{v}=\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$ $\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}: \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\mathrm{a}} \Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ $\eta_{u v} \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha B}$

# Lorentz Transform Connection Map - Interpretations CPT, Big-Bang, (Matter-AntiMatter), Arrow-of-Time 

Based on the Lorentz Transform properties of the last few pages, here is interesting observation about Lorentz Transforms: They all have Determinant of $\pm 1$, and Inner Product of 4 , but the Trace varies depending on the particular Transform.

The Trace of the Identity is at 4. Assume this applies to normal matter particles.
The Trace of normal matter particle Rotations varies from (0..4)
The Trace of the normal matter particle Boosts varies from (4..Infinity)
So, one can think of Trace $=4$ being the connection point between normal matter Rotations and Boosts.
Now, various Flip Transforms (inc. the Time Reversal and Parity Transforms, and their combination as PT transform), take the Trace in steps from $(-4,-2,0,+2+4)$. Applying a bit of symmetry:

The Trace of the Negative Identity is at -4. Assume this applies to anti-matter particles.
The Trace of anti-matter particle Rotations varies from (0..-4)
The Trace of the anti-matter particle Boosts varies from (-4..-Infinity)
So, one can think of Trace $=-4$ being the connection point between anti-matter Rotations and Boosts.
This observation would be in agreement with the CPT Theorem (Feynman-Stueckelberg) idea that normal matter particles moving backward in time are CPT symmetrically equivalent to antimatter particles moving forward in time.

Now, scale this up to Universe size: The Baryon Asymmetry problem (aka. The Matter-AntiMatter Asymmetry Problem). If the Universe was created as a huge chunk of energy, and matter-creating energy is always transformed into matter-antimatter mirrored pairs, then where is all the antimatter??? Turns out this is directly related to the Arrow-of-Time Problem as well.

Answer: It is temporally on the "Other/Dual side" of the Big-Bang! The antimatter created at the Big-Bang is travelling in the negative time ( -t ) direction from the Big-Bang creation point, and the normal matter is travelling in the positive time direction ( +t ). Universal CPT Symmetry. So, what happened "before" the Big-Bang? It "is" the AntiMatter Dual to our normal matter universe! Pair-production is creation of AM-NM mirrored pairs within SpaceTime. The Big-Bang is the creation of SpaceTime itself.

This also resolves the Arrow-of-Time Problem. If all known physical microscopic processes are time-symmetric, why is the flow of Time experienced as uni-directional??? \{see Wikipedia "CPT Symmetry", "CP Violation","Andrei Sakharov"\}

Answer: Time flow on this side of the Universe is in the (+t) direction, while time flow on the dual side of the Universe is in the (-t) direction. The math all works out. Time flow is bi-directional, but on opposite sides of the Big-Bang! Universal CPT Symmetry.

## This gives total CPT Symmetry to all of the possible Lorentz Transforms (AM=Antimatter, NM=Normal Matter):

Various (AM_Flips) : Various (NM_Flips)

- Infinity...(AM_Boosts)...(AM_Identity=-4)...(AM_Rotations)...0...(NM_Rotations)...(+4=NM_Identity)...(NM_Boosts)...+Infinity


This solves the:
Baryon (Matter-AntiMatter) Asymmetry Problem \& Arrow(s)-of-Time Problem ( + / - )

# Lorentz Transform Connection Map - Interpretations 2 CPT, Big-Bang, (Matter-AntiMatter), Arrows-of-Time 

This idea of Universal CPT Symmetry also gives a Universal Dimensional Symmetry as well.
Consider the well known "balloon" analogy of the universe expansion. The "spatial" coordinates are on the surface of the balloon, and the expansion is in the $+t$ direction. There is symmetry in the $+/$ - directions of the spatial coordinates, but the time flow is always uni-directional, +t , as the balloon gets bigger.

By allowing a "dual side", it provides a universal dimensional symmetry. One now has +/- symmetry for the temporal directions.
The "center" of the Universe is literally, the Big Bang Singularity. It is the "center=zero" point of both time and space directions.
The expansion gives time flow always AWAY FROM the Big Bang singularity in both the Normal Side (+) and the Dual Side (-). The spatial coordinates expand in both the (+/-) directions on both sides.

Note that this gives an unusual interpretation of what came "before" the Big Bang.
The "past" on either side extends only to the BB singularity, not beyond. Time flow is always away from this creation singularity.
This is also in accord with known black hole physics, in that all matter entering a BH ends at the BH singularity. Time and space coordinates both come to a stop at either type of singularity, from the point of view of an observer that is in the spacetime but not at the singularity.

So, the Big Bang is a "starting" singularity, and black holes are "ending" singularities.
Also provides for idea of "white holes" actually just being black holes on the alternate side. White hole=time-reversed black hole. This way, the mass is still attractive. Time flow is simply reversed on the alternate side so stuff still goes INTO the hole..

So, Universal CPT Symmetry = Universal Dimensional Symmetry.
And, going even further, I suspect this is the reason there is a duality in Metric conventions. In other words, physicists have wondered why one can use $\{+,-,-,-\}$ or $\{-,+,+,+\}$.
I submit that one of these metrics applies to the Normal Matter side, while the other complementarily applies to the Dual side. This would allow correct causality conditions to apply on either side.
Again, this is similar to the Dirac prediction of antimatter based on a duality of possible solutions.


This gives total CPT Symmetry to all of the possible Lorentz Transforms (AM=Antimatter, NM=Normal Matter): Various (AM_Flips) : Various (NM_Flips)

- Infinity...(AM_Boosts)...(AM_Identity=-4)...(AM_Rotations)...0...(NM_Rotations)...(+4=NM_Identity)...(NM_Boosts)...+Infinity

This solves the:
Baryon (Matter-AntiMatter) Asymmetry Problem \& Arrow(s)-of-Time Problem ( + / - )

## SRQM Study: Model SpaceTimes

## Lie Groups

## de Sitter Group SO $(1,4)$

de Sitter invariant relativity (maybe?)

Poincaré Group ISO $(1,3)$
$\left\{r \ll r_{d S}=\right.$ de Sitter Radius $\}$
$r_{d S}=\sqrt{ }[3 / \Lambda]=L_{H} / \sqrt{ }\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]$
SR \& GR Physics (** currently thought correct **)


Galilei Group
$\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$ \}
Classical Physics
$\Lambda^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v} \rightarrow S^{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}=$


# Classical Transforms: Venn Diagram Full Galilean = Galilean + Translations 

## Transformations

(\# of independent parameters = \# continuous symmetries = \# Lie Dimensions)
Galilean Transformation Group aka. Inhomogeneous Galilean Transformation
Lie group of all affine isometries of Classical:Euclidean Time + Space (preserve quadratic form $\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}$ )

$(6+4=10)$

## Galilean Transform

$(3+3=6)$
4-Tensor \{mixed type-(1,1)\}


## Translation Transform $\triangle X$ <br> 4-Vector



## Lie Groups

de Sitter Group SO $(1,4)$
de Sitter invariant relativity (maybe?)

> Poincaré Group ISO $(1,3)$
> $\left\{r \ll r_{d S}=\right.$ de Sitter Radius $\}$
> $r_{d S}=\sqrt{ }[3 / \Lambda]=L_{H} / \sqrt{ }\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]$

SR \& GR Physics (** currently thought correct **)


Galilei Group
$\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$ \}
Classical Physics

## SRQM Transforms: Venn Diagram Poincaré = Lorentz + Translations



## Transformations

(\# of independent parameters = \# continuous symmetries = \# Lie Dimensions)
Poincaré Transformation Group aka. Inhomogeneous Lorentz Transformation Lie group of all affine isometries of SR:Minkowski TimeSpace (preserve quadratic form $\eta_{\mu v}$ ) General Linear,Affine Transform $X^{N}=\Lambda^{N}{ }^{\prime} X^{V}+\Delta X^{N}$ with Det[ $\left.\wedge^{N}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right]= \pm 1$
$(6+4=10)$


| Translation Transform $\triangle$ X |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1+3=4) | 4-Vector |
| Discrete | Continuous |
|  | Temporal $\Delta X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(c \Delta t, 0)$ |
| 4-Zero $\Delta X^{\prime} \rightarrow(0,0)$ <br> (0) no motion | $\begin{aligned} & (1) \\ & \Delta t \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Spatial $\Delta X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(0, \Delta x)$ <br> (3) $\Delta x\|\Delta y\| \Delta z$ |
|  | Homogeneity \{same all points\} |



Rotations $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{imn}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{mn}} / 2$, Boosts $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i} 0}$
$\left[\left(\mathbf{R} \rightarrow-\mathbf{R}^{*}\right)\right]$ or $\left[\left(\mathrm{t} \rightarrow-\mathbf{t}^{*}\right) \&(r \rightarrow-r)\right]$ imply $q \rightarrow-q$
Feynman-Stueckelberg Interpretation
Amusingly, Inhomogeneous Lorentz adds homogeneity.

## Review of SR Transforms

## 10 Poincaré Symmetries, 10 Conservation Laws



## Poincaré Algebra \& Generators Casimir Invariants

The (10) one-parameter groups can be expressed directly as exponentials of the generators: $U\left[I,\left(a^{0}, 0\right)\right]=e^{\wedge}\left(i a^{0} \cdot H\right)=e^{\wedge}\left(i a^{0} \cdot P^{0}\right)$ :
(1) Hamiltonian = Energy = Temporal Momentum H
$U[I,(0, \lambda \hat{a})]=e^{\wedge}(-i \lambda a ̂ \cdot p):$
(3) Linear Momentum p
$\mathrm{U}[\wedge(\mathrm{i} \lambda \Theta / 2), 0]=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{i} \lambda \Theta \cdot \mathrm{j})$ :
(3) Angular Momentum j
$U[\Lambda(\lambda \varphi / 2), 0]=e^{\wedge}\left(i \lambda \varphi^{*} k\right):$
(3) Lorentz Boost k

The Poincaré Algebra is the Lie Algebra of the Poincaré Group:
Total of $(1+3+3+3=4+6=10)$ Invariances from Poincaré Symmetry

## Covariant form:

These are the commutators of the the Poincaré Algebra :
$\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\mathrm{V}}\right]=0^{\text {IV }}$
$\left[P^{\mu}, P^{V}\right]=-i \hbar q\left(F^{\text {VV }}\right)$ if interacting with $E M$ field; otherwise $=0^{\text {nV }}$ for free particles
$M^{\mu v}=\left(X^{\nu} P^{v}-X^{v} P^{\mu}\right)=i \hbar\left(X^{\mu} \partial^{v}-X^{v} \partial^{\mu}\right)$
$\left[M^{\mathrm{Hv}}, \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{p}}\right]=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{\hbar}\left(\eta^{\mathrm{pV}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}-\eta^{\mathrm{pH}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)$
$\left[M^{\mu v}, M^{\rho \sigma}\right]=i \hbar\left(\eta^{\vee \rho} M^{\mu \sigma}+\eta^{\mu \sigma} M^{{ }^{\rho \rho}}+\eta^{\circ v} M^{\rho \mu}+\eta^{\rho \mu} M^{\circ v}\right)$
Component form: Rotations $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\varepsilon_{i m n} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{m} /} / 2$, Boosts $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$\left[J_{m}, P_{n}\right]=i \varepsilon_{m k k} P^{k}$
$\left[\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=0$
$\left[K_{j}, P_{k}\right]=i \eta_{j k} \mathrm{P}^{0}$
$\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$
$\left[\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{J}_{n}\right]=\mathrm{i} \varepsilon_{\text {mnk }} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}}$
$\left[\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=\mathrm{i} \varepsilon_{m \mathrm{~m} k} \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{k}}$
$\left[K_{m}, K_{n}\right]=-i \varepsilon_{m n k} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{k}}$, a Wigner Rotation resulting from consecutive boosts
$\left[\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{iK}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=0$ this basis.

Poincaré Algebra is the Lie Algebra of the Poincaré Group.

|  | $\mathrm{M}^{01}=-\mathrm{cn}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{02}=-\mathrm{cn}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{03}=-\mathrm{cn}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}^{10}=\mathrm{cn}^{1}$ |  | $M^{12}=1^{3}$ | $M^{13}=-1^{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}^{20}=\mathrm{cn}^{2}$ | $M^{21}=-1^{3}$ |  | $M^{23}=I^{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}^{30}=\mathrm{cn}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{31}=\mathrm{I}^{2}$ | $M^{32}=-1^{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}^{3}$ |


| 0 | $-c n$ | $P^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{cn}^{\top}$ | $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{p}$ | $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{p}^{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |

## $M=$ Generator of Lorentz Transformations (6) = \{Rotations (3) + Boosts (3) \} <br> $P=$ Generator of Translation Transformations (4) $=\{$ Time (1) + Space (3) $\}$

$$
\text { Rotations } \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{im}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{m} / 2} / 2 \text {, Boosts } \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

The set of all Lorentz Generators $\mathrm{V}=\{\boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \mathrm{K}+\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathrm{J}\}$ forms a vector space over the real numbers. The generators $\left\{J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}, K_{x}, K_{y}, K_{z}\right\}$ form a basis set of $V$. The components of the axis-angle vector and rapidity vector $\left\{\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}, \theta_{z}, \zeta_{x}, \zeta_{y}, \zeta_{z}\right\}$ are the coordinates of a Lorentz generator wrt.

Very importantly, the Poincaré group has Casimir Invariant Eigenvalues = $\{$ Mass $m$, Spin $j\}$, hence Mass *and* Spin are purely SR phenomena, no QM axioms required!

This Representation of the Poincaré Group or Representation of the Lorentz Group is known as Wigner's Classification in Representation Theory of Particle Physics
Poincaré Algebra has 2 Casimir Invariants = Operators that commute with all of the Poincaré Generators
These are $\left\{P^{2}=P^{\mu} P_{\mu}=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}, W^{2}=W^{\nu} W_{\mu}=-\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2} j(j+1)\right\}$, with $W^{\mu}=(-1 / 2) \varepsilon^{\nu v \rho c} J_{v_{p}} P_{\sigma}$ as the Pauli-Lubanski Pseudovector
$\left[\mathrm{P}^{2}, \mathrm{P}^{0}\right]=\left[\mathrm{P}^{2}, \mathrm{P}^{i}\right]=\left[\mathrm{P}^{2}, \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{P}^{2}, \mathrm{~K}^{i}\right]=0$ : Hence the 4-Momentum Magnitude squared commutes with all Poincaré Generators $\left[W^{2}, P^{0}\right]=\left[W^{2}, P\right]=\left[W^{2}, J^{j}\right]=\left[W^{2}, K\right]=0$ : Hence the 4-SpinMomentum Magnitude squared commutes with all Poincaré Generators

## Noether's Theorem: 10 SR Conservation Laws

d'Alembertian Invariant Wave Equation: $\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=\left(\partial_{\tau} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}$
Time Translation:
Let $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=(\mathrm{ct}+\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{x})$, then $\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\left(\partial_{/} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)(\mathrm{ct}+\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{x})=\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\eta^{\mathrm{Lv}}$
so $\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]$ and $\partial[\mathbf{K}]=[[0]]$
$(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\partial \cdot\left(\partial\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]\right)=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=0+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial \cdot(\partial[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}])=(\partial \cdot \partial)[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}]:$
Space Translation:
Let $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{s}}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{x}+\Delta \mathbf{x})$, then $\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{x}+\Delta \mathbf{x})=\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\eta^{\mu \mathrm{v}}$
so $\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]$ and $\partial[\mathbf{K}]=[[0]]$
$(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\partial \cdot\left(\partial\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}}\right]\right)=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=0+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial \cdot(\partial[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}])=(\partial \cdot \partial)[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}]:$
Lorentz Space-Space Rotation:
Let $\mathbf{X}_{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{R}[\mathbf{X}])$, then $\partial\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{R}[\mathbf{x}])=\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mu \mathrm{v}}$
so $\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]$ and $\partial[\mathbf{K}]=[[0]]$
$(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]=\partial \cdot\left(\partial\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]\right)=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]=0+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial \cdot(\partial[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}])=(\partial \cdot \partial)[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}]:$
Lorentz Time-Space Boost:
Let $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{\gamma}(\mathrm{ct}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x},-\beta \mathrm{ct}+\mathbf{x})$, then $\partial\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}\right]=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{ct}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x},-\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{ct}+\mathbf{x})=[[\mathrm{Y},-\mathrm{\gamma} \beta],[-\mathrm{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \gamma]]=\Lambda^{\mathrm{uv}}$
$\partial\left[K \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}}\right]=\partial[\mathbf{K}] \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{K} \cdot \partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}}\right]=\Lambda^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{K}=\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ a Lorentz Boosted K , as expected
$\partial \cdot \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}=\partial \cdot \Lambda^{\mathrm{vv}} \mathrm{K}=\Lambda_{\mathrm{p}}(\partial \cdot \mathrm{K})=\Lambda^{\mathrm{vv}}(0)=0=\partial \cdot \mathrm{K}=$ Divergence of $\mathrm{K}=0$, as expected $(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[K \cdot X_{B}\right]=\partial \cdot\left(\partial\left[K \cdot \mathbf{X}_{B}\right]\right)=\partial \cdot K_{B}=\partial \cdot K=\partial \cdot(\partial[K \cdot \mathbf{X}])=(\partial \cdot \partial)[K \cdot X]:$


Invariant d'Alembertian Wave Equation $\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{t} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla$

## Time Translation Invariance (1)

Conservation of Energy = (Temporal) Momentum E part of $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}=($
p)

Space Translation Invariances (3)
Conservation of Linear (Spatial) Momentum p Spatial part of $P=(E / c, p)$

Lorentz Space-Space Rotation Invariances (3) Conservation of Angular (Spatial) Momentum I Spatial-Spatial part of $M^{\underline{l v}}=\mathbf{X}^{\wedge P}$

Lorentz Time-Space Boost Invariances (3) Conservation of Relativistic Mass-Moment n -Spatial part of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{NV}}=\mathbf{X}^{\wedge} \mathbf{P}$
see Wikipedia: Relativistic Angular Momentum

SR Waves:
Let $\Psi=\mathrm{ae}^{\wedge}-\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}), \Psi_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{ae}^{\wedge}-\mathrm{i}\left(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right), \Psi_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{ae}^{\wedge}-\mathrm{i}\left(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right), \Psi_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{ae}^{\wedge}-\mathrm{i}\left(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right), \Psi_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{ae}{ }^{\wedge}-\mathrm{i}\left(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ $(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right]=(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]=(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{B}}\right]=(\partial \cdot \partial)[\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}]$ : Wave Equation Invariant under all Poincaré transforms Total of $(1+3+3+3=10)$ Invariances from Poincaré Symmetry

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ $(1,1)$-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}{ }^{v}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $T$

## SR 4-Vector

(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$


Lorentz Scalar

## SR 4-Vector Magnitudes

 Dot Product, Lorentz Scalar ProductAn example of the magnitude of a 3 -vector is the length of a 3-displacement $\Delta r=\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)$.
Examine 3-position $\mathbf{r}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}=(x, y, z)$, which is a 3-displacement with the base at the origin $\mathbf{r}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}=(0,0,0)$.
The Dot Product of $r,\left\{r \cdot r=r^{\prime} \delta_{j} r^{k}=r_{k} r^{k}=r^{j} r_{j}=\left(x^{*} x+y^{*} y+z^{*} z\right)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)=r^{2}\right\}$ is the Pythagorean Theorem. The Kronecker Delta $\delta_{\mathrm{jk}}=\operatorname{Diag}[1,1,1]=\mathrm{I}_{(3)}$.
The magnitude is $\sqrt{ }[r \cdot r]=\sqrt{ }\left[r^{2}\right]=|r|$. 3D magnitudes are always positive.


The magnitude of a 4 -Vector is very similar to the magnitude of a 3 -vector, but there are some interesting differences. One uses the Lorentz Scalar Product, a 4D Dot Product, which includes a time component, and is based on the SR:Minkowski Metric Tensor. I typically use the "Particle Physics" convention of the Minkowski Metric $\eta_{\mathrm{yv}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]$ \{Cartesian form\}, with the other entries zero.
$A^{\prime} \cdot A^{\prime}=A \cdot A=A^{\mu} \eta_{p v} A^{v}=A_{v} A^{v}=A^{\mu} A_{\mu}=\Sigma_{v=0.3}\left[a_{v} a^{v}\right]=\left(a_{0} a^{0}+a_{1} a^{1}+a_{2} a^{2}+a_{3} a^{3}\right)=\sum_{u=0.3}\left[a^{u} a_{u}\right]$ $=\left(a^{0} a^{0}-a^{1} a^{1}-a^{2} a^{2}-a^{3} a^{3}\right)=\left(a^{0} a^{0}-a \cdot a\right)$
using the Einstein summation convention where upper-lower paired indices are summed over.

$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct})^{2}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{ct})^{2}-\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{z}^{2}\right)=(\mathrm{c} \Delta \tau)^{2}$ for 4-Position $\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})$
4D magnitudes can be negative(-),zero(0), positive(+)
$\left.\mathbf{R}=R^{\mu}=\begin{array}{c}\text { 4-Position } \\ \left(r^{\mu}\right)\end{array}\right)(c t, r)=$ <Event $>$

The 4-Vector version has the Pythagorean element in the spatial components, the temporal component is of opposite sign. This gives a "causality condition", where SpaceTime intervals (in the [+,-,-,-] metric) can be:
$\Delta \boldsymbol{R} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{R}=\left[(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t})^{2}-\Delta r \cdot \Delta r\right]=(0) \quad$ Light-like:Null:Photonic ( 0 ) \{causal \& topological, maximum signal speed $\left.(|\Delta r / \Delta t|=c)\right\}$
$-\left(\Delta r_{0}\right)^{2}$ Space-like:Spatial (-) \{non-causal, topological = 3D spatially-ordered\}

## SR 4-Vector

Lorentz Scalar


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{v}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { = Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lorentz Scalar Product A•B $=A_{\mu} B^{\mu}$ Exterior Product $\mathbf{A}^{\wedge} \mathbf{B}=A^{\mu} B^{v}-A^{v} B^{\mu}$


$(\partial \cdot \partial) \mathbf{A}-\partial(\partial \cdot \mathbf{A})=\mu_{0} J$

## Linear:

## 4-Force is the

ProperTime Derivative of 4-Momentum.
Angular:
4-Torque is the
ProperTime Derivative of 4-AngularMomentum.

```
d/d\tau[ M 
= d/d\tau[ X ' }\mp@subsup{P}{}{v}-\mp@subsup{X}{}{v}\mp@subsup{P}{}{\mu}
= [ U'UP
=[ U U}\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}\mp@subsup{U}{}{v}+\mp@subsup{X}{}{\mu}\mp@subsup{F}{}{v}-\mp@subsup{U}{}{v}\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}\mp@subsup{U}{}{\mu}-\mp@subsup{X}{}{v}\mp@subsup{F}{}{\mu}
=[ U U}\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}\mp@subsup{U}{}{v}-\mp@subsup{U}{}{\vee}\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}\mp@subsup{U}{}{\mu}+\mp@subsup{X}{}{\mu}\mp@subsup{F}{}{\vee}-\mp@subsup{X}{}{\vee}\mp@subsup{F}{}{\mu}
=[ mo(UP}\mp@subsup{U}{}{\vee}-\mp@subsup{U}{}{\vee}\mp@subsup{U}{}{\mu})+\mp@subsup{X}{}{\mu}\mp@subsup{F}{}{\vee}-\mp@subsup{X}{}{\vee}\mp@subsup{F}{}{\mu}
=[ mo(O
= [ X X 'V
```

$\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau\left[M^{\mu v}\right]=\Gamma^{\mu v}=\left[X^{\mu} F^{v}-X^{v} F^{\mu}\right]=X^{\wedge} F$


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{V}^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4-Vectors, 4-CoVectors, Scalars, Tensors Invariant Lorentz Scalar Product

A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

## 4-Vectors are actually tensorial entities of Minkowski SpaceTime, ( 1,0 )-Tensors, which maintain covariance for inertial observers,

 meaning that they may have different components for different observers, but describe the same physical object. (like viewing a sculpture from different angles - snapshots look different but it's actually the same object) There are also 4-CoVectors, or One-Forms, which are ( 0,1 )-Tensors and dual to 4-Vectors.Both GR and SR use a metric tensor $g^{\mu v}$ to describe measurements in SpaceTime.
SR uses the "flat" Minkowski Metric $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{Hv}} \rightarrow \mathrm{\eta}^{\mu \mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{\eta}_{\mathrm{uv}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{k}}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]$ \{Cartesian form\}, which is the \{curvature $\sim 0$ limit $=$ low-mass limit\} of the GR metric $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{lv}}$

4-Vectors $=(1,0)$-Tensors
$\mathbf{A}=A^{\mu}=\left(a^{\mu}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}\right)=\left(a^{0}, a\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)$
$\mathbf{B}=B^{\mu}=\left(b^{\mu}\right)=\left(b^{0}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(b^{0}, b\right)=\left(b^{0}, b^{1}, b^{2}, b^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(b^{\top}, b^{x}, b^{y}, b^{2}\right)$
4-CoVectors $=(0,1)$-Tensors
$A_{\mu}=\left(a_{\mu}\right)=\left(a_{0}, a_{j}\right)=\left(a_{0},-a_{0}\right)=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(a_{t}, a_{x^{\prime}}, a_{y^{\prime}}, a_{z}\right)$
where $A_{\mu}=\eta_{\mu v} A^{v}$ and $A^{\mu}=\eta^{\mu v} A_{v}$

Index
raising \& lowering

$$
B_{\mu}=\left(b_{\mu}\right)=\left(b_{0}, b\right)=\left(b_{0},-b\right)=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(b_{\mu}, b_{x^{\prime}}, b_{y}, b_{z}\right) \quad \text { where } B_{\mu}=\eta_{\mu v} B^{v} \text { and } B^{\mu}=\eta^{\mu v} B_{v}
$$

Einstein \& Lorentz "saw" the physics of SR, Minkowski \& Poincare "saw" the mathematics of SR. We are indebted to all of them for the simplicity, beauty, and power of how SR and 4 -vectors work...

$$
=\left(b_{0}, b\right)=\left(b^{0},-b\right)=\left(b^{0},-b^{1},-b^{2},-b^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(b^{4},-b^{x},-b^{y},-b^{2}\right)
$$



Lorentz Transform $\wedge^{\mu \prime}{ }_{v}$

$A^{\prime} \cdot B^{\prime}=A \cdot B=A^{u} \eta_{1 v} B^{v}=A B^{v}=A^{4} B=\Sigma_{v=0.3}\left[a_{v} b^{v}\right]=\Sigma_{w=0.3}\left[a^{4} b_{v}\right]=\left(a^{0} b^{0}-a \cdot b\right)=\left(a^{0} b^{0}-a^{1} b^{1}-a^{2} b^{2}-a^{3} b^{3}\right)$ using the Einstein summation convention where upper-lower paired indices are summed over

Proof that this is an invariant:
$A^{\prime} \cdot B^{\prime}=A^{u^{\prime}} \eta_{p^{\prime} v^{\prime}} B^{v^{\prime}}=$
 $A^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{\alpha \beta}\right) B^{\beta}=A \cdot B$

Lorentz Scalar Product $\rightarrow$ Lorentz Invariant Scalar = Same value for all inertial observers Lorentz Invariants are also tensorial entities: (0,0)-Tensors

SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

## SR 4-Vectors \& Lorentz Scalars

## Rest Values ("naughts" $=_{0}$ ) are Lorentz Scalars

$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}=\left(a^{0} a^{0}-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}\right)=\left(a^{0}{ }^{0}\right)^{2}$, where $\left(a^{0}{ }_{o}\right)$ is the rest-value, the value of the temporal coordinate when the spatial coordinate is zero. The "rest-values" of several physical properties are all Lorentz scalars.

```
P = (mc,p) K = (\omega/c,k)
P\cdotP = (mc)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-p\cdot
K}\cdot\mathbf{K}=(\omega/c)\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{k
```

(P•P) and (K•K) are Lorentz Scalars. We can choose a frame that may simplify the expressions.
Choose a frame in which the spatial component is zero.
This is known as the "rest-frame" of the 4-Vector. It is not moving spatially.

```
P}\cdot\mathbf{P}=(m\textrm{c}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{p}=(\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}c\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}\quad\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{K}=(\omega/c\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{k}=(\mp@subsup{\omega}{0}{}/c\mp@subsup{)}{}{2
```

The resulting simpler expressions then give the "rest values", indicated by ( o ). RestMass ( $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ ) and RestAngularFrequency ( $\omega_{0}$ )
They are Invariant Lorentz Scalars by construction.
This leads to simple relations between 4 -Vectors.

$$
\mathbf{P}=\left(m_{0}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(\mathbf{E}_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{K}=\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}
$$

And gives nice Scalar Product relations between 4-Vectors as well.

$$
\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\left(m_{0}\right) \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\left(m_{0}\right) c^{2}=\left(E_{0}\right) \quad \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) c^{2}=\left(\omega_{0}\right)
$$

This property of SR equations is a very good reason to use the "naught" convention for specifying the difference between relativistic component values which can vary, like ( $m$ ), versus Rest Value Invariant Scalars, like ( $m_{0}$ ), which do not vary. They are usually related via a Lorentz Factor: $\left\{m=\gamma m_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{E=\gamma E_{0}\right\}$, as seen in the relation of $P$ and $U$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}=(m \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=\left(m_{0}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(m_{0}\right) \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})=\left(\gamma m_{o} \mathrm{c}, \gamma m_{0} \mathrm{u}\right)=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{mu})=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p}) \\
& \mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=\left(\mathrm{E}_{d} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{d} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})=\left(\gamma \mathrm{E}_{0} / \mathrm{c}, \gamma \mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Eu} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})
\end{aligned}
$$

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu \nu}$ $(1,1)$-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}{ }^{v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

4-Vector

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(a^{0}, a\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)
$$

$$
\rightarrow\left(\mathrm{a}^{0}{ }_{0}, 0\right)_{\text {\{in spatial rest frame\} }}
$$

## $A \cdot A=\left(a^{0}{ }_{0}\right)^{2}$

Notation:
"o" for rest values (naughts)
" 0 " for temporal components ( $0^{\text {th }}$ index)
 Invariants: Similarities

All \{4-Vectors:4-Tensors\} have an associated \{Lorentz Scalar Product:Trace\}
Lorentz Scalar Invariant $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathbf{v}^{0} \mathbf{v}^{0}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)=\left(\mathbf{v}^{0}{ }_{0}\right)^{2}$
Each 4-Vector has a "magnitude" given by taking the Lorentz Scalar Product of itself.
$\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=V^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} V^{V}=V^{\mu} V_{\mu}=V_{v} V^{V}=\left(V_{0} v^{0}+V_{1} V^{1}+V_{2} v^{2}+V_{3} V^{3}\right)=\left(v^{0} v^{0}-\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)=\left(v_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$

4-Vector
$\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$

The absolute magnitude of $\mathbf{V}$ is $\sqrt{ }[|\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}|]$
Each 4-Tensor has a "magnitude" given by taking the Tensor Trace of itself.
Trace Tensor Invariant

Trace $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T_{v}{ }^{v}=\left(T_{0}{ }^{0}+T_{1}{ }^{1}+T_{2}{ }^{2}+T_{3}{ }^{3}\right)=\left(T^{00}-T^{11}-T^{22}-T^{33}\right)=T$ Note that the Trace runs down the diagonal of the 4 -Tensor.

Notice the similarities. In both cases there is a tensor contraction with the Minkowski Metric Tensor $\eta_{\mathrm{pv}} \rightarrow$ Diag $[1,-1,-1,-1]$ \{Cartesian basis\}
ex. $P \cdot P=(E / c)^{2}-p \cdot p=\left(E_{0} / c\right)^{2}=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}$
which says that the "magnitude" of the 4-Momentum is the RestEnergy/c = RestMass*c
$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}\right]=4$
ex. Trace $\left[\eta^{\mu v}\right]=\left(\eta^{00}-\eta^{11}-\eta^{22}-\eta^{33}\right)=1-(-1)-(-1)-(-1)=1+1+1+1=4$
which says that the "magnitude" of the Minkowski Metric = SpaceTime Dimension = 4

Minkowski Metric
$\partial[R]=\eta^{\mu \nu} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]$

Some 4-Vectors have an alternate form of Tensor Invariant: $\mathrm{d} v^{\prime} / \mathrm{v}^{0}=\mathrm{dv} / \mathrm{v}^{0}$
,in addition to the standard Lorentz Invariant $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0} \mathbf{v}^{0}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{0}\right)^{2}$
If $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=$ (constant): , with $\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$
then $\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V})=2^{*}(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{V})=\mathrm{d}($ constant $)=0$
hence $(\mathbf{V} \cdot d \mathbf{V})=0=v^{0} d v^{0}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}$
$d v^{0}=v \cdot d v / v^{0}$
Generally: with $\Lambda=\Lambda^{u^{\prime}}{ }_{v}=$ Lorentz Boost Transform in the $\beta$-direction
$\mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\Lambda \mathbf{V}$ : from which the temporal component $\mathrm{v}^{0}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{v}^{0}-\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)$
$\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathbf{'}^{\prime}=\Lambda \mathrm{d} \mathbf{V}$ : from which the spatial component $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{dv}-\gamma \beta \mathrm{d} \mathrm{v}^{0}\right)$
Combining:
$\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{dv}-\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{dv} / \mathrm{v}^{0}\right)\right)$
$d \mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left(1 / v^{0}\right)^{*}\left(\gamma v^{0} d \mathbf{v}-\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{dv})\right)$
$d \mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left(1 / v^{0}\right)^{*}\left(\gamma v^{0}-\gamma \beta \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) \mathrm{dv}$
$d \mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{v}^{0}-\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)^{*}\left(1 / \mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{*} d \mathbf{v}$
$d v^{\prime}=\left(v^{0^{\prime}} / v^{0}\right) d v$
$\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{\prime}} / \mathrm{v}^{\mathbf{v}^{0}}=\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v} / \mathrm{v}^{0}=$ Invariant of $\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ for $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=$ (constant)
So, for example:
P.P $=\left(m_{0} \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}=$ (constant)

```
An alternate approach is:
|d}\mp@subsup{}{}{4}\textrm{p}\delta[\mp@subsup{p}{}{2}-(\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}c\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}
= \intd4
=cd}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}p/2
= Invariant
```



4-Vector
$\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$

## $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v} / \mathrm{V}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{v} / \mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{\text {if }} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{v}=$ (constant)

Phase Space Invariant

Thus, $\mathrm{dp}^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime} / \mathrm{c}\right)=\mathrm{dp} /(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c})=$ Invariant
Or: $d p^{3} / E^{\prime}=d p / E \rightarrow d^{3} p / E=d p^{x} d p^{y} d p^{2} / E=$ Invariant, usually seen as $\int F(\text { various invariants })^{*} d^{3} p / E=$ Invariant

## More 4-Vector-based Invariants

$d^{4} \mathrm{X}=-\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{d} \mathbf{X}=-\left(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{0}\right) \mathrm{T} \cdot \mathrm{d} \mathrm{X}=\operatorname{cdt} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{cdt} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{dy} \mathrm{dz}$
The 4D Position coords that are integrated to give a 4D volume: SI units [ $\mathrm{m}^{4}$ ]
4-Differential dX = (cdt,dx); dR = (cdt,dr);
4-UnitTemporal $\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta})=(\gamma, \gamma \boldsymbol{\beta})$
4-UnitTemporalDifferential $\mathrm{dT}=\mathrm{d}[(\gamma, \gamma \beta)]=(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta])$
$\mathrm{V}=\int \mathrm{d} V=\int \mathrm{dx} \int \mathrm{d} y \int \mathrm{dz}=\iiint \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{dy} \mathrm{dz}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma=3 \mathrm{D}$ Spatial Volume: SI units [ $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ]
$d V=d^{3} \mathbf{x}=3 D$ Spatial Volume Element
$\gamma=\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{V}$
$\mathrm{d} \gamma=-\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{V}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} V$
-(Vo)dT•dX = Invariant, because (Rest Scalar * Lorentz Scalar Product) = Invariant $=-\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}]) \cdot(\mathrm{cdt}, \mathrm{dx})$
$=-\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma] \mathrm{cdt}-\mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta] \cdot \mathrm{dx})$
$=-\left(V_{0}\right)\left(-\left(V_{0} / V^{2}\right) d V c d t-d[\gamma \beta] \cdot d \mathbf{x}\right)$
$=-\left(V_{0}\right)\left(-\left(V_{0} / V_{0}{ }^{2}\right) d V c d t-d[(1)(0)] \cdot d x\right)$ by taking the usual rest-case
$=-\left(V_{0}\right)\left(-\left(V_{0} / V_{0}{ }^{2}\right) d V c d t\right)$
$=-\left(V_{0}\right)\left(-\left(1 N_{0}\right) d V c d t\right)$
$=\mathrm{dVcdt}$
$=$ cdt dV
$=c d t d x d y d z$
$=\operatorname{cdt~d}^{3} \mathrm{x}$
$=d^{4} \mathbf{X}=$ Invariant
And, this makes sense.
$\mathbf{T}$ is a temporal 4-Vector with fixed magnitude: $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}=1$
Therefore, dT must be a spatial 4-Vector
If $\mathbf{d X}$ is also spatial, then the Lorentz scalar product $\{(\mathbf{d T} \cdot \mathbf{d X})=-$ magnitude $\}$ will be negative with this choice of Minkoski Metric.
Thus, multiplying by -( $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ ) gives a positive volume element $\left\{\mathrm{cdt} \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{dy} \mathrm{dz}=\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathbf{X}\right\}$
It is sort of quirky though, that the temporal (cdt) comes from the $\mathbf{d X}$ part, and the spatial ( $\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}$ ) comes from the dT part.


## More 4-Vector-based Invariants

$\rho d^{3} \mathbf{x}=\rho^{\prime} d^{3} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(-V_{0} / c\right) d T \cdot \mathbf{J}=$ Lorentz Scalar Invariant $n d^{3} \mathbf{x}=n^{\prime} d^{3} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(-V_{o} / c\right) d T \cdot N=$ Lorentz Scalar Invariant

4-CurrentDensity J = ( $\rho \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{j}$ )
4-NumberFlux $\mathbf{N}=(n c, n)$
4-UnitTemporal $\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta})=(\gamma, \gamma \boldsymbol{\beta})$
4-UnitTemporalDifferential $\mathbf{d T}=\mathrm{d}[(\gamma, \gamma \beta)]=(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta])$
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma$
$d \gamma=-\left(V_{0} / V^{2}\right) d V$
$\left(-V_{\mathrm{o}} / c\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{J}=$ Invariant, because (Rest Scalar * Lorentz Scalar Product) = Invariant $=\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta]) \cdot(\mathrm{pc}, \mathrm{j})$
$=\left(-V_{0} / c\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma] \rho \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta] \cdot \mathrm{j})$
$=\left(-V_{0} / c\right)\left(-\left(V_{0} / V^{2}\right)(d V)(\rho c)-d[\gamma \beta] \cdot j\right)$
$=\left(-V_{0} / c\right)\left(-\left(V_{0} / V_{0}{ }^{2}\right)(d V)(\rho c)-d[(1) 0] \cdot j\right)$
$=\left(-V_{0} / c\right)\left(-\left(V_{0} / V_{0}^{2}\right)(d V)(\rho c)\right)$
$=(\mathrm{dV} / \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{\rho c})$
$=(\rho c)(\mathrm{dV} / \mathrm{c})$
$=(\rho)(d V)$
$=\rho \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}$
Total Charge $\quad \mathrm{Q}=\int_{\gamma \rho_{o}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=\int \rho \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=$ Lorentz Scalar Invariant Total Particle \# $\quad \mathrm{N}=\int \gamma \mathrm{n}_{0} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=\int \mathrm{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}=$ Lorentz Scalar Invariant Total RestVolume $\mathrm{V}_{0}=\int_{\gamma \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}} \quad=$ Lorentz Scalar Invariant

This also gives an alternate way to define the RestVolume Invariant $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}$. $\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{nd}^{3} \mathbf{x}$
$\mathrm{N}=\int \mathrm{nd}^{3} \mathbf{x}=\int\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{N}$
$\mathrm{cN} / \mathrm{V}_{0}=-\mathrm{\int dT} \cdot \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}=-\mathrm{cN} / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{N}$


Trace $\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{T}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T}$ $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{V}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{V}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}$
= Lorentz Scalar

## More 4-Vector-based Invariants

$\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P})}\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{dP}=(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{p}=(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{dp}^{x} \mathrm{dp}^{y} \mathrm{dp}^{2}$
$d^{4} \mathrm{~K}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}_{\circ}}\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{dK}=(\mathrm{d} \omega / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{s} k} \mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{d} \omega / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{dk}^{x} \mathrm{dk}^{y} \mathrm{dk}^{2}$
The 4D Momentum coords that are integrated to give a 4D Momentum Volume: SI Units [ $\left.[\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})^{4}\right]$ The 4D WaveVector coords that are integrated to give a 4D WaveVector Volume: SI Units [(1/m) ${ }^{4}$ ]

4-DifferentialMomentum $\mathrm{dP}=(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{dp})$
4-DifferentialWaveVector $\mathrm{dK}=(\mathrm{d} \omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{dk})$
4-UnitTemporal $\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \beta)=(\gamma, \gamma \beta)$
4-UnitTemporalDifferential $\mathrm{dT}=\mathrm{d}[(\gamma, \gamma \beta)]=(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta])$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=\int \mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=\int \mathrm{d} \mathrm{p}^{x} \int \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{dp}^{2}=\iiint \int \mathrm{dp}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dp}^{y} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{p}^{2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{p}$
$V_{P}=\gamma\left(V_{P_{0}}\right)=3 D$ Volume in Momentum Space: SI Units $\left[(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})^{3}\right]$
$\mathrm{dV}_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{d} \gamma\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)=3 \mathrm{D}$ Volume Element in Momentum Space
$\gamma=\left(V_{P}\right) /\left(V_{P_{0}}\right)$
$\mathrm{d} \gamma=\left(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot \mathrm{dP}=$ Invariant, because Rest Scalar * Lorentz Scalar Product
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}_{0}}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}]) \cdot(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{dp})$
$=\left(V_{\text {Po }}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma] \mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta] \cdot \mathrm{dp})$
$=\left(V_{P_{0}}\right)\left(\left(d V_{P} / N_{P_{0}}\right) d E / c-d[\gamma \beta] \cdot d p\right)$
$\left.=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)\right)\left(\left(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right) \mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}[(1)(0)] \cdot \mathrm{dp}\right)$ by taking the usual rest-case
$\left.=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\right)\left(\left(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}_{0}}\right) \mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}\right)$
$=\left(\mathrm{dV} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)(\mathrm{dE/c})$
$=d^{3} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c})$
$=(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{p}$
$=(\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{dp}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dp}^{y} \mathrm{dp}^{2}$
$=d^{4} P=$ Invariant
Likewise, $\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{~K}=$ Invariant

${ }^{\text {J }}$ F[various Invariants]d ${ }^{4} \mathbf{K}$

4-UnitTemporal T $=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta})=(\gamma, \gamma \boldsymbol{\beta})$
4-UnitTemporalDifferential $\mathrm{dT}=\mathrm{d}[(\gamma, \gamma \beta)]=(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta])$
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{po}}\right) \mathrm{dT} \cdot\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \mathrm{dT}=$ Invariant
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta]) \cdot\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma], \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta])$
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)(\mathrm{d}[\gamma] \mathrm{d}[\gamma]-\mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta] \cdot \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta])$
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\left(-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)\left(-\left(\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{V}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} V\left(\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}} /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\right)-\mathrm{d}[\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}] \cdot \mathrm{d}[\gamma \beta]\right)$
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}_{0}}\right)\left(-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)\left(-\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}} N_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{dV}\left(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}} /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)\right)-\mathrm{d}[(1) 0] \cdot \mathrm{d}[(1) 0]\right)$
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\left(-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)\left(-\left(\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{0}{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} V\left(\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}} /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\right)\right.$
$=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right) \mathrm{dV}\left(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}} /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Po}}\right)\right)$
$=\mathrm{dV} \mathrm{dV}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$=d V_{p} d V$
$=d^{3} p d^{3} x=$ Invariant
Likewise, $\mathrm{d}^{3} k \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{x}=$ Invariant

$\int \mathrm{F}\left[\right.$ various Invariants] $\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{x}$ SR 4-CoVector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\operatorname{LV}]}=\eta_{\text {Lv }} T^{\text {LVV }}=T^{\mu}=T\right. \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{H}} \eta_{\mathrm{Lv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { = Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors

## General $\rightarrow$ Symmetric \& Anti-Symmetric

Any SR Tensor $T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\left(S^{\mu \mathrm{V}}+\mathrm{A}^{\mu \mathrm{v}}\right)$ can be decomposed into parts:

| Symmetric | $S^{\text {LVV }}=\left(T^{\mu V}+T^{\text {VN/ }}\right) / 2$ | with $S^{\mu v}=+S^{\text {v/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anti-Symmetric | $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{HV}}=\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{vN}}\right) / 2$ | with $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{HV}}=-\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{VH}}$ |

$$
S^{\mu v}+A^{\mu v}=\left(T^{\mu v}+T^{v \mu}\right) / 2+\left(T^{\mu v}-T^{v \mu}\right) / 2=T^{\mu v} / 2+T^{\mu v} / 2+T^{v \nu} / 2-T^{v \mu} / 2=T^{\mu v}+0=T^{\mu v}
$$

Independent components: $\left\{4^{2}=16=10+6\right\}$
Max 16 possible


Max 10 possible
Symmetric
4-Tensor $S^{\text {HV }}=$ $\left[\mathrm{S}^{00}, \mathrm{~S}^{01}, \mathrm{~S}^{02}, \mathrm{~S}^{03}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{S}^{10}, \mathrm{~S}^{11}, \mathrm{~S}^{12}, \mathrm{~S}^{13}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{S}^{20}, \mathrm{~S}^{21}, \mathrm{~S}^{22}, \mathrm{~S}^{23}\right]$
$\left[S^{30}, S^{31}, S^{32}, S^{33}\right]$
=
$\left[S^{00}, S^{01}, S^{02}, S^{03}\right]$ $\left[+S^{01}, S^{11}, S^{12}, S^{13}\right.$ $\left[+S^{02},+S^{12}, S^{22}, S^{23}\right]$
$\left.+S^{03},+S^{13}+S^{23}, S^{33}\right]$
$\operatorname{Tr}\left[S^{\mu \nu}\right]=S_{\mu}^{\mu}$

Max 6 possible
Max 6 possible
Anti-Symmetric
4 -Tensor
$\mathrm{A}^{\mu \mathrm{vN}}=$
$\left[\mathrm{A}^{00}, \mathrm{~A}^{01}, \mathrm{~A}^{02}, \mathrm{~A}^{03}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{A}^{10}, \mathrm{~A}^{11}, \mathrm{~A}^{12}, \mathrm{~A}^{13}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{A}^{20}, \mathrm{~A}^{21}, \mathrm{~A}^{22}, \mathrm{~A}^{23}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{A}^{30}, \mathrm{~A}^{31}, \mathrm{~A}^{32}, \mathrm{~A}^{33}\right]$
$=$
$\left[0, \mathrm{~A}^{01}, \mathrm{~A}^{02}, \mathrm{~A}^{03}\right]$
$\left[-\mathrm{A}^{01}, 0, \mathrm{~A}^{12}, \mathrm{~A}^{13}\right]$
$\left[-\mathrm{A}^{02},-\mathrm{A}^{12}, 0, \mathrm{~A}^{23}\right]$
$\left[-\mathrm{A}^{03},-\mathrm{A}^{13},-\mathrm{A}^{23}, 0\right]$ aka
$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{A}^{\mu \mathrm{uv}}\right]=0$

Importantly, the Contraction of any
Symmetric tensor with any
Anti-Symmetric tensor on the same index is always 0.
*Note* These don't have to be composed from a single general tensor.
$S^{\mu v} A_{\mu v}=0$
Proof:
$S^{\mu v} A_{\mu v}$
$=S^{V \mu} A_{\mathrm{Vy}}$ : because we can switch dummy indices $=\left(+S^{\mu v}\right) A_{v y}$ : because of symmetry
$=S^{\mu v}\left(-A_{\mu v}\right)$ : because of anti-symmetry
$=-S^{\mu v} A_{\mu v}$
$=0$ : because the only solution of $\{c=-c\}$ is 0
Physically, the anti-symmetric part contains rotational information and the symmetric part contains information about isotropic scaling and anisotropic shear.

SR 4-Vector
$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$
SR 4-CoVector SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors

 Symmetric $\rightarrow$ Isotropic \& AnisotropicAny Symmetric SR Tensor $S^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\text {iso }}{ }^{\mathrm{\mu V}}+\mathrm{T}_{\text {aniso }}{ }^{\mathrm{HV}}\right)$ can be decomposed into parts:
Isotropic $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\text {iso }}{ }^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=(1 / 4)$ Trace $\left[\mathrm{S}^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}\right] \eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=(\mathrm{T}) \eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}$
Anistropic $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\text {aniso }}{ }^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{\mu v}}-\mathrm{T}_{\text {iso }}{ }^{\mu \mathrm{V}}$
The Anistropic part is Traceless by construction, and the Isotropic part has the same Trace as the original Symmetric Tensor. The Minkowski Metric is a symmetric, isotropic 4-tensor with $\mathrm{T}=1$.


Importantly, the Contraction of any
Symmetric tensor with any
Anti-Symmetric tensor on the same index is always 0 .
*Note* These don't have to be composed from a single general tensor.
$S^{\mu v} A_{\mu v}=0$
Proof:
$S^{\mu v} A_{\mu v}$
$=S^{v \mu} A_{v y}$ : because we can switch dummy indices $=\left(+S^{\mu v}\right) A_{\mathrm{vy}}$ : because of symmetry
$=S^{\mu v}\left(-A_{\mu v}\right)$ : because of anti-symmetry $=-S^{\mu v} A_{\mu v}$
$=0$ : because the only solution of $\{c=-c\}$ is 0
Physically, the isotropic part represents a direction independent transformation (e.g., a uniform scaling or uniform pressure); the deviatoric part represents the distortion

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{\mu}{ }^{\wedge}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S

$$
(0,1) \text {-Tensor } \mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)
$$

Lorentz Scalar

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{I N V} T^{I V}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T \\
& \mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}} \eta_{\mathrm{ILv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{v}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { = Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

# SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants 

(1,0)-Tensor $=4$-Vector $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}$. Has (1) Tensor Invariant $=$ The Lorentz Scalar Product $V \cdot V=V^{\top} \eta_{\mu v} V^{V}=\eta_{\mu v} V^{4} V^{v}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[V^{4} V^{v}\right]=V_{v} V^{v}=\left(v_{0} v^{0}+v_{1} v^{1}+v_{2} v^{2}+v_{3} v^{3}\right)=\left(v^{0} v^{0}-v \cdot v\right)=\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}$

## $\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mu}\right)=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}^{1}, \mathrm{v}^{2}, \mathrm{v}^{3}\right) \quad \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0} \mathrm{v}^{0}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }^{\circ}\right)^{2}$

$(2,0)$-Tensor $=4$-Tensor $T^{\nu v .}$ : Has (4+) Tensor Invariants (though not all independent)
a) $\mathrm{T}_{a}{ }_{a}=$ Trace $=$ Sum of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors (mixed)
b) $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{[\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{T}^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=$ Asymm Bi-Product $\rightarrow$ Inner Product
c) $T^{a}{ }_{[a} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma}=$ Asymm Tri-Product $\rightarrow$ ?Name?
d) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[a} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta}{ }^{\beta} V^{V}{ }_{V} T^{\delta}{ }_{0]}=$ Asymm Quad-Product $\rightarrow 4 D$ Determinant $=$ Product of EigenValues for $(1,1)$-Tensors


Inner Product Tensor Invariant

4-Tensor


Det[T
eg. $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=T^{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta}-T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} T^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}=\left(T_{v}\right)^{2}-T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} T^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\{1\}=\left(T^{\gamma} v^{2}\right)^{2}-T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} T^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\left\{(1 / 4) \eta_{v \delta} \eta^{\nu} \eta^{\delta}\right\}$
and, bending tensor rules slightly: $=\left(T^{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{v}\right)^{2}-T^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\beta} T^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\left\{(1 / 4) \eta_{\beta \delta} \eta^{\beta \sigma}\right\}=\left(T^{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{2}-T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\left(\eta^{\beta \delta}\right) T^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\left(\eta_{\beta \delta}\right)\{(1 / 4)\}=\left(T^{v}\right)^{2}-T^{\alpha \delta} T_{\sigma a}\{(1 / 4)\}$ and, since linear combinations of invariants are invariant:

a): $\operatorname{Trace}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T_{v}{ }^{v}=\left(T_{0}{ }^{0}+T_{1}{ }^{1}+T_{2}{ }^{2}+T_{3}{ }^{3}\right)=\left(T^{00}-T^{11}-T^{22}-T^{33}\right)=(T)$ for anti-symmetric: $=0$
b): InnerProduct $T_{\mu v} T^{\mu \nu}=T_{00} T^{00}+T_{i 0} T^{i 0}+T_{0 j} T^{0 j}+T_{i j} T^{i j}=\left(T^{00}\right)^{2}-\Sigma_{i}\left[T^{i 0}\right]^{2}-\Sigma_{j}\left[T^{0 j}\right]^{2}+\Sigma_{i, j}\left[T^{i j}\right]^{2}$
for symmetric | anti-symmetric: $=\left(T^{00}\right)^{2}-2 \Sigma_{i}\left[T^{i 0}\right]^{2}+\sum_{i, j}\left[T^{i j}\right]^{2}=\sum_{\mu=v}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]^{2}-2 \Sigma_{i}\left[T^{i 0}\right]^{2}+2 \Sigma_{i>j}\left[T^{i j}\right]^{2}$
c): Antisymmetric Triple Product $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{v}{ }_{v}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]^{3}-3\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]\right)\left(T_{\beta}^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right)+T_{\beta}^{\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{v} T^{v}{ }_{\alpha}+T^{\alpha}{ }_{v} T^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} T^{v}{ }_{\beta}$ for anti-symmetric: $=0$

If I got all the math right..
d): Determinant $\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{\mu \nu}\right]=?=-(1 / 2) \epsilon_{\alpha \beta v \delta} T^{\alpha \beta} T^{\gamma \delta}$
for anti-symmetric: Det $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\operatorname{Pfaffian}\left[T^{\mu v}\right]^{2}$ (The Pfaffian is a special polynomial of the matrix entries)

## SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ <br> SR 4-Vector <br> $(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector <br> $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

 $(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar
$\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{{ }^{d}}{ }_{c}\right]=\Pi_{k}\left[\lambda_{k}\right] ;$ with $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}=$ Eigenvalues Characteristic Eqns: $\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{a}{ }_{a}-\lambda_{k} I_{4}\right]=0$

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants

Some Tensor Gymnastics
Matrix A = Tensor A with rows denoted by "r", columns by "c'

Example with dim=4: r,c=\{0..3

```
Matrix A =
```


$\left[\begin{array}{ll}A^{r=1} & \left.A^{r=1}{ }_{c=1} A^{r=1}{ }_{c=2} A^{r=1}{ }_{c=3}\right]\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}A^{r=3}{ }_{c=0} & \left.A^{r=3}{ }_{c=1} A^{r=3}{ }_{c=2} A^{\mathrm{C}=3}{ }_{\mathrm{c}=3}\right]\end{array}\right]$
$\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{e}}$
,with the rows of $\mathbf{A}$ multiplied by the columns of $\mathbf{B}$ due to the summation over index " $c$ "

If we have sums over both indices:
$\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}=$ Trace $[\mathrm{M}]$
The sum over " c " gives the matrix multiplication and then the sum over "d" gives the Trace of the resulting matrix M
$A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{c}=(\mathbf{A x A})^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}=(\mathbf{N})^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}=\operatorname{Trace}[\mathbf{N}]=\operatorname{Trace}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]$
$A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{c}=\left(\eta_{d}{ }^{e} A^{c}{ }_{e}\right) A^{d}{ }_{c}=\eta_{d}{ }^{e}\left(A^{c}{ }_{e} A^{d}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\eta_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{e}\left(N^{d}{ }_{e}\right)=\delta_{d}{ }^{e}\left(N^{d}{ }_{e}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{N}]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]$
$A^{c}{ }_{[c} A^{d}{ }_{d]}=A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A_{d}^{c} A^{d}{ }_{c}=(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{2}\right]$ , with brackets [..] around the indices indicating anti-symmetric product

```
\(A_{a}^{a}=\operatorname{Tr}[A]\)
\(A^{a}{ }_{[a} A_{b]}^{b}=A_{a}^{a} A_{b}^{b}-A_{b}^{a} A_{a}^{b}=(\operatorname{Tr}[A])^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{2}\right]\)
\(A^{a}{ }_{[a} A_{b}^{b} A^{c}{ }_{c]}\)
\(=+A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A_{c}^{c}-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{a}-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{b}-A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{a}\)
\(=+\left(A_{a}^{a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{c}\right)-\left(A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{a}\right)+\left(A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{a}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{b}\right)\)
\(=+\left(A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}\right)-\left(A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{b}+A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a}+A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{a}\right)+\left(A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{a}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a}\right)\)
\(=+(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])^{3}-3^{*}(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]\right)+2^{*}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{3}\right]\right)\)
```

$A^{a}{ }_{[a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{d]}=$
$+A^{a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{c}-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{d}+A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{c}-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}$
$-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{d}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }^{b} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{a}-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{c}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{a}$
$+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{b}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{d}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{a}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{b}-A^{a}{ }^{a} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{a}$
$-A^{a}{ }_{d} A_{a}^{b} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{c}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{a}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{a}$
=
$+A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} A^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}$
$-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{c}-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{b}-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A^{a}{ }_{C} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{d}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{a}$
$+A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }^{b} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{d}+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{a}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{d}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{a}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{c} A^{d}{ }_{b}+A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{b} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{c}$
$+A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{c}+A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{\mathrm{d}} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}} A^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{b}} A^{\mathrm{d}}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}$
$-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{a}-A^{a}{ }_{b} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{c}-A^{a}{ }_{c} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{d} A^{d}{ }_{b}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{d} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{a}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{a} A^{c}{ }_{b} A^{d}{ }_{c}-A^{a}{ }_{d} A^{b}{ }_{c} A^{c}{ }_{a} A^{d}{ }_{b}$
$=$
$+(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])^{4}$
$-6^{*}(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])^{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]\right)$
$+8^{*}(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{3}\right]\right)$
$+3^{*}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{2}\right]\right)^{2}$
$-6^{*}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{4}\right]\right)$
=
$+(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])^{4}-6^{*}(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])^{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]\right)+8^{*}(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}])\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{3}\right]\right)+3^{*}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{2}\right]\right)^{2}-6^{*}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{A}^{4}\right]\right)$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mathrm{\mu v}}$ 1, 1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}{ }^{v}$
(0,2)-Tensor T

## SR 4-Vector

(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar
$\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{a}{ }_{a}\right]=\Pi_{k}\left[\lambda_{k}\right]$; with $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}=$ Eigenvalues Characteristic Eqns: $\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{a}{ }_{a}-\lambda_{k} I_{4}\right]=0$

The following are the Principle Tensor Invariants for dimensions $1 . .4$

```
\operatorname{dim}=1:
I}=\operatorname{tr[A]= \mp@subsup{\operatorname{Det}}{10}{}[A]=\mp@subsup{\lambda}{1}{}
dim =2:}\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{2}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{c}}{1}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{1}+\mp@subsup{c}{0}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{0}=0:\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{I}{1}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{}{1}+\mp@subsup{I}{2}{}\mp@subsup{I}{(2)}{}=
```



```
I}=(\operatorname{tr}[A\mp@subsup{]}{}{2}-\operatorname{tr}[\mp@subsup{A}{}{2}])/2=\mp@subsup{\operatorname{Det}}{2D}{[}[\textrm{A}]=\Pi[\mathrm{ Eigenvalues ] = 㣙效
```


$I_{1}=\operatorname{tr}[\mathrm{A}]=\Sigma[$ Eigenvalues $]=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}$
$I_{2}=\left(\operatorname{tr}[A]^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left[A^{2}\right]\right) / 2=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}$
$I_{3}=\left[(\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A})^{3}-3 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A})+2 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{3}\right)\right] / 6=\operatorname{Det}_{3 \mathrm{D}}[\mathrm{A}]=\Pi[$ Eigenvalues $]=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}$

```
\operatorname{dim = 4:}\mp@subsup{A}{}{4}+\mp@subsup{c}{3}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{3}+\mp@subsup{c}{2}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{2}+\mp@subsup{c}{1}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{1}+\mp@subsup{c}{0}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{0}=0:}\mp@subsup{A}{}{4}-\mp@subsup{I}{1}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{3}+\mp@subsup{I}{2}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{I}{3}{}\mp@subsup{A}{}{1}+\mp@subsup{I}{4}{}\mp@subsup{I}{(4)}{}=
```

$I_{1}=\operatorname{tr}[\mathrm{A}]=\Sigma[$ Eigenvalues $]=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}$
$I_{2}=\left(\operatorname{tr}[A]^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left[A^{2}\right]\right) / 2=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$
$I_{3}=\left[(\operatorname{tr} A)^{3}-3 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} A)+2 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{3}\right)\right] / 6=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$
$I_{4}=\left((\operatorname{tr} A)^{4}-6 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A})^{2}+3\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+8 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{3}\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}-6 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{4}\right)\right) / 24=$ Det $_{4}[\mathrm{~A}]=\Pi[$ Eigenvalues $]=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$
$I_{1}=\Sigma$ [Unique Eigenvalue Singles] $=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}$
$I_{2}=\Sigma\left[\right.$ Unique Eigenvalue Doubles] $=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$
$I_{2}=\Sigma$ Unique Eigenvalue Doubles $]=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}$
$I_{3}=\Sigma\left[\right.$ Unique Eigenvalue Triples] $=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$
$I_{4}=\Sigma$ [Unique Eigenvalue Quadruples] $=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$
$\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{d}\right]=\Pi_{k}\left[\lambda_{k}\right] ;$ with $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}=$ Eigenvalues Characteristic Eqns: $\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{*}{ }_{c}-\lambda_{K}\left[L_{4}\right]=0\right.$

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants

## Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

| General Cayley-Hamilton Theorem |  |  | Dim=3 <br> Euclidean | Dim=4 <br> Minkowski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A^{d}+C_{d-1} A^{d-}+\ldots+C_{0} A^{0}=0_{(d)}$, with $A=$ square matrix, $d=\operatorname{dimension}, A^{0}=\operatorname{Identity}(d)=I_{(d)}$ | $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { a }\end{array}\right]$ | $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll} a & b & ] \end{array}\right.$ | $A=\left[\begin{array}{llll} a & b & c \end{array}\right]$ | $A=\left[\begin{array}{llll} a & b & c & d \end{array}\right]$ |
| $I_{0} A^{4}-I_{1} A^{3}+I_{2} A^{2}-I_{3} A^{1}+I_{4} A^{0}=0: \text { for } 4 D$ <br> Characteristic Polynomial: $p(\lambda)=\operatorname{Det}\left[A-\lambda \mathbf{I}_{(d)}\right]$ |  | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}c & d\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}d & e & f & ] \\ g & h & i\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lllll} \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{f} & \mathrm{~g} & \mathrm{~h} & ] \\ \mathrm{i} & \mathrm{j} & \mathrm{k} & \mathbf{l} & ] \end{array}\right.$ |
| Characteristic Polynomiai: $p(\lambda)=\operatorname{Det}\left[A-\lambda \mathbf{I}_{(d)}\right]$ |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{llll} \mathrm{m} & \mathrm{n} & \circ & \mathrm{p} \end{array}\right]$ |
| Tensor Invariants $\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{j}}$ : $: \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}=\{1\}$ | $=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{j}}$ : $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}=\{1,2\}$ | $=\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{j}}$ : $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}=\{1,2,3\}$ | $=\mathbf{A}^{\mu}{ }_{v}: \mu, v=\{0,1,2,3\}$ |
| $I_{0}=1 / 0!=1$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1) \\ & =1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1) \\ & =1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & =1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & =1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} I_{1} & =\operatorname{tr}[A] / 1! \\ & =A^{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha} \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Unique Eigenvalue Singles }] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \\ & =(a) \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Eigenvalues }] \\ & =\operatorname{Det}_{10}[A] \\ & =\Pi[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2) \\ & =\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \\ & =(a+d) \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) } \\ & =\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3} \\ & =(a+e+i) \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (4) } \\ & =\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \\ & =(a+\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}) \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} I_{2} & =\left(\operatorname{tr}[A]^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left[A^{2}\right]\right) / 2! \\ & =A_{[\alpha}^{\alpha} A_{\beta]}^{\beta} / 2 \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Unique Eigenvalue Doubles }] \end{aligned}$ | $=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \\ & =(\mathrm{ad}-\mathrm{bc}) \\ & =\operatorname{Det}_{2 \mathrm{D}}[\mathrm{~A}] \\ & =\Pi[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \\ & =(a e-b d)+(a i-c g)+(e i-f g) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (6) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4} \\ & =(\mathrm{af}-\mathrm{be})+(\mathrm{ak}-\mathrm{ci})+(\mathrm{ap}-\mathrm{dm}) \\ & +(\mathrm{fk}-\mathrm{gi})+(\mathrm{fp}-\mathrm{hn})+(\mathrm{kp}-\mathrm{lo}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} I_{3} & =\left[(\operatorname{tr} A)^{3}-3 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} A)+2 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{3}\right)\right] / 3! \\ & =A^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} A^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} A^{\gamma}{ }_{Y]} / 6 \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Unique Eigenvalue Triples }] \end{aligned}$ | $=0$ | $=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \\ & =\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{ei}-\mathrm{fh})-\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{fg})+\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{dh}-\mathrm{eg}) \\ & =\mathrm{Det}_{3 D}[\mathrm{~A}] \\ & =\Pi[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (4) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4} \\ & =\ldots \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} I_{4} & =\left((\operatorname{tr} A)^{4}-6 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} A)^{2}+3\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+8 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{3}\right) \operatorname{tr} A-6 \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{4}\right)\right) / 4! \\ & =A^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} A_{\beta}^{\beta} A^{v}{ }_{V} A^{\delta}{ }_{\delta]} / 24 \\ & =\Sigma[\text { Unique Eigenvalue Quadruples }] \end{aligned}$ | $=0$ | $=0$ | $=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4} \\ & =a(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{kp}-\mathrm{lo}))+\ldots \\ & =\operatorname{Det} \\ & =\Pi[\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{~A}] \\ & =\Pi[\text { Eigenvalues }] \end{aligned}$ |

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants for Faraday EM Tensor



The Faraday EM Tensor $F^{\alpha \beta}=\partial^{\alpha} A^{\beta}-\partial^{\beta} A^{\alpha}=\partial^{\wedge} \mathbf{A}$ is an anti-symmetric tensor that contains the Electric and Magnetic Fields, defined by the Exterior Product ( $\wedge$ ). The 3-electric components $\left(e=e^{\prime}\right)$ are in the temporal-spatial sections. The 3-magnetic components $\left(\mathbf{b}=b^{k}\right)$ are in the only-spatial section.
(2,0)-Tensor $=4$-Tensor $T^{\mathrm{uv}}:$ Has (4+) Tensor Invariants (though not all independent) a) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{a}=$ Trace $=$ Sum of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors (mixed)
b) $\mathrm{T}^{\alpha}{ }_{[a} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=$ Asymm Bi-Product $\rightarrow$ Inner Product
c) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[a} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{v}{ }_{v]}=$ Asymm Tri-Product $\rightarrow$ ?Name?
d) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[a} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{v}{ }_{v} T^{\delta}{ }_{\delta]}=$ Asymm Quad-Product $\rightarrow$ 4D Determinant $=$ Product of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors
a): Faraday Trace $\left[F^{p v}\right]=F_{v}{ }^{v}=\left(F^{00}-F^{11}-F^{22}-F^{33}\right)=(0-0-0-0)=0$
b): Faraday Inner Product $F_{\mu v} F^{\mu v}=\sum_{\mu=v}\left[F^{\mu v}\right]^{2}-2 \Sigma_{[ }\left[F^{i 0}\right]^{2}+2 \Sigma_{i>j}\left[F^{i j}\right]^{2}=(0)-2\left(e \cdot e / c^{2}\right)+2(b \cdot b)=2\left\{(b \cdot b)-\left(e \cdot e / c^{2}\right)\right\}$
c): Faraday AsymmTri $\left[F^{\mu v}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[F^{\mu v}\right]^{3}-3\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[F^{\mu v}\right]\right)\left(F^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} F^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\right)+F^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} F^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} F^{v}{ }_{\alpha}+F^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} F^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} F^{v}{ }_{\beta}=0-3(0)+F^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} F^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} F^{v}{ }_{c}+\left(-F^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\right)\left(-F^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma}\right)\left(-F^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}\right)=0$
d): Faraday Det[anti-symmetric $\left.F^{\mu v}\right]=$ Pfaffian $\left[F^{\mu v}\right]^{2}=\left[\left(-e^{x} / c\right)\left(-b^{x}\right)-\left(-e^{y} / c\right)\left(b^{y}\right)+\left(-e^{z} / c\right)\left(-b^{2}\right)\right]^{2}=\left[\left(e^{x} b^{x} / c\right)+\left(e^{y} b^{y} / c\right)+\left(e^{2} b^{2} / c\right)\right]^{2}=\{(e \cdot b) / c\}^{2}$

Importantly, the Faraday EM Tensor has only (2) linearly-independent invariants:
b)
d)
$2\left\{(\mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{~b})-\left(\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)\right\}$
d) $\{(b \cdot e) / c\}^{2}$
a) \& c) give $0=0$, and do not provide additional constraints

The 4-Gradient and 4-EMVectorPotential have (4) independent components each, for total of (8). Subtract the (2) invariants which provide constraints to get a total of (6) independent components
$=(6)$ independent components of a $4 \times 4$ anti-symmetric tensor
$=(3) 3$-electric $\mathbf{e}+(3) 3$-magnetic $\mathbf{b}=(6)$ independent EM field components
Note: It is possible to have non-zero $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, yet still have zeroes in the Tensor Invariants. If $\mathbf{e}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{b}$, then $\operatorname{Det}\left[F^{\alpha \beta}\right]=\{(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{e}) / \mathbf{c}\}^{2}=0$. If $(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b})=\left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$, then InnerProd $\left[\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{a}}\right]=2\left\{(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b})-\left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)\right\}=0$.
This condition leads to the properties of EM waves = photons = null 4 -vectors, which have fields $|\mathbf{b}|=|\mathbf{e}| / c$ and $\mathbf{b}$ orthogonal to $\mathbf{e}$, travelling at velocity $c$.


Asymm Tri-Product Tensor Invariant

Determinant Tensor Invariant
4-(EM)VectorPotential $\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{H}}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a})$

Faraday EM
Tensor
$F^{\alpha \beta}=\partial^{\alpha} A^{\beta}-\partial^{\beta} A^{\alpha}=\partial^{\wedge} \mathbf{A}$
$\left[F^{t t} F^{t x} F^{t y} F^{t z}\right]$
$\left[F^{x t} F^{x x} F^{x y} F^{x z}\right]$
[ $\left.F^{y t} F^{y x} F^{y y} F^{y z}\right]$
$\left[F^{z t} F^{z x} F^{z y} F^{z z}\right]$
[ 0


$$
\partial^{0} a^{1}-\partial^{1} a^{0}
$$

$\left[\partial^{2} a^{0}-\partial^{0} a^{2}\right.$

$$
\left[\partial^{3} a^{0}-\partial^{0} a^{3}\right.
$$

$\partial^{0} a^{2}-\partial^{2} a^{0}$

$0 \quad\left(\partial^{t} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}}+\nabla^{\mathrm{x}} \varphi\right) / \mathrm{c}$
$=$
$\left[\left(-\nabla^{x} \varphi^{t} \partial^{x} / c\right) / c \quad\left(\partial a^{y}+\nabla^{y} \varphi\right) / c \quad\left(\partial^{t} a^{z}+\nabla^{z} \varphi\right) / c\right]$ $\left[\left(-\nabla^{y} \varphi a^{y}(c) \quad-\nabla^{x} a^{y}+V^{a^{x}}-\nabla^{x} a^{2}+\nabla^{2} a^{x}\right]\right.$ $\left[\left(-\nabla^{4} \varphi-\delta a^{y} / c\right)-\nabla^{y} a^{x}+\nabla^{x} \quad 0 \quad-\nabla^{y} a^{2}+\nabla^{2} a^{y}\right]$ $\left[\left(-\nabla^{z} \varphi-\partial^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{z}} / \mathrm{c}\right)-\nabla^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}}+\nabla^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{z}}-\nabla^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{a}^{y}+\nabla^{y} \mathrm{a}^{z}\right.$ $=$
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}{[0} & -e^{x} / c & -e^{y} / c & -e^{z} / c\end{array}\right]$ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}+e^{z} / c & -b^{y} & +b^{x}\end{array} 0\right]$
=
[ $0,-e^{j} / c$ ]
$\left[+e^{i} / c,-\varepsilon_{k}^{i j} b^{k}\right]$
$=$

SR 4-Tensor
$(2,0)$-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{uv}}$

## SR 4-Vector

(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

The 4-AngularMomentum Tensor $\mathrm{M}^{\alpha \beta}=\mathrm{X}^{\alpha} \mathrm{P}^{\beta}-\mathrm{X}^{\beta} \mathrm{P}^{\alpha}=\mathbf{X}^{\alpha} \mathbf{P}$ is an anti-symmetric tensor
 The 3-angular-momentum components $\left(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}^{k}\right)$ are in the only-spatial section.
(2,0)-Tensor $=4$-Tensor $T^{\text {tuv. }}$. Has (4+) Tensor Invariants (though not all independent) a) $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{a}=$ Trace $=$ Sum of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors (mixed)
b) $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{[\alpha} \mathrm{T}^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=$ Asymm Bi-Product $\rightarrow$ Inner Product
c) $\mathrm{T}^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{\gamma}{ }_{v]}=$ Asymm Tri-Product $\rightarrow$ ?Name?
d) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[q} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{v}{ }_{v} T^{\delta}{ }_{\delta]}=$ Asymm Quad-Product $\rightarrow$ 4D Determinant = Product of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors
a): 4-AngMom Trace[ $\left.M^{1 \mathrm{v}}\right]=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}=\left(\mathrm{M}^{00}-\mathrm{M}^{11}-\mathrm{M}^{22}-\mathrm{M}^{33}\right)=(0-0-0-0)=0$
b): 4-AngMom Inner Product $M_{\mu v} M^{\mu v}=\sum_{\mu=v}\left[M^{\mu v}\right]^{2}-2 \Sigma_{[ }\left[M^{i 0}\right]^{2}+2 \Sigma_{i \gg}\left[M^{i j}\right]^{2}=(0)-2\left(c^{2} n \cdot n\right)+2(I \cdot I)=2\left\{(I \cdot I)-\left(c^{2} n \cdot n\right)\right\}$

b) $2\left\{(I \cdot I)-\left(c^{2} n \cdot n\right)\right\}$ : see Wikipedia Laplace-Runge-Lenz_vector, sec. Casimir Invariant
b)
d) $\{c(1 \cdot n)\}^{2}$
a) \& c) give $0=0$, and do not provide additional constraints

The 4-Position and 4-Momentum have (4) independent components each, for total of (8). Subtract the (2) invariants which provide constraints to get a total of (6) independent components $=(6)$ independent components of a $4 \times 4$ anti-symmetric tensor
$=(3) 3$-mass-moment $\boldsymbol{n}+(3) 3$-angular-momentum I = (6) independent 4-AngularMomentum components
3-massmoment $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{x m}-\mathrm{tp}=\mathrm{m}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{t u})=\mathrm{m}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t u})=\mathrm{m}(\mathbf{r}-\mathrm{t}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{r}))$ : Tangential velocity $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{T}}=(\boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{r})$
$(-k / r) n=-m k(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathrm{t}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}))=m k t(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}})-m k \hat{r}=\mathrm{t}^{*} \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{dt}(\mathbf{p}) \times \mathrm{L}-m k \hat{r}: \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}(\mathbf{p}) \times \mathrm{L}=m k(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}})$ n is related to the $\mathrm{LRL}=$ Laplace-Runge-Lenz 3-vector: $\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{p} \times \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{mk} \hat{\mathrm{r}}$ which is another classical conserved vector. The invariance is shown here to be relativistic in origin. Wikipedia article: Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector shows these as Casimir Invariants. See Also: Relativistic Angular Momentum.


Asymm Tri-Product Tensor Invariant


Determinant Tensor Invariant

## SR 4-Tensor

 (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$
## SR 4-Vector

(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar
$(0,0)$-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

Trace $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu \nu}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T$
$\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \eta_{\text {Hv }} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$
= Lorentz Scalar

4-AngularMomentum
Tensor
$M^{\alpha \beta}=X^{\alpha} P^{\beta}-X^{\beta} P^{\alpha}=X^{\wedge} \mathbf{P}$
$\left.M^{t t} M^{\text {tx }} M^{\text {ty }} M^{t z}\right]$
$\left[M^{x t} M^{x x} M^{x y} M^{x z}\right]$
$\left[M^{y t} M^{y x} M^{y y} M^{y z}\right]$
$\left[M^{z t} M^{2 x} M^{z y} M^{z z}\right]$

| [ 0 | $x^{0} p^{1}-x^{1} p^{0} \quad x^{0} p^{2}-x^{2} p^{0}$ | $\left.x^{0} p^{3}-x^{3} p^{0}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[x^{1} p^{0}-x^{0} p^{1}\right.$ | $0 \quad x^{1} p^{2}-x^{2} p^{1}$ | $\left.x^{1} p^{3}-x^{3} p^{1}\right]$ |
| $\left[x^{2} p^{0}-x^{0} p^{2}\right.$ | $x^{2} p^{1}-x^{1} p^{2} \quad 0$ | $\left.x^{2} p^{3}-x^{3} p^{2}\right]$ |
| $\left[x^{3} p^{0}-x^{0} p^{3}\right.$ | $x^{3} p^{1}-x^{1} p^{3} \quad x^{3} p^{2}-x^{2} p^{3}$ | 0 |

$\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & c t p^{x}-x E / c & \operatorname{ctp}^{y}-y E / c & \operatorname{ctp}^{z}-z E / c\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}{\left[x E / c-c t p^{x}\right.} & 0 & x p^{y}-y p^{x} & \left.x p^{z}-z p^{x}\right] \\ {\left[y E / c-c t p^{y}\right.} & y p^{x}-x p^{y} & 0 & \left.y p^{z}-z p^{y}\right] \\ {\left[z E / c-c t p^{z}\right.} & z p^{x}-x p^{z} & z p^{y}-y p^{z} & 0\end{array}\right]$
$=$
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}{[0} & -c n^{x} & -c n^{y} & -c n^{z}\end{array}\right]$

4-Momentum $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{H}}=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$
$=$
[ $0,-\mathrm{cn}^{j}$ ] $\left[+c n^{i}, \varepsilon_{k}^{i j}{ }^{k}\right]$
=
[ 0 , - cn ]
$\left[+\mathrm{cn}^{\top}, \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{p}\right]$

The Minkowksi Metric Tensor $\eta^{\mu v}$ is the tensor all SR 4-Vectors are measured by.
(2,0)-Tensor $=4$-Tensor Tev. Has (4+) Tensor Invariants (though not all independent)
a) $\mathrm{T}^{a}{ }_{a}=$ Trace $=$ Sum of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors (mixed)
b) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=$ Asymm Bi-Product $\rightarrow$ Inner Product
c) $\left.\mathrm{T}^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma}\right]=$ Asymm Tri-Product $\rightarrow$ ?Name?
d) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{v}{ }^{\gamma} T^{\delta}{ }_{\delta]}=$ Asymm Quad-Product $\rightarrow$ 4D Determinant = Product of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors
a): Minkowksi Trace $\left[\eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}\right]=4$
b): Minkowksi Inner Product $\eta_{\mu v} \eta^{\mu v}=4$
c): Minkowksi AsymmTri[ $\left.\eta^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=24=4$ ! , if I did the math right...
d): Minkowksi $\operatorname{Det}\left[\eta^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}\right]=-1$



EigenValues not defined for the standard Minkowski Metric Tensor since it is a type (2,0)-Tensor, all upper indices. However, they are defined for the mixed form (1,1)-Tensor EigenValues are defined for the Lorentz Transforms since they are type (1,1)-Tensors, mixed indices

SR 4-Scalar
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
$\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=\Pi_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\lambda_{k}\right]$; with $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}=$ Eigenvalues Characteristic Eqns: $\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{\alpha}{ }_{a}-\lambda_{k} I_{4}\right]=0$

[^3]
## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants

## \&)wer for Perf Fluid Stress-Energy Tensor

(2,0)-Tensor $=4$-Tensor $T^{\text {vv. }}$ : Has (4+) Tensor Invariants (though not all independent)
a) $\mathrm{T}^{a}{ }_{a}=$ Trace $=$ Sum of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors (mixed)
b) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta]}=$ Asymm Bi-Product $\rightarrow$ Inner Product
c) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma}=$ Asymm Tri-Product $\rightarrow$ ?Name?
d) $T^{\alpha}{ }_{[\alpha} T^{\beta}{ }_{\beta} T^{v}{ }^{\gamma} T^{\delta}{ }_{\delta]}=$ Asymm Quad-Product $\rightarrow$ 4D Determinant $=$ Product of EigenValues for (1,1)-Tensors
a): PerfectFluid Trace $\left[T^{\mathrm{Lv}}\right]=\rho_{\mathrm{eo}}-3 p_{o}$
b): PerfectFluid Inner Product $T_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=\left(\rho_{e o}\right)^{2}+3\left(p_{o}\right)^{2}$
c): PerfectFluid AsymmTri[ $\left.T^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}\right]=$
d): PerfectFluid Det[ $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\rho_{e o}\left(p_{o}\right)^{3}$


## $\operatorname{Det}(\operatorname{Exp}[\mathrm{A}])=\operatorname{Exp}(\operatorname{Tr}[\mathrm{A}])$

[EnergyDensity=Pressure]

$\operatorname{Det}_{4 D}(\mathrm{~A})=\left((\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A})^{4}-6 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A})^{2}+3\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+8 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{3}\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}-6 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{4}\right)\right) / 24$
EigenValues not defined for the standard Perfect Fluid Tensor since it is a type (2,0)-Tensor, all upper indices. However, they are defined for the mixed form (1,1)-Tensor EigenValues are defined for the Lorentz Transforms since they are type (1,1)-Tensors, mixed indices

SR 4-Scalar
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
$\operatorname{Det}\left[T^{a}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=\Pi_{k}\left[\lambda_{k}\right]$; with $\left\{\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}=$ Eigenvalues Characteristic Eqns: $\operatorname{Det}\left[\mathrm{T}^{\alpha}{ }_{a}-\lambda_{k} I_{(4)}\right]=0$

[^4]
## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants for



SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor Tuv (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$

## SR 4-Vector

(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors SR Tensor Invariants for

 the transform.

Characteristic Eqns: $\left.\operatorname{Det}\left[\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{a}-\lambda_{k} \mathrm{I}_{4}\right]\right]=0$

## SR:Lorentz Transform

$\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{u^{\prime}} \partial R^{v}=\Lambda^{u^{\prime}}{ }_{v}$ $\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}: \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}$
$\eta_{u v} \Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{a} \Lambda^{v}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{a \beta}$
(1et[ $\left.\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}\right]= \pm D \quad \Lambda_{u v} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4$
Note:
The Flip-xy-Combo is the equivalent of a $\pi$-Rotation-z.

I suspect that this may be related to exchange symmetry and the Spin-Statistics idea that a particle-exchange is the equivalent of a spin-rotation.

A single Flip would not be an exchange because it leaves a mirror-inversion of <right-|-left>.

But the extra Flip along an orthogonal axis corrects the mirror-inversion, and would be an overall exchange because the particle is in a different location.

## SRQM Study: SR 4-Tensors More SR Tensor Invariants for

## SR 4-Scalars, 4-Vectors, 4-Tensors Elegantly join many dual physical properties and relations

SR 4-Scalars, 4-Vectors, and 4-Tensors beautifully and elegantly display the relations between lots of different physical properties and relations.
Their notation makes navigation through the physics very simple.
They also devolve very nicely into the limiting/approximate Newtonian cases of $\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}$ by letting $\left\{\gamma \rightarrow 1\right.$ and $\left.\gamma^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} \gamma / \mathrm{dt} \rightarrow 0\right\}$.

SR tells us that several different physical properties are actually dual aspects of the same thing, with the only real difference being one's point of view, or reference frame.


SR 4-Vector $\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{a}}$
$=\left(\right.$ temporal ${ }^{*} \mathrm{c}^{ \pm 1}$, spatial)

Examples of 4-Vectors $=(1,0)$-Tensors include:
(Time, Space), (Energy, Momentum), (Power , Force), (Frequency , WaveNumber), (Time Differential, Spatial Gradient),
(ChargeDensity , CurrentDensity), (EM-ScalarPotential , EM-VectorPotential), etc.
One can also examine 4-Tensors, which are type (2,0)-Tensors
The Faraday EM Tensor similarly combines EM fields:
Electric $\left\{\mathbf{e}=e^{i}=\left(e^{x}, e^{\mathrm{y}}, e^{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right\}$ and Magnetic $\left\{b=b^{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right\}$

$F^{\alpha \beta}=$| 0 | $-e^{j} / c$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+e^{i} / c$ | $-\left(\varepsilon^{i j}{ }_{k} b^{k}\right)$ |

Also, things are even more related than that. The 4-Momentum is just a constant times 4-Velocity.
The 4-WaveVector is just a constant times 4-Velocity.
In addition, the very important conservation/continuity equations seem to just fall out of the notation.
The universe apparently has some simple laws which can be easy to write down by using a little math and a super notation.

[temporal,mixed] mixed ,spatial]

## SR 4-Vector

$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trace } \left.T^{\mathrm{NV}}\right]=\eta_{\mathrm{Hv}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{NV}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { = Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

# SRQM Diagram: SR 4-Vectors and Lorentz Scalars / Physical Constants 



## SR 4-Tensor

(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\nu}$ (0,2)-Tensor T

## (1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector

$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$


[^5]
# SRQM Diagram: SRQM 4-Vectors and Lorentz Scalars / Physical Constants 

 $\Delta \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \Delta \mathrm{r})$ $\mathbf{d R}=$ (cdt. dr ) 4-Position $R=(c t, r)=<$ Event $>$ - Invariant Interval $R \cdot R=(c t)^{2}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}=(c \tau)^{2}$
4-UnitTemporal
$\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \beta)$
 Orthogonal
$T \cdot \mathbf{S}=0$
$\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}=-1$
4-UnitSpatial
$\mathbf{S}=\gamma_{\beta n}(\hat{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathrm{n})_{\perp}$


4-NumberFlux $\mathbf{N}=(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$ 4-ProbCurrDensity 4-ProbabilityFlux $\mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=($

## SR Gradient 4-Vectors = (1,0)-Tensors SR Gradient One-Forms = (0,1)-Tensors

```
4-Vector = Type (1,0)-Tensor
4-Position R = R
4-Gradient }\mp@subsup{\partial}{R}{}=\partial=\mp@subsup{\partial}{}{\mu}=\partial/\partial\mp@subsup{R}{\mu}{}=(\partial//c,-\nabla
Standard 4-Vector
4-Position \(\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})\)
4-Velocity \(\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{P}}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})\)
4-Momentum \(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{P}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})\)
4-WaveVector K = K \(=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k})\)
```


## [Temporal: Spatial] components

[Time (t) : Space (r)]
[Time Differential $\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ : Spatial Gradient $(\nabla)$ ]

## Related Gradient 4-Vector (from index-raised Gradient One-Form)

4-PositionGradient $\partial_{R}=\partial_{R^{\mu}}=\partial / \partial R_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{R^{2}} / c,-\nabla_{R}\right)=\partial=\partial^{\mu}=4$-Gradient
4-VelocityGradient $\partial_{u}=\partial_{u^{\mu}}=\partial / \partial U_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{u} / c,-\nabla_{u}\right)$
4-MomentumGradient $\partial_{\rho}=\partial_{\rho}^{\mu}=\partial / \partial P_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{p} / c,-\nabla_{p}\right)$
4-WaveGradient $\partial_{K}=\partial_{K^{\mu}}=\partial / \partial K_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{K^{\prime}} / c,-\nabla_{\kappa}\right)$

In each case, the (Whichever)Gradient 4-Vector is derived from an SR One-Form or 4-CoVector, which is a type $(0,1)$-Tensor
ex. One-Form PositionGradient $\partial_{R^{v}}=\partial / \partial R^{v}=\left(\partial_{R} / / c, \nabla_{R}\right)$
The (Whichever)Gradient 4-Vector is the index-raised version of the SR One-Form (Whichever)Gradient ex. 4-PositionGradient $\partial_{R}^{\mu}=\partial / \partial R_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{R^{\prime}} / c,-\nabla_{R}\right)=\eta^{\mu v} \partial_{R^{v}}=\eta^{\mu v} \partial / \partial R^{v}=\eta^{\mu v}\left(\partial_{R^{\prime}} / c, \nabla_{R}\right)_{v}=\eta^{\mu v}(\text { One-Form PositionGradient })_{v}$

This is why the 4-Gradient is commonly seen with a minus sign in the spatial component, unlike the other regular 4-Vectors, which have all positive components.

## 4-Tensors can be constructed from the Tensor Outer Product of 4-Vectors

## Minkowski SpaceTime Diagram Events \& Dimensions


"Stack of Motion Picture Photos"


LightCone

## Classical

Mechanics time displacement $\Delta t$

3-displacement $\Delta r=\Delta r^{i} \rightarrow(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z)$
Note the separate dimensional units: (time + 3D space)
$\Delta t$ is [time], $|\Delta r|$ is [length]


4-Displacement
$\Delta R=(c \Delta t, \Delta r)$

## Special

Relativity
4-Position
R=(ct,r)
$\mathrm{c} \Delta \tau)^{2}$ Time-Like
(+)
$\Delta \mathbf{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Delta R}=\left[(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t})^{2}-\boldsymbol{\Delta r} \cdot \Delta r\right]=0 \quad$ Light-like:Null (0)
$-\left(\Delta r_{0}\right)^{2}$ Space-like
Note the matching dimensional units: (4D SpaceTime)
is [length]
$(c \Delta t)$ is [length/time]*${ }^{*}[t i m e]=[l e n g t h], \quad|\Delta r|$ is [length], $|\Delta R|$ is [length]
$\tau$ is the Proper Time = "rest-time", time as measured by something not moving spatially The Minkowski Diagram provides a great visual representation of SpaceTime
Classical (scalar
Galilean

Invariant | 3-vector) |
| :---: |
| Not Lorentz |
| Invariant |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Some Basic 4-Vectors

## Minkowski SpaceTime Diagram, WorldLines,

| $\Delta t$ | time-like interval $(+)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| at-rest | inertial motion |
| WorldLine $(\mathrm{u}=0)$ | WorldLine $(0<\mathrm{u}<\mathrm{c})$ |


$\Delta r$ space-like interval ( )
An Event (*) is a point in SpaceTime The 4-Position points to an Event.

A WorldLine is a series of connected Events which trace out a path in SpaceTime, such as the track of a moving particle.

## LightCone



The 4-Position is a particular type of 4-Displacement, for which the vector base is at the origin ( $0,0,0,0$ ) $=4$-Zero.

4-Position is Lorentz Invariant, but not Poincaré Invariant. A standard 4-Displacement is both.
$(\mathrm{c} \Delta \tau)^{2}$ for time-like (+)
$\Delta \mathbf{R} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{R}=\left[(c \Delta t)^{2}-\Delta r \cdot \Delta r\right]=0 \quad$ for light-like (0)
$-\left(\Delta r_{0}\right)^{2}$ for space-like $(-)$

$U_{0} \cdot U_{0}=C^{2}$
4-Velocity $\mathbf{U}_{c}=\gamma_{c}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{cn})$
${ }_{c} \frac{\gamma_{c}(c, c n)}{U_{c} \cdot U_{c}=c^{2}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u}) \cdot \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \\
\left.\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-(\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{c})^{2}\right]=1 / \sqrt{ } 1-(\beta)^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Massive particles move temporally into future at the speed-of-light (c) in their own rest-frame.
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

Massless particles (photonic) move nully into the future at the speed-of-light (c), and have no rest-frame.

## Minkowski Diagram:Lorentz Transform

Since the SpaceTime magnitude of $\mathbf{U}$ is a constant (c), changes in the components of $\mathbf{U}$ are like rotating the 4 -Vector without changing its length. It keeps the same magnitude. Rotations, purely spatial changes, $\{$ eg. along $x, y\}$ result in circular displacements. $\operatorname{Det}^{2}\left[\wedge^{\mu}{ }_{v}\right]= \pm D \quad \Lambda_{\mathrm{uv}} \Lambda^{\mathrm{\mu v}}=4$ Boosts, or temporal-spatial changes, \{eg. along $x, t\}$ result in hyperbolic displacements. The interval between the origin and a given topograph-line is a Lorentz Invariant Constant.
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u}) \cdot \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$


Rotation ( $x, y$ ): Purely Spatial


The Minkowski Diagram provides a great visual representation of SpaceTime

## SR Invariant Intervals Minkowski Diagram

Since the SpaceTime magnitude of $\mathbf{U}$ is a constant (c), changes in the components of $\mathbf{U}$ are like rotating the 4 -Vector without changing its length. It keeps the same magnitude. Rotations, purely spatial changes, \{eg. along $x, y\}$ result in circular displacements. Boosts, or temporal-spatial changes, $\{$ eg. along $x, t\}$ result in hyperbolic displacements. The interval between the origin and a given topograph-line is a Lorentz Invariant Constant.

## SR:Minkowski Metric

$\partial[R]=\partial^{\mu} R^{v}=\eta^{\mu v}=V^{\mu v}+H^{\mu v} \rightarrow$
$\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-I_{(3)}\right]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\delta^{\mathrm{jk}}\right]$ \{in Cartesian form\} "Particle Physics" Convention
$\left\{\eta_{\mu \mu}\right\}=1 /\left\{\eta^{\mu \mu}\right\}: \eta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu \nu}\right]=4$


The Minkowski Diagram provides a great visual representation of SpaceTime SpaceTime Kinematics

| ProperTime |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{U} / \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u}) / \mathrm{c}^{2}=\gamma\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{t}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right) / \mathrm{c}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{t}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ | 4-Gradient |
| $=\mathrm{t}_{0}=\tau$ |  |$\quad$| 4 $=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \rightarrow\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\partial_{x^{\prime}},-\partial_{\mathrm{y}},-\partial_{z}\right)$ |
| :---: |

ProperTime Derivative
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u}) \cdot\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)=\gamma\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\right)=\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}$ $=d / d \tau$
$\partial=\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\nabla\right) \rightarrow\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\partial_{x},-\partial_{y},-\partial_{z}\right)$
Special

Relativity


4-Vectors:
R $=$ <Event $>$
$\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{d} \mathbf{R} / \mathrm{d} \tau$
$\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{dU} / \mathrm{d} \tau$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbf{v}|=|\mathbf{u}|=\{0 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{c}\} \\
& \gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

 For historical reasons, velocity can be represented by either (v) or (u)

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$
SR 4-CoVector
SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar
Classical (scalar
Galilean
Invariant

## Invariant

3-vector) Not Lorentz Invariant

## SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors

## 4-Position, 4-Velocity, 4-Acceleration, 4-Momentum, 4-Force

## SpaceTime Dynamics

 respect to a moving coordinate system. It is also called the advective derivative, derivative following the motion, hydrodynamic derivative, Lagrangian derivative, material derivative, particle derivative, substantial derivative, substantive derivative, Stokes derivative, or total derivative Lorentz Scalar

[^6]
## SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors 4-Position, 4-Velocity, 4-Differential SpaceTime Calculus

of QM
ohn B. Wilson


## SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors 4-Velocity, 4-Momentum, E=mc ${ }^{2}$

$\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})$
$\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{p})=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}=\gamma \mathrm{m}_{0}(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})$
Temporal part: $\mathrm{E}=\gamma \mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}=m \mathrm{c}^{2}$ \{energy\}

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & m_{0} c^{2}+(\gamma-1) m_{0} c^{2} \\
E= & E_{0}+(\gamma-1) E_{0} \\
& \text { (rest) }+(\text { kinetic })
\end{aligned}
$$

Spatial part:
$\downarrow$ Newtonian/Classical Limit $\downarrow$
Classical Mechanics $|\mathrm{v}|=|\mathrm{u}| \ll \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{u} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$
$\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}) \sim\left(1+(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})^{2} / 2\right) \mathrm{m}_{0}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})$


Temporal part: $E \sim\left(1+(v / c)^{2} / 2\right) m_{0} c^{2}=m_{0} c^{2}+m_{0} v^{2} / 2$
\{energy\} $\quad E_{0}+|p|^{2} / 2 m_{0}$ (rest) + (kinetic)

Spatial part:
\{momentum\} $\quad \mathrm{p} \sim(1) \mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{u}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{mu}$
The $1^{\text {st }}$ order Newtonian Limit gives $\gamma \sim 1+\mathrm{O}\left[(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})^{2}\right]$
The $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Newtonian Limit gives $\gamma \sim 1+(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})^{2} / 2+\mathrm{O}\left[(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})^{4}\right]$
For historical reasons, velocity can be represented by either (v) or (u)

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mu \mathrm{v}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar


3-vector) Not Lorentz Invariant

# SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors 4-Velocity, 4-Acceleration, SpaceTime Orthogonality 



SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

# SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors 4-Displacement, 4-Velocity, Relativity of Simultaneity 



Examining the equation we get $\gamma\left(\mathbf{c}^{2} \Delta t-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{x}\right)=0$. The coordinate time difference between the events is $\left(\Delta \mathrm{t}=\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$
The condition for simultaneity in an alternate frame (moving at 3 -velocity $\mathbf{u}$ wrt. the worldline $\mathbf{U}$ ) is $\Delta t=0$, which implies $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x})=0$.
This can be met by:
( $|\mathbf{u}|=0$ ), the alternate observer is not moving wrt. the events, i.e. is on worldline $\mathbf{U}$ or on a worldline parallel to $\mathbf{U}$.
( $|\Delta \mathbf{x}|=0$ ), the events are at the same spatial location (co-local).
( $\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{x}=0$ ), the alternate observer's motion is perpendicular (orthogonal) to the spatial separation $\mathbf{\Delta x}$ of the events in that frame.
If none of these conditions is met, then the events will not be simultaneous in the alternate reference frame.
This is the mathematics behind the concept of Relativity of Simultaneity.
(1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$


## SRQM Diagram:

## SRQM Motion * Lorentz Scalar = Interesting Physical 4-Vector

## $\left.\begin{array}{c}\partial \cdot \mathbf{R}=4 \\ \text { SpaceTime } \\ \text { Dimension }\end{array}\right)$



Rest Number Density Rest Probabilty Density



Interesting note:
Most 4-Vectors have 4 independent components. (1 temporal, 3 spatial)

The 4-Velocity has only the 3 spatial however, due to its invariant magnitude $\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$.

This fact allows one to multiply it by a Lorentz Scalar to make a new 4-Vector with 4 independent components, as shown in the diagram.


Trace $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu \nu}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T$ SR 4-CoVector
$\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$ = Lorentz Scalar
$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau(4)=0$
$(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial)(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial)(4)=0$
$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=d / d \tau(\partial) \cdot \mathbf{R}+\partial \cdot d / d \tau(\mathbf{R})=0$
$d / d \tau(\partial \cdot \mathbf{R})=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}+\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=0$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial)[\partial] \cdot \mathbf{R}$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-\left(\mathrm{U}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \partial^{\prime}\right)\left[\partial_{\mu}\right] \mathrm{R}^{\mu}$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-U_{v} \partial^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} R^{\mu}$
$\partial \cdot U=-U_{v} \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\prime \prime} R^{\mu}$
$\partial \cdot \mathbf{U}=-U_{v} \partial_{\mu} \eta^{\eta^{\prime \prime}}$
$\partial \cdot U=-U_{v}\left(0^{0}\right)$
$\partial \cdot \mathrm{U}=0$ : Conservation of the 4-Velocity Flow (4-Velocity Flow-Field)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4-Vectors: } \\
& \mathbf{R}=<\text { Event } \\
& \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& \mathbf{A}=\mathrm{d} \mathbf{U} / \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& \mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U} \\
& \mathbf{F}=\mathrm{dP} / \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

## SRQM Diagram:

## Local Continuity of 4-Velocity leads to all the Conservation Laws



$$
(0,1) \text {-Tensor } \mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }^{0}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { = Lorentz Scalar }
\end{aligned}
$$

## SRQM Diagram:

## SRQM Motion * Lorentz Scalar Conservation Laws, Continuity Eqns



These are Fluid or Density -type Conservation/Continuity Laws

$$
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T
$$

$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

## SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors 4-Velocity, 4-Gradient, Time Dilation

const inertial motion worldline U ( $0<\mathrm{u}<\mathrm{c}$ )
trades some time for space

worldline $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ (u=0) fully temporal


$$
\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-(u / c)^{2}\right]=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]
$$

Everything moves into future ( +t ) at the speed-of-light (c) in its own spatial rest-frame

The Minkowski Diagram provides a great visual representation of SpaceTime


Since the SpaceTime magnitude of U is a constant, changes in the components of U are like "rotating" the 4 -Vector without changing its length. However, as $\mathbf{U}$ gains some spatial velocity, it loses some "relative" temporal velocity. Objects that move in some reference frame "age" more slowly relative to those at rest in the same reference frame.

Time Dilation!

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{t} & =\gamma \Delta \tau=\gamma \Delta \mathrm{t}_{0} \\
\mathrm{dt} & =\gamma \mathrm{d} \tau \\
\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} \tau & =\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}
\end{aligned}
$$

Each observer will see the other as aging more slowly; similarly to two people moving oppositely along a train track, seeing the other as appearing smaller in the distance.

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ $(1,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }$ or $\mathrm{T}_{\mu}{ }^{4}$
$(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

SR 4-Vector
$(1,0)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$
SR 4-CoVector
SR 4-Scalar $(0,0)$-Tensor S Lorentz Scalar

## SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors SR 4-WaveVector K

4-WaveVector, aka. Wave 4-Vector, solution of d'Alembertian Wave Eqn.
$\mathbf{K}=(\omega / c, k)=\left(\omega / c, \omega n / v_{\text {phase }}\right)=\left(\omega / c, \omega u / c^{2}\right)=\left(\omega / c^{2}\right)(c, u)=(\omega / c)(1, \beta)=(1 / c \mp, \hat{n} / A)=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase,plane }}\right]$

There are multiple ways of writing out the components of the 4 -WaveVector, with each one giving an interesting take on what the 4-WaveVector means.

An SR wave $\Psi$ is actually composed of two tensors:
(1) 4-Vector propagation part $=K^{\alpha}$, (the engine)
(2) Variable amplitude part = A (the load), depends on what is waving..

4-Scalar $\mathrm{A}: \Psi=A e^{\wedge}\left(-i K^{a} X_{a}\right)$ ex. KG Quantum Wave

4-Vector $A^{\mu}: \Psi^{\mu}=A^{\mu} e^{\wedge}\left(-i K^{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right)$ ex. Maxwell Photon Wave

4-Tensor $A^{\mu v}: \Psi^{\mu \mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{A}^{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\left(-\mathrm{iK}^{\alpha} \mathrm{X}_{\alpha}\right)$ ex. Gravitational Wave Approx.

The $\Psi$ tensor-type will match the A tensor-type, as the propagation part $e^{\wedge}\left(-i K^{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right)$ is overall dimensionless.

One comparison I find very interesting is:
$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}=\left(c t_{0}\right)^{2}=(c \tau)^{2}$
$K \cdot K=\left(1 / c \Psi_{0}\right)^{2}$
$\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial / c \partial \mathrm{t}_{0}\right)^{2}=(\partial / \mathrm{c} \partial \tau)^{2}$


I believe the last one is correct: $(\partial \cdot \partial)[\mathbf{R}]=0=(\partial / c \partial \tau)^{2}[\mathbf{R}]=\mathbf{A}_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}=\mathbf{0}$ : The 4-Acceleration seen in the ProperTime Frame = RestFrame $=0$ Normally $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)^{2}[\mathbf{R}]=\mathbf{A}$, which could be non-zero. But that is for the total derivative, not the partial derivative.

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
$\psi_{n}(\mathbf{X})=A_{n} e^{\wedge}-i\left(\mathbf{K}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)$ : Explicit form of an SR plane wave $\psi(X)=\Sigma_{n}\left[\Psi_{n}(X)\right]$ : Complete wave is a
superposition of multiple plane waves.
$\partial[\Psi(\mathbf{X})]=\partial\left[A e^{\wedge}-i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X})\right]=-i \mathbf{K}\left[A e^{\wedge}-i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X})\right]=-i \mathbf{K}[\Psi(\mathbf{X})]$
$\partial=-i \mathbf{K}$ as the condition for a complex-valued plane wave.


## Relativistic SR Doppler Effect

( $\hat{n}$ ) here is the unit-directional 3-vector of the photon
Choose an observer frame for which:
$\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k})$, with $\mathbf{k}$, $\hat{\text { n pointing }}$ toward observer
$\mathbf{U}_{\text {obs }}=(c, 0) \quad K \cdot U_{\text {obs }}=(\omega / c, k) \cdot(c, 0)=\omega=\omega_{\text {obs }}{ }^{\circ}$
$\mathbf{U}_{\text {emit }}=\gamma(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u}) \quad \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\text {emit }}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k}) \cdot \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\gamma(\omega-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u})=\omega_{\text {emit }}$
$\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\text {obs }} / \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\text {emit }}=\omega_{\text {obs }} / \omega_{\text {emio }}=\omega /[\gamma(\omega-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u})]$
For photons, $\mathbf{K}$ is null $\rightarrow \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}) \hat{n}$
$\omega_{\text {obs }} / \omega_{\text {emio }}=\omega /[\gamma(\omega-(\omega / c) \hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{u})]=1 /[\gamma(1-\hat{n} \cdot \beta)]=1 /\left[\gamma\left(1-|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right)\right]\right.$
$\omega_{\text {obs }} / \omega_{\text {emit }}=\gamma \omega_{\text {obs }} d\left(\gamma \omega_{\text {emii }}\right)=\omega_{\text {obs }} / \omega_{\text {emil }}$
$\omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emit }} /[\gamma(1-\hat{n} \cdot \beta)]=\omega_{\text {emit }}^{*} \sqrt{ }[1+|\beta|]^{*} \sqrt{ }[1-|\beta|] /(1-\hat{n} \cdot \beta)$
with $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{ }\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]=1 /\left(\sqrt{ }[1+|\beta|]^{*} \sqrt{ }[1-|\beta|]\right)$
For motion of emitter $\beta$ : (in observer frame of reference)
Away from obs, $(\hat{n} \cdot \beta)=-\beta, \omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emit }}^{*}[\sqrt{ }[1-|\beta|] / \sqrt{ }(1+|\beta|)=$ Red Shift
Toward obs,
$(\hat{n} \cdot \beta)=+\beta, \omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emit }} * \sqrt{ }[1+|\beta|] / \sqrt{ }(1-|\beta|)=$
Transverse, $\quad(\hat{n} \cdot \beta)=0, \quad \omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emit }} / \gamma=$ Transverse Doppler Shift

The Phase Velocity of a Photon $\left\{v_{\text {phase }}=c\right\}$ equals the Particle Velocity of a Photon $\{u=c\}$
The Phase Velocity of a Massive Particle $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}>\mathrm{c}\right\}$ is greater than the Velocity of a Massive Particle $\{u<c\}$

SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor Thv $^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

## SR 4-Vector

(1,0)-Tensor $\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

$K=(\omega / c, k)=\left(\omega / c, \omega \hat{n} / v_{\text {phase }}\right)=\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}$
$=\left(\omega_{o} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\omega / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\omega / \mathrm{c},\left(\omega / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{u}\right)$
( $\omega / \mathrm{c}, \omega \hat{1} / v$ $\qquad$ $\left.{ }_{s e}\right)=\left(\omega / c,\left(\omega / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{u}\right)$
Taking just the spatial components of the 4-WaveVector:
$\omega n / v_{\text {phase }}=\left(\omega / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{u}$
$\hat{\mathbf{n}} / \mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}=\left(\mathbf{u} / \mathbf{c}^{2}\right)$
$u^{*} v_{\text {phase }}=c^{2}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\text {group }}{ }^{*} \mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$, with $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{v}_{\text {group }}$
Wave Group velocity ( $\mathrm{v}_{\text {group }}$ ) is mathematically the same as Particle velocity ( u ) Wave Phase velocity ( $\left.\mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}\right)$ is the speed of an individual plane-wave.

## Relativistic SR Doppler Effect

( $\hat{n}$ ) here is the unit-directional 3-vector of the photon

$$
\omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emil }} /[\gamma(1-\hat{n} \cdot \beta)]=\omega_{\text {emil }} /\left[\gamma\left(1-|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]\right)\right]
$$

Change reference frames with $\{\mathrm{obs} \rightarrow \mathrm{emit}\} \&\{\boldsymbol{\beta} \rightarrow-\boldsymbol{\beta}\}$
$\omega_{\text {emit }}=\omega_{\text {obs }} /[\gamma(1+\hat{n} \cdot \beta)]=\omega_{\text {obs }} /\left[\gamma\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emilt }}\right)\right]\right.$
$\left(\omega_{\text {obs }}\right)^{*}\left(\omega_{\text {emit }}\right)=\left(\omega_{\text {emit }} /\left[\gamma\left(1-|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]\right)\right]\right)^{*}\left(\omega_{\text {obs }} /\left[\gamma\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emit }}\right]\right)\right]\right)$
$1=\left(1 /\left[\gamma\left(1-|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]\right)\right]\right)^{*}\left(1 /\left[\gamma\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emil }}\right]\right)\right]\right)$
$1=\left(\gamma\left(1-|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]\right)\right)^{*}\left(\gamma\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emil }}\right]\right)\right)$
$1=\gamma^{2}\left(1-|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]\right)^{*}\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emit }}\right]\right)$
Solve for $|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]$ and use $\left\{\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right)=\beta^{2} \gamma^{2}\right\}$

## Relativistic SR Aberration Effect

$\cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]=\left(\cos \left[\theta_{\text {emil }}\right]+|\beta|\right) /\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emil }}\right]\right)$

The Phase Velocity of a Photon $\left\{v_{\text {phase }}=c\right\}$ equals the Particle Velocity of a Photon $\{u=c\}$
The Phase Velocity of a Massive Particle $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\text {ohase }}>\mathrm{c}\right\}$ is greater than the Velocity of a Massive Particle $\{\mathrm{u}<\mathrm{c}\}$
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

## SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors



SR 4-Tensor (2,0)-Tensor T ${ }^{\mu v}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $T_{\mu v}$

$(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

# Some Cool Minkowski Metric Tensor Tricks 4-Gradient, 4-Position, 4-Velocity 



The Divergence of 4-Position $(\partial \cdot R)=$ "Magnitude" of the Minkowski Metric $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\alpha \beta}\right]=$ the Dimension of SpaceTime (4)
$(\mathrm{U} \cdot \partial)[R]=\left(\mathrm{U}^{\alpha} \cdot \partial^{\beta}\right)\left[R^{\vee}\right]=\left(\mathrm{U}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha \beta} \partial^{\beta}\right)\left[R^{\vee}\right]=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\beta} \partial^{\beta}\right)\left[R^{\gamma}\right]=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\beta}\right) \partial^{\beta}\left[R^{\vee}\right]=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\beta}\right) \eta^{\beta \gamma}=U^{\vee}=\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)[R]$
thus
Lorentz Scalar Product $(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial)=$ Derivative wrt. ProperTime $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)=$ Relativistic Factor * Derivative wrt. CoordinateTime $\gamma(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})$ :
(1,0)-Tensor $V^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(v^{0}, v\right)$
SR 4-CoV SR 4-CoVector $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

## SRQM+EM Diagram: 4-Vectors



## SRQM+EM Diagram: 4-Vectors, 4-Tensors


$\partial[\mathrm{R}]=\eta^{\mu \mathrm{uv}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]$ Minkowski Metric

| 4-UnitTemporal |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ |$\quad$|  |
| :---: |

4-UnitSpatial
$\mathbf{S}=\gamma_{\beta n}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}, \hat{\mathbf{n}})_{\perp}$


4-ChargeFlux 4-CurrentDensity $\mathrm{J}=(\mathrm{\rho c}, \mathbf{j})=\rho(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})$

4-Momentum $P=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$

4-EMVectorPotential $\mathbf{A}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a})$


4-Gradient $\partial=\left(\partial_{1} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)$

## 4-Force $\mathrm{F}=\gamma\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime} / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{f}\right)$

## 4-MassFlux

4-MomentumDensity
$\mathbf{G}=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}\right)=\left(\rho_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}\right)$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4-TotalMomentum } \\
\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



## SRQM+EM Diagram: 4-Vectors, 4-Tensors Lorentz Scalars / Physical Constants




## SRQM+EM Diagram: 4-Vectors, 4-Tensors Lorentz Scalars / Physical Constants

$\qquad$

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{T}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}$ (1,1)-Tensor $T^{\mu}{ }_{v}$ or $T_{\mu}$ $(0,2)$-Tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}$

[^7]Existing SR Rules
Quantum Principles

# SRQM+EM Diagram: 4-Vectors, 4-Tensors Lorentz Scalars / Physical Constants with Tensor Invariants 

Conservation of


[^8]

# SRQM Diagram: Projection Tensors Temporal, Spatial, Null, SpaceTime 



Projection Tensors act as follows:
Generic 4-Vector:
$A^{v}=\left(a^{0}, a\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right)$
4-Gradient $\partial=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)$

# SRQM Diagram: Projection Tensors \& Perfect-Fluid Stress-Energy Tensor 

ProperTime
$\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau=\gamma \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}$


## SRQM+EM Diagram: Projection Tensors \& Stress-Energy Tensors: Special Cases


$\operatorname{Tr}[]=$ Trace Function $=\eta_{\text {pv }}$
$\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{LV}}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}}-(1 / 3) \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{HV}}=$ Null Projection Tensor
$\mathrm{N}^{\mu \mathrm{VV}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3]$ with $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{N}^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=0$
Trace $\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} T^{\mu \mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{T}$
$\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{0}\right)^{2}$
= Lorentz Scalar

## 4-Tensors and 4-Scalars

All 4-Tensors can be generated from 4-Vectors:

```
F
M
\eta
V MV = T }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mu}\mp@subsup{T}{}{\textrm{V}
H
T cold_dust }\mp@subsup{}{}{\muv}=\mp@subsup{P}{}{\mu}\mp@subsup{N}{}{v
( }\mp@subsup{\rho}{eo}{})=\mp@subsup{T}{\mathrm{ Cold_Dust }}{}\mp@subsup{}{}{\muv}\mp@subsup{V}{\muv}{
T
( }\mp@subsup{p}{0}{})=(k)(1/3)\mp@subsup{T}{\mathrm{ Lambda_Vacuum}}{}\mp@subsup{}{}{\muv}\mp@subsup{H}{\muv}{
with the pressure initially set to the EnergyDensity
and (k) an arbitrary constant which sets pressure level
T Terfect_Fluid
``` \((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

\section*{SRQM Study:} 4D Gauss' Theorem

Gauss' Theorem in SR:
\(\int_{\Omega} d^{4} X\left(\partial_{\mu} V^{\mu}\right)=\oint_{\partial \Omega} d S\left(V^{\mu} N_{\mu}\right)\)
\(\int_{\Omega} d^{4} \mathbf{X}(\partial \cdot \mathbf{V})=\oint_{\partial \Omega} d S(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N})\)
where:
\(\mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu}\) is a 4-Vector field defined in \(\Omega\)
\((\partial \cdot \mathbf{V})=\left(\partial_{\mu} V^{\mu}\right)\) is the 4-Divergence of \(\mathbf{V}\)
\((\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N})=\left(\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{N}_{\mu}\right)\) si the component of \(\mathbf{V}\) along the \(\mathbf{N}\)-direction
\(\Omega\) is a 4D simply-connected region of Minkowski SpaceTime
\(\partial \Omega=S\) is its 3D boundary with its own 3D Volume element dS and outward pointing normal \(\mathbf{N}\).
\(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}^{\mu}\) is the outward-pointing normal
\(d^{4} \mathbf{X}=(c d t)\left(d^{3} \mathbf{x}\right)=(c d t)(d x d y d z)\) is the 4D differential volume element

In vector calculus, the divergence theorem, also known as Gauss's theorem or Ostrogradsky's theorem, is a result that relates the flow (that is, flux) of a vector field through a surface to the behavior of the vector field inside the surface. More precisely, the divergence theorem states that the outward flux of a vector field through a closed surface is equal to the volume integral of the divergence over the region inside the surface. Intuitively, it states that the sum of all sources minus the sum of all sinks gives the net flow out of a region. In vector calculus, and more generally in differential geometry,
the generalized Stokes' theorem is a statement about the integration of differential forms on manifolds, which both simplifies and generalizes several theorems from vector calculus.

\section*{Minimal Coupling = Potential Interaction Conservation of 4-TotalMomentum} A Tensor Study of Physical 4-Vectors

\section*{P = (E/c,p): 4-Momentum}
\(\mathbf{Q}=(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{q}): 4\)-PotentialMomentum
\(\mathbf{A}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{a}): 4\)-VectorPotential
\(P_{f}=\left(E / c, p_{f}\right): 4\)-MomentumIncPotentialField
\(P_{T}=\left(E_{T} / c, p_{T}\right)=\left(H / c, p_{T}\right): 4\)-TotalMomentum
\(\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{q} \mathbf{A}=\left(E / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{q} \varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{q} \mathrm{a}\right):\) Minimal Coupling Relation
\(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{q A}\) : Conservation of 4-MomentumincPotentialField
\(P_{f}=P+\mathbf{C}\)
\(P_{f}=P+q A\)
\(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{0}\right) \mathbf{U}+\left(\mathrm{q} \varphi_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
\(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}+\left(\mathrm{q} \varphi_{o} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
\(P_{f}=\left(\left(E_{o}+q \varphi_{o}\right) / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
\(P_{f}=\left((E+q \varphi) / c^{2}\right)(c, u)\)
\(P_{f}=((E+q \varphi) / c, p+q a)\)
4-MomentumIncPotentialField has a contribution from a Mass "charge" ( \(m_{0}\) )
an EM charge (q) interacting with a potential ( \(\varphi_{\circ}\) )
\(P_{T}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]\) : Conservation of 4-TotalMomentum
4 -TotalMomentum is the Sum over all such 4-Momenta

\[
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=V^{\top} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
\]

\section*{SRQM Hamiltonian:Lagrangian Connection}
\[
H+\mathbf{L}=\left(\mathbf{p}_{\top} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma
\]
```

4-Momentum P = m
4-TotalMomentum P}\mp@subsup{P}{T}{}=(P+qA)=(H/c,\mp@subsup{p}{T}{}
P}\cdot\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{u})=\mp@subsup{\mathbf{E}}{0}{}=\mp@subsup{m}{0}{}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{c}}{}{2};\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\varphi-\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{u})=\mp@subsup{\varphi}{0}{
P
\gamma=1/Sqrt[1-\beta\cdot\beta]: Relativistic Gamma Identity
(\gamma-1/\gamma)=(\gamma\beta\cdot\beta):Manipulate into this form... still an identity
( \gamma-1/\gamma)(\mp@subsup{P}{T}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})=(\gamma\beta\cdot\beta)(\mp@subsup{P}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U}): Still covariant with Lorentz Scalar
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\gamma\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{P}{T}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\gamma\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mp@subsup{\textrm{E}}{0}{}+\mathbf{q}\mp@subsup{\varphi}{0}{})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\gamma\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u})(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{E}}{0}{}+\mathbf{q}\mp@subsup{\varphi}{0}{})/\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\gamma(\mp@subsup{\textrm{E}}{\textrm{o}}{}/\mp@subsup{\textrm{c}}{}{2}+\textrm{q}\mp@subsup{\varphi}{0}{}/\mp@subsup{\textrm{c}}{}{2})\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=((\gamma\mp@subsup{E}{0}{}\mathbf{u}/\mp@subsup{\mathbf{c}}{}{2}+\gamma\mathbf{q}\mp@subsup{\varphi}{0}{}\mathbf{u}/\mp@subsup{\mathbf{c}}{}{2})\cdot\mathbf{u})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{P}{T}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=((Eu/\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}+\textrm{q}\varphi\mathbf{u}/\mp@subsup{\textrm{c}}{}{2})\cdot\mathbf{u})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=((\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{qa})\cdot\mathbf{u})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{T}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{p}}{\boldsymbol{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})
{H }+{L } = (p}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{u}):\mathrm{ : The Hamiltonian/Lagrangian connection

```
\(\mathrm{H}=\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)=\gamma((\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{q} \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{U})=\) The Hamiltonian with minimal coupling
\(\mathrm{L}=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma=-((\mathbf{P}+\mathrm{q} \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{U}) / \gamma=\) The Lagrangian with minimal coupling

\section*{H:L Connection in Density Format}
\(H+L=\left(p_{\top} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\)
\(\mathrm{nH}+\mathrm{nL}=\mathrm{n}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\), with number density \(\mathrm{n}=\gamma \mathrm{n}_{0}\) \(\mathscr{H}+\mathcal{L}=\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathrm{u}\right)\), with
momentum density \(\left\{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}}=n \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right\}\)
Hamiltonian density \(\{\mathscr{H}=\mathrm{nH}\}\)
Lagrangian Density \(\left\{\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{nL}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{n}_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma\right)=\mathrm{n}_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{0}\right\}\)
Lagrangian Density is Lorentz Scalar
for an EM field (photonic):
\(\mathscr{H}=(1 / 2)\left\{\varepsilon_{0} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}+\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{b} / \mu_{0}\right\}\)
\(\mathcal{L}=(1 / 2)\left\{\varepsilon_{0} e \cdot e-b \cdot b / \mu_{o}\right\}=\left(-1 / 4 \mu_{o}\right) F_{w v} F^{\mathrm{LV}}\)
\(\mathscr{H}+\mathcal{L}=\varepsilon_{0} \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{e}=\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\)
\(|\mathbf{u}|=c\)
\(\left|\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}}\right|=\varepsilon_{0} e \cdot \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{c}\)
Poynting Vector \(|\mathrm{s}|=|\mathrm{g}| \mathrm{c}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \varepsilon_{0} e \cdot \mathrm{e}\)
\(\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{L}_{0}=0\) Calculating the Rest Values
\(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{H}_{0}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) & \mathrm{H}=\gamma \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \mathrm{~L}_{0}=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) & \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0} / \gamma\end{array}\)

4-Vector notation gives a very nice way to find the Hamiltonian/Lagrangian connection: \((H)+(L)=\left(\mathbf{p}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\), where \(H=\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) \& L=-\left(\mathbf{P}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma\)

\section*{SR Lagrangian, Lagrangian Density,}
```

Relativistic Action (S) is Lorentz Scalar Invariant
$\mathrm{S}=\int \mathrm{Ldt}=\int\left(\mathrm{L}_{0} / \gamma\right)(\gamma \mathrm{d} \tau)=\int\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{d} \tau)$
$\mathrm{S}=\int \operatorname{Ldt}=\int(\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{dt}=\int \mathcal{L} /(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{dt}=\int \mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}\right) \mathrm{dt}=\int(\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{c})\left(\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}\right)(\mathrm{cdt})=\int(\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{c})\left(\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{x}\right)$

```
Explicitly-Covariant Relativistic Action (S)
Particle Form
\(\mathrm{S}=\int \mathrm{L}_{0} \mathrm{~d} \tau=-\int \mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{~d} \tau\)
\(\mathrm{S}=-\int\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathrm{S}=-\int\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(P_{T} \cdot d R\right)\)
\(S=-\int\left(P_{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) d \tau\)
\(S=-\int((P+q \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{U}) d \tau\)
\(\mathbf{S}=-\int(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{q} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}) d \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(E_{0}+q U \cdot A\right) d \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(E_{o}+q \varphi_{o}\right) d \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(E_{0}+V\right) d \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(m_{0} c^{2}+V\right) d \tau\)
with \(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{q} \varphi_{\circ}\)

Density Form \(\left\{=\mathrm{n}_{0}{ }^{*}\right.\) Particle \(\}\)
\(S=(1 / c)]\left(n_{0} L_{0}\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)=-(1 / c) \int\left(n_{0} H_{0}\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=(1 / c) \int(\mathcal{L})\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=\int(\mathcal{L} / \mathrm{c})\left(\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{x}\right)\)
\(S=-(1 / c) \cdot n_{0}\left(P_{T} \cdot U\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=-(1 / c)] n_{0}((P+q A) \cdot U)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=-(1 / c)!\left(n_{0} P \cdot U+n_{0} q A \cdot U\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=-(1 / c) \int\left(n_{0} E_{0}+n_{0} q U \cdot A\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=-(1 / c) \int\left(\rho_{E^{\circ}}+J \cdot A\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=(1 / c)](\mathcal{L})\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=(1 / c)!\left((1 / 2)\left\{\varepsilon_{0} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}-\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b} / \mu_{0}\right\}\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
\(S=(1 / c) \int\left(\left(-1 / 4 \mu_{0}\right) F_{\mu v} F^{\mu v}\right)\left(d^{4} x\right)\)
for an EM field \(=\) no rest frame

Lagrangian \(\left\{\mathrm{L}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)-\mathrm{H}\right\}\) is *not* Lorentz Scalar Invariant
Rest Lagrangian \(\left\{L_{0}=\gamma \mathrm{L}=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right\}\) is Lorentz Scalar Invariant Lagrangian Density \(\left\{\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{nL}=\left(\gamma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}} / \gamma\right)=\mathrm{n}_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\}\) is Lorentz Scalar Invariant
```

n= \gammanom = \#/d}\mp@subsup{|}{}{3}x=\#/(dx)(dy)(dz) = number density
dt = \gammad\tau
cd\tau=\mp@subsup{n}{0}{}(cdt)(dx)(dy)(dz)=\mp@subsup{n}{0}{}(\mp@subsup{d}{}{4}x)
d\tau = (no/c)(d4}x

```
\(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{L}\) Connection in Density Format for Photonic System (no rest-frame)
\(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{L}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\)
\(\mathrm{nH}+\mathrm{nL}=\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\), with number density \(\mathrm{n}=\gamma \mathrm{n}_{0}\)
\(\mathfrak{H}+\mathcal{L}=\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\), with
momentum density \(\left\{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}}=n \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right\}\)
Hamiltonian density \(\{\mathscr{H}=\mathrm{nH}\}\)
Lagrangian Density \(\left\{\mathcal{L}=n L=\left(\gamma n_{0}\right)\left(L_{d} / \gamma\right)=n_{0} L_{0}\right\}\)
Lagrangian Density is Lorentz Scalar
for an EM field (photonic):
\(\mathscr{H}=(1 / 2)\left\{\varepsilon_{0} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}+\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b} / \mu_{0}\right\}=\mathrm{n}_{0} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\rho_{\mathrm{E}^{0}}=\mathrm{EM}\) Field Energy Density
\(\mathcal{L}=(1 / 2)\left\{\varepsilon_{0} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}-\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b} / \mu_{0}\right\}=\left(-1 / 4 \mu_{0}\right) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pv}} \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{pv}}=\left(-1 / 4 \mu_{0}\right)^{*}\) Faraday EM Tensor Inner Product
\(\mathscr{H}+\mathcal{L}=\varepsilon_{0} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}=\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{\top}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\)
\(|\mathrm{u}|=\mathrm{c}\)
\(\left|\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}}\right|=\varepsilon_{0} \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{c}\)
Poynting Vector \(|\mathbf{s}|=|\mathbf{g}| \mathrm{c}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \varepsilon_{0} \cdot \cdot \mathbf{e}\)
\(\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}=1 / \mathrm{c}^{2}:\) Electric:Magnetic Constant Eqn

\section*{SR Hamilton-Jacobi Equation and Relativistic Action (S)}

Lagrangian \(\left\{\mathrm{L}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)-H\right\}\) is *not* a Lorentz Scalar
Rest Lagrangian \(\left\{L_{o}=\gamma L=-\left(P_{T} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right\}\) is a Lorentz Scalar
Relativistic Action (S) is Lorentz Scalar
S \(=\int \operatorname{Ldt}\)
\(S=\int\left(L_{d} / \gamma\right)(\gamma \mathrm{d} \tau)\)
\(\mathrm{S}=\int\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{d} \tau)\)
Explicitly Covariant
Relativistic Action (S)
\(\mathrm{S}=\int \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{d} \tau=-\int \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathbf{S}=-\int\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathbf{S}=-\int\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{d R} / \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(P_{T} \cdot d R\right)\)
\(S=-\int\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathbf{S}=-\int((\mathbf{P}+\mathrm{qA}) \cdot \mathbf{U}) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathbf{S}=-\int(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{q A} \cdot \mathbf{U}) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathrm{S}=-\int\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{q} \varphi_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathrm{S}=-\int\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{V}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \quad\) with \(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{q} \varphi_{0}\)
\(S=-\int\left(m_{0} c^{2}+V\right) d \tau\)
\(S=-\int\left(H_{0}\right) d \tau\)

4-Scalars
Relativistic Action Eqn Integral Format


Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
\(\partial[-S]=-\partial[S]=P_{T}\)
\(S=-\int\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{0}\right) d \tau\)
\(S=-\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{0}\right) / d \tau\)
\(S=-\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{\circ}\right)(\tau+\) const \()\)
\(-\mathrm{S}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}+\mathrm{q} \varphi_{0}\right)(\tau+\) const \()\)
\(\partial[-S]=\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{o}\right) \partial[(\tau+\) const \()]\)
\(\left.\partial[-S]=\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{\circ}\right) \partial \tau\right]\)
\(\partial[-S]=\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{0}\right) \partial\left[R \cdot U / c^{2}\right]\)
\(\partial[-S]=\left(\left(E_{0}+q \varphi_{0}\right) / c^{2}\right) \partial[R \cdot U]\)
\(\partial[-\mathrm{S}]=\left(\mathrm{E}_{d} / \mathrm{c}^{2}+\mathrm{q} \varphi_{d} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathrm{U}\)
\(\partial[-S]=\left(m_{0}+q \varphi_{o} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
\(\partial[-S]=m_{0} \mathbf{U}+q\left(\varphi_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
\(\partial-\mathrm{S}]=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{qA}\)
\(\partial[-S]=P_{T}\)
Verified!
\(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{C}^{2} \tau: \tau=\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{U} / \mathbf{c}^{2}\)

\section*{Relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi Equation} ( \(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\partial[\mathrm{S}]\) ) Differential Format : 4-Vectors
 Dimension

Relativistic Action (S) is Lorentz Scalar Invariant \(\mathrm{S}=\int \mathrm{Ldt}=\int\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma\right)(\gamma \mathrm{d} \tau)=\int\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{d} \tau)=\int \mathrm{L}_{0} \mathrm{~d} \tau\)

\section*{4-Displacement \(\Delta \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \Delta \mathrm{r})\) \(\mathrm{dR}=(\mathrm{cdt} . \mathrm{dr})\) 4-Position \(\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})\)}

Invariant Interval
\((1 / c) \downarrow[.\).

\section*{Relativistic Action Equation} \(\left(S=-\left(P_{T} \cdot d R\right)\right)\) Integral Format : 4-Scalars

\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} v^{\mu \mathrm{V}}=T_{\mu \mu}^{\mu}=T \\
\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \nu \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }^{0}\right)^{2} \\
=\text { Lorentz Scalar }
\end{gathered}
\]

\section*{SRQM Diagram: Relativistic Factors Hamiltonian \& Lagrangian}




Note Similarity:
4-Velocity is ProperTime Derivative of 4-Position \(\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathrm{R} \quad[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~m}]\)

\section*{Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Eqn} \(\partial_{R}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{u} \quad[1 / \mathrm{m}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{m}]\)



\(A=(\varphi / c, a)\)

4-EMPotentialMomentum Q=(U/c,q)=qA

4-NumberFlux
\(N=(n c, n)=n(c, u)\)
\(\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\)

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T \({ }^{\text {uv }}\) (1,1)-Tensor \(T^{\mu}{ }_{v}\) or \(T_{\mu}\) \((0,2)\)-Tensor T
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

\section*{Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Equation Ampessuxhe Easy Derivation \((\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dT}) \mathrm{R}) \rightarrow\left(\partial_{\mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}) \partial_{\mathrm{u}}\right)\)} John B. Wilson

\section*{Note Similarity:}

4-Velocity is ProperTime Derivative of 4-Position \(\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathrm{R} \quad[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~m}]\)

Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Eqn \(\partial_{R}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{u} \quad[1 / \mathrm{m}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{m}]\)

The differential form just inverses the dimensional units, so the placement of the \(\mathbf{R}\) and \(\mathbf{U}\) switch.

That is it: so simple! Much, much easier than how I was taught in Grad School.

To complete the process and create the Equations of Motion, one just applies the base form to a Lagrangian.

This can be: a classical Lagrangian a relativistic Lagrangian a Lorentz scalar Lagrangian a quantum Lagrangian


\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\section*{Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Equation Alternate Forms: Particle vs. Density}

4-Velocity U is ProperTime Derivative of 4-Position R. The Euler-Lagrange Eqn can be generated by taking the differential form of the same equation.

\section*{Relativistic 4-Vector Kinematical Eqn} \(\mathbf{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathbf{R}\)
\(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{K}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{K}\)
Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Eqns \{uses gradient-type 4-Vectors\} \(\partial_{\mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{\mathrm{u}}:\{\) particle format \(\}\)
\(\partial_{R \cdot K}=(d / d \tau) \partial_{U-K}\)
\(\partial_{(-\Phi)}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{\mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{K}}\)
\(\partial_{(-\Phi)}=\left(\mathrm{U} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \partial_{\mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{K}}\)
\(\partial / \partial(-\Phi)=\left(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{R}}\right) \partial / \partial[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{K}]\)
\(\partial / \partial(-\Phi)=\left(\partial_{R}\right) \partial / \partial[K]\)
\(\partial / \partial(-\Phi)=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \partial / \partial\left[\partial_{\mathrm{R}}(-\Phi)\right]\)
\(\partial / \partial(\Phi)=\left(\partial_{R}\right) \partial / \partial\left[\partial_{R}(\Phi)\right]\)
\(\partial_{[\Phi]}=\left(\partial_{R}\right) \partial_{\left[\partial_{R}(\Phi)\right]:}:\{d e n s i t y\) format \(\}\)

\(\mathcal{L}=(1 / 2)\left\{\partial_{R}[\Phi] \cdot \partial_{R}[\Phi]-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2} \Phi^{2}\right\}:\) KG Lagrangian Density
\(\partial_{[\Phi]} \mathcal{L}=\left(\partial_{R}\right) \partial_{\left[\theta_{\mathrm{R}}(\Phi)\right]} \mathcal{L}\) : Euler-Lagrange Eqn \{density format\}
\(-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2} \Phi=\left(\partial_{R}\right) \cdot \partial_{R}[\Phi]\)
\(\left(\partial_{R} \cdot \partial_{R}\right)[\Phi]=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2} \Phi\)
\((\partial \cdot \partial)=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\) : KG Eqn of Motion
Klein-Gordon Relativistic Quantum Wave Eqn \((0,2)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}\)

Lorentz Scalar

\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\section*{Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Equation Equation of Motion (EoM) for EM particle}

\[
\left.\underset{\text { Minkowski Metric }}{\partial_{R}[R]=} \underset{\sim}{\mu v} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1] ~\right]
\]
\(\mathrm{L}_{0}=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\)
\(\partial_{u}\left[L_{0}\right]=-P_{\mathrm{T}}=-(\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{qA})\)
\((d / d \tau)\left[\partial_{U}\left[L_{0}\right]\right]=(d / d \tau)\left[-P_{T}\right]=-(d / d \tau)[P+q A]=-(F+q(d / d \tau)[A])=-(F+q U \cdot \partial[A])=-\left(F+q U_{v} \partial^{V}[A]\right)\) \(\partial_{R}\left[L_{0}\right]=\partial_{R}\left[-P_{T} \cdot U\right]=-\partial_{R}[(P+q A) \cdot U]=(0)+-q \partial_{R}[A \cdot U]=-q \partial_{R}\left[U_{V} A^{\eta}\right]=-q U_{V} \partial_{R}[A]\) assuming the 4 -Gradient \(\partial_{R}\) of the 4 -Velocity \(\mathbf{U}\) is zero.

Euler-Lagrange Eqn: \((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{u}=\partial_{\mathrm{R}}\)
\(-\left(F+q U_{v} \partial^{V}[A]\right)=-q U_{v} \partial_{R}\left[A^{v}\right]\)
\(F=q U_{v} \partial_{R}\left[A^{V}\right]-q U^{2} \partial^{2}[A]\)
\(F=q U_{( }\left(\partial_{2}\left[A^{V}\right]-\partial^{V}[A]\right)\)
\(F^{\mu}=q U_{v}\left(\partial^{\prime \prime}\left[A^{V}\right]-\partial^{\prime \prime}\left[A^{H}\right]\right)\)
\(\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathrm{R} \quad[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~m}]\)
Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Eqn
Reativistic Euler-Lagrange \((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{u} \quad[1 / \mathrm{m}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{m}]\)
The differential form just inverses the dimensional units

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4-NumberFlux } \\
& \mathbf{N}=(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})
\end{aligned}
\]


\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\section*{Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Equation Equation of Motion (EoM) for EM particle}
\(\gamma=1 /\) Sqrt \([1-\beta \cdot \beta]\) : Relativistic Gamma Identity
\((\gamma-1 / \gamma)=(\gamma \beta \cdot \beta)\) : Manipulate into this form... still an identity

\section*{\(\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma=(\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\)}
\(\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma=\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\)
\(\{H \quad\}+\{L \quad\}=\left(p_{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\) : The Hamiltonian/Lagrangian connection
\(\mathrm{H}=\gamma \mathrm{H}_{0}=\gamma\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)=\gamma((\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{q} \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{U})=\) The Hamiltonian with minimal coupling
\(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma=-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) / \gamma=-((\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{qA}) \cdot \mathbf{U}) / \gamma=\) The Lagrangian with minimal coupling
\(\mathrm{H}_{0}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)=-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\) : Rest Hamiltonian = Total RestEnergy
\(L_{o}=-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)=-\mathrm{H}_{0}\)
\((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{\mathrm{U}}\left[\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=\partial_{\mathrm{R}}\left[\mathrm{L}_{0}\right]\)
4-Velocity is ProperTime
Derivative of 4-Position
\(\mathbf{U}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \boldsymbol{R} \quad[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~m}]\)
Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Eqn
\(\partial_{R}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{\mathrm{u}} \quad[1 / \mathrm{m}]=[1 / \mathrm{s}]^{*}[\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{m}]\)
\(\partial / \partial \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial / \partial \mathbf{U}\)
\(\partial[\mathrm{L}] / \partial \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial[\mathrm{L}] / \partial \mathrm{U}\)
Classical limit, spatial component
\(\partial[L] / \partial r=(d / d t) \partial[L] / \partial u\)
\(\partial[\mathrm{L}] / \partial \mathbf{x}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt}) \partial[\mathrm{L}] / \partial \mathbf{u}\)
\(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{Em}}=\mathrm{vq}\{(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}) / \mathrm{c},(\mathbf{e})+(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b})\}\)
\(\mathbf{e}=\left(-\nabla \varphi-\partial_{t} \mathbf{a}\right)\) and \(\mathbf{b}=[\nabla \times \mathbf{a}]\)
If \(\mathbf{a} \sim 0\), then \(\mathrm{f}=-\mathrm{q} \nabla \varphi=-\nabla \mathrm{U}\), the force is neg grad of a potential


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T \({ }^{\text {pv }}\) (1,1)-Tensor \(T^{\mu}{ }_{v}\) or \(T_{\mu}\) \((0,2)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{\mu v}}\)

\section*{SRQM Diagram:} Relativistic Hamilton's Equations of Physical 4-Vectors Equation of Motion (EoM) for EM particle
```

\gamma = 1/Sqrt[1-\beta\cdot\beta]: Relativistic Gamma Identity
(\gamma-1/\gamma)=(\gamma\beta\cdot\beta): Manipulate into this form... still an identity
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\boldsymbol{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\boldsymbol{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\gamma\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\boldsymbol{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})
\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})+-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{p}}{\textrm{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})
H } +{ L } = (p}\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{p}}{T}{}\cdot\mathbf{u}):\mathrm{ : The Hamiltonian/Lagrangian connection
H}=\gamma\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{0}{}=\gamma(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\top}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})=\gamma((\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{q}\mathbf{A})\cdot\mathbf{U})=\mathrm{ The Hamiltonian with minimal coupling
L}=\mp@subsup{L}{0}{}/\gamma=-(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{T}}{}\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=-((\mathbf{P}+q\mathbf{A})\cdot\mathbf{U})/\gamma=\mathrm{ The Lagrangian with minimal coupling
H
Lo = -(PT
\partial}\mp@subsup{\mp@subsup{P}{\textrm{P}}{T}}{}{[H
Thus: (d/d\tau)[X] = (\partial/\partial\mp@subsup{P}{\textrm{T}}{})[\mp@subsup{H}{0}{}]
\partial _ { \mathrm { x } } ^ { [ } [ H _ { 0 } ] = \partial _ { \mathrm { x } } [ \mathbf { U } \cdot \mathbf { P } _ { \mathrm { T } } ] = \partial _ { \mathrm { x } } [ \mathbf { U } ] \cdot \mathbf { P } _ { \mathrm { T } } + \mathbf { U } \cdot \partial _ { \mathrm { X } } [ \mathbf { P } _ { \mathrm { T } } ] = 0 + \mathbf { U } \cdot \partial _ { \mathrm { X } } [ \mathbf { P } _ { \mathrm { T } } ] = \mathrm { d } / \mathrm { d } \tau [ \mathbf { P } _ { \mathrm { T } } ]
Thus: (d/d\tau)[\mp@subsup{\mathbf{P}}{\textrm{T}}{}]=(\partial/\partial\mathbf{X})[\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{0}{}]

```

Relativistic Hamilton's Equations (4-Vector):
\((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)[\mathbf{X}]=\left(\partial / \partial \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{0}\right.\)
\((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)\left[\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=(\partial / \partial \mathbf{X})\left[\mathrm{H}_{0}\right]\)
\((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)[\mathbf{X}]=\gamma(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})[\mathbf{X}]=\left(\partial / \partial \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=\left(\partial / \partial \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right]=\mathbf{U}\) \((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)\left[\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\gamma(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})\left[\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=(\partial / \partial \mathbf{X})\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=(\partial / \partial \mathbf{X})\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right]=(\partial / \partial \mathbf{X})\left[\gamma\left(\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\right]\)

Taking just the spatial components:
\(\gamma(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})[\mathbf{x}]=\left(-\partial / \partial \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=\left(-\partial / \partial \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)[\mathrm{H} / \gamma]\{\) hard \(\}\) \(\gamma(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})\left[\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=(-\partial / \partial \mathbf{x})\left[\mathrm{H}_{0}\right]=(-\partial / \partial \mathbf{x})[\mathrm{H} / \gamma]\) \{easy because \(\left.(\partial / \partial \mathbf{x})[\gamma]=0\right\}\)
\(\gamma^{2}(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{dt})\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=(-\partial / \partial \mathbf{x})[\mathrm{H}]\)
Take the Classical limit \(\{\gamma \rightarrow 1\}\)
Classical Hamilton's Equations (3-vector) \((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})[\mathrm{x}]=\left(+\partial / \partial \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)[\mathrm{H}]\)
\((\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dt})\left[\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=(-\partial / \partial \mathbf{x})[\mathrm{H}]\)
Sign-flip difference is interaction of \(\left(-\partial / \partial p_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\) with \([1 / \gamma]\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)\left[\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\
= & (\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau)[\mathbf{P}+\mathrm{q} \mathbf{A}] \\
= & {[\mathbf{F}+\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \mathbf{A}] } \\
= & {[\mathbf{F}+\mathrm{q}(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial) \mathbf{A}] } \\
= & {\left[\mathbf{F}^{\alpha}+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\beta} \partial^{\beta}\right) \mathrm{A}^{\alpha}\right] }
\end{aligned}
\] SR 4-CoVector
\(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}\)
\(=\) Lorentz Scalar
```

Lorentz EM Force Equation:
F}=q(\mp@subsup{F}{}{\alpha\beta})\mp@subsup{U}{\beta}{
F}\mp@subsup{F}{}{\alpha}=q(\mp@subsup{\partial}{}{\alpha}\mp@subsup{A}{}{\beta}-\mp@subsup{\partial}{}{\beta}\mp@subsup{A}{}{\alpha})\mp@subsup{U}{\beta}{

```

Examine just the spatial components of 4-Force F:
\(F^{i}=q\left(\partial^{\prime} A^{\beta}-\partial^{\beta} A^{i}\right) U_{\beta}\)
\(F^{i}=q\left(\partial^{i} A^{0}-\partial^{0} A^{i}\right) U_{0}^{\beta}+q\left(\partial^{i} A^{j}-\partial^{j} A^{i}\right) U\)
\(\mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{q}\left(-\nabla[\varphi / \mathrm{c}]-\left(\partial^{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{a}\right)(\mathrm{yc})+\mathrm{q}(-\nabla[\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}]--\mathrm{u} \cdot \nabla[\mathrm{a}]) \mathrm{Y}\)
\(\mathbf{f}=\mathrm{q}(-\nabla[\varphi / \mathrm{c}]-(\partial \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{a})(\mathrm{c})+\mathrm{q}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla[\mathbf{a}]-\nabla[\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}])\)
\(\mathbf{f}=\mathrm{q}\left(-\nabla[\varphi]-\partial^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla[\mathbf{a}]-\nabla[\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}]\right)\)
\(\mathbf{f}=\mathrm{q}\left(-\nabla[\varphi]-\partial^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}\right)\)
Take the limit of \(\left\{|\nabla[\varphi]| \gg\left|\partial^{\prime} \mathbf{a}\right|+|\mathbf{u x} \mathbf{b}|\right\}\) \(\mathrm{f} \sim \mathrm{q}(-\nabla[\varphi])=-\nabla[q \varphi]=-\nabla[U]\)

The Classical Force = -Grad[Potential] when \(\left\{|\nabla[\varphi]| \gg\left|\partial^{t} \mathbf{a}\right|+|\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b}|\right\}\) or when \(\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{0}\}\)

The majority of non-gravity, non-nuclear potentials dealt with in CM are those mediated by the EM potential.
ex. Spring Potential \(\left\{U=k x^{2} / 2\right\}\), then \(\left\{\mathbf{f}=-\nabla\left[k x^{2} / 2\right]=-k x\right\}\) Hooke's Law

(1,0)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)\)

Lorentz Scalar SR 4-CoVector

\title{
SRQM: The Speed-of-Light (c) \(c^{2}\) Invariant Relations (part 1)
}

\((\partial \cdot \partial) \mathbf{A}-\partial(\partial \cdot \mathbf{A})=\mu_{o} J\) Maxwell EM Wave Eqn

\section*{GR Black Hole Equation}
\(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\) Schwarzschild Radius
\(\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{GR}\) GravitationalConst, \(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{BH}\) Mass
GR Einstein Curvature Constant: \(\mathrm{K}=8 \pi \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\)


Invariant 4-WaveVector
\[
\text { Magnitude } \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}
\]

Every Physical 4-Vector has a (c) factor to maintain equivalent dimensional units across the whole 4-Vector

The Speed-of-Light (c) is THE connection between Time and Space: dR = (cdt,dr)

This physical constant appears in several seemingly unrelated places. You don't notice these cool relations when you set \(\mathrm{c} \rightarrow 1\). Also notice that the set of all these relations definitely rules out a variable speed-of-light. (c) is an Invariant Lorentz Scalar constant.
\(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathbf{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\mathbf{c}^{2}\)
Speed of all things into the Future
\(\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=\left(\gamma \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{m})=\mathrm{c}^{2}\) Mass is concentrated Energy, \(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}\)
\(\left|u^{*} v_{\text {phase }}\right|=\left|v_{\text {group }}{ }^{*} v_{\text {phase }}\right|=c^{2}\) Particle-Wave "Duality" Correlation
\(A^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{0}{ }^{2}\right)=\lambda^{2}\left(f^{2}-f_{0}{ }^{2}\right)=c^{2}\)
\(\left(1 / \varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}\right)=c^{2}\)
\(-\left(\hbar / m_{0}\right)^{2}(\partial \cdot \partial)=c^{2}\)
\(\left(\hbar / A_{c} m_{0}\right)^{2}=c^{2}\)
\(2 \mathrm{GM} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{c}^{2}\)
\(8 \pi G / k=c^{2}\)
( \(\mathrm{c}^{ \pm 1 *}\) scalar, 3-vector) \(=4\)-Vector

\section*{SR 4-Vector}
(1,0)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)\) SR 4-CoVector
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)
= Lorentz Scalar

\section*{SRQM: The Speed-of-Light (c) \(c^{2}\) Invariant Relations (part 2)}

The Speed-of-Light (c) is THE connection between Time and Space: \(\mathrm{dR}=(\mathrm{cdt}, \mathrm{dr})\)

This physical constant appears in several seemingly unrelated places. You don't notice these cool relations when you set \(\mathrm{c} \rightarrow 1\). Also notice that the set of all these relations definitely rules out a variable speed-of-light. (c) is an Invariant Lorentz Scalar constant.
\(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\mathrm{c}^{2}\)
\(\left|u^{*} v_{\text {phase }}\right|=\left|v_{\text {group }}{ }^{*} v_{\text {phase }}\right|=c^{2}\) Particle-Wave "Duality" Correlation
\(A^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}\right)=\lambda^{2}\left(f^{2}-f_{0}^{2}\right)=c^{2}\)
\(\left(1 / \varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}\right)=c^{2}\)
\(-\left(\hbar / m_{0}\right)^{2}(\partial \cdot \partial)=c^{2}\)
\(\left(\hbar / A_{c} m_{o}\right)^{2}=c^{2}\)
\(2 \mathrm{GM} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{c}^{2}\)
\(8 \pi G / k=c^{2}\)
( \(\mathrm{c}^{ \pm 1 *}\) scalar, 3-vector) \(=4\)-Vector

Speed of all things into the Future
\(\left(\mathrm{E}_{0} / m_{0}\right)=\left(\gamma \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \gamma \mathrm{m}_{0}\right)=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{m})=c^{2}\) Mass is concentrated Energy, \(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}\)

Wavelength-Frequency Relation: \(\lambda f=\mathrm{c}\) for photons
Electric ( \(\varepsilon_{0}\) ) and Magnetic ( \(\mu_{\circ}\) ) EM Field Constants
Relativistic Quantum Wave Equation
Klein-Gordon (spin 0), Proca (spin 1), Maxwell (spin \(1, m_{0}=0\) ) Factors to Dirac (spin \(1 / 2\) ) Classical-limit ( \(\mid \mathbf{v} \lll<\) ) to Schrödinger
Reduced Compton Wavelength: \(A_{C}=\left(\hbar / m_{0} c\right)\)
GR Black Hole Equation
\(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\) Schwarzschild Radius
\(\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{GR}\) GravitationalConst, \(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{BH}\) Mass
GR Einstein Curvature Constant (mass density fom): \(\mathrm{K}=8 \pi \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\)
Every Physical 4-Vector has a (c) factor to maintain equivalent dimensional units across the whole 4-Vector

\section*{Minkowski}

Metric

\section*{SR 4-Vector}
(1,0)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)\) SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar
\((0,0)\)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar

Trace \(\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T\) \(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{v}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\) = Lorentz Scalar

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study: 4-ThermalVector}

The 4-ThermalVector is used in Relativistic Thermodynamics.
My prime motivation for the form of this 4 -Vector is that the probability distributions calculated by statistical mechanics ought to be covariant functions since they are based on counting arguments.
\(F(\) state \() \sim e^{\wedge}-\left(E / k_{B} T\right)=e^{\wedge}-(\beta E)\), with this \(\beta=1 / k_{B} T\), (not v/c)
A covariant way to get this is the Lorentz Scalar Product of the 4-Momentum \(\mathbf{P}\) with the 4-ThermalVector \(\mathbf{O}\). \(F(\) state \() \sim \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}-(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{O})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}-\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}} / k_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\)

This also gets Boltzmann's constant \(\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\) out there with the other Lorentz Scalars like (c) and ( \(\dagger\) )
see (Relativistic) Maxwell-Jüttner distribution
\(f[\mathrm{P}]=\mathrm{N}_{0}\left(\left(2 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}\right)^{d} \mathrm{~K}_{((d+1) / 2]}\left[\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c} \Theta_{0}\right]\right)^{*}\left(m_{0} \mathrm{c} \Theta_{0} / 2 \pi\right)^{(d-1) / 2}\right.\) * \(e^{-(P \cdot \theta)}\)
\(f[P]=\mathrm{N}_{0} /\left(2 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{C}\right)^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{[2]}\left[\mathrm{m}_{0} c \Theta_{0}\right]\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{0} c \Theta_{0} / 2 \pi\right)^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{P} \cdot 0)}\)
\(f[P]=\left(\Theta_{0}\right) N_{0} /\left(4 \pi c\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2} K_{k 2}\left[m_{0} c \Theta_{0}\right]\right)^{*} e^{-(P \cdot \theta)}\)
\(f[P]=\mathrm{CN} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(4 \pi \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{0} \mathrm{C}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~K}_{[2[2}\left[\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{C} \Theta_{0}\right]\right)^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-(P \cdot 0)}\)
\(f[P]=N_{o} /\left(4 \pi k_{B} T_{0} m_{0}{ }^{2} c K_{[2]}\left[m_{0} c^{2} / k_{B} T_{0}\right]\right)^{*} e^{-(P \cdot \theta)}\)
It is possible to find this distribution written in multiple ways because many authors don't show constants, which is quite annoying. Show the damn constants people! \(\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}\right),(\mathrm{c}),(\hbar)\) deserve at least that much respect.

\author{

}

4-Gradient \(\partial=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\)

(

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)\)
SR 4-CoVector
SR 4-Scalar (0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
Be careful not to confuse (unfortunate symbol clash): Thermal \(\beta=1 / \mathrm{k}_{B} T\)

Relatvisitic \(\beta=\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\)
These are totally separate uses of \((\beta)\)
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T \\ & \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=V^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} V^{v}=\left[\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(v^{0}{ }_{o}\right)^{2} \\ &=\end{aligned}\)
\(=\) Lorentz Scalar

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study: 4-ThermalVector}

4-Acceleration MCRF \(=\) A \(_{\text {MCRF }}=\) A MCRF \(^{\mu}=(0, a)_{\text {MCRF }}\)
Take the Lorentz Scalar Product with the 4-ThermalVector
\(\left(\mathrm{ac} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\right)=\) Invariant [Invariant Units] \(=\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right] \cdot[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}] /\left[\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]=[1 / \mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{s}] \sim \mathrm{c}^{2} / \hbar\)
\(\left(\mathrm{ac} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\right)=\) Invariant \(\sim \mathrm{c}^{2} / \hbar\)

Further methods give the constant of proportionality ( \(1 / 2 \pi\) ): \(\mathrm{T}_{\text {Hawking }}=\hbar \mathrm{g} / 2 \pi \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C}\) \{due to gravitational acceleration \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g}\) \}

The 4-ThermalVector is used in Relativistic Thermodynamics.
It can be used in a partial derivation of Unruh-Hawking Radiation (up to a numerical constant).
Let a "Unruh-DeWitt thermal detector" be in the Momentarily-Comoving-Rest-Frame (MCRF) of a constant spatial acceleration (a), in which \(|\mathbf{u}| \rightarrow 0, \gamma \rightarrow 1, \gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow 0\).

AmCRF \(\cdot \mathbf{O}=(0, a)_{\text {MCRF }} \cdot\left(c / k_{B} T, u / k_{B} T\right)=\left(-a \cdot u / k_{B} T\right)=\) Lorentz Scalar Invariant
The (u) here is part of the 4-ThermalVector: the 3-velocity of the thermal radiation. (not from AmcrF) Let the thermal radiation be photonic:EM in nature, so \(|\mathrm{u}|=\mathrm{c}\), and in a direction opposing the acceleration of the "thermal detector", which removes the minus sign.

Use Dimensional Analysis to find appropriate Lorentz Scalar Invariant with same units:

Temperature \(\mathrm{T} \sim \hbar a / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C}\), \{from EM radiation, only from the dir. of acceleration\} \(\mathrm{T}_{\text {Unruh }}=\hbar a / 2 \pi \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C}\) \{due to constant Minkowski-hyperbolic acceleration\}
\(\mathrm{T}_{\text {SR }}=-\hbar(\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}) / 2 \pi \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C}^{2}\left\{\right.\) correct version from 4-Vector derivation \(\left.\mathbf{A}_{\text {MCRF }} \cdot \mathbf{O}=2 \pi \mathrm{c}^{2} / \hbar\right\}\)

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar


4-ThermalVector
4-InverseTempMomentum
\(\boldsymbol{\Theta}=(\theta, \theta)=\left(c / k_{B} T, u / k_{B} T\right)=\left(\theta_{0} / c\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(1 / k_{B} T_{o}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)
\(\mathbf{A}_{\text {MCRF }} \cdot \mathbf{O}\)
\(=(0, a)_{\text {MCRF }} \cdot\left(c / k_{B} T, u / k_{B} T\right)\)
\(=\left(0^{*} c / k_{B} T-a \cdot u / k_{B} T\right)\) \(=\left(-a \cdot u / k_{B} T\right)\)
\(=\) Invariant \({ }_{\text {(dim of }[1 / \mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{s}])}\)


Invariant
Distribution Function
\(N_{i}=1 /\left[e^{\wedge}\left(E_{i} / k_{B} T\right) \pm 1\right]\)
\(=1 /\left[\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{O}\right) \pm 1\right]\)
\((-) \rightarrow\) Bose-Einstein
\((+) \rightarrow\) Fermi-Dirac
\(=(E / c, p) \cdot\left(c / k_{B} T, \theta\right)\) \(=\left(E / k_{B} T-p \cdot \theta\right)\) \(=\left(E_{0} / k_{B} T_{0}\right)\)
\(=\) Invariant \({ }_{\text {dimensionless }}\) Just a number

Note that the temperature here is relativistically direction-specific, unlike in the classical use of temperature.

Trace \(\left[T^{\mathrm{VV}}\right]=\eta_{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mu}=T\)
\(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{I} v} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathbf{v}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\) = Lorentz Scalar

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study: 4-EntropyFlux}

The 4-EntropyVector is used in Relativistic Thermodynamics.
Pure Entropy is a Lorentz Scalar in all frames

 not finished yet...

Page under construction


\section*{SRQM Interpretation: ** Transition to QM **}

Up to this point, we have basically been exploring the SR aspects of 4-Vectors.
It is now time to show how RQM and QM fit into the works...
This is SRQM, [ SR \(\rightarrow\) QM ]
RQM \& QM are derivable from SR

SRQM: A treatise by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

SRQM Basic Idea (part 1) SR \(\rightarrow\) Relativistic Wave Eqn

The basic idea is to show that Special Relativity plus a few empirical facts lead to Relativistic Wave Equations, and thus RQM, without using any assumptions or axioms from Quantum Mechanics.

\section*{Start only with the concepts of SR, no concepts from QM}
(1) SR provides the ideas of Invariant Intervals and ( c ) as a Physical Constant, as well as:

Poincaré Invariance, Minkowski 4D SpaceTime, ProperTime, and Physical SR 4-Vectors
Note empirical facts which can relate the SR 4-Vectors from the following:
(2a) Elementary matter particles each have RestMass, ( \(m_{0}\) ), which can be measured by experiment: eg. collision, cyclotrons, Compton Scattering, etc.
(2b) There is a constant, ( \(\hbar\) ), which can be measured by classical experiment - eg. the Photoelectric Effect, the inverse Photoelectric Effect, LED's=Injection Electroluminescence, DuaneHunt Law in Bremsstralung, the Watt/Kibble-Balance, etc. All known particles obey this constant.
(2c) The use of complex numbers ( i ) and differential operators \(\left\{\partial_{i}\right.\) and
\} in wave-type equations comes from pure mathematics: not necessary to assume any QM Axioms

\footnotetext{
These few things are enough to derive the RQM Klein-Gordon equation, the most basic of the relativistic wave equations. Taking the low-velocity limit \(\{|v| \ll c\) \} (a standard SR technique) leads to the Schrödinger Equation.
}

\section*{SRQM Basic Idea (part 2) \\ }

If one has a Relativistic Wave Equation, such as the Klein-Gordon equation, then one has RQM, and thence QM via the low-velocity limit \(\{|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}\).

The physical and mathematical properties of QM, usually regarded as axiomatic, are inherent in the Klein-Gordon RWE itself.

QM Principles emerge not from \{ QM Axioms + SR \(\rightarrow\) RQM \}, but from \{ SR + Empirical Facts \(\rightarrow\) RQM \(\}\).

The result is a paradigm shift from the idea of \{ SR and QM as separate theories \} to \{ QM derived from SR \} - leading to a new interpretation of QM:
The SRQM or [SR \(\rightarrow\) QM] Interpretation.
GR \(\rightarrow\) (low-mass limit = \{curvature \(\sim 0\}\) limit \() \rightarrow\) SR
SR \(\rightarrow\) (+ a few empirical facts) \(\rightarrow\) RQM
\(R Q M \rightarrow(\) low-velocity limit \(\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c\}) \rightarrow\) QM
The results of this analysis will be facilitated by the use of SR 4-Vectors

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Path to QM}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline SR 4-Vector & Definition Component Notation & Unites \\
\hline 4-Position & \(\mathbf{R}=\mathrm{R}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})\) & Time, Space -when \& where \\
\hline 4-Velocity & \(\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{U}^{\mu}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\) & Lorentz Gamma * (c, Velocity) -nothing faster than c \\
\hline 4-Momentum & \(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{P}^{\mu}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})\) & Mass:Energy, Momentum -used in 4-Momenta Conservation \(\Sigma P_{\text {final }}=\Sigma P_{\text {initial }}\) \\
\hline 4-WaveVector & \(\mathbf{K}=\mathrm{K}^{\mu}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})=\left(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \omega \hat{\mathbf{n}} / \mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}\right)\) & Ang. Frequency, WaveNumber -used in Relativistic Doppler Shift \(\omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emit }} /[\gamma(1-\beta \cos [\theta])], k=\omega / c_{\text {for photons }}\) \\
\hline 4-Gradient & \[
\begin{aligned}
\partial & =\partial^{\mu}=\left(\partial_{\partial} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \\
& =\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\partial_{x},-\partial_{y},-\partial_{z}\right) \\
& =(\partial / \partial \mathrm{ct},-\partial / \partial \mathrm{x},-\partial \partial \mathrm{\partial},-\partial / \partial z)
\end{aligned}
\] & Temporal Partial, Spatial Partial -used in SR Continuity Eqns., ProperTime -eg. \(\boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=0\) means \(\boldsymbol{A}\) is conserved \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All of these are standard SR 4-Vectors, which can be found and used in a totally relativistic context, with no mention or need of QM.
I want to emphasize that these objects are ALL relativistic in origin.

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Invariants}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
SR 4-Vector & Lorentz Invariant & What it means in SR... \\
4-Position & \(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}=(c t)^{2}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}=\left(c t_{o}\right)^{2}=(c \tau)^{2}\) & SR Invariant Interval \\
4-Velocity & \(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma^{2}\left(c^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=c^{2}\) & Events move into future at magnitude \(c\) \\
4-Momentum & \(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}=(E / c)^{2}-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{o} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\) & Einstein Mass:Energy Relation \\
4-WaveVector & \(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c})^{2}-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}=\left(\omega_{o} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\) & Dispersion Invariance Relation \\
4-Gradient & \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=\left(\partial_{\tau} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\) & The d'Alembert Operator
\end{tabular}

All 4-Vectors have invariant magnitudes, found by taking the scalar product of the 4-Vector with itself. Quite often a simple expression can be found by examining the case when the spatial part is zero. This is usually found when the 3 -velocity is zero. The temporal part is then specified by its "rest" value.

For example: \(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}=(E / c)^{2}-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\)
\(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Sqrt}\left[\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}+\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right]\), from above relation
\(\mathrm{E}=\gamma \mathrm{E}_{0} \quad\), using \(\left\{\gamma=1 / \operatorname{Sqrt}\left[1-\beta^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Sqrt}\left[1+\gamma^{2} \beta^{2}\right]\right\}\) and \(\{\beta=\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}\}\)
meaning the relativistic energy E is equal to the relative gamma factor \(\gamma\) * the rest energy \(\mathrm{E}_{\text {。 }}\)

\title{
SR + A few empirical facts: SRQM Overview
}
SR 4-Vector

4-Position \(\mathbf{R}=(\) ct, \(\mathbf{r})\); alt. \(\mathbf{X}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{R}=\langle\) Event \(>\); alt. \(\mathbf{X}\)
4-Velocity \(\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})\)
4-Momentum \(\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=(\mathrm{mc}, \mathrm{p})\)
4-WaveVector K = ( \(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})\)
4-Gradient \(\partial=\left(\partial_{\dagger} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\)

\section*{Empirical Fact}
U
\(\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}\)
\(K=P / \hbar\)
\(\partial=-i K\)

\section*{SI Dimensional Units}
[m]
[m/s]
[ \(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}\) ]
[\{rad\}/m]
[1/m]

The Axioms of SR, which are actually GR limiting-cases, lead us to the use of Minkowski Space and Physical 4-Vectors, which are elements of Minkowski Space (4D SpaceTime).

Empirical Observation leads us to the transformation relations between the components of these SR 4-Vectors, and to the chain of relations between the 4-Vectors themselves

These relations all turn out to be Lorentz Invariant Constants, whose values are measured empirically.

The combination of these SR objects and their relations is enough to derive RQM.

\section*{SRQM Chart:}

\section*{SRQM: The [SR \(\rightarrow\) QM] Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics}

Special Relativity (SR) Axioms: Invariant Interval + LightSpeed (c) as Physical Constant lead to SR, although technically SR is itself the Minkowski-SpaceTime low-curvature:"flat" limiting-case of GR. \(\left\{c, \tau, m_{0}, \hbar, i\right\}=\left\{c:\right.\) SpeedOfLight, \(\tau\) :ProperTime, \(m_{0}\) :RestMass, \(\hbar:\) DiracConstant, i:ImaginaryNumber \(\left.\sqrt{ }[-1]\right\}\) : are all Empirically Measured SR Lorentz Invariants and/or Mathematical Constants

Standard SR 4-Vectors:
4-Position \(\quad \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r}) \quad\) Related

4-Position
\(\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})\)
= <Event>
\((\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R})=(\mathrm{c} \tau)^{2}\)
4-Velocity
\(\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\)
\(=(\mathrm{U} \cdot \partial) \mathbf{R}=\left({ }^{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{r}\right) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\((\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U})=(\mathrm{c})^{2}\)
4-Momentum
\(\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})\)
\(=m_{0} \mathbf{U}\)
\((P \cdot P)=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}\)
4-WaveVector
\(\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})\)
\(=P / \hbar\)
\((K \cdot K)=\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)
\(|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\)
4-Gradient \(\quad \partial=(\partial / \mathrm{c},-\overline{ })\)
\((\partial \cdot \partial)=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=\) KG Eqn:Relation \(\rightarrow R Q M \rightarrow Q M\)

SR + Empirically Measured Physical Constants lead to RQM via the Klein-Gordon Quantum Eqn, and thence to QM via the low-velocity limit \(\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}\), giving the Schrödinger Eqn. This fundamental KG relation also leads to the other

Quantum Wave Equations:
spin=0 field=4-Scalar:
spin=1/2 field=4-Spinor:
spin=1

RQM
RQM
QM
\(\left\{|v|=c: m_{0}=0\right\}\)
Free Scalar Wave
Weyl
Maxwell (EM)
\(\left\{0<=|v|<c: m_{0}>0\right\}\)
Klein-Gordon
Dirac (w/ EM)
Proca
\(\left\{0<=|v| \ll c: m_{0}>0\right\}\)
Schrödinger (regular QM)
Pauli (w/ EM)

SRQM: A treatise of SR \(\rightarrow\) QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com) \\ \title{
SRQM Diagram: \\ \title{
SRQM Diagram: RoadMap of SR (4-Vectors)
}

4-Momentum
\(P=(m c, p)=(E / c, p)\)

\section*{SRQM Diagram: RoadMap of SR (Connections)}
 SR 4-CoVector
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

\section*{SRQM Diagram: RoadMap of SR (Free Particle)}

SR Wave <Events> have 4-WaveVector=Substantiation oscillations proportional to mass:energy \& 3-momentum
*START HERE*: <Events> have 4-Position=Location in SR SpaceTime

<Events> have 4-Velocity=Motion in SR SpaceTime as both particles \& waves

SR 4-Vector
\((1,0)\)-Tensor \(V^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(v^{0}, v\right)\)
SR 4-CoVector \((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)
\(=\) Lorentz Scalar

4-Gradient=Alteration of SR <Events> SR SpaceTime Dimension=4 SR SpaceTime 4D Metric SR Lorentz Transforms SR Action \(\rightarrow\) 4-Momentum SR Phase \(\rightarrow 4\)-WaveVector SR Proper Time SR \& QM Waves
\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial \cdot \partial & =\left(\partial_{\tau} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla \\
& =\left(\partial_{\tau} / c\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
\]
d'Alembertian
Free Particle Wave Equation

SRQM Diagram:

\section*{SRQM Diagram: RoadMap of SR (EM Potential)}
*START HERE*: <Events> have 4-Position=Location in SR SpaceTime
4-Gradient=Alteration of SR <Events>
SR SpaceTime Dimension=4 SR SpaceTime 4D Metric SR Lorentz Transforms SR Action \(\rightarrow 4\)-Momentum SR Phase \(\rightarrow 4\)-WaveVector SR Proper Time SR \& QM Waves

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial \cdot \partial & =\left(\partial_{t} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla \\
& =\left(\partial_{\tau} / c\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
\]
d'Alembertian
Particle
Wave Equation
in EM Potential

\(\qquad\)

\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\section*{Special Relativity \(\rightarrow\) Quantum Mechanics RoadMap of SR \(\rightarrow\) QM (EM Potential)}

4-Gradient=Alteration of SR <Events> SR SpaceTime Dimension=4 SR SpaceTime 4D Metric SR Lorentz Transforms SR Action \(\rightarrow 4\)-Momentum SR Phase \(\rightarrow 4\)-WaveVector SR Proper Time SR \& QM Waves

SR \(\rightarrow\) RQM Klein-Gordon

\section*{Relativistic Quantum} Particle in EM Potential d'Alembertian Wave Equation

\(=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \mathrm{A}\right) \cdot\left(\partial_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \mathrm{A}\right)\) \(=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)

Limit: \(\{|\mathrm{v}| \ll c\}\)
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{q} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)\right]\) (iћ \(\left.\partial_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{V}+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)\right]\)
with potential \(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{q} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)\)
\(\underset{* *[S R}{=\text { Schrödinger QM Equation (EM potential) }}\) ** \(\mathbf{S R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q M}]^{* *}\)


SR Wave <Events> have
4-WaveVector=Substantiation oscillations proportional to mass:energy \& 3-momentum
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=(\omega / c)^{2}-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\
= & \left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}}-(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}\right) \\
= & \left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
\]
*START HERE*: <Events> have 4-Position=Location in SR SpaceTime \((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

SR Particle <Events> have \(=\left(P_{T}-q A\right) \cdot\left(P_{T}-q A\right)\) 4-Momentum=Substantiation mass:energy \& 3-momentum

\section*{SRQM: The Empirical 4-Vector Facts}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SR 4-Vector & Empirical Fact & Discoverer & Physics \\
\hline 4-Position & \(\mathbf{R}=<\) Event \(>\) & Newton+ Einstein & [ t \& r] Time \& Space Dimensions [ R=(ct,r)] SpaceTime \\
\hline 4-Velocity & \(\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\) & Newton Einstein & \begin{tabular}{ll}
{\([\mathbf{v}=\mathrm{dr} / \mathrm{dt}]\)} & Calculus of motion \\
{\([\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau]\)} & Gamma \& Proper Time
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4-Momentum & \(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}\) & Newton Einstein & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
{[p=m v]} & \text { Classical Mechanics } \\
{\left[\mathbf{P}=(E / c, p)=m_{0} \mathbf{U}\right]} & \text { SR Mechanics }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 4-WaveVector & \(K=P / \hbar\) & Planck Einstein de Broglie & \begin{tabular}{ll}
{\([\mathrm{h}]\)} & Thermal Distribution \\
{\([\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=\hbar \omega]\)} & Photoelectric Effect \((\hbar=\mathrm{h} / 2 \pi)\) \\
{\([\mathrm{p}=\hbar \mathrm{k} k\)} & Matter Waves
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4-Gradient & \(\partial=-\mathrm{i} K\) & Schrödinger & [ \(\omega=\mathrm{i} \partial_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathbf{k}=-\mathrm{i} \nabla\) ] (SR) Wave Mechanics \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(1) The SR 4-Vectors and their components are related to each other via constants
(2) We have not taken any 4 -vector relation as axiomatic, the constants come from experiment.
(3) \(c, \tau, m_{0}\), \(\hbar\) come from physical experiments, ( \(-i\) ) comes from the general mathematics of waves

\section*{The SRQM 4-Vector Relations Explained}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline SR 4-Vector & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Empirical \\
Fact
\end{tabular} & What it means in SRQM... & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Lorentz \\
Invariant
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4-Position \(\mathbf{R}=(c t, r)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\mathbf{R}=\) \\
<Event>
\end{tabular} & SpaceTime as Unified Concept & \(\mathrm{c}=\) LightSpeed \\
\hline 4-Velocity \(\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\) & \(\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\) & Velocity is ProperTime Derivative & \(\tau=\mathrm{t}_{0}=\) ProperTime \\
\hline 4-Momentum \(\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})\) & \(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}\) & Mass:Energy-Momentum Equivalence & \(\mathrm{m}_{0}=\) RestMass \\
\hline 4-WaveVector \(\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})\) & \(\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{P} / \hbar\) & Wave-Particle Duality & \(\hbar=\) UniversalAction \\
\hline 4-Gradient \(\boldsymbol{\partial = ( \partial _ { t } / \mathrm { c } , - \nabla )}\) & \(\partial=-\mathrm{iK}\) & Unitary Evolution, Operator Formalism & \(\mathrm{i}=\) ComplexSpace \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Three old-paradigm QM Axioms:
Particle-Wave Duality \([(\mathbf{P})=\hbar(\mathbf{K})]\), Unitary Evolution \([\partial=(-i) K]\), Operator Formalism \([(\partial)=-i \mathbf{K}]\) are actually just empirically-found constant relations between known SR 4-Vectors.
Note that these constants are in fact all Lorentz Scalar Invariants.
Minkowski Space and 4-Vectors also lead to idea of Lorentz Invariance. A Lorentz Invariant is a quantity that always has the same value, independent of the motion of inertial observers.
Lorentz Invariants can typically be derived using the scalar product relation.
\(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{c}^{2}, \mathbf{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau, \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\), etc.
A very important Lorentz invariant is the Proper Time \(\tau\), which is defined as the time displacement between two points on a worldline that is at rest wrt. an observer. It is used in the relations between 4-Position \(\mathbf{R}, 4\)-Velocity \(\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\), and 4-Acceleration \(\mathbf{A}=\mathrm{dU} / \mathrm{d} \tau\).

\title{
SRQM: The SR Path to RQM Follow the Invariants...
}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline SR 4-Vector & Lorentz Invariant \\
4-Position & \(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}=(c t)^{2}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}=(\mathrm{c} \tau)^{2}\) \\
4-Velocity & \(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\gamma^{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)=\mathrm{c}^{2}\) \\
4-Momentum & \(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} c\right)^{2}\) \\
4-WaveVector & \(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}\) \\
4-Gradient & \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(-\mathrm{i} m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{What it means in SRQM...}

SR Invariant Interval
Events move into future at magnitude c Einstein Mass:Energy Relation Matter-Wave Dispersion Relation The Klein-Gordon Equation \(\rightarrow\) RQM!
\(\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{d} \mathbf{R} / \mathrm{d} \tau\)
Remember, everything after 4-Velocity was just a constant times the last 4-vector, and the Invariant Magnitude of the 4-Velocity is itself a constant
\(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}=\mathbf{P} / \hbar, \partial=-i \mathbf{K}\), so e.g. \(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{0} \mathbf{U}=\mathrm{m}_{0}{ }^{2} \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U}=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}\)

\section*{SRQM: Some Basic 4-Vectors}
P•dR \(=-S_{\text {action,free }}\)
Existing SR Rules
Quantum Principles

\section*{SRQM: Wave-Particle Diffraction/Interference Types}

The 4-Vector Wave-Particle relation is inherent in all particle types: Einstein-de Broglie \(P=(E / c, p)=\hbar K=\hbar(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})\).
All waves can diffract: Water waves, gravitational waves, photonic waves of all frequencies, etc.
In all cases: experiments using single particles build the diffraction/interference pattern over the course many iterations.

Photon/light Diffraction: Photonic particles diffracted by matter particles.
Photons of any frequency encounter a "solid" object or grating.
Most often encountered are diffraction gratings and the famous double-slit experiment

Matter Diffraction: Matter particles diffracted by matter particles.
Electrons, neutrons, atoms, small molecules, buckyballs (fullerenes), macromolecules, etc.
have been shown to diffract through crystals.
Crystals may be solid single pieces or in powder form.

Kapitsa-Dirac Diffraction: Matter particles diffracted by photonic standing waves.
Electrons, atoms, super-sonic atom beams have been diffracted from resonant standing waves of light.


Photonic-Photonic Diffraction?: Delbruck scattering
Light-by-light scattering/two-photon physics/gamma-gamma physics.
Normally, photons do not interact, but at high enough relative energy, virtual particles can form which allow interaction.
(0,0)-Tensor S
Lorentz Scalar
= Lorentz Scalar

\title{
Hold on, aren't you getting the " \(\hbar\) " from a QM Axiom?
}

\section*{SR Empirical Fact}

4-WaveVector
\[
\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k})=\left(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \omega \hat{\mathbf{n}} / \mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}\right)=\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}
\]

\section*{What it means...}

Wave-Particle Duality
\(\hbar\) is actually an empirically measurable quantity, just like e or c. It can be measured classically from the photoelectric effect, the inverse photoelectric effect, from LED's (injection electroluminescence), from the Duane-Hunt Law in Bremsstrahlung, Electron Diffraction in crystals, the Watt/KibbleBalance, etc.

For the LED experiment, one uses several different LED's, each with its own characteristic wavelength.
One then makes a chart of wavelength ( \(\lambda\) ) vs threshold voltage \((V)\) needed to make each individual LED emit.
One finds that: \(\left\{\lambda=h^{*} c /(e \mathrm{~V})\right\}\), where \(e=\) ElectronCharge and \(c=\) LightSpeed. \(h\) is found by measuring the slope.
Consider this as a blackbox where no assumption about QM is made. However, we know the \(\operatorname{SR}\) relations \(\{E=e V\}\), and \(\{\lambda f=c\}\).
The data force one to conclude that \(\{E=h f=\hbar \omega\}\).
Applying our 4-Vector knowledge, we recognize this as the temporal components of a 4-Vector relation. \((E / c, \ldots)=\hbar(\omega / c, \ldots)\)
Due to manifest tensor invariance, this means that 4-Momentum \(\boldsymbol{P}=(E / c, \boldsymbol{p})=\hbar \boldsymbol{K}=\hbar(\omega / c, \boldsymbol{k})=\hbar^{*} 4\)-WaveVector \(\boldsymbol{K}\).
The spatial component (due to De Broglie) follows naturally from the temporal component (due to Einstein) via to the nature of 4-Vector mathematics.
This is also derivable from pure SR 4-Vector (Tensor) arguments: \(\mathbf{P}=m_{0} \mathbf{U}=\left(E_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\) and \(\mathbf{K}=\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\)
Since \(\mathbf{P}\) and \(\mathbf{K}\) are both Lorentz Scalar proportional to \(\mathbf{U}\), then by the rules of tensor mathematics, \(\mathbf{P}\) must also be Lorentz Scalar proportional to \(\mathbf{K}\). i.e. Tensors obey certain mathematical structures:

Transitivity\{if \(a \sim b\) and \(b \sim c\), then \(a \sim c\}\) \& Euclideaness: \(\{i f a \sim c\) and \(b \sim c\), then \(a \sim b\}{ }^{* *} N o t\) to be confused with the Euclidean Metric**
This invariant proportional constant is empirically measured to be ( \(\hbar\) ) for each known particle type, massive ( \(m_{0}>0\) ) or massless ( \(m_{0}=0\) ):
\(\mathbf{P}=m_{0} \mathbf{U}=\left(E_{o} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(E_{o} / c^{2}\right) /\left(\omega_{0} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{K}=\left(E_{0} / \omega_{o}\right) \mathbf{K}=\left(\gamma E_{o} / \gamma \omega_{o}\right) \mathbf{K}=(E / \omega) \mathbf{K}=(\hbar) \mathbf{K}\)
\begin{tabular}{|lll|}
\hline SR 4-Vector & SR Empirical Fact & What it means... \\
4-WaveVector & \(\mathbf{K}=(\omega / c, k)=\left(\omega / c, \omega \hat{1} / v_{\text {phase }}\right)=\left(\omega_{o} / c^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\) & Wave-Particle Duality
\end{tabular}
\(\mathbf{K}\) is a standard SR 4-Vector, used in generating the SR formulae:

\section*{Relativistic Doppler Effect:}
\(\omega_{\text {obs }}=\omega_{\text {emit }} /[\gamma(1-\beta \cos [\theta])], \quad \mathrm{k}=\omega / \mathrm{c}_{\text {for photons }}\)

\section*{Relativistic Aberration Effect:}
\(\cos \left[\theta_{\text {obs }}\right]=\left(\cos \left[\theta_{\text {emit }}\right]+|\boldsymbol{\beta}|\right) /\left(1+|\beta| \cos \left[\theta_{\text {emith }}\right]\right)\)
The 4-WaveVector \(\mathbf{K}\) can be derived in terms of periodic motion, where families of surfaces move through space as time increases, or alternately, as families of hypersurfaces in SpaceTime, formed by all events passed by the wave surface. The 4-WaveVector is everywhere in the direction of propagation of the wave surfaces.
\(\mathbf{K}=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]\)
From this structure, one obtains relativistic/wave optics without ever mentioning QM.

\section*{Hold on, aren't you getting the "-i" from}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline SR 4-Vector & SR Empirical Fact & What it means... \\
4-Gradient & \(\partial=\left(\partial_{i} / c,-\nabla\right)=-\mathrm{iK}\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Unitary Evolution of States \\
Operator Formalism
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}
\([\partial=-i K]\) gives the sub-equations \(\left[\partial_{t}=-i \omega\right]\) and \([\nabla=i \mathbf{k}]\), and is certainly the main equation that relates QM and SR by allowing Operator Formalism. But, this is a basic equation regarding the general mathematics of plane-waves; not just quantum-waves, but anything that can be mathematically described by plane-waves and superpositions of plane-waves...
This includes purely SR waves, an example of which would be EM plane-waves (i.e. photons)...
\(\psi(t, r)=a e^{\wedge}[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}-\omega \mathrm{t})]\) : Standard mathematical plane-wave equation
\(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\Psi(\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{r})]=\partial_{\mathrm{t}}\left[\mathrm{ae} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}[\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}-\omega \mathrm{t})]\right]=(-\mathrm{i} \omega)\left[\mathrm{ae}{ }^{\wedge}[\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}-\omega \mathrm{t})]\right]=(-\mathrm{i} \omega) \psi(\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{r})\), or \(\left[\partial_{\mathrm{t}}=-\mathrm{i} \omega\right]\)
\(\nabla[\psi(\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{r})]=\nabla\left[\mathrm{ae}{ }^{\wedge}[\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}-\omega \mathrm{t})]\right]=(\mathrm{ik})\left[\mathrm{ae} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}[\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}-\omega \mathrm{t})]\right]=(\mathrm{ik}) \psi(\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{r})\), or \([\nabla=\mathrm{ik}]\)
In the more economical SR notation:
\(\partial[\psi(\mathbf{R})]=\partial\left[a e^{\wedge}(-\mathrm{iK} \cdot \mathbf{R})\right]=(-\mathrm{iK})\left[\mathrm{ae}^{\wedge}(-\mathrm{iK} \cdot \mathbf{R})\right]=(-\mathrm{iK}) \psi(\mathbf{R})\), or \([\partial=-\mathrm{iK}]\)
This one is more of a mathematical empirical fact, but regardless, it is not axiomatic.
It can describe purely SR waves, again without any mention of QM.

\section*{Hold on, aren't you getting the " \(\partial\) " from a QM Axiom?}
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|}
\hline SR 4-Vector & SR Empirical Fact & What it means... \\
4-Gradient & \(\partial=\left(\partial_{\ddagger} / c,-\nabla\right)=-\) iK & 4D Gradient Operator
\end{tabular}
\(\left[\partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\right]\) is the SR 4-Vector Gradient Operator. It occurs in a purely relativistic context without ever mentioning QM.
\(\partial \cdot \mathbf{X}=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \cdot(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{x})=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{ct}]-(-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x})\right)=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}[t]+\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x}\right)(1)+(3)=4\)
The 4-Divergence of the 4-Position \(\left(\partial \cdot X=\partial^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} X^{v}\right)\) gives the dimensionality of SpaceTime.
\(\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{x})=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{ct}],-\nabla[\mathbf{x}]\right)=\operatorname{Diag}[1,-1]=\eta^{\mu v}\)
The 4-Gradient acting on the 4-Position \(\left(\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\partial^{\mu}\left[X^{\wedge}\right]\right)\) gives the Minkowski Metric Tensor
\(\partial \cdot \mathbf{J}=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right) \cdot(\rho \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{j})=\left(\partial_{\star} / \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{\rho c}]-(-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j})\right)=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\rho]+\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}\right)=0\)
The 4-Divergence of the 4-CurrentDensity is equal to 0 for a conserved current. It can be rewritten as \(\left(\partial_{t}[\rho]=-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}\right)\), which means that the time change of ChargeDensity is balanced by the space change or divergence of CurrentDensity. It is a Continuity Equation, giving local conservation of ChargeDensity. It is related to Noether's Theorem.

\section*{Hold on, doesn't using " \(\partial\) " in an Equation of Motion presume a QM Axiom?}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
SR 4-Vector & SR Empirical Fact & What it means... \\
4-(Position)Gradient & \(\partial_{R}=\partial=\left(\partial_{t} / c,-\nabla\right)=-\mathrm{iK}\) & 4D Gradient Operator
\end{tabular}

Klein-Gordon Relativistic Quantum Wave Equation \(\partial \cdot \partial[\Psi]=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[\Psi]=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}[\Psi]\)

Relativistic Euler-Lagrange Equations \(\partial_{\mathrm{R}}[\mathrm{L}]=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau) \partial_{\mathrm{u}}[\mathrm{L}]:\{\) particle format \(\}\) \(\partial_{[\phi[ }[\mathcal{L}]=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \partial_{\left[\partial_{\mathrm{R}}(\phi)\right.}[\mathcal{L}]:\{\) density format \(\}\)
[ \(\left.\partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{l},-\nabla\right)\right]\) is the SR 4-Vector (Position)Gradient Operator.
It occurs in a purely relativistic context without ever mentioning QM.
There is a long history of using the gradient operator on classical physics functions, in this case the Lagrangian. And, in fact, it is another area where the same mathematics is used in both classical and quantum contexts.

The QM Schrödinger Relation P = iћ \(\partial\)

This is derived from the combination of:

The Einstein-de Broglie Relation P = ћK

Complex Plane-Waves K = i \(\partial\)
\(\mathrm{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial=\mathrm{i} \hbar\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\) \{temporal\} E = i乞力
\{spatial\} \(p=-i \hbar \nabla\)
These are the standard QM Schrödinger Relations.

It is this Lorentz Scalar Invariant relation (iћ) which connects the 4-Momentum to the 4-Gradient, making it into a QM operator.

Note that these 4-Vectors are already connected in multiple


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T \({ }^{\mu \nu}\) (1,1)-Tensor \(T^{\mu}{ }_{v}\) or \(T_{\mu}\) \((0,2)\)-Tensor \(T_{\mu v}\)

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)\)
SR 4-CoVector
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

Trace \(\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu \nu}^{\mu}=T\)
\(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{v}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}\)
\(=\) Lorentz Scalar

\section*{Review of SR 4-Vector Mathematics}


Note that no QM Axioms are assumed: This is all just pure SR 4-vector (tensor) manipulation

\section*{Review of SR 4-Vector Mathematics}

Klein-Gordon Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=(\partial / c)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}=-\left(1 / A_{c}\right)^{2}\)
Let \(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=(\mathrm{ct}+\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{x})\), then \(\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\left(\partial_{V} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)(\mathrm{ct}+\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{x})=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]=\eta^{\mu v}\) so \(\partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\partial[\mathbf{X}]\) and \(\partial[\mathbf{K}]=[[0]]\)
let \(f=a e^{\wedge}-i\left(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\), the time translated version
\((\partial \cdot \partial)[f]\)
ว•(2[f])
\(\partial \cdot\left(\partial\left[\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}-i\left(\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right]\right)\)
\(\partial \cdot\left(\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}-i\left(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \partial\left[-i\left(\mathbf{K}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right]\right)\)
-i \(\partial \cdot\left(f \partial\left[K \cdot X_{T}\right]\right)\)
\(\left.\left.-i \partial[f] \partial\left[K \cdot \mathbf{X}_{T}\right]\right)+\Psi(\partial \cdot \partial)\left[K \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{T}}\right]\right)\)
\((-i)^{2}\left(\partial\left[K \cdot X_{T}\right]\right)^{2}+0\)
\((-i)^{2} f\left(\partial[K] \cdot \mathbf{X}_{T}+\mathbf{K} \cdot \partial\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]\right)^{2}\)
\((-i)^{2} f(0+K \cdot \partial[\mathrm{X}])^{2}\)
\((-i)^{2} f(K)^{2}\)
-(K-K)f
\(-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2 f}\)

\title{
What does the Klein-Gordon Equation give us?... A lot of RQM!
}

Relativistic Quantum Wave Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{l} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=\left(i m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}\)
The Klein-Gordon Eqn is itself the Relativistic Quantum Equation for spin=0 particles (Scalars) Factoring the KG Eqn leads to the RQM Dirac Equation for spin=1/2 particles (Spinors)
Applying the KG Eqn to a SR 4-Vector field leads to the RQM Proca Equation for spin=1 particles (4-Vectors)
Taking the low-velocity-limit of the KG leads to the standard QM non-relativistic Schrödinger Eqn, for spin=0 Taking the low-velocity-limit of the Dirac leads to the standard QM non-relativistic Pauli Eqn, for spin=1/2

Setting RestMass \(\left\{m_{0} \rightarrow 0\right\}\) leads to the RQM Free Wave, Weyl, and Free Maxwell Eqns
In all of these cases, the equations can be modified to work with various potentials by using more SR 4-Vectors, and more empirically found relations between them, e.g. the Minimal Coupling Relations: 4-TotalMomentum \(\mathbf{P}_{\text {tot }}=\mathbf{P}+q \mathbf{A}\), where \(\mathbf{P}\) is the particle 4-Momentum, \((q)\) is a charge, and \(\mathbf{A}\) is a 4-VectorPotential, typically the 4-EMVectorPotential.

Also note that generating QM from RQM (via a low-energy limit) is much more natural than attempting to "relativize or generalize" a given NRQM equation. Facts assumed from a non-relativistic equation may or may not be applicable to a relativistic one, whereas the relativistic facts are still true in the low-velocity limiting-cases. This leads to the idea that QM is an approximation only of a more general RQM, just as SR is an approximation only of GR.

\section*{Relativistic Quantum Wave Eqns.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Spin-(Statistics) \\
Bose-Einstein=n \\
Fermi-Dirac=n/2
\end{tabular} & Relativistic Light-like
\[
\text { Mass }=0
\] & Relativistic Matter-like Mass > 0 \\
\hline 0 -(Boson) & Free Wave N-G Bosons
\[
(\partial \cdot \partial) \Psi=0
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Klein-Gordon \\
Higgs Bosons, maybe Axions
\[
\left(\partial \cdot \partial+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\right) \Psi=\left[\partial_{\mu}+i m_{0} c / \hbar\right]\left[\partial^{\mu}-i m_{0} c / \hbar\right] \Psi=0
\] \\
with minimal coupling \\
\(\left(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{q} \varphi\right)^{2}-\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{c}^{2}(-\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla-\mathrm{qa})^{2}\right) \Psi=0\) \\
?Axions? are KG with EM invariant src term \(\left(\partial \cdot \partial+\left(m_{\mathrm{ao}}\right)^{2}\right) \Psi=-\kappa \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{b}=-\kappa \operatorname{Sart}\left[\operatorname{Det}\left[F^{\mu v}\right]\right]\) \\
\(L=\left(-\hbar^{2} / m_{0}\right) \partial^{\mu} \Psi^{*} \partial_{v} \Psi-m_{0} c^{2} \Psi * \Psi\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1/2-(Fermion) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Weyl Idealized Matter Neutinos
\[
(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \partial) \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0
\] \\
factored to \\
Right \& Left Spinors \\
\((\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \partial) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{R}}=0,(\overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \partial) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{L}}=0\)
\[
L=i \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+} \mathrm{O}^{4} \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{R}}, L=i \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\llcorner }^{\dagger} \overline{\mathrm{O}}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\llcorner }
\]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Dirac \\
Matter Leptons/Quarks
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i \boldsymbol{i} \cdot \partial-\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0 \\
& \left(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \partial+\mathrm{i} m_{0} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
with minimal coupling \\
(iv•( \(\left.\partial+i q \mathbf{A})-m_{0} c / \hbar\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0\) \\
\(L=i \hbar c \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \bar{\gamma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\Psi}-m_{0} c^{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1-(Boson) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Maxwell \\
Photons/Gluons \\
\((\partial \cdot \partial) \mathbf{A}=0\) free \\
\((\partial \cdot \partial) \mathbf{A}=\mu_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{w}\) current src \\
where \(\partial \cdot \mathbf{A}=0\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Proca \\
Force Bosons \\
\(\left(\partial \cdot \partial+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\right) \mathbf{A}=0\) \\
where \(\partial \cdot \mathbf{A}=0\) \\
\(\partial^{\mu}\left(\partial^{\mu} A^{v}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}\right)+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2} A^{v}=0\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Non-Relativistic Limit ( \(|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\) )}

Mass >0

\section*{Schrödinger}

Common NRQM Systems
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{t}+\left[\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2} / 2 m_{0}-V\right]\right) \Psi=0\)
with minimal coupling
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{q} \varphi-\left[(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})^{2}\right] / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right) \Psi=0\)

\section*{Pauli}

Common NRQM Systems w Spin
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{t}}-\left[(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})^{2}\right] / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0\)
with minimal coupling \(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{q} \varphi-\left[(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q} \mathbf{a}))^{2}\right] / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0\)

\section*{Field}

Representation

Scalar
(0-Tensor)
\(\psi=\psi\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mu} \mathrm{X}^{\mu}\right]\)
\(=\Psi[\Phi]\)

\section*{Spinor} \(\boldsymbol{\Psi}=\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[K_{\mu} X^{\mu}\right]\) \(=\boldsymbol{\Psi}[\Phi]\)

\section*{4-Vector}
(1-Tensor)
\(A=A^{v}=A^{v}\left[K_{\mu} X^{\mu}\right]\)
= \(\mathrm{A}^{\vee}\) [Ф]

\section*{Factoring the KG Equation \(\rightarrow\) Dirac Eqn}

Klein-Gordon Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=(\partial / \mathrm{c})^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)
Since the 4 -vectors are related by constants, we can go back to the 4-Momentum description:
```

$\left(\partial_{i} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}$
$(E / c)^{2}-p \cdot p=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}$
$E^{2}-c^{2} p \cdot p-\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)^{2}=0$
Factoring: $\left[E-c \alpha \cdot p-\beta\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)\right]\left[E+c \alpha \cdot p+\beta\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)\right]=0$

```
\(E \& p\) are quantum operators,
\(\alpha \& \beta\) are matrices which must obey \(\alpha \beta=-\beta \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}=-\alpha \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{2}=\beta^{2}=1\)
The left hand term can be set to 0 by itself, giving..
\(\left[E-c \alpha \cdot p-\beta\left(m_{\circ} c^{2}\right)\right]=0\), which is one form of the Dirac equation
Remember: \(P^{\mu}=\left(p^{0}, p\right)=(E / c, p)\) and \(\alpha^{\mu}=\left(\alpha^{0}, \alpha\right)\) where \(\alpha^{0}=I_{(2)}\)
\(\left[E-c \alpha \cdot p-\beta\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)\right]=\left[c \alpha^{0} p^{0}-c \alpha \cdot p-\beta\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)\right]=\left[c \alpha^{\mu} P_{\mu}-\beta\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)\right]=0\)
\(\left[\alpha^{\mu} P_{\mu}-\beta\left(m_{\circ} c\right)\right]=\left[i \hbar \alpha^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-\beta\left(m_{\circ} c\right)\right]=0\)
\(\alpha^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}=-\beta\left(i m_{0} c / \hbar\right)\)
Transforming from Pauli Spinor (2 component) to Dirac Spinor (4 component) form:
Dirac Equation: \(\left(\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}\right)[\psi]=-\left(i m_{0} c / \hbar\right) \psi\)
Thus, the Dirac Eqn is guaranteed by construction to be one solution of the KG Eqn

The KG Equation is at the heart of all the various relativistic wave equations, which differ based on mass and spin values, but all of them respect \(E^{2}-c^{2} p \cdot p-\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)^{2}=0\)

\section*{SRQM Study: Lots of Relativistic Quantum Wave Equations: A lot of RQM!}

Relativistic Quantum Wave Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(m_{0} \mathrm{c} / \hbar\right)^{2}=\left(\mathrm{im} \mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c} / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\) \(\partial \cdot \partial=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)

The Klein-Gordon Eqn is itself the Relativistic Quantum Equation for spin=0 particles \{Higgs\} (4-Scalars) Factoring the KG Eqn leads to the RQM Dirac Equation for spin=1/2 particles (4-Spinors)
Applying the KG Eqn to a SR 4-Vector field leads to the RQM Proca Equation for spin=1 particles (4-Vectors)
Setting RestMass \(\left\{\mathrm{m}_{0} \rightarrow 0\right.\) \} leads to the:
RQM Free Wave (4-Scalar massless)
RQM Weyl (4-Spinor massless)
Free Maxwell Eqns (4-Vector massless)
So, the same Relativistic Quantum Wave Equation is simply applied to different SR Tensorial Quantum Fields See Mathematical_formulation_of_the_Standard_Model at Wikipedia:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
4-Scalar (massive) & Higgs Field \(\varphi\) & {\(\left[\partial \cdot \partial=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\right] \varphi\)} & Free Field Eqn \(\rightarrow\) Klein-Gordon Eqn & \(\partial \cdot \partial[\varphi]=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2} \varphi\) \\
4-Vector (massive) & Weak Field \(Z^{\mu}, W^{ \pm \mu}\) & {\(\left[\partial \cdot \partial=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\right] Z^{\mu}\)} & Free Field Eqn \(\rightarrow\) Proca Eqn & \(\partial \cdot \partial\left[Z^{\mu}\right]=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2} Z^{\mu}\) \\
4-Vector (massless \(\left.m_{0}=0\right)\) & Photon Field \(A^{\mu}\) & {\([\partial \cdot \partial=0] A^{\mu}\)} & Free Field Eqn \(\rightarrow\) EM Wave Eqn & \(\partial \cdot \partial\left[A^{\mu}\right]=0^{\mu}\) \\
4-Spinor (massive) & Fermion Field \(\psi\) & {\(\left[\mathbf{Y} \cdot \partial=-i m_{0} c / \hbar\right] \Psi\)} & Free Field Eqn \(\rightarrow\) Dirac Eqn & \(Y \cdot \partial[\Psi]=-\left(i m_{0} c / \hbar\right) \Psi\)
\end{tabular}
*The Fermion field is a special case, the Dirac Gamma Matrices \(Y^{\mu}\) and 4-Spinor field \(\Psi\) work together to preserve Lorentz Invariance.

\section*{SRQM Study: Lots of Relativistic Quantum Wave Equations: A lot of RQM!}

In relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory, the Bargmann-Wigner equations describe free particles of arbitrary spin j , an integer for bosons ( \(j=1,2,3 \ldots\) ) or half-integer for fermions \((j=1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2 \ldots)\). The solutions to the equations are wavefunctions, mathematically in the form of multi-component spinor fields.

Bargmann-Wigner equations: \(\left(-\gamma^{\mu} P_{\mu}+m c\right)_{\text {ar } \alpha^{\prime} r} \Psi_{\text {a11...a'r....arj }}=0\)
In relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum field theory, the Joos-Weinberg equation is a relativistic wave equations applicable to free particles of arbitrary spin \(j\), an integer for bosons ( \(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3 \ldots\) ) or half-integer for fermions ( \(\mathrm{j}=1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2 \ldots\) ). The solutions to the equations are wavefunctions, mathematically in the form of multi-component spinor fields. The spin quantum number is usually denoted by s in quantum mechanics, however in this context \(j\) is more typical in the literature.

Joos-Weinberg equation: \(\left[\gamma^{\mu 1 \mu 2 \ldots \mu^{2 j}} P_{\mu 1} P_{\mu 2} \ldots P_{\mu 2 j}+(m c)^{2 j}\right] \Psi=0\)

The primary difference appears to be the expansion in either the wavefunctions for (BW) or the Dirac Gamma's for (JW)

For both of these: A state or quantum field in such a representation would satisfy no field equation except the Klein-Gordon equation.
Yet another form is the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau Equation vs Dirac Equation
DKP Eqn \(\{\) spin 0 or 1\(\}:\left(i \hbar \beta^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}-m_{0} c\right) \Psi=0\), with \(\beta^{\alpha}\) as the DKP matrices
Dirac Eqn (spin \(1 / 2\}\) : \(\left(i \hbar \gamma^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}-m_{0} c\right) \Psi=0\), with \(\gamma^{\alpha}\) as the Dirac Gamma matrices

\section*{A few more SR 4-Vectors}

\section*{SR 4-Vector}

4-Position
4-Velocity
4-Momentum
4-WaveVector
4-Gradient
4-VectorPotential
4-TotalMomentum
4-TotalWaveVector
4-CurrentDensity
4-ProbabiltyCurrentDensity
can have complex values

\section*{Definition}
\(\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{r}) ;\) alt. \(\mathbf{X}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{x})\)
\(\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})\)
\(\mathbf{P}=(E / c, p)=(m c, p)\)
\(\mathbf{K}=(\omega / c, \mathbf{k})=\left(\omega / c, \omega \hat{\mathbf{n}} / \mathrm{v}_{\text {phase }}\right)\)
\(\partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\)
\(\mathbf{A}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a})\)
\(\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {tot }}=(E / c+q \varphi / c, p+q \mathbf{a})\)
\(\mathbf{K}_{\text {tot }}=(\omega / c+(q / \hbar) \varphi / c, \mathbf{k}+(q / \hbar) \mathbf{a})\)
\(\mathbf{J}=(\mathrm{c} \rho, \mathbf{j})=\mathrm{q} \mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}\)
\(\mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}=\left(\mathrm{c} \rho_{\text {prob }}, \mathbf{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)\)

\section*{Unites}

Time, Space
Gamma, Velocity
Energy:Mass, Momentum
Frequency, WaveNumber
Temporal Partial, Space Partial
Scalar Potential, Vector Potential
Energy-Momentum inc. EM fields
Freq-WaveNum inc. EM fields
Charge Density, Current Density
QM Probability (Density, Current Density)

\section*{More SR 4-Vectors Explained}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline SR 4-Vector & Empirical Fact & What it means... \\
\hline 4-Position & \(\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathbf{r})\) & SpaceTime as Single United Concept \\
\hline 4-Velocity & \(\mathbf{U}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\) & Velocity is Proper Time Derivative \\
\hline 4-Momentum & \(\mathbf{P}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathbf{U}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\) & Mass-Energy-Momentum Equivalence \\
\hline 4-WaveVector & \(\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{P} / \hbar=\left(\omega_{o} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\) & Wave-Particle Duality \\
\hline 4-Gradient & \(\partial=-\mathrm{i} K\) & Unitary Evolution of States Operator Formalism, Complex Waves \\
\hline 4-VectorPotential & \(\mathbf{A}=(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{a})=\left(\varphi_{0} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\) & Potential Fields... \\
\hline 4-TotalMomentum & \(\mathbf{P}_{\text {tot }}=\mathbf{P}+\mathrm{qA}\) & Energy-Momentum inc. Potential Fields \\
\hline 4-TotalWaveVector & \(\mathbf{K}_{\text {tot }}=\mathbf{K}+(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}\) & Freq-WaveNum inc. Potential Fields \\
\hline 4-CurrentDensity & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{J}=\rho_{0} \mathbf{U}=q \mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }} \\
& \partial \cdot \mathbf{J}=0
\end{aligned}
\] & ChargeDensity-CurrentDensity Equivalence CurrentDensity is conserved \\
\hline 4-Probability CurrentDensity & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}=\left(c \rho_{\text {prob }}, \mathbf{j}_{\text {prob }}\right) \\
& \partial \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}=0
\end{aligned}
\] & QM Probability from SR Probability Worldlines are conserved \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

4-Vector SRQM Interpretation

\section*{Minimal Coupling = Potential Interaction Klein-Gordon Eqn \(\rightarrow\) Schrödinger Eqn}
\(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}=\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{P}+\mathrm{qA}\)
\(K=i \partial\)
P = ћK
P = iћ
\(\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{q} \mathbf{A}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{q} \varphi / \mathrm{c} \quad, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{qa}\right)\)
Minimal Coupling: Total = Dynamic + Charge_Coupled to 4-(EM)VectorPotential Complex Plane-Waves
Einstein-de Broglie QM Relations
Schrödinger Relations
\(\partial=\left(\partial_{i} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)=\partial_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{C}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \varphi / \mathrm{c},-\nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \mathbf{a}\right) \quad=-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}=(-\mathrm{i} / \hbar) \mathrm{P}\)
\(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{I} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla^{2}=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}:\)
\(P \cdot P=(E / c)^{2}-p^{2}=\left(m_{o} c\right)^{2}\) :
\(E^{2}=\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)^{2}+c^{2} p^{2}:\)
\(E \sim\left[\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)+p^{2} / 2 m_{0}\right]:\)
\(\left(E_{T}-q \varphi\right)^{2}=\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)^{2}+c^{2}\left(p_{T}-q a\right)^{2}:\)
\(\left(E_{T}-q \varphi\right) \sim\left[\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)+\left(p_{T}-q a\right)^{2} / 2 m_{0}\right]:\)
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{T}}-q \varphi\right)^{2}=\left(m_{0} c^{2}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}\left(-\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2}\) :
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{q} \varphi\right) \sim\left[\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)+\left(-\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right]:\)
\(\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{TT}}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{q} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]:\)
\(\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{tT}}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{V}+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]:\)
\(\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial_{\text {IT }}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{V}-\left(\hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]\) :

The Klein-Gordon RQM Wave Equation (relativistic QM) Einstein Mass:Energy:Momentum Equivalence

\section*{Relativistic}

Low velocity limit \(\{|v| \ll c\}\) from \((1+x)^{n} \sim\left[1+n x+O\left(x^{2}\right)\right]\) for \(|x| \ll 1\)
Relativistic with Minimal Coupling
Low velocity with Minimal Coupling
Relativistic with Minimal Coupling Low velocity with Minimal Coupling

Low velocity with Minimal Coupling
\(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{q} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)\)
Typically the 3-vector_potential a ~ 0 in many situations

\section*{Once one has a Relativistic Wave Eqn...}

Klein-Gordon Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{/} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=\left(-i m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)
Once we have derived a RWE, what does it imply?
The KG Eqn. was derived from the physics of SR plus a few empirical facts. It is a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) order, linear, wave PDE that pertains to physical objects of reality from SR.

Just being a linear wave PDE implies all the mathematical techniques that have been discovered to solve such equations generally: Hilbert Space, Superpositions, <Bra|,|Ket> notation, wavevectors, wavefunctions, etc. These things are from mathematics in general, not only and specifically from an Axiom of QM.

Therefore, if one has a physical RWE, it implies the mathematics of waves, the formalism of the mathematics, and thus the mathematical Principles and Formalism of QM. Again, QM Axioms are not required - they emerge from the physics and math...

\title{
Once one has a Relativistic Wave Eqn... Examine Photon Polarization
}

From the Wikipedia page on [Photon Polarization]
Photon polarization is the quantum mechanical description of the classical polarized sinusoidal plane electromagnetic wave. An individual photon can be described as having right or left circular polarization, or a superposition of the two. Equivalently, a photon can be described as having horizontal or vertical linear polarization, or a superposition of the two.

The description of photon polarization contains many of the physical concepts and much of the mathematical machinery of more involved quantum descriptions and forms a fundamental basis for an understanding of more complicated quantum phenomena. Much of the mathematical machinery of quantum mechanics, such as state vectors, probability amplitudes, unitary operators, and Hermitian operators, emerge naturally from the classical Maxwell's equations in the description. The quantum polarization state vector for the photon, for instance, is identical with the Jones vector, usually used to describe the polarization of a classical wave. Unitary operators emerge from the classical requirement of the conservation of energy of a classical wave propagating through lossless media that alter the polarization state of the wave. Hermitian operators then follow for infinitesimal transformations of a classical polarization state.

Many of the implications of the mathematical machinery are easily verified experimentally. In fact, many of the experiments can be performed with two pairs (or one broken pair) of polaroid sunglasses.

The connection with quantum mechanics is made through the identification of a minimum packet size, called a photon, for energy in the electromagnetic field. The identification is based on the theories of Planck and the interpretation of those theories by Einstein. The correspondence principle then allows the identification of momentum and angular momentum (called spin), as well as energy, with the photon. \\ \title{
Principle of Superposition： \\ \title{
Principle of Superposition： From the mathematics of waves
} From the mathematics of waves
} of Physical 4－Vectors

Klein－Gordon Equation：\(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{/} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c} / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\)
The Extended Superposition Principle for Linear Equations
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
Suppose that the non－homogeneous equation，where \(L\) is linear，is solved by some particular \(u_{p}\) Suppose that the associated homogeneous problem is solved by a sequence of \(u_{i}\) ．
\(L\left(u_{p}\right)=C ; L\left(u_{0}\right)=0, L\left(u_{1}\right)=0, L\left(u_{2}\right)=0 \ldots\)
Then \(u_{p}\) plus any linear combination of the \(u_{n}\) satisfies the original non－homogeneous equation：
\(L\left(u_{p}+\sum a_{n} u_{n}\right)=C\) ，
where \(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\) is a sequence of（possibly complex）constants and the sum is arbitrary．

Note that there is no mention of partial differentiation．Indeed，it＇s true for any linear equation， algebraic or integro－partial differential－whatever．

QM superposition is not axiomatic，it emerges from the mathematics of the Linear PDE

\section*{Klein-Gordon obeys Principle of Superposition}

Klein-Gordon Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c} / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\)
\(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=(\omega / c)^{2}-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}=\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}\) : The particular solution (w rest mass)
\(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\omega_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{n}}=0\) : The homogenous solution for a (virtual photon?) microstate n Note that \(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{n}}=0\) is a null 4 -vector (photonic)

Let \(\Psi_{p}=A e^{\wedge}-i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X})\), then \(\partial \cdot \partial\left[\Psi_{p}\right]=(-i)^{2}(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}) \Psi_{p}=-\left(\omega_{o} / c\right)^{2} \Psi_{p}\) which is the Klein-Gordon Equation, the particular solution...

Let \(\Psi_{n}=A_{n} e^{\wedge}-i\left(\mathbf{K}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{X}\right)\), then \(\partial \cdot \partial\left[\Psi_{n}\right]=(-i)^{2}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{n}\right) \Psi_{n}=(0) \Psi_{n}\) which is the Klein-Gordon Equation homogeneous solution for a microstate n

We may take \(\Psi=\Psi_{p}+\Sigma_{n} \Psi_{n}\)
Hence, the Principle of Superposition is not required as an QM Axiom, it follows from SR and our empirical facts which lead to the Klein-Gordon Equation. The Klein-Gordon equation is a linear wave PDE, which has overall solutions which can be the complex linear sums of individual solutions - i.e. it obeys the Principle of Superposition. This is not an axiom - it is a general mathematical property of linear PDE's.
This property continues over as well to the limiting case \(\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c\}\) of the Schrödinger Equation. \\ \section*{\title{
QM Hilbert Space: \\ \section*{\title{
QM Hilbert Space: From the mathematics of waves
}} From the mathematics of waves
}}

Klein-Gordon Equation: \(\partial \cdot \partial=(\partial / c)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)
Hilbert Space (HS) representation:
if \(\mid \Psi>\varepsilon H S\), then \(c \mid \Psi>\varepsilon H S\), where c is complex number
if \(\mid \Psi_{1}>\) and \(\mid \Psi_{2}>\varepsilon\) HS, then \(\left|\Psi_{1}>+\right| \Psi_{2}>\varepsilon\) HS
if \(\left.\left|\Psi>=\mathrm{c}_{1}\right| \Psi_{1}\right\rangle+\mathrm{c}_{2}\left|\Psi_{2}\right\rangle\), then \(\langle\Phi \mid \Psi\rangle=\mathrm{c}_{1}\left\langle\Phi \mid \Psi_{1}\right\rangle+\mathrm{c}_{2}<\Phi\left|\Psi_{2}\right\rangle\) and \(\langle\Psi|=\mathrm{c}_{1}{ }^{*}<\Psi_{1}\left|+\mathrm{c}_{2}{ }^{*}<\Psi_{2}\right|\)
\(\langle\Phi \mid \Psi\rangle=\langle\Psi \mid \Phi\rangle\)
\(<\Psi \mid \Psi \gg=0\)
if \(\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle=0\), then \(|\Psi\rangle=0\)
etc.
Hilbert spaces arise naturally and frequently in mathematics, physics, and engineering, typically as infinitedimensional function spaces. They are indispensable tools in the theories of partial differential equations, Fourier analysis, signal processing, heat transfer, ergodic theory, and Quantum Mechanics.

The QM Hilbert Space emerges from the fact that the KG Equation is a linear wave PDE - Hilbert spaces as solutions to PDE's are a purely mathematical phenomenon - no QM Axiom is required.

Likewise, this introduces the <bra|,|ket> notation, wavevectors, wavefunctions, etc.

\section*{Note:}

One can use Hilbert Space descriptions of Classical Mechanics using the Koopman-von Neumann formulation. One can not use Hilbert Space descriptions of Quantum Mechanics by using the Phase Space formulation of QM.

\title{
Canonical Commutation Relation: Viewed from standard QM
}

Standard QM Canonical Commutation Relation: \(\left[\mathbf{x}^{j}, \mathbf{p}^{k}\right]=i \hbar \delta^{\delta^{k}}\)
The Standard QM Canonical Commutation Relation is simply an axiom in standard QM. It is just given, with no explanation. You just had to accept it.

I always found that unsatisfactory.
There are at least 4 parts to it:
Where does the commutation ([ , ]) come from?
Where does the imaginary constant (i) come from?
Where does the Planck constant ( \(\hbar\) ) come from?
Where does the Kronecker Delta ( \(\delta^{\mathrm{ik}}\) ) come from?
See the next page for SR enlightenment...
The SR Metric is the source of "quantization".

\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\section*{Canonical QM Commutation Relation Derived from SR}

\(\left[X^{j}, D^{k}\right]=i \hbar \delta^{j k}\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Position:Momentum \\
QM Commutation Relation
\end{tabular}\([t, E]=-i \hbar\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Time:Energy \\
QM Commutation Relation
\end{tabular}

SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T \({ }^{\mu v}\) (1,1)-Tensor \(T^{\mu}{ }_{v}\) or \(T_{\mu}\)
\((0,2)\)-Tensor \(T_{\mu v}\)
\((1,0)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)\) SR 4-CoVector
```

Trace $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T$
V}\cdot\mathbf{V}=\mp@subsup{V}{}{\mu}\mp@subsup{\eta}{\muv}{}\mp@subsup{V}{}{v}=[(\mp@subsup{v}{}{0}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mathbf{v}\cdot\textrm{v}]=(\mp@subsup{v}{0}{0}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2
= Lorentz Scalar

```

\section*{SRQM Study:}

\section*{4-Position and 4-Gradient}

Invariant Interval
\(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}=(c t)^{2}-\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}=(c \tau)^{2}\)
-Displacement
\(\Delta R=(c \Delta t, \Delta r)\)
\(d R=(c d t . d r)\) 4-Position
\(\mathbf{R =}=(c t, r)\)

Invariant d'Alembertian
Wave Equation
\(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla=\left(\partial_{\tau} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}\)

SR:
Minkowski
Metric
\(\partial[R]=\partial^{\mu} R^{v}=\eta^{\mu \nu}\)
\(\rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]\)
\(=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]\)
\(=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\delta^{\mathrm{j}}\right]\) \{in Cartesian form\}
"Particle Physics" Convention \(\left\{\eta_{\mu \mu}\right\}=1 /\left\{\eta^{\mu \mu}\right\}\) \(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\eta^{\mu V}\right]=4\)
\(\eta_{\mu}{ }^{v}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{v}\)

SR:
Lorentz
Transform
\(\partial_{v}\left[R^{\mu^{\prime}}\right]=\partial R^{\mu^{\prime}} \partial R^{v}=\Lambda_{\mu^{\prime}}{ }_{v}\)
\(\wedge^{\mu}{ }_{a} \wedge^{\alpha}{ }_{v}=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{v}\)
\(\eta_{\mu v} \wedge^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\beta}{ }_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}\)
\((\operatorname{Det}[\Lambda])^{2}=1\) \(\operatorname{Det}[\wedge]= \pm 1\) \(\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{v}=\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}\)
\(\Lambda_{\mu \nu} \Lambda^{\mu v}=4\)
Rotations
Boosts
CPT

SpaceTime
\(\partial \cdot R=\partial_{\mu} R^{\mu}=4\)
Dimension


\section*{SRQM:}

Non-Zero Commutation \([\partial, \mathbf{R}]=\left[\partial^{\mu}, R^{\vee}\right]\) \(=\partial^{\mu} R^{v}-R^{v} \partial^{\mu}\)

\title{
Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle: Viewed from SRQM
}

Heisenberg Uncertainty \(\left.\left\{\sigma_{A}^{2} \sigma_{B}^{2}\right\}>=(1 / 2)|<[A, B]>|\right\}\) arises from the non-commuting nature of certain operators.

The commutator is \([A, B]=A B-B A\), where \(A \& B\) are functional "measurement" operators. The Operator Formalism arose naturally from our \(\mathrm{SR} \rightarrow \mathrm{QM}\) path: \([\partial=-\mathrm{iK}]\).

The Generalized Uncertainty Relation: \(\left.\sigma_{f}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}=(\Delta \mathrm{F}){ }^{*}(\Delta \mathrm{G})\right\rangle=(1 / 2)|\langle\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}]\rangle|\)
The uncertainty relation is a very general mathematical property, which applies to both classical or quantum systems. From Wikipedia: Photon Polarization: "This is a purely mathematical result. No reference to a physical quantity or principle is required."

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality asserts that (for all vectors \(f\) and \(g\) of an inner product space, with either real or complex numbers): \(\left.\sigma_{f}^{2} \sigma_{g}{ }^{2}=[\langle\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{f}\rangle \cdot\langle\mathrm{g} \mid \mathrm{g}\rangle]\right\rangle=|\langle\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{g}\rangle|^{2}\)

But first, let's back up a bit; Using standard complex number math, we have:
\(z^{*}=a-i b\)
\(\operatorname{Re}(z)=a=\left(z+z^{*}\right) /(2)\)
\(\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{z}^{*}\right) /(2 \mathrm{i})\)
\(z^{*} z=|z|^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}=[\operatorname{Re}(z)]^{2}+[\operatorname{lm}(z)]^{2}=\left[\left(z+z^{*}\right) /(2)\right]^{2}+\left[\left(z-z^{*}\right) /(2 i)\right]^{2}\)
or
\(|z|^{2}=\left[\left(z+z^{*}\right) /(2)\right]^{2}+\left[\left(z-z^{*}\right) /(2 i)\right]^{2}\)
Now, generically, based on the rules of a complex inner product space we can arbitrarily assign:
\(z=\langle f \mid g\rangle, z^{*}=\langle g \mid f\rangle\)
Which allows us to write:
\(\left.\langle f \mid g\rangle\right|^{2}=[(\langle f \mid g\rangle+\langle g \mid f\rangle) /(2)]^{2}+[(\langle f \mid g\rangle-\langle g \mid f\rangle) /(2 i)]^{2}\)
```

We can also note that:
|f\rangle=F|\Psi\rangle and |g\rangle=G|}\psi
Thus,
|f|g \rangle\mp@subsup{|}{}{2}=[(\langle\Psi|F*}\textrm{G}|\Psi\rangle+\langle\Psi|\mp@subsup{\textrm{G}}{}{*}\textrm{F}|\Psi\rangle)/(2)\mp@subsup{]}{}{2}+[(\langle\Psi|\mp@subsup{F}{}{*}\textrm{G}|\Psi\rangle-\langle\psi|\mp@subsup{G}{}{*}F|\Psi\rangle)/(2i)]\mp@subsup{]}{}{2
For Hermetian Operators..
F*}=+F,\mp@subsup{G}{}{*}=+
For Anti-Hermetian (Skew-Hermetian) Operators...
F* = -F, G* = -G
Assuming that F and G are either both Hermetian, or both anti-Hermetian..

```



We can write this in commutator and anti-commutator notation..
\(|\langle\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{g}\rangle|^{2}=[( \pm)(\langle\Psi|\{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}\}|\Psi\rangle) /(2)]^{2}+[( \pm)(\langle\Psi|[\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}]|\Psi\rangle) /(2 \mathrm{i})]^{2}\)
Due to the squares, the ( \(\pm\) )'s go away, and we can also multiply the commutator by an ( \(\mathrm{i}^{2}\) )
\(|\langle\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{g}\rangle|^{2}=[(\langle\Psi|\{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}\}|\Psi\rangle) / 2]^{2}+[(\langle\Psi| i[F, G]|\Psi\rangle) / 2]^{2}\)
\(|\langle f \mid g\rangle|^{2}=[(\langle\{F, G\}\rangle) / 2]^{2}+[(\langle i[F, G]\rangle) / 2]^{2}\)
The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality again...
\(\left.\sigma_{f}^{2} \sigma_{g}{ }^{2}=[\langle f \mid f\rangle \cdot\langle g \mid g\rangle]\right\rangle=|\langle f \mid g\rangle|^{2}=[(\langle\{F, G\}\rangle) / 2]^{2}+[(\langle i[F, G]\rangle) / 2]^{2}\)
Taking the root:
\(\left.\sigma_{f}^{2} \sigma_{g}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=(1 / 2)|\langle i[F, G]\rangle|\)
Which is what we had for the generalized Uncertainty Relation.
*Note* This is not a QM axiom - This is just pure math. At this stage we already see the hints of commutation and anti-commutation.
It is true generally, whether applying to a physical or purely mathematical situation.

\title{
Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle: Simultaneous vs Sequential
}

Heisenberg Uncertainty \(\left\{\sigma_{A}^{2} \sigma_{B}^{2}>=(1 / 2)|<[A, B]>|\right.\) \} arises from the non-commuting nature of certain operators. \(\left[\partial^{\mu}, X^{\vee}\right]=\partial[X]=\eta^{\mu \mathrm{v}}=\) Minkowski Metric \(\left[P^{\mu}, X^{V}\right]=\left[i \hbar \partial^{\mu}, X^{V}\right]=i \hbar\left[\partial^{\mu}, X^{V}\right]=i \hbar \eta^{\mu v}\)

Consider the following:
Operator A acts on System \(\mid \Psi>\) at SR Event A: A| \(\Psi>\rightarrow\left|\Psi{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle\) Operator B acts on System | \(\Psi^{\prime}>\) at SR Event B: B \(|\Psi '>\rightarrow| \Psi ">\) or \(\mathrm{BA}|\Psi>=\mathrm{B}| \Psi^{\prime}>=\mid \Psi^{\prime \prime}>\)

If measurement Events \(A\) \& \(B\) are space-like separated, then there are observers who can see \(\{A\) before \(B, A\) simultaneous with \(B, A\) after \(B\}\), which of course does not match the quantum description of how Operators act on Kets

If Events A \& B are time-like separated, then all observers will always see A before B. This does match how the operators act on Kets, and also matches how \(\mid \Psi>\) would be evolving along its worldline, starting out as \(\mid \Psi>\), getting hit with operator \(A\) at Event \(A\) to become \(\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right\rangle\), then getting hit with operator \(B\) at Event \(B\) to become \(\mid \Psi ">\).

The Uncertainty Relation here does NOT refer to simultaneous (space-like separated) measurements, it refers to sequential (time-like separated) measurements. This removes the need for ideas about the particles not having simultaneous properties. There are simply no "simultaneous measurements" of non-zero commuting properties on an individual system, a single worldline - they are sequential, and the first measurement places the system in such a state that the outcome of the second measurement will be altered wrt. if the order of the operations had been reversed.

\title{
Pauli Exclusion Principle: Requires SR for the detailed explanation
}

The Pauli Exclusion Principle is a result of the empirical fact that nature uses identical particles, and this combined with the Spin-Statistics theorem from SR, leads to an exclusion principle for fermions (antisymmetric, Fermi-Dirac statistics) and an aggregation principle for bosons (symmetric, Bose-Einstein statistics). The Spin-Statistics Theorem is related as well to the CPT Theorem.

For large numbers and/or mixed states these both tend to the Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics. In the \(\left\{\mathrm{kT} \gg\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right)\right\}\) limit, Bose-Einstein reduces to Rayleigh-Jeans. The commutation relations here are based on space-like separation particle exchanges, unlike the time-like separation for measurement operator exchanges in the Uncertainty Principle.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Spin & Particle Type & Quantum Statistics & Classical \(\left\{\mathbf{k T \gg}\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right)\right.\) \} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{spin:(0,1, ., N)} & Indistinguishable, Commutation relation ( \(\mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{ba}\) ) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Bose-Einstein:
\[
n_{i}=g_{i} /\left[e^{\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right) k T}-1\right]
\] \\
aggregation principle
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rayleigh-Jeans: from } e^{x} \sim(1+x+\ldots) \\
& n_{i}=g_{i} /\left[\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right) / k T\right]
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & & \(\downarrow\) Limit as \(\mathrm{e}^{\left(\xi_{i}-\mu\right) / \mathrm{kT}} \gg 1 \downarrow\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Multi-particle Mixed} & Distinguishable, or high temp, or low density & Maxwell-Boltzmann:
\[
n_{i}=g_{i} /\left[e^{\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right) k T}+0\right]
\] & Maxwell-Boltzmann:
\[
n_{i}=g_{i} /\left[e^{\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right) / k T}\right]
\] \\
\hline & & \(\uparrow\) Limit as \(\mathrm{e}^{\left(\xi_{i}-\mu\right) \mathrm{kT}} \gg 1 \uparrow\) & \\
\hline spin:(1/2,3/2,..., \(/ 2\) ) & Indistinguishable, Anti-commutation relation ( \(a b=-b a\) ) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fermi-Dirac:
\[
n_{i}=g_{i} /\left[e^{\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\mu\right) k T}+1\right]
\] \\
exclusion principle
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{4-Vectors \& Minkowski Space Review Complex 4-Vectors}

Complex 4-vectors are simply 4-Vectors where the components may be complex-valued
\(A=A^{\mu}=\left(a^{0}, a\right)=\left(a^{0}, a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(a^{t}, a^{x}, a^{y}, a^{2}\right)\)
\(\mathbf{B}=\mathrm{B}^{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{b}^{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right)=\left(\mathrm{b}^{0}, \mathrm{~b}^{1}, \mathrm{~b}^{2}, \mathrm{~b}^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{b}^{t}, \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\)

\section*{Examples of 4-Vectors with complex components are the 4-Polarization and the 4-} ProbabilityCurrentDensity

Minkowski Metric \(\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{IV}} \rightarrow \eta^{\mathrm{IV}}=\eta_{\mathrm{uv}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]=\operatorname{Diag}\left[1,-\mathrm{I}_{(3)}\right]\), which is the \(\{\) curvature \(\sim 0\) limit \(=\) low-mass limit \(\}\) of the GR metric \(\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{LV}}\).

Applying the Metric to raise or lower an index also applies a complex-conjugation *
Scalar Product \(=\) Lorentz Invariant \(\rightarrow\) Same value for all inertial observers
\(A \cdot B=\eta_{\mu v} A^{\mu} B^{v}=A_{v} B^{v}=A^{\nu} B_{\mu}^{*}=\left(a^{0 *} b^{0}-a^{*} \cdot b\right)\) using the Einstein summation convention
This reverts to the usual rules for real components However, it does imply that \(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{A}\)

The Phase is a Lorentz Scalar Invariant - all observers must agree on its value. \(K \cdot X=(\omega / c, \mathbf{k}) \cdot(c t, \mathbf{x})=(\omega t-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})=-\Phi\) : Phase of SR Wave

We take the point of view of an observer operating on a particle at 4-Position \(\mathbf{X}\). which has an initial 4 -WaveVector K. The 4-Position X of the particle,
the operation's event, will not change: we are applying the various operations only to the particle's 4-Momentum K.

Note that for matter particles \(\mathbf{K}=\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{c}\right) \mathbf{T}\),
where \(\mathbf{T}\) is the Unit-Temporal 4 -Vector \(\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \beta)\), which defines the particle's worldline at each point.
The gamma factor \((\gamma)\) will be unaffected in the following operations,
since it uses the square of \(\beta\) : \(\gamma=1 / \operatorname{Sqrt}(1-\beta \cdot \beta)\).
For photonic particles, \(K=(\omega / c) \mathbf{N}\),
where \(\mathbf{N}\) is the "Unit"-Null 4-Vector \(\mathbf{N}=(1, \mathbf{n})\) and \(\mathbf{n}\) is a unit-spatial 3 -vector. All operations listed below work similarly on the Null 4 -Vector.

Do a Time Reversal Operation: T
The particle's temporal direction is reversed \& complex-conjugated: \(\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=-\mathbf{T}^{*}=\gamma(-1, \boldsymbol{\beta})^{*}\)

Do a Parity Operation (Space Reflection): P Only the spatial directions are reversed: \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}=\gamma(1,-\boldsymbol{\beta})\)

Do a Charge Conjugation Operation: C
Charge Conjugation actually changes all internal quantum \#'s: charge, lepton \#, etc.
Feynman showed this is the equivalent of a world-line reversal \& complex-conjugation: \(\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\gamma(-1,-\boldsymbol{\beta})^{*}\)

Pairwise combinations:
\(\mathbf{T}_{T P}=\mathbf{T}_{\text {PT }}=\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\gamma(-1,-\beta)^{*}\)
\(\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{TC}}=\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{CT}}=\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{P}}=\gamma(1,-\boldsymbol{\beta})\)
\(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{PC}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CP}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=\gamma(-1, \beta)^{*}\), a CP event is mathematically the same as a T event \(\mathbf{T}_{\text {CPT }}=\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{CC}}=\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{PP}}=\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{TT}}=\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta})\)


4-Displacement \(\Delta \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{t}, \Delta \mathrm{r})\)
\(\mathbf{d R}=(\mathrm{cdt}, \mathrm{dr})\)
4-Position
\(\mathbf{R}=(c t, r)\)


Dimension

It is only the combination of all three ops: \(\{C, P, T\}\), or pairs of singles: \(\{C C\},\{P P\},\{T T\}\)
that leave the Unit-Temporal 4-Vector, and thus the Phase, Invariant.

\section*{Matter-like}
\(\mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{\beta})\)
\(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \beta)^{*} \cdot \gamma(1, \beta)=\gamma^{2}\left(1^{2}-\beta \cdot \beta\right)=1\) : It's a temporal 4-vector
\(\mathbf{T}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{c}=\gamma(-1,-\beta) \cdot \gamma(-1,-\beta)^{*}=\gamma^{2}\left((-1)^{2}-(-\beta) \cdot(-\beta)\right)=\gamma^{2}\left(1^{2}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)=1\) \(\mathrm{T}_{P} \cdot \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{P}}=\gamma(1,-\beta)^{*} \cdot \gamma(1,-\beta)=\gamma^{2}\left(1^{2}-(-\beta) \cdot(-\beta)\right)=\gamma^{2}\left(1^{2}-\beta \cdot \beta\right)=1\) \(\mathbf{T}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=\gamma(-1, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \cdot \gamma(-1, \boldsymbol{\beta})^{*}=\gamma^{2}\left((-1)^{2}-(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \cdot(\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)=\gamma^{2}\left(1^{2}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)=1\) They all remain temporal 4-vectors
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{T}_{\text {CPT }} \mathbf{T}=\gamma(1, \beta)
\end{aligned}
\]


Light-like/Photonic
\(\mathbf{N}=(1, \mathbf{n})\)
\(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{N}=(1, \mathbf{n})^{*} \cdot(1, \mathbf{n})=\left(1^{2}-\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)=(1-1)=0\) : lt's a null 4-vector
\(N_{C} \cdot N_{c}=(-1,-n) \cdot(-1,-n)^{*}=\left((-1)^{2}-(-n) \cdot(-n)\right)=\left(1^{2}-n \cdot n\right)=(1-1)=0\) \(N_{p} \cdot N_{p}=(1,-n)^{*} \cdot(1,-, n)=\left(1^{2}-(-n) \cdot(-n)\right)=\left(1^{2}-n \cdot n\right)=(1-1)=0\)
\(\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{T}}=(-1, \mathbf{n}) \cdot(-1, \mathbf{n})^{*}=\left((-1)^{2}-(\mathbf{n}) \cdot(\mathbf{n})\right)=\left(1^{2}-\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)=(1-1)=0\) They all remain null 4 -vectors
\(\mathbf{N}_{\text {CPT }}=\mathbf{N}=(1, \mathbf{n})\)
\(\mathbf{N}_{\text {CPT }} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\text {cPT }}=\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0\)
(1,0)-Tensor \(V^{\nu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(v^{0}, v\right)\) SR 4-CoVector

SR 4-Scalar
(0,0)-Tensor S
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)
orentz Scalar

\title{
SRQM: CPT Theorem (Charge) vs (Parity) vs (Time)
}
 SR 4-CoVector
Trace \(\left[T^{\mathrm{VV}}\right]=\eta_{\mathrm{HV}} T^{\mathrm{HV}}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T\) \((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

\section*{SRQM Transforms: Venn Diagram Poincaré = Lorentz + Translations}


\section*{Transformations}
(\# of independent parameters = \# continuous symmetries = \# Lie Dimensions)
Poincaré Transformation Group aka. Inhomogeneous Lorentz Transformation Lie group of all affine isometries of SR:Minkowski TimeSpace (preserve quadratic form \(\eta_{\mu v}\) ) General Linear,Affine Transform \(X^{N}=\Lambda^{N}{ }^{\prime} X^{V}+\Delta X^{N}\) with Det[ \(\left.\wedge^{N}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right]= \pm 1\)
\((6+4=10)\)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Translation Transform \(\triangle\) X} \\
\hline (1+3=4) & 4-Vector \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Discrete} & Continuous \\
\hline & Temporal
\[
\Delta X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(c \Delta t, 0)
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
4-Zero \(\Delta X^{\prime} \rightarrow(0,0)\) \\
(0) no motion
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& (1) \\
& \Delta t
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Spatial \(\Delta X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(0, \Delta x)\) \\
(3)
\[
\Delta x|\Delta y| \Delta z
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & Homogeneity \{same all points\} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Rotations \(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{imn}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{mn}} / 2\), Boosts \(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i} 0}\)
\(\left[\left(\mathbf{R} \rightarrow-\mathbf{R}^{*}\right)\right]\) or \(\left[\left(\mathrm{t} \rightarrow-\mathbf{t}^{*}\right) \&(r \rightarrow-r)\right]\) imply \(q \rightarrow-q\)
Feynman-Stueckelberg Interpretation
Amusingly, Inhomogeneous Lorentz adds homogeneity.

\title{
Hermitian Generators Noether's Theorem - Continuity
}

The Hermitian Generators that lead to translations and rotations via unitary operators in QM...
These all ultimately come from the Poincaré Invariance \(\rightarrow\) Lorentz Invariance that is at the heart of SR and Minkowski Space.

Infintesimal Unitary Transformation
\(\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{\mathbf{G}})=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{G}}\)
Finite Unitary Transformation
\(\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathrm{a}}(\hat{\mathbf{G}})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{i} \alpha \hat{\mathbf{G}})\)
let \(\hat{\mathbf{G}}=\mathbf{P} / \hbar=\mathbf{K}\)
let \(\alpha=\Delta \mathbf{x}\)
\(\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{\Delta \mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{P} / \hbar) \Psi(\mathbf{X})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{i} \Delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P} / \hbar) \Psi(\mathbf{X})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(-\Delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \partial) \Psi(\mathbf{X})=\Psi(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\Delta x})\)
Time component: \(\hat{\mathbf{U}}_{\Delta c t}(P / \hbar) \Psi(c t)=e^{\wedge}(i \Delta t E / \hbar) \Psi(c t)=e^{\wedge}\left(-\Delta t \partial_{t}\right) \Psi(c t)=\Psi(c t-c \Delta t)=c \Psi(t-\Delta t)\)
Space component: \(\hat{U}_{\Delta \mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p} / \hbar) \Psi(\mathbf{x})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{i} \Delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathrm{p} / \hbar) \Psi(\mathbf{x})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\Delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) \Psi(\mathbf{x})=\Psi(\mathbf{x}+\Delta \mathbf{x})\)
By Noether's Theorem, this leads to \(\partial \cdot \mathrm{K}=0\)
We had already calculated
\((\partial \cdot \partial)[K \cdot X]=\left(\left(\partial_{/} / c\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla\right)(\omega t-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})=0\)
\((\partial \cdot \partial)[K \cdot X]=\partial \cdot(\partial[K \cdot X])=\partial \cdot K=0\)
Poincaré Invariance also gives the Casimir invariants of mass and spin, and ultimately leads to the spin-statistics theorem of RQM.

\title{
QM Correspondence Principle: Analogous to the GR and SR limits
}

Basically, the old school QM Correspondence Principle says that QM should give the same results as classical physics in the realm of large quantum systems, i.e. where macroscopic behavior overwhelms quantum effects. Perhaps a better way to state it is when the change of system by a single quantum has a negligible effect on the overall state.

There is a way to derive this limit, by using Hamilton-Jacobi Theory:
\(\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left|\Psi>\sim\left[\mathrm{V}-\left(\hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2} / 2 m_{\mathrm{o}}\right]\right| \Psi>\) : The Schrödinger NRQM Equation for a point particle (non-relativistic QM)
Examine solutions of form \(\Psi=\Psi_{\circ} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{i} \Phi)=\Psi_{\circ} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{iS} / \hbar)\), where S is the QM Action
\(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\Psi]=(\mathrm{i} / \hbar) \Psi \partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\mathrm{S}]\) and \(\partial_{\mathrm{x}}[\Psi]=(\mathrm{i} / \hbar) \Psi \partial_{\mathrm{x}}[\mathrm{S}]\) and \(\nabla^{2}[\Psi]=(\mathrm{i} / \hbar) \Psi \nabla^{2}[\mathrm{~S}]-\left(\Psi / \hbar^{2}\right)(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\)
\(\left.(i \hbar)(\mathrm{i} / \hbar) \Psi \partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\mathrm{S}]=\mathrm{V} \Psi-\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{i} / \hbar) \Psi \nabla^{2}[\mathrm{~S}]-\left(\Psi / \hbar^{2}\right)(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\right)\)
(i)(i) \(\Psi \partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\mathrm{S}]=\mathrm{V} \Psi-\left(\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\circ}\right) \Psi \nabla^{2}[\mathrm{~S}]-\left(\Psi / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\circ}\right)(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\right)\)
\(\partial_{\mathrm{t}}[\mathrm{S}]=-\mathrm{V}+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right) \nabla^{2}[\mathrm{~S}]-\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\circ}\right)(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\)
\(\partial_{[ }[\mathrm{S}]+\left[\mathrm{V}+\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\right]=\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right) \nabla^{2}[\mathrm{~S}]\) : Quantum Single Particle Hamilton-Jacobi
\(\partial_{[ }[\mathrm{S}]+\left[\mathrm{V}+\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\right]=0\) : Classical Single Particle Hamilton-Jacobi
Thus, the classical limiting case is:
\(\nabla^{2}[\Phi] \ll(\nabla[\Phi])^{2}\)
\(\hbar \nabla^{2}[\mathrm{~S}] \ll(\nabla[\mathrm{S}])^{2}\)
\(\hbar \nabla \cdot \mathrm{p} \ll(\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{p})\)
(pA) \(\nabla \cdot p \ll(p \cdot p)\)

\title{
QM Correspondence Principle: Analogous to the GR and SR limits
}
```

\partial[[S] + [V+(1/2mm)(\nabla[S])}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}]=(\textrm{i}/2\mp@subsup{m}{0}{})\mp@subsup{V}{}{2}[S] : Quantum Single Particle Hamilton-Jacob
\partial}[[\textrm{S}]+[\textrm{V}+(1/2\mp@subsup{m}{0}{})(\nabla[\textrm{S}]\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}]=0:\mathrm{ Classical Single Particle Hamilton-Jacobi

```

Thus, the quantum \(\rightarrow\) classical limiting-case is: \{all equivalent representations\}
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\hbar \nabla^{2}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\text {action }}\right] & \ll\left(\nabla\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {aciion }}\right]\right)^{2} & \nabla^{2}\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]\end{array} \ll\left(\nabla\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]\right)^{2}\right)\)
with
\(\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})=-\partial\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {aciion }}\right]=-\left(\partial_{/} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {action }}\right]=\left(-\partial_{/} / \mathrm{c}, \nabla\right)\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {action }}\right]\)
\(\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k})=-\partial\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]=-\left(\partial_{\mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]=\left(-\partial_{\mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{c}, \nabla\right)\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]\)

This page needs some work. Source was from Goldstein

It is analogous to \(\mathrm{GR} \rightarrow \mathrm{SR}\) in limit of low curvature (low mass), or \(\mathrm{SR} \rightarrow \mathrm{CM}\) in limit of low velocity \(\{|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}\).
It still applies, but is now understood as the same type of limiting-case as these others.
*Note* The commonly seen form of ( \(\mathrm{c} \rightarrow \infty, \hbar \rightarrow 0\) ) as limits are incorrect!
c and \(\hbar\) are universal constants - they never change.
If \(c \rightarrow \infty\), then photons (light-waves) would have infinite energy \(\{E=p c\}\). This is not true classically.
If \(\hbar \rightarrow 0\), then photons (light-waves) would have zero energy \(\{E=\hbar \omega\}\). This is not true classically.
Always better to write the SR Classical limit as \(\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll c \mathrm{c}\}\), the QM Classical limit as \(\left\{\nabla^{2}\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right] \ll\left(\nabla\left[\Phi_{\text {phase }}\right]\right)^{2}\right\}\)
Again, it is more natural to find a limiting-case of a more general system than to try to unite two separate theories which may or may not ultimately be compatible. From logic, there is always the possibility to have a paradox result from combination of arbitrary axioms, whereas deductions from a single true axiom will always give true results.

\title{
SRQM: 4-Vector Quantum Probability Conservation of ProbabilityDensity
}

Conservation of Probability : Probability Current : Charge Current Consider the following purely mathematical argument (based on Green's Vector Identity):
\(\partial \cdot(\mathrm{f} \partial[\mathrm{g}]-\partial[f] \mathrm{g})=\mathrm{f} \partial \cdot \partial[\mathrm{g}]-\partial \cdot \partial[f] \mathrm{g}\) with (f) and (g) as SR Lorentz Scalar functions

\section*{Proof:}
\(\partial \cdot(f \partial[g]-\partial[f] g)\)
\(=\partial \cdot(\mathrm{f} \partial[\mathrm{g}])-\partial \cdot(\partial[\mathrm{f}])\)
\(=(f \partial \cdot \partial[g]+\partial[f] \cdot \partial[g])-(\partial[f] \cdot \partial[g]+\partial \cdot \partial[f] g)\)
\(=f \partial \cdot \partial[g]-\partial \cdot \partial[f]\)
We can also multiply this by a Lorentz Invariant Scalar Constant s \(s(f) \cdot \partial[g]-\partial \cdot \partial[f])=s \partial \cdot(f \partial[g]-\partial[f] g)=\partial \cdot s(f \partial[g]-\partial[f] g)\)

Ok, so we have the math that we need...

Now, on to the physics... Start with the Klein-Gordon Eqn.
\(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(-i m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)
\(\partial \cdot \partial+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c} / \hbar\right)^{2}=0\)
Let it act on SR Lorentz Invariant function g
\(\partial \cdot \partial[g]+\left(m_{0} c / h\right)^{2}[g]=0[g]\)
Then pre-multiply by f
\([f] \partial \partial[g]+[f]\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[g]=[f] 0[g]\)
\([f] \partial \cdot \partial[g]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]=0\)
Do similarly with SR Lorentz Invariant function \(f\) \(\partial \cdot \partial[f]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f]=0[f]\)
Then post-multiply by g
\(\partial \cdot \partial[f][g]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]=0[f][g]\)
\(\partial \cdot \partial[f][g]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]=0\)

Now, subtract the two equations
\(\left\{[f] \partial \cdot \partial[g]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]=0\right\}-\left\{\partial \cdot \partial[f][g]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]=0\right\}\)
[f] \(\partial \cdot \partial[g]+\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]-\partial \cdot \partial[f][g]-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}[f][g]=0\)
[f] \(\partial \cdot \partial[g]-\partial \cdot \partial[f][g]=0\)
And as we noted from the mathematical Green's Vector identity at the start...
[f] \(\partial \cdot \partial[g]-\partial \cdot \partial[f][g]=\partial \cdot(f \partial[g]-\partial[f] g)=0\)
Therefore,
s \(\partial \cdot(\mathrm{f} \partial[\mathrm{g}]-\partial[f] \mathrm{g})=0\)
\(\partial \cdot s(f \partial[g]-\partial[f] g)=0\)
Thus, there is a conserved current 4-Vector, \(\mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{f} \partial[\mathrm{g}]-\partial[f] \mathrm{g})\), for which \(\partial \cdot \mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=0\), and which also solves the Klein-Gordon equation.

Let's choose as before \((\partial=-i \mathbf{K})\) with a plane wave function \(\mathrm{f}=a e^{\wedge}-i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X})=\psi\), and choose \(g=f^{*}=a e^{\wedge}(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X})=\psi^{*}\) as its complex conjugate.

At this point, I am going to choose s = (iћ/2mo), which is Lorentz Scalar Invariant, in order to make the probability have dimensionless units and be normalized to unity in the rest case.

\section*{4-ProbabilityCurrentDensity, a.k.a. 4-ProbabilityFlux}
\(\mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}=\left(\mathrm{c} \rho_{\text {probo }} \mathrm{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)=\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 m_{\circ}\right)\left(\Psi^{*} \partial[\psi]-\partial\left[\Psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}{ }^{\circ}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}{ }^{\circ}\right) \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\gamma \rho_{\text {probo }}{ }^{\circ}\right)(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}\right)(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})\)
with 4-Divergence of Probability \(\left\{\partial \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}=0\right\}\) by construction via Green's Vector Identity and the Klein-Gordon RQM Eqn.
The reason for \(s=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0}\right)\) becomes more clear by examining our diagram: Start at the 4-Gradient and follow the arrows toward the 4-ProbabilityFlux You immediately see where the ( \(\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{m}_{0}\) ) factor comes from. The \(\rho_{\text {prob } \_ \text {。 }}\) is then a function of the \(\psi\) 's divided by 2 .
\(\partial \cdot(\mathrm{f} \partial[\mathrm{g}]-\partial[f] \mathrm{g})=\mathrm{f} \partial \cdot \partial[\mathrm{g}]-\partial \cdot \partial[f] \mathrm{g}:\) Green's Vector Identity


4-WaveVecto



Examine the temporal component, the Relativistic Probability Density \(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial_{i}[\psi]-\partial_{[ }\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)\)
Assume wave solution in following general form:
\(\{\psi=\operatorname{Af}[k]\) e(-i \(\omega t)\}\)
\(\left\{\psi^{*}=A^{*} f[k]^{*} e(+i \omega t)\right\}\)
then
\(\left\{\partial_{i}[\psi]=(-i \omega) A f[k] e(-i \omega t)=(-i \omega) \psi\right\}\)
\(\left\{\partial_{i}\left[\Psi^{*}\right]=(+i \omega) A^{*} f[k]^{*} e(+i \omega t)=(+i \omega) \psi^{*}\right\}\)
then
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial_{[ }[\psi]-\partial_{i}\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)\)
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left((-i \omega) \psi^{*} \psi-(+i \omega) \psi^{*} \psi\right)\)
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left((-2 i \omega) \psi^{*} \Psi\right)\)
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(\hbar \omega / m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right)\)
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(\hbar \gamma \omega_{0} / m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left(\Psi^{*} \Psi\right)\)
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=(\gamma)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right)=(\gamma)\left(\rho_{\text {probo }}\right)\)
Finally, multiply by charge (q) to get standard SR EM
4 -CurrentDensity \(=4\)-ChargeFlux \(=\mathbf{J}=(c \rho, j)=q J_{\text {prob }}=q\left(c \rho_{\text {prob }} ;_{\text {prob }}\right)\)


\section*{4-ProbabilityCurrentDensity, a.k.a. 4-ProbabilityFlux}
\(\left.J_{\text {prob }}=\left(\mathrm{c} \rho_{\text {prob }}, \dot{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)=\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 m_{\circ}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial[\psi]-\partial\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}\right)\right) \mathbf{U}=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}{ }^{\circ}\right) \gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\gamma \rho_{\text {prob }}{ }^{\circ}\right)(\mathrm{c}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}\right)(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\)
with 4-Divergence of Probability \(\left\{\partial \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text {prob }}=0\right\}\) by construction via Green's Vector Identity and the Klein-Gordon RQM Eqn. If we include minimal coupling:
\(\mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=\left(\mathrm{c} \rho_{\text {prob }} \mathrm{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)=\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial[\psi]-\partial\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)+\left(\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{m}_{\circ}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right) \mathbf{A}\) Start at A on the chart
Follow past (q) factor to get to \(\mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{qA}\)
Minimal Coupling allows passage back to \(\mathbf{P}\) with no factors
Follow back past \(\left(1 / m_{0}\right)\) to get to \(\mathbf{U}\)

\section*{4-ProbabilityFlux, Klein-Gordon RQM Eqn \\ 4-Vector Quantum Probability}

Follow past Born Rule ( \(\Psi^{*} \Psi\) )
Now have the additional factor: \(+\left(q / m_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right) \mathbf{A}\) Rest Numb
Density
 4-NumberFlux \(\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{nc}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\)
4-ProbCurrentDensity 4-ProbabilityFlux \(J_{\text {prob }}=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}{ }^{C,} \dot{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)=\rho_{\text {prob }}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{u})\)
\(=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial[\psi]-\partial\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)+\left(q / m_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \psi\right) A\)

\title{
4-Vector Quantum Probability Newtonian Limit
}

4-ProbabilityCurrentDensity \(\mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{\rho}_{\text {prob }}, \mathrm{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)=\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar / 2 m_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial[\psi]-\partial\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)+\left(\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{m}_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right) \mathrm{A}\)
Examine the temporal component:
\(\rho_{\text {prob }}=\left(i \hbar / 2 m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \partial_{[ }[\psi]-\partial_{[ }\left[\psi^{*}\right] \psi\right)+\left(q / m_{0}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \psi\right)\left(\varphi / c^{2}\right)\)
\(\rho_{\text {prob }} \rightarrow(\gamma)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right)+(\gamma)\left(q \varphi_{o} / m_{0} c^{2}\right)\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right)=(\gamma)\left[1+q \varphi_{o} / E_{0}\right]\left(\Psi^{*} \Psi\right)\)
Typically, the particle EM potential energy ( \(q \varphi_{\circ}\) ) is much less than the particle rest energy ( \(\mathrm{E}_{0}\) ), else it could generate new particles.
So, take ( \(q \varphi_{0} \ll \mathrm{E}_{0}\) ), which gives the EM factor \(\left(\mathrm{q} \varphi_{0} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \sim 0\)
Now, taking the low-velocity limit \((\gamma \rightarrow 1), \rho_{\text {prob }}=\gamma[1+\sim 0]\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right), \rho_{\text {prob }} \rightarrow\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right)=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}\right)\) for \(|\mathbf{v}| \ll c\)
The Standard Born Probability Interpretation, \(\left(\Psi^{*} \Psi\right)=\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}\right)\), only applies in the low-potential-energy \& low-velocity limit
This is why the \{non-positive-definite\} probabilities and \{|probabilities|>1\} in the RQM Klein-Gordon equation gave physicists fits, and is the reason why one must regard the probabilities as charge conservation instead.

The original definition from SR is Continuity of Worldlines, \(\partial \cdot \mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=0\), for which all is good and well in the RQM version.
The definition says there are no external sources or sinks of probability = conservation of probability.
The Born idea that \(\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sum}\left[\left(\Psi^{*} \Psi\right)\right]=1\) is just the Low-Velocity QM limit.
Only the non-EM rest version \(\left(\rho_{\text {prob }}{ }^{\circ}\right)=\operatorname{Sum}\left[\left(\psi^{*} \Psi\right)\right]=1\) is true.
It is not a fundamental axiom, it is an emergent property which is valid only in the NRQM limit
We now multiply by charge (q) to instead get a
4-"Charge"CurrentDensity \(\mathrm{J}=(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{\rho}, \mathrm{j})=\mathrm{qJ} \mathrm{J}_{\text {prob }}=\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{\rho}_{\text {prob, }} \mathrm{j}_{\text {prob }}\right)\), which is the standard SR EM 4-CurrentDensity

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study: The QM Compton Effect}


\title{
The QM Aharonov-Bohm Effect
}

\section*{Aharonov-Bohm Effect}

The EM 4-VectorPotential gives the Aharonov-Bohm Effect. \(\Phi_{\mathrm{pot}}=-(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{X}=-\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pot}} \cdot \mathbf{X}\)
or taking the differential...
\[
d \Phi_{p o t}=-(q / \hbar) A \cdot d X
\]
over a path...
\(\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}=\int_{\text {path }} \mathrm{d} \Phi_{\text {pot }}\)
\(\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}=-(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \int_{\text {path }}^{\text {pot }} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d X}\)
\(\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}=-(\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{h}) \int_{\text {path }}[(\varphi / \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{cdt})-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathrm{dx}]\)
\(\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}=-(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \int_{\text {path }}(\varphi \mathrm{dt}-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{x})\)
Note that both the Electric and Magnetic effects come out by using the 4 -Vector notation.

Electric AB effect: \(\Delta \Phi_{\text {potElec }}=-(q / \hbar) \int_{\text {path }}(\varphi d t)\)
Magnetic \(A B\) effect: \(\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot_Mag }}=+(q / \hbar) \int_{\text {path }}(a \cdot d x)\)
Proves that the 4-VectorPotential \(\mathbf{A}\) is more fundamental than e and b fields, which are just components of the Faraday EM Tensor


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T \({ }^{\mu v}\) (1,1)-Tensor \(T^{\mu}{ }_{v}\) or \(T_{\nu}\) \((0,2)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{T}_{\mu v}\)

SR 4-Vector
(1,0)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathrm{v}\right)\) SR 4-CoVector
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

\(\begin{aligned} & \text { Trace }\left[T^{\mu \mathrm{V}}\right]=\eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} T^{\mu \mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=\mathrm{T} \\ & \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\nu}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2} \\ &=\text { Lorentz Scalar }\end{aligned}\) \(=\) Lorentz Scalar

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study:}

\section*{The QM Josephson Junction Effect = SuperCurrent}

\section*{Josephson Effect}

The EM 4-VectorPotential gives the Aharonov-Bohm Effect.
Phase \(\Phi_{\text {pot }}=-(q / \hbar) \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{X}=-\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pot}} \cdot \mathbf{X}\)
Rearrange the equation a bit:
\(-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}=\mathbf{A} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}\)
\(\mathbf{A} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}=-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \Delta \Phi\)
\(\mathbf{A} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}=-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\)
\(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{A} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}]=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau\left[-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right]=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{A}] \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\Delta \mathbf{X}]=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{A}] \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}\)
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Assume that }(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{A}] \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X} \sim 0) & \text { Which explains Josephson Effect criteria : } \\
{[\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}]=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau\left[-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right]} & \Delta \mathbf{X} \sim 0 \text { : small gap } \\
{[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A}]=(\mathbf{U} \cdot \partial)\left[-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right]} & \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{A}] \sim 0 \text { : "critical current" \& no voltage } \\
{[\mathbf{A}]=-(\hbar / \mathrm{q})(\partial)\left[\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right]} & \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} \tau[\mathbf{A}] \cdot \Delta \mathbf{X} \sim \text { orthogonal: ?? } \\
\mathbf{A}=-(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \partial\left[\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right] & \\
(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{a})=-(\hbar / \mathrm{q})\left(\partial_{t} / \mathrm{c},-\nabla\right)\left[\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right] & \mathbf{A}=(\hbar / \mathrm{q}) \mathbf{K} ; \mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{k})=(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}=(\mathrm{q} / \hbar)(\varphi / \mathrm{c}, \mathbf{a})
\end{array}
\]

Take the temporal part:
EM ScalarPotential \(\varphi=-(\hbar / q)\left(\partial_{t}\right)\left[\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right] ; \quad \omega=(q / \hbar) \varphi\)
If the charge \((q)\) is a Cooper-electron-pair: \(\{q=-2 e\}\)
Voltage \(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})=\varphi(\mathrm{t})=(\hbar / 2 \mathrm{e})(\partial / \partial \mathrm{t})\left[\Delta \Phi_{\text {pot }}\right] ; \quad\) AngFreq \(\omega=-2 \mathrm{eV} / \hbar\)
This is the superconducting phase evolution equation of the Josephson Effect
\((\hbar / 2 e)\) is defined to be the Magnetic Flux Quantum \(\Phi_{\mathrm{o}}\)

\section*{AB Potential}

Aharonov-Bohm \(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d X}=(\varphi \mathrm{dt}-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{d x})\)


\section*{Hamilton-Jacobi vs Relativistic Action Josephson vs Aharonov-Bohm}

\section*{Differential Formats : 4-Vectors}


SR 4-Tensor
(2,0)-Tensor T \({ }^{\text {uv }}\) (1,1)-Tensor \(\mathrm{T}^{\mu}{ }_{v}\) or \(\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\) \((0,2)\)-Tensor \(T_{\mu v}\)

\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study: Einstein-de Broglie The ( \(\overline{\mathrm{h}}\) ) Connection}


\section*{SRQM 4-Vector Study: Dimensionless Physical Objects}

\(\left\{\gamma^{\mu}\right\}\) : Dirac Gamma Matrix ("4-Vector")
\(\left\{\sigma^{\mu}\right\}\) : Pauli Spin Matrix ("4-Vector")
Components are matrices of numbers, not just numbers \((0,2)\)-Tensor \(T_{\mu \nu}\)
\((0,1)\)-Tensor \(\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)\)

Trace \(\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T_{\mu}^{\mu}=T\) \(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=V^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \mathrm{v}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{v}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\)
= Lorentz Scalar

\title{
SRQM: QM Axioms Unnecessary QM Principles emerge from SR
}

QM is derivable from SR plus a few empirical facts - the "QM Axioms" aren't necessary These properties are either empirically measured or are emergent from SR properties...

3 "QM Axioms" are really just empirical constant relations between purely SR 4-Vectors:
Particle-Wave Duality [(P) = ћ(K)]
Unitary Evolution [ \(2=(-i) \mathrm{K}]\)
Operator Formalism [( \(\partial\) ) =-iK]
2 "QM Axioms" are just the result of the Klein-Gordon Equation being a linear wave PDE: Hilbert Space Representation (<bra|,|ket>, wavefunctions, etc.) \& The Principle of Superposition

3 "QM Axioms" are a property of the Minkowski Metric and the empirical fact of Operator Formalism The Canonical Commutation Relation
The Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (time-like-separated measurement exchange)
The Pauli Exclusion Principle (space-like-separated particle exchange)
1 "QM Axiom" only holds in the NRQM case
The Born QM Probability Interpretation - Not applicable to RQM, use Conservation of Worldlines instead
1 "QM Axiom" is really just another level of limiting cases, just like \(\mathrm{SR} \rightarrow \mathrm{CM}\) in limit of low velocity The QM Correspondence Principle ( QM \(\rightarrow\) CM in limit of \(\left\{\nabla^{2}[\phi] \ll(\nabla[\phi])^{2}\right\}\) )

SRQM: A treatise of SR \(\rightarrow\) QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

\title{
SRQM Interpretation: Relational QM \& EPR
}

The SRQM interpretation fits fairly well with Carlo Rovelli's Relational QM interpretation:
Relational QM treats the state of a quantum system as being observer-dependent, that is, the QM State is the relation between the observer and the system. This is inspired by the key idea behind Special Relativity, that the details of an observation depend on the reference frame of the observer.

All systems are quantum systems: no artificial Copenhagen dichotomy between classical/macroscopic/conscious objects and quantum objects.

The QM States reflect the observers' information about a quantum system.
Wave function "collapse" is informational - not physical. A particle always knows it's complete properties. An observer has at best only partial information about the particle's properties.

No Spooky Action at a Distance. When a measurement is done locally on an entangled system, it is only the partial information about the distant entangled state that "changes/becomes-available-instantaneously". There is no superluminal signal. Measuring/physically-changing the local particle does not physically change the distant particle.
ex. Place two identical-except-for-color marbles into a box, close lid, and shake. Without looking, pick one marble at random and place it into another box. Send that box very far away. After receiving signal of the far box arrival at a distant point, open the near box and look at the marble. You now instantaneously know the far marble's color as well. The information did not come by signal. You already had the possibilities (partial knowledge). Looking at the near marble color simply reduced the partial knowledge of both marble's color to complete knowledge of both marbles' color. No signal was required, superluminal or otherwise.

\footnotetext{
ex. The quantum version of the same experiment uses the spin of entangled particles. When measured on the same axis, one will always be spin-up, the other will be spin-down. It is conceptually analogous. Entanglement is only about correlations of system that interacted in the past and are determined by conservation laws.
}

\section*{SRQM Interpretation: Interpretation of EPR-Bell Experiment}

Einstein and Bohr can both be "right" about EPR:
Per Einstein: The QM State measured is not a "complete" description, just one observer's point-of-view. Per Bohr: The QM State measured is a "complete" description, it's all that a single observer can get.

The point is that many observers can all see the "same" system, but see different facets of it. But a single measurement is the maximal information that a single observer can get without re-interacting with the system, which of course changes the system in general. Remember, the Heisenberg Uncertainty comes from non-zero commutation properties which *require separate measurement arrangements*. The properties of a particle are always there. Properties define particles. We as observers simply have only partial information about them.

Relativistic QM, being derived from SR, should be local - The low-velocity limit to QM may give unexpected anomalous results if taken out of context, or out of the applicable validity range, such as with velocity addition \(\mathrm{v}_{12}=\mathrm{v}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{2}\), where the correct formula should be the relativistic velocity composition \(\mathrm{v}_{12}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{2}\right) /\left[1+\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right]\)

These ideas lead to the conclusion that the wavefunction is just one observer's state of information about a physical system, not the state of the physical system itself. The "collapse" of the wavefunction is simply the change in an observer's information about a system brought about by a measurement or, in the case of EPR, an inference about the physical state.

EPR doesn't break Heisenberg because measurements are made on different particles. The happy fact is that those particles interacted and became correlated in the causal past. The EPR-Bell experiments prove that it is possible to maintain those correlations over long distances. It does not prove superluminal signaling

\section*{SRQM Interpretation:}

We should not be surprised by the "quantum" probabilities being correct instead of "classical" in the EPR and Bell Inequalities experiments. Classical thinking (in both CM and QM) has a number of fallacies when it is mistakenly applied outside of its range-of-validity.

\section*{Examples}
*The limit of \(\hbar \rightarrow 0\) \{Fallacy\}:
ћ is a Lorentz Scalar Invariant and Fundamental Physical Constant. It never becomes 0. \{Fact\}
*The classical commutator being zero \(\left[p^{k}, x\right]=0\) \{Fallacy\}:
\(\left[P^{\mu}, X^{\vee}\right]=i \hbar \eta^{\mu v} ;\left[\mathrm{p}^{k}, x^{\mathrm{k}}\right]=-\mathrm{i} \hbar \delta^{\mathrm{k}} ;\left[\mathrm{p}^{0}, \mathrm{x}^{0}\right]=[\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{ct}]=[\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{t}]=\mathrm{i} \hbar ;\) Again, it never becomes \(0\{\mathrm{Fact}\}\)
*Using Maxwell-Boltzmann (distinguishable) statistics for counting probabilities of (indistinguishable) quantum states \{Fallacy\}: Must use Fermi-Dirac statistics for Fermions:Spin=(n+1/2); Bose-Einstein statistics for Bosons:Spin=(n) \{Fact\}
*Using sums of classical probabilities on quantum states \{Fallacy\}:
Must use sums of quantum probability-amplitudes \{Fact\}
*Ignoring phase cross-terms and interference effects in calculations \{Fallacy\}:
Quantum systems and entanglement require phase cross-terms \{Fact\}
*Assuming that one can simultaneously "measure" non-commuting properties at a single spacetime event \{Fallacy\}:
Particle properties always exist. However, non-commuting ones require separate measurement arrangements to get information about the properties.
The required measurement arrangements on a single particle/worldline are at best sequential events, where the temporal order plays a role; \{Fact\} However, EPR allows one to "infer (not measure)" the other property of a particle by the separate measurement of an entangled partner. \{Fact\} This does not break Heisenberg Uncertainty, which is about the order of operations (measurement events) on a single worldline. \{Fact\}
In the entangled case, both/all of the entangled partners share common past-causal entanglement events, typically due to a conservation law. \{Fact\} Information is not transmitted at FTL. The particles simply carried their normal respective "correlated" properties (no hidden variables) with them. \{Fact\}
*Assuming that QM is a generalization of CM, or that classical probabilities apply to QM \{Fallacy\}:
CM is a limiting-case of QM for when changes in a system by a few quanta have a negligible effect on the whole/overall system. \{Fact\}

We should not be surprised by the "quantum" probabilities being correct instead of "classical" in the EPR and Bell Inequalities experiments. Classical thinking (in both CM and QM) has a number of fallacies when it is mistakenly applied outside of its range-of-validity.
\{from Wikipedia\}
No-Communication Theorem/No-Signaling:
A no-go theorem from quantum information theory which states that, during measurement of an entangled quantum state, it is not possible for one observer, by making a measurement of a subsystem of the total state, to communicate information to another observer. The theorem shows that quantum correlations do not lead to what could be referred to as "spooky communication at a distance". SRQM: There is no FTL signaling.

\section*{No-Teleportation Theorem:}

The no-teleportation theorem stems from the Heisenberg uncertainty principle and the EPR paradox: although a qubit | \(\psi>\) can be imagined to be a specific direction on the Bloch sphere, that direction cannot be measured precisely, for the general case \(|\psi\rangle\). The no-teleportation theorem is implied by the no-cloning theorem. SRQM: Ket states are informational, not physical.

No-Cloning Theorem:
In physics, the no-cloning theorem states that it is impossible to create an identical copy of an arbitrary unknown quantum state. This no-go theorem of quantum mechanics proves the impossibility of a simple perfect non-disturbing measurement scheme. The no-cloning theorem is normally stated and proven for pure states; the no-broadcast theorem generalizes this result to mixed states. SRQM: Measurements are arrangements of particles that interact with a subject particle.

No-Broadcast Theorem:
Since quantum states cannot be copied in general, they cannot be broadcast. Here, the word "broadcast" is used in the sense of conveying the state to two or more recipients. For multiple recipients to each receive the state, there must be, in some sense, a way of duplicating the state. The no-broadcast theorem generalizes the no-cloning theorem for mixed states. The no-cloning theorem says that it is impossible to create two copies of an unknown state given a single copy of the state. SRQM: Conservation of worldlines.

\section*{No-Deleting Theorem:}
in physics, the no-deleting theorem of quantum information theory is a no-go theorem which states that, in general, given two copies of some arbitrary quantum state, it s impossible to delete one of the copies. It is a time-reversed dual to the no-cloning theorem, which states that arbitrary states cannot be copied.
SRQM: Conservation of worldlines.

\section*{No-Hiding Theorem:}
the no-hiding theorem is the ultimate proof of the conservation of quantum information. The importance of the no-hiding theorem is that it proves the conservation of wave function in quantum theory.
SRQM: Conservation of worldlines. RQM wavefunctions are Lorentz Scalars (spin=0), Spinors (spin=1/2), 4-Vectors (spin=1), all of which are Lorentz Invariant.

\title{
SRQM Interpretation: Quantum Information
}

\footnotetext{
We should not be surprised by the "quantum" probabilities being correct instead of "classical" probabilities in the EPR/Bell-Inequalities experiments.
Classical thinking (in both CM and QM) has a number of fallacies when it is mistakenly applied outside of its range-of-validity.
\{from Wikipedia\}
Quantum information (qubits) differs strongly from classical information, epitomized by the bit, in many striking and unfamiliar ways. Among these are the following:
A unit of quantum information is the qubit. Unlike classical digital states (which are discrete), a qubit is continuous-valued, describable by a direction on the Bloch sphere. Despite being continuously valued in this way, a qubit is the smallest possible unit of quantum information, as despite the qubit state being continuouslyvalued, it is impossible to measure the value precisely.
}

A qubit cannot be (wholly) converted into classical bits; that is, it cannot be "read". This is the no-teleportation theorem.

Despite the awkwardly-named no-teleportation theorem, qubits can be moved from one physical particle to another, by means of quantum teleportation. That is, qubits can be transported, independently of the underlying physical particle. SRQM: Ket states are informational, not physical.

An arbitrary qubit can neither be copied, nor destroyed. This is the content of the no cloning theorem and the no-deleting theorem. SRQM: Conservation of worldlines.
Although a single qubit can be transported from place to place (e.g. via quantum teleportation), it cannot be delivered to multiple recipients; this is the no-broadcast theorem, and is essentially implied by the no-cloning theorem. SRQM: Conservation of worldlines.

Qubits can be changed, by applying linear transformations or quantum gates to them, to alter their state. While classical gates correspond to the familiar operations of Boolean logic, quantum gates are physical unitary operators that in the case of qubits correspond to rotations of the Bloch sphere.

Due to the volatility of quantum systems and the impossibility of copying states, the storing of quantum information is much more difficult than storing classical information. Nevertheless, with the use of quantum error correction quantum information can still be reliably stored in principle. The existence of quantum error correcting codes has also led to the possibility of fault tolerant quantum computation.

Classical bits can be encoded into and subsequently retrieved from configurations of qubits, through the use of quantum gates. By itself, a single qubit can convey no more than one bit of accessible classical information about its preparation. This is Holevo's theorem. However, in superdense coding a sender, by acting on one of two entangled qubits, can convey two bits of accessible information about their joint state to a receiver.

Quantum information can be moved about, in a quantum channel, analogous to the concept of a classical communications channel. Quantum messages have a finite size, measured in qubits; quantum channels have a finite channel capacity, measured in qubits per second.

\title{
Minkowski still applies in local GR QM is a local phenomenon
}

The QM Schrodinger Equation is not fundamental. It is just the low-energy limiting-case of the RQM Klein-Gordon Equation. All of the standard QM Axioms are shown to be empirically measured constants or emergent properties of SR. It is a bad approach to start with NRQM as an axiomatic starting point and try to generalize it to RQM, in the same way that one cannot start with CM and derive SR. Since QM *can* be derived from SR, this partially explains the difficulty of uniting QM with GR:
QM is not a "separate formalism" outside of SR that can be used to "quantize" just anything...
Strictly speaking, the use of the Minkowski space to describe physical systems over finite distances applies only in the SR limit of systems without significant gravitation. In the case of significant gravitation, SpaceTime becomes curved and one must abandon SR in favor of the full theory of GR.

Nevertheless, even in such cases, based on the GR Equivalence Principle, Minkowski space is still a good description in a local region surrounding any point (barring gravitational singularities). More abstractly, we say that in the presence of gravity, SpaceTime is described by a curved 4-dimensional manifold for which the tangent space to any point is a 4-dimensional Minkowski Space. Thus, the structure of Minkowski Space is still essential in the description of GR.

So, even in GR, at the local level things are considered to be Minkowskian:
i.e. \(S R \rightarrow\) QM "lives inside the surface" of this local SpaceTime, GR curves the surface.

\title{
SRQM Interpretation: Main Result QM is derivable from SR!
}

Hopefully, this interpretation will shed light on why Quantum Gravity has been so elusive. Basically, QM rules of "quantization" don't apply to GR. They are a manifestation-of/derivation-from SR. Relativity *is* the "Theory of Measurement" that QM has been looking for.

This would explain why no one has been able to produce a successful theory of Quantum Gravity, and why there have been no violations of Lorentz Invariance nor of the Equivalence Principle.

If quantum effects "live" in Minkowski SpaceTime with SR,
then GR curvature effects are at a level above the RQM description, and two levels above standard QM. SR+QM are "in" SpaceTime, GR is the "shape" of SpaceTime...

Thus, this treatise explains the following:
- Why GR works so well in it's realm of applicability \{large scale systems\}.
- Why QM works so well in it's realm of applicability \{micro scale systems and certain macroscopic systems\}.
i.e. The tangent space to any point in GR curvature is locally Minkowskian, and thus QM is typically found in small local volumes...
- Why RQM explains more stuff than QM without SR \{because QM is just the low-velocity limiting-case of RQM\}.
- Why all attempts to "quantize gravity" have failed \{essentially, everyone has been trying to put the cart (QM) before the horse (GR)\}.
- Why all attempts to modify GR keep conflicting with experimental data \{because GR is apparently fundamental\}.
- Why QM works perfectly well with \(\operatorname{SR}\) as \(R Q M\) but not with \(G R\) \{because QM is derivable from SR, hence a manifestation of SR rules\}.
- How Minkowski Space, 4-Vectors, and Lorentz Invariants play vital roles in RQM, and give the SRQM Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics.
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\section*{SRQM Chart:}

\section*{SRQM: The [SR \(\rightarrow\) QM] Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics}

Special Relativity (SR) Axioms: Invariant Interval + LightSpeed (c) as Physical Constant lead to SR, although technically SR is itself the Minkowski-SpaceTime low-curvature:"flat" limiting-case of GR. \(\left\{c, \tau, m_{0}, \hbar, i\right\}=\left\{c:\right.\) SpeedOfLight, \(\tau\) :ProperTime, \(m_{0}\) :RestMass, \(\hbar:\) DiracConstant, i:ImaginaryNumber \(\left.\sqrt{ }[-1]\right\}\) : are all Empirically Measured SR Lorentz Invariants and/or Mathematical Constants

Standard SR 4-Vectors:
4-Position \(\quad \mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r}) \quad\) Related

4-Position
\(\mathbf{R}=(\mathrm{ct}, \mathrm{r})\)
= <Event>
\((\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R})=(\mathrm{c} \tau)^{2}\)
4-Velocity
\(\mathbf{U}=\gamma(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{u})\)
\(=(\mathrm{U} \cdot \partial) \mathbf{R}=\left({ }^{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{r}\right) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{dR} / \mathrm{d} \tau\)
\((\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{U})=(\mathrm{c})^{2}\)
4-Momentum
\(\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})\)
\(=m_{0} \mathbf{U}\)
\((P \cdot P)=\left(m_{0} c\right)^{2}\)
4-WaveVector
\(\mathbf{K}=(\omega / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k})\)
\(=P / \hbar\)
\((K \cdot K)=\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)
\(|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\)
4-Gradient \(\quad \partial=(\partial / \mathrm{c},-\overline{ })\)
\((\partial \cdot \partial)=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=\) KG Eqn:Relation \(\rightarrow R Q M \rightarrow Q M\)

SR + Empirically Measured Physical Constants lead to RQM via the Klein-Gordon Quantum Eqn, and thence to QM via the low-velocity limit \(\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}\), giving the Schrödinger Eqn. This fundamental KG relation also leads to the other

Quantum Wave Equations:
spin=0 field=4-Scalar:
spin=1/2 field=4-Spinor:
spin=1

RQM
RQM
QM
\(\left\{|v|=c: m_{0}=0\right\}\)
Free Scalar Wave
Weyl
Maxwell (EM)
\(\left\{0<=|v|<c: m_{0}>0\right\}\)
Klein-Gordon
Dirac (w/ EM)
Proca
\(\left\{0<=|v| \ll c: m_{0}>0\right\}\)
Schrödinger (regular QM)
Pauli (w/ EM)
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\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\title{
Special Relativity \(\rightarrow\) Quantum Mechanics
} SR Lorentz Transforms SR Action \(\rightarrow\) 4-Momentum SR Phase \(\rightarrow 4\)-WaveVector SR Proper Time SR \& QM Waves \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{c}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla\)
\(=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}\)
\(=(\partial / c)^{2}\)

\section*{SR d'Alembertian \&} Klein-Gordon Relativistic Quantum Wave Relation Schrödinger QWE is \(\{|\mathbf{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}\) limit of KG QWE \({ }^{* *}[\mathrm{SR} \rightarrow\) QM ]**

4-WaveVector=Substantiation of SR Wave <Events> oscillations proportional to mass:energy \& 3-momentum

*START HERE*: 4-Position=L

\section*{SRQM Diagram:}

\section*{Special Relativity \(\rightarrow\) Quantum Mechanics}

4-Gradient=Alteration of SR <Events> SR SpaceTime Dimension=4 SR SpaceTime 4D Metric SR Lorentz Transforms SR Action \(\rightarrow\) 4-Momentum SR Phase \(\rightarrow 4\)-WaveVector SR Proper Time SR \& QM Waves

SR \(\rightarrow\) RQM Klein-Gordon

\section*{Relativistic Quantum} Particle in EM Potential d'Alembertian Wave Equation \(\partial \cdot \partial=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{C}\right)^{2}-\nabla \cdot \nabla\)
\(=\left(\partial_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \mathrm{A}\right) \cdot\left(\partial_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{iq} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}\right)\) \(=-\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}=-\left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}\)

Limit: \(\{|\mathrm{v}| \ll \mathrm{c}\}\)
\(\left(i \hbar \partial_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{q} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)\right]\) (iћ \(\left.\partial_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \sim\left[\mathrm{V}+\left(\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{qa}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right)\right]\)
with potential \(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{q} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)\)
\(\underset{* *[S R}{=\text { Schrödinger QM Equation (EM potential) }}\) \({ }^{* *}\left[\right.\) SR \(\rightarrow\) QM \({ }^{* *}\)


SR Wave <Events> have
4-WaveVector=Substantiation oscillations proportional to mass:energy \& 3-momentum
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{K}=(\omega / c)^{2}-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} \\
= & \left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}}-(\mathrm{q} / \hbar) \mathbf{A}\right) \\
= & \left(m_{0} c / \hbar\right)^{2}=\left(\omega_{0} / c\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
\]
*START HERE*: <Events> have 4-Position=Location in SR SpaceTime
 SR 4-CoVector

EM Faraday \(\partial^{\mu} A^{v}-\partial^{v} A^{\mu}=F^{\mu v}\) 4-Tensor <Events> have 4-Velocity=Motion in SR SpaceTime as both particles \& waves

\begin{tabular}{l} 
Minimal Coupling \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

4-TotalMomentum
\(P_{T}=\left(E_{T} / c, p_{T}\right)=((E+q \varphi) / c, p+q a)\)

\title{
SRQM Diagram: SRQM 4-Vectors and Lorentz Scalars / Physical Constants
}


\section*{Special Relativity \(\rightarrow\) Quantum Mechanics} The SRQM Interpretation: Links

See also: http://scirealm.org/SRQM.html (alt disususion) http://scirealm.org/SRQM-RoadMap.html (main sRom wessite) http://scirealm.org/4Vectors.html (4-Vector study) http://scirealm.org/SRQM-Tensors. html (Tensor 84 .-vecolor Caleulaler) http://scirealm.org/SciCalculator.html (Complexceapable RPN Calaulator)

\section*{or Google "SRQM"}
http://scirealm.org/SRQM.pdf (this document: most current ver. at SciRealm. org)
SRQM: A treatise of SR \(\rightarrow\) QM by John B. Wilson (SciRealm@aol.com)

\title{
The 4-Vector SRQM Interpretation QM is derivable from SR!
}

\section*{quantum \\ relativity}
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[^0]:    Trace $\left[T^{\mathrm{VV}]}=\eta_{I v} T^{\mathrm{VV}}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T\right.$
    $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=V^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} V^{V}=\left[\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}$ $=$ Lorentz Scalar

[^1]:    Invariant ProperTime=(| clock at-rest |) ; Invariant ProperLength=(| ruler at-rest |) Time Dilation=( $\leftarrow$ clock moving $\rightarrow$ ) Length Contraction=( $\rightarrow$ ruler moving $\leftarrow$ )

[^2]:    Trace $\left[T^{\nu V}\right]=\eta_{T V} T^{\nu V}=T^{\mu}=T$
    $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$ = Lorentz Scalar

[^3]:    Trace $\left[T^{T V}\right]=\eta_{I V} T^{T V}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T$
    $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{H}} \eta_{\mathrm{Lv}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$
    = Lorentz Scalar

[^4]:    Trace $\left[T^{\mathrm{LV}}\right]=\eta_{[v} T^{\mathrm{LV}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mu}=T$
    $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{4} \eta_{\mathrm{lv}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$
    = Lorentz Scalar

[^5]:    = Lorentz Scalar

[^6]:    Trace $\left[T^{\mu v}\right]=\eta_{\mu v} T^{\mu v}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T$ $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=V^{\mu} \eta_{\mu v} V^{V}=\left[\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}$ = Lorentz Scalar

[^7]:    SR 4-Vector
    (1,0)-Tensor $\mathrm{V}^{\mu}=\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)$ SR 4-CoVector
    $(0,1)$-Tensor $\mathrm{V}_{\mu}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{0},-\mathrm{v}\right)$

[^8]:    Trace $\left[T^{\mu V}\right]=\eta_{I V} T^{\mu V}=T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=T$
    $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}} \eta_{\mathrm{Iv}} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{v}^{0}\right)^{2}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]=\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$
    $=$ Lorentz Scalar

