A note on a possible anomaly in the complex numbers

Han Geurdes

Abstract In the present paper a conflict in basic complex number theory is reported. The ingredients of the analysis are Euler's identity and the DeMoivre rule for $n = 2$. The outcome is that a quadratic equation only has one single solution because one of the existing solutions gives rise to an impossibility.

1 Introduction

Despite the fact that the complex numbers are deeply researched into and are therefore widely applied, it is no luxury to every now and then look at elementary aspects of the theory. This small note tries to establish whether the complex numbers are consistent with all the normally in applications expected operations. It is found that perhaps there is a problem with consistency. In the paper an anomaly in elementary complex number theory [1] is presented. Only one textbook reference is presented because it is unknown to the author if other modern research into this matter exists. The author and Dr Nagata have done some research into an associated case [2]. It is unknown if this case is relevant to what is found here. The author suspects that because of the phasor $e^{i\phi(x,t)}$ in Feynman's path integral formulation of the quantum mechanics [3], [4], the results of the present small case study will have consequences for quantum mechanics.

Han Geurdes
GDS Appl Math BV
E-mail: han.geurdes@gmail.com

2 Complex number anomaly

In elementary complex number theory [1] there are two basic principles that will be employed here. The first is Euler's identity. This is $\forall_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\ e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$. The second is the power rule of DeMoivre. This is, $\forall_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\ (\cos(x) + i\sin(x))^n = \cos(nx) + i\sin(nx)$. Here we will use the easy to be verified form for $n = 2$.

Now let us look at the following expression for $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$z = \exp\left[i(\varphi + \psi)^2\right] \tag{1}$$

Hence, [1],

$$z = \exp\left[i(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)\right]\exp\left[2i\chi\right] \tag{2}$$

and $\chi = \varphi\psi$. Let us, subsequently, look at $\varphi + \psi = \sqrt{\pi}$. According to (1) $z = e^{i\pi} = -1$. Moreover, if $\alpha = \varphi\left(\varphi - \sqrt{\pi}\right)$ then, via $\psi = \sqrt{\pi} - \varphi$

$$\chi = -\alpha \tag{3}$$
$$\varphi^2 + \psi^2 = \pi + 2\alpha$$

Note we may take $\varphi \not\equiv 0$. From (1) and (2) and $z = -1$ it follows that

$$\exp\left[-2i\chi\right] = -\exp\left[i(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)\right] \tag{4}$$

The left hand of the previous equation (4) can be written according to Euler's identity as

$$\exp\left[-2i\chi\right] = \cos(2\chi) - i\sin(2\chi) \tag{5}$$

According to DeMoivre we then have

$$\exp\left[-2i\chi\right] = \left(\cos(\chi) - i\sin(\chi)\right)^2 \tag{6}$$

The right hand of (4) we define $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)$ and then note that DeMoivre and Euler's identity gives

$$\exp\left[i(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)\right] = \left(\cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)\right)^2 \tag{7}$$

If we then define $z_\chi^2 = \exp\left[-2i\chi\right]$ and $b^2 = \exp\left[i(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)\right]$, then, looking at the previous two equations and (4), we have an equality

$$z_\chi^2 = -b^2 \tag{8}$$

Let us subsequently define $\eta \in \{-1, 1\}$ and note that (8) must have two solutions for z_χ. They are for $\eta = 1$ and for $\eta = -1$.

$$z_\chi(\eta) = i\eta b \tag{9}$$

With $z_\chi = \cos(\chi) - i\sin(\chi)$ squared on the right hand of (6) and $b = \cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)$ squared on the right hand of (7). And so it can be concluded,

$$\cos(\chi) - i\sin(\chi) = i\eta\left(\cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)\right) \tag{10}$$

From the definition of χ in (3) the left hand of (10) is

$$\cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha) = i\eta\left(\cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)\right) \qquad (11)$$

From the definition of $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)$ and (3) it also follows

$$\cos(\beta) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha\right) = -\sin(\alpha)$$
$$\sin(\beta) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha\right) = \cos(\alpha)$$

Therefore, using the above reformulations and (11) gives $-i\cos(\alpha) + \sin(\alpha) = \eta(-\sin(\alpha) + i\cos(\alpha))$. This implies

$$-i\cos(\alpha) + \sin(\alpha) = i\eta\cos(\alpha) - \eta\sin(\alpha) \qquad (12)$$

Comparing real and imaginary components the result looks like

$$-\cos(\alpha) = \eta\cos(\alpha) \qquad (13)$$
$$\sin(\alpha) = -\eta\sin(\alpha)$$

If, $\eta = -1$ the relations in (13) can be true. However, because (8) also has a solution with $\eta = 1$, we then see that (13) cannot be satisfied. It is by definition impossible to have finite $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\cos(\alpha) = \sin(\alpha) = 0$. This impossible result for $\eta = 1$ represents an anomaly in the complex numbers.

References

1. J.V. Deshpande, Complex Analysis, 69 - 77 McGraw Hill Int., New Dehli (1986).
2. Han Geurdes & Koji Nagata, A question about consistency of physical relevant inequalities, draft 2019.
3. R.D. Klauder *Path integrals in Quantum Theories*, publ, quantum field theory info.2011.
4. R.P. Feynman & A.R. Hibbs, Quantum mechanics and Path integrals, 76-94, McGraw Hill Book Comp, New York (1965).