

# In New mathematics, Riemann hypothesis is mistake

Toshiro Takami\*  
mmm82889@yahoo.co.jp

## Abstract

In classical mathematics there will be a complete zero.

But in new mathematics there is no perfect zero. At the same time, there is no perfect  $1/2$  in new mathematics.

Hence, Riemann hypothesis is false.

In new mathematics, there is no perfect 1 or 2.

They are 1 or 2 as close as possible to 1 or 2, and not 1 or 2.

I think we should break away from classical mathematics and think about new mathematics.

These can be said from quantum mechanics.

New mathematics doesn't have perfect zero,  $1/2$ , 1, 2 and so on.

There are only numbers close to zero,  $1/2$ , 1, and 2.

$1/2$  is 0.499999999..... or 0.5000000000.....

A perfect  $1/2$  cannot exist.

## key words

Zero is Mathematical Fantasy, New Mathematics, Riemann hypothesis is mistake

---

\*47-8 kuyamadai, Isahaya-shi, Nagasaki-prefecture, 854-0067 Japan



As described above, perfect 0, 1/2, 1, 2 and so on cannot exist in quantum mechanics.

### **Conclusion**

These are natural in quantum mechanics, but it was strange that no one would say.

### **After Word**

As soon as it was translated into English by Google translation, Foreign language is a code for me. I don't know what I'm writing.

## **References**

- [1] Toshiro Takami.: Twin Prime Conjecture (New Edition), viXra:1910.0081
- [2] Toshiro Takami.: Prime Triplet Conjecture, viXra:1911.0201
- [3] Toshiro Takami.: Prime Sextuplet Conjecture, viXra:1911.0180
- [4] Toshiro Takami.: Prime Quintuplet Conjecture, viXra:1911.0179
- [5] Toshiro Takami.: Sexy Primes Conjecture, viXra:1911.0177
- [6] Toshiro Takami.: Prime Quadruplet Conjecture, viXra:1911.0144
- [7] Toshiro Takami.: Cousin Primes Conjecture, viXra:1911.0083
- [8] Toshiro Takami.: Thoughts Are Faster Than Light, viXra:1910.0131
- [9] Toshiro Takami.: Next Infinite is Zero, viXra:1902.0263