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## 1 Introduction

In 1996, Andrijević [2] introduced a new class of generalized open sets called $b$-open sets into the field of topology. This class is a subset of the class of semi-preopen sets [3] also called $\beta$-open sets [1], i.e. a subset of a topological space which is contained in the closure of the interior of its closure. Also the class of $b$-open sets is a superset of the class of semi-open sets [7], i.e. a set which is contained in the closure of its interior, and the class of locally dense sets [6] or preopen sets [8], i.e. a set which is contained in the interior of its closure. Andrijević studied several fundamental and interesting properties of $b$-open sets. Among others, he showed that a rare $b$-open set is preopen [[2], Proposition 2.2]. Recall that a rare set [4] is a set with no interior points. It is well-known that for a topological space $X$, every rare $b$-open set is semiopen if and only if the interior of a dense subset is dense. Quite recently Caldas et al. [5] obtained some new generalized sets by utilizing $b$-open sets and investigated the topologies defined by these families of sets.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, $(X, \tau)$ and $(Y, \sigma)$ (or simply $X$ and $Y$ ) always mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let $A \subseteq X$, then $A$ is said to be $b$-open [2](resp. $\alpha$-open [9]) if $A \subseteq C l(\operatorname{Int}(A)) \cup \operatorname{Int}(C l(A))($ resp. $A \subset \operatorname{Int}(C l(\operatorname{Int}(A))))$, where $C l(A)$ and $\operatorname{Int}(A)$ denote the closure and the interior of $A$ in $(X, \tau)$, respectively. The complement $A^{c}=X \backslash A$ of a $b$-open set $A$ is called $b$-closed and the $b$-closure of a set $A$, denoted by $C l_{b}(A)$, is the intersection of all $b$-closed sets containing $A$. The $b$-interior of a set $A$ denoted by $\operatorname{Int}_{b}(A)$, is the union of all $b$-open sets contained in $A$. It is obvious that if the bounday of a b-open set is nowhere dense, then it is semi-open. Moreover a rare $b$-open set with a nowhere dense boundary is $\alpha$-open! Also a $b$-open set which its closure is regulary closed (or semiopen) is $\beta$-open! Recall that a subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau)$ is called regular open (resp. regularly closed) if $A=\operatorname{Int}(C l(A))($ resp. $A=C l(\operatorname{Int}(A)))$. It is clear that if a $b$-open set is closed then it is semiopen.

The family of all $b$-open (resp. $b$-closed) sets in ( $X, \tau$ ) will be denoted by $B O(X, \tau)$ (resp. $B C(X, \tau))$.

Proposition 2.1 (Andrijević [2]) (a) The union of any family of $b$-open sets is a $b$-open.
(b) The intersection of an open and a $b$-open set is a $b$-open set.

Lemma 2.2 The b-closure $C l_{b}(A)$, is the set of all $x \in X$ such that $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $O \in B O(X, x)$, where $B O(X, x)=\{U \mid x \in U, U \in B O(X, \tau)\}$.

A subset $N_{x}$ of a topological space $X$ is said to be a $b$-neighbourhood of a point $x \in X$ if there exists a $b$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U \subset N_{x}$.

Lemma 2.3 $A$ subset of a space $X$ is b-open in $X$ if and only if it is a $b$-neighbourhood of each of its points.

## $3 \quad b-R_{1}$ Topological Spaces

Definition 1 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a space and $A \subset X$. Then the b-kernel of $A$, denoted by $b \operatorname{Ker}(A)$ is defined to be the set $b \operatorname{Ker}(A)=\cap\{G \in B O(X, \tau) \mid A \subset G\}$.

It should be noticed that $b \operatorname{Ker}(A)$ is defined as $B^{\Lambda_{b}}$ in [5].

Lemma 3.1 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a space and $x \in X$. Then, $y \in b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in C l_{b}(\{y\})$.

Proof. Assume that $y \notin b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\})$. Then there exists a $b$-open set $V$ containing $x$ such that $y \notin V$. Therefore, we have $x \notin C l_{b}(\{y\})$. The converse is similarly shown.

Lemma 3.2 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a space and $A$ a subset of $X$. Then, $b \operatorname{Ker}(A)=$ $\left\{x \in X \mid C l_{b}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\right\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in b \operatorname{Ker}(A)$ and $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \cap A=\emptyset$. Therefore, $x \notin X \backslash$ $C l_{b}(\{x\})$ which is a $b$-open set containing $A$. But this is impossible, since $x \in b \operatorname{Ker}(A)$. Consequently, $C l l_{b}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Now, let $x \in X$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $x \notin b \operatorname{Ker}(A)$. Then, there exists a $b$ open set $U$ containing $A$ and $x \notin U$. Let $y \in C l_{b}(\{x\}) \cap A$. Thus, $U$ is a $b$-neigbourhood of $y$ such that $x \notin U$. By this contradiction $x \in b \operatorname{Ker}(A)$.

Lemma 3.3 The following statements are equivalent for any points $x$ and $y$ in a space $(X, \tau)$ :
(1) $b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\}) \neq b \operatorname{Ker}(\{y\})$;
(2) $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow(2):$ Let $b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\}) \neq b \operatorname{Ker}(\{y\})$, then there exists a point $z$ in $X$ such that $z \in b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \operatorname{bKer}(\{y\})$. From $z \in$ $b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\})$ it follows that $\{x\} \cap C l_{b}(\{z\}) \neq \emptyset$ which implies $x \in C l_{b}(\{z\})$. By $z \notin b \operatorname{Ker}(\{y\})$, we have $\{y\} \cap C l_{b}(\{z\})=\emptyset$. Since $x \in C l_{b}(\{z\})$, $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \subset C l_{b}(\{z\})$ and $\{y\} \cap C l_{b}(\{x\})=\emptyset$. Therefore it follows that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$. Now $b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\}) \neq b \operatorname{Ker}(\{y\})$ implies that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq$ $C l_{b}(\{y\})$.
$(2) \rightarrow(1):$ Suppose that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$. Then there exists a point $z$ in $X$ such that $z \in C l_{b}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin C l_{b}(\{y\})$. It means that there exists a $b$-open set containing $z$. Therefore $x$ but not $y$, i.e., $y \notin b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\})$ and hence $b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\}) \neq b \operatorname{Ker}(\{y\})$.

Recall that a space $(X, \tau)$ is called $b-T_{0}$ (resp. $\left.b-T_{1}[5]\right)$ if for any distinct pair of points $x$ and $y$ in $X$, there is a $b$-open $U$ in $X$ containing $x$ but not $y$ or (resp. and) a $b$-open set $V$ in $X$ containing $y$ but not $x$. It is worth-noticing that in a private correspondence Professor Maximilian Ganster has shown that a space is $b-T_{1}$ if and only if each singleton is either rare or regular open.

Theorem 3.4 Every topological space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{0}$.
Proof. Take two points $x$ and $y$ in $X$. If $\operatorname{Int}\{x\}$ is nonempty then $\{x\}$ is open, thus $b$-open and we are done. Otherwise, if $\operatorname{Int}\{x\}$ is empty, then $\{x\}$ is preclosed, i.e. $X-\{x\}$ is a preopen (thus $b$-open) set containing $y$, and we are also done.

Theorem 3.5 For a space $(X, \tau)$ each pair of distinct points $x, y$ of $X$, $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$.

Proof. Let $x, y$ be any two distinct points of $X$. Since every space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{0}$ (Theorem 3.4), there exists a $b$-open set $G$ containing $x$ or $y$, say $x$ but not $y$. Then $G^{c}$ is a $b$-closed set which does not contain $x$ but contains $y$. Since $C l_{b}(\{y\})$ is the smallest $b$-closed set containing $y, C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset G^{c}$, and so $x \notin C l_{b}(\{y\})$. Consequently $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$.

Theorem 3.6 $A$ space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{1}$ if and only if the singletons are b-closed sets.

Proof. Suppose that $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{1}$ and $x \in X$. Let $y \in\{x\}^{c}$. Then $x \neq y$ and so there exists a $b$-open set $U_{y}$ such that $y \in U_{y}$ but $x \notin U_{y}$. Consequently $y \in U_{y} \subset\{x\}^{c}$ i.e., $\{x\}^{c}=\bigcup\left\{U_{y} / y \in\{x\}^{c}\right\}$ which is $b$-open.

Conversely. Suppose that $\{p\}$ is $b$-closed for every $p \in X$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Now $x \neq y$ implies $y \in\{x\}^{c}$. Hence $\{x\}^{c}$ is a $b$-open set containing $y$ but not $x$. Similarly $\{y\}^{c}$ is a $b$-open set containing $x$ but not $y$. Accordingly $X$ is a $b-T_{1}$ space.

Definition $2 A$ space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be $b-R_{1}$ if for $x, y$ in $X$ with $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq$ $C l_{b}(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint b-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\})$ is a subset of $U$ and $C l_{b}(\{y\})$ is a subset of $V$.

Theorem 3.7 $A$ space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-R_{1}$ if and only if for $x, y \in X, b \operatorname{Ker}(\{x\}) \neq$ $b \operatorname{Ker}(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint $b$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \subset U$ and $C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset V$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.3.
A space $(X, \tau)$ is called $b-T_{2}$ if for any distinct pair of points $x$ and $y$ in $X$, there exist $b$-open sets $U$ and $V$ in $X$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively, such that $U \cap V=\emptyset$.

Theorem 3.8 $A$ space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{2}$ if and only if $(X, \tau)$ is $b-R_{1}$.
Proof. Necessity. Since $X$ is $b-T_{2}$, then $X$ is $b-T_{1}$. If $x, y \in X$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$, then $x \neq y$. Then there exists disjoint $b$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$; hence $C l_{b}(\{x\})=\{x\} \subset U$ and $C l_{b}(\{y\})=\{y\} \subset V$. Hence $X$ is $b-R_{1}$.
Sufficiency. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. By Theorem 3.4, There exists a $b$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. Then by Lemma $3.1 x \notin C l_{b}(\{y\})$ and hence $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$. Therefore there exist disjoint $b$-open sets $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ such that $x \in C l_{b}(\{x\}) \subset U_{1}$ and $y \in C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset U_{2}$. Thus $X$ is $b-T_{2}$

Theorem 3.9 $A$ space $X$ is $b-T_{2}$ if and only if the intersection of all b-closed $b$-neighourhoods of each point of $X$ is reduced to that point.

Proof. Necessity. Let $X$ be $b-T_{2}$ and $x \in X$. Then for each $y \in X$ which is distinct from $x$, there exist $b$-open sets $G$ and $H$ such that $x \in G, y \in H$ and $G \cap H=\emptyset$. Since $x \in G \subset H^{c}$, hence $H^{c}$ is a $b$-closed $b$-neighbourhood of $x$ to which $y$ does not belong. Consequently, the intersection of all $b$-closed $b$-neighbourhood of $x$ is reduced to $\{x\}$.

Sufficiency. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then by hypothesis there exists a $b$-closed $b$-neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ such that $y \notin U$. Now there is a $b$-open set $G$ such that $x \in G \subset U$. Thus $G$ an $U^{c}$ are disjoint $b$-open sets containing $x$ and $y$ respectively. Hence $X$ is $b-T_{2}$.

Theorem 3.10 For a space $(X, \tau)$, the following statements are equivalent .
(1) $(X, \tau)$ is $b-R_{1}$;
(2) If $x, y \in X$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$, then there exist b-closed sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ such that $x \in F_{1}, y \notin F_{1}, y \in F_{2}, x \notin F_{2}$ and $X=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow(2):$ Let $x, y \in X$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$, and hence $x \neq y$. Therefore, there exist disjoint $b$-open sets $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ such that $x \in C l_{b}(\{x\}) \subset U_{1}$ and $y \in C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset U_{2}$. Then $F_{1}=X \backslash U_{2}$ and $F_{2}=X \backslash U_{1}$ are $b$-closed sets such that $x \in F_{1}, y \notin F_{1}, y \in F_{2}, x \notin F_{2}$ and $X=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$.
$(2) \rightarrow(1)$ : Suppose that $x$ and $y$ are distinct points of $X$, such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{y\})$. Therefore there exist $b$-closed sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ such that $x \in F_{1}, y \notin F_{1}, y \in F_{2}, x \notin F_{2}$ and $X=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$. Now, we set $U_{1}=X \backslash F_{2}$ and $U_{2}=X \backslash F_{1}$, then we obtain that $x \in U_{1}, y \in U_{2}$,
$U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$ and $U_{1}, U_{2}$ are $b$-open. This shows that $(X, \tau)$ is $b$ - $T_{2}$. It follows from Theorem 3.8 that $(X, \tau)$ is $b-R_{1}$.

A space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be a $b$ - $R_{0}$ space if every $b$-open set contains the $b$-closure of each of its singletons.

Theorem 3.11 For every space $(X, \tau)$ the following statements are equivalent:
a) $b-R_{0}$.
b) $b-T_{1}$.

Proof. The equivalnce of $b-T_{1}$ and $b-R_{0}$ follows from the fact that $b-T_{1}$ is equivalent to $b-R_{0}$ and $b-T_{0}$.

A point $x$ of a space $(X, \tau)$ is an $b-\theta$-accumulation point of a subset $A \subset X$, if for each $b$-open $U$ of $X$ containing $\mathrm{x}, C l_{b}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. The set $b C l_{\theta}(A)$ of all $b-\theta$-accumulation points of $A$ is called the $b-\theta$-closure of $A$. The set $A$ is said to be $b$ - $\theta$-closed if $b C l_{\theta}(A)=A$. Complement of a $b$ - $\theta$-closed set is said to be $b-\theta$-open.

Lemma 3.12 For any subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau), C l_{b}(A) \subset b C l_{\theta}(A)$.
Lemma 3.13 Let $x$ and $y$ are points in a space $(X, \tau)$. Then $y \in b C l_{\theta}(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in b C l_{\theta}(\{y\})$.

Theorem 3.14 $A$ space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-R_{1}$ if and only if for each $x \in X$, $C l_{b}(\{x\})=b C l_{\theta}(\{x\})$.

Proof. Necessity. Assume that $X$ is $b-R_{1}$ and $y \in b C l_{\theta}(\{x\}) \backslash C l_{b}(\{x\})$. Then there exists a $b$-open set $U$ containing $y$ such that $C l_{b}(U) \cap\{x\} \neq \emptyset$ but $U \cap\{x\}=\emptyset$. Thus $C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset U, C l_{b}(\{x\}) \cap U=\emptyset$. Hence $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \neq$ $C l_{b}(\{y\})$. Since $X$ is $b-R_{1}$, there exist disjoint $b$-open sets $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ such that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \subset U_{1}$ and $C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset U_{2}$. Therefore $X \backslash U_{1}$ is a $b$-closed $b$ neigbourhood at $y$ which does not contain $x$. Thus $y \notin b C l_{\theta}(\{x\})$. This is a contradiction.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $C l_{b}(\{x\})=b C l_{\theta}(\{x\})$ for each $x \in X$. We first prove that $X$ is $b-R_{0}$. Let $x$ belong to the $b$-open set $U$ and $y \notin U$. Since $b C l_{\theta}(\{y\})=C l_{b}(\{y\}) \subset X \backslash U$, we have $x \notin b C l_{\theta}(\{y\})$ and by Lemma 3.13 $y \notin b C l_{\theta}(\{x\})=C l_{b}(\{x\})$. It follows that $C l_{b}(\{x\}) \subset U$. Therefore $(X, \tau)$ is
$b-R_{0}$. Now, let $a, b \in X$ with $C l_{b}(\{a\}) \neq C l_{b}(\{b\})$. By Theorem 3.11, $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{1}$ and $b \notin b C l_{\theta}(\{a\})$ and hence there exists a $b$-open set $U$ containing $b$ such that $a \notin C l_{b}(U)$. Therefore, we obtain $b \in U, a \in X \backslash C l_{b}(U)$ and $U \cap\left(X \backslash C l_{b}(U)\right)=\emptyset$. This shows that $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{2}$. It follows from Theorem 3.8 that $(X, \tau)$ is $b-R_{1}$.

## 4 Others Properties of $b$-open Sets

Definition $3 A$ subset $A$ of a space $X$ is called a bD-set if there are two $U, V \in B O(X, \tau)$ such that $U \neq X$ and $A=U \backslash V$.

One can observe that every $b$-open set $U$ different from $X$ is a $b D$-set if $A=U$ and $V=\emptyset$.

Definition 4 A space $(X, \tau)$ is called:
(i) $b-D_{0}$ if for any distinct pair of points $x$ and $y$ of $X$ there exists a bD-set of $X$ containing $x$ but not $y$ or a bD-set of $X$ containing $y$ but not $x$.
(ii) $b$ - $D_{1}$ if for any distinct pair of points $x$ and $y$ of $X$ there exists a bD-set of $X$ containing $x$ but not $y$ and $a b D$-set of $X$ containing $y$ but not $x$.
(iii) $b-D_{2}$ if for any distinct pair of points $x$ and $y$ of $X$ there exist disjoint $b D$-sets $G$ and $E$ of $X$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively.

Remark 4.1 (i) If $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{i}$, then it is $b-T_{i-1}, i=1,2$.
(ii) If $(X, \tau)$ is $b-T_{i}$, then $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{i}, i=0,1,2$.
(iii) If $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{i}$, then it is $b-D_{i-1}, i=1,2$.

Theorem 4.2 For a space $(X, \tau)$ the following statements are true:
(1) $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{0}$ if and only if it is $b-T_{0}$.
(2) $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{1}$ if and only if it is $b-D_{2}$.

Proof. (1) We prove only the necessity condition since the sufficiency condition is stated in Remark 4.1(ii).

Necessity. Let $(X, \tau)$ be $b-D_{0}$. Then for each distinct pair $x, y \in X$, at least one of $x, y$, say $x$, belongs to a $b D$-set $G$ but $y \notin G$. Let $G=U_{1} \backslash U_{2}$ where $U_{1} \neq X$ and $U_{1}, U_{2} \in B O(X, \tau)$. Then $x \in U_{1}$, and for $y \notin G$ we have two cases: (a) $y \notin U_{1}$; (b) $y \in U_{1}$ and $y \in U_{2}$.

In case (a), $U_{1}$ contains $x$ but not $y$;

In case (b), $U_{2}$ contains $y$ but not $x$. Hence $X$ is $b-T_{0}$.
(2) Sufficiency. Remark 4.1(iii).

Necessity. Let $X$ be a $b-D_{1}$ topological space. Then for each distinct pair $x, y \in X$, we have $b D$-sets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ such that $x \in G_{1}, y \notin G_{1} ; y \in G_{2}, x \notin G_{2}$ . Let $G_{1}=U_{1} \backslash U_{2}, G_{2}=U_{3} \backslash U_{4}$. ¿From $x \notin G_{2}$, we have either $x \notin U_{3}$ or $x \in U_{3}$ and $x \in U_{4}$. Now we consider the following two cases separately.
(1) $x \notin U_{3}$. From $y \notin G_{1}$ we have two subcases:
(a) $y \notin U_{1}$. From $x \in U_{1} \backslash U_{2}$ we have $x \in U_{1} \backslash\left(U_{2} \cup U_{3}\right)$ and from $y \in U_{3} \backslash U_{4}$ we have $y \in U_{3} \backslash\left(U_{1} \cup U_{4}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(U_{1} \backslash\left(U_{2} \cup U_{3}\right)\right) \cap\left(U_{3} \backslash\left(U_{1} \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.U_{4}\right)=\emptyset$.
(b) $y \in U_{1}$ and $y \in U_{2}$. We have $x \in U_{1} \backslash U_{2}, y \in U_{2} .\left(U_{1} \backslash U_{2}\right) \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$.
(2) $x \in U_{3}$ and $x \in U_{4}$. We have $y \in U_{3} \backslash U_{4}, x \in U_{4} .\left(U_{3} \backslash U_{4}\right) \cap U_{4}=\emptyset$. From the discussion above we know that the space $X$ is $b-D_{2}$.

From Theorems 4.2 and 3.4 , we obtain also that every space is $b-D_{0}$.

Definition 5 A point $x \in X$ which has $X$ as the b-neighborhood is called $a$ $b$-neat point.

Theorem 4.3 For a space $(X, \tau)$ the following are equivalent:
(1) $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{1}$;
(2) $(X, \tau)$ has no b-neat point.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2). Since $(X, \tau)$ is $b$ - $D_{1}$, so each point $x$ of $X$ is contained in a $b D$-set $O=U \backslash V$ and thus in $U$. By definition $U \neq X$. This implies that $x$ is not a $b$-neat point.
$(2) \rightarrow(1)$. By Theorem 3.4, each distinct pair of points $x, y \in X$, at least one of them, $x$ (say) has a $b$-neighborhood $U$ containing $x$ and not $y$. Thus $U$ which is different from $X$ is a $b D$-set. If $X$ has no $b$-neat point, then $y$ is not a $b$-neat point. This means that there exists a $b$-neighborhood $V$ of $y$ such that $V \neq X$. Thus $y \in(V \backslash U)$ but not $x$ and $V \backslash U$ is a $b D$-set. Hence $X$ is $b-D_{1}$.

Remark 4.4 It should be noted that a space $(X, \tau)$ is not $b-D_{1}$ if and only if there is a unique $b$-neat point in $X$. It is unique because if $x$ and $y$ are both b-neat point in $X$, then at least one of them say $x$ has a b-neighborhood $U$ containing $x$ but not $y$. But this is a contradiction since $U \neq X$.

Definition $6 A$ function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is b-continuous if the inverse image of each $b$-open set is $b$-open.

Theorem 4.5 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is a b-continuous surjective function and $E$ is a $b D$-set in $Y$, then the inverse image of $E$ is a $b D$-set in $X$.

Proof. Let $E$ be a $b D$-set in $Y$. Then there are $b$-open sets $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ in $Y$ such that $S=U_{1} \backslash U_{2}$ and $U_{1} \neq Y$. By the $b$ - continuity of $f, f^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)$ are $b$-open in $X$. Since $U_{1} \neq Y$, we have $f^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right) \neq X$. Hence $f^{-1}(E)=f^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right) \backslash f^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)$ is a $b D$-set.

Theorem 4.6 If $(Y, \sigma)$ is $b$ - $D_{1}$ and $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is $b$-continuous and bijective, then $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{1}$.

Proof. Suppose that $Y$ is a $b-D_{1}$ space. Let $x$ and $y$ be any pair of distinct points in $X$. Since $f$ is injective and $Y$ is $b$ - $D_{1}$, there exist $b D$-sets $G_{x}$ and $G_{y}$ of $Y$ containing $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ respectively, such that $f(y) \notin G_{x}$ and $f(x) \notin G_{y}$. By Theorem 4.5, $f^{-1}\left(G_{x}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(G_{y}\right)$ are $b D$-sets in $X$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively. This implies that $X$ is a $b-D_{1}$ space.

Theorem 4.7 $A$ space $(X, \tau)$ is $b-D_{1}$ if and only if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a b-continuous surjective function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow$ $(Y, \sigma)$, where $Y$ is a $b-D_{1}$ space such that $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are distinct.

Proof. Necessity. For every pair of distinct points of $X$, it suffices to take the identity function on $X$.
Sufficiency. Let $x$ and $y$ be any pair of distinct points in $X$. By hypothesis, there exists a $b$-continuous, surjective function $f$ of a space $X$ onto a $b-D_{1}$ space $Y$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Therefore, there exist disjoint $b D$-sets $G_{x}$ and $G_{y}$ in $Y$ such that $f(x) \in G_{x}$ and $f(y) \in G_{y}$. Since $f$ is $b$-continuous and surjective, by Theorem 4.5, $f^{-1}\left(G_{x}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(G_{y}\right)$ are disjoint $b D$-sets in $X$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively. Hence by Theorem 4.2, $X$ is $b-D_{1}$ space.
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