# MORE ON ALMOST CONTRA $\lambda\text{-}\mathrm{CONTINUOUS}$ FUNCTIONS \*

C. W. Baker, M. Caldas, S. Jafari and S. P. Moshokoa

#### Abstract

In 1996, Dontchev [14] introduced and investigated a new notion of non-continuity called contra-continuity. Recently, Baker et al. [6] offered a new generalization of contra-continuous functions via  $\lambda$ -closed sets, called almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous functions. It is the objective of this paper to further study some more properties of such functions.

## **1** Introduction and preliminaries

In 1986, Maki [25] introduced the notion of  $\Lambda$ -sets in topological spaces. A  $\Lambda$ -set is a set A which is equal to its kernel(= saturated set), i.e. to the intersection of all open supersets of A. Arenas et al. [3] introduced and investigated the notion of  $\lambda$ -closed sets by involving  $\Lambda$ -sets and closed sets. Quite recently, Caldas et al. ([7], [11]) introduced the notion of  $\lambda$ -closure of a set by utilizing the notion of  $\lambda$ -open sets defined in [3]. In [14], Dontchev introduced and studied a new notion of non-continuity called contra-continuity. It is the aim of this paper to continue our work ([6], [9], [8]) and present some more properties of almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuity which is a generalization of contra-continuity. Moreover, we present some of the basic properties and preservation theorems of almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous functions. Furthermore, we investigate the relationships between almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous functions and functions with  $\lambda$ R-closed graph.

<sup>\*2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 54B05, 54C08.

Key words and phrases:topological spaces,  $\lambda$ -open sets,  $\lambda$ -closed sets, almost contra  $\lambda$ continuous functions,  $\lambda$ R-closed graph.

Throughout this paper, by  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or X and Y) we always mean topological spaces. Let A be a subset of X. We denote the interior, the closure and the complement of a set A by Int(A), Cl(A) and  $X \setminus A$  or  $A^c$ , respectively. A subset A of X is said to be regular open (resp. regular closed) if A = Int(Cl(A)) (resp. A = Cl(Int(A))). A subset A of a space X is called preopen [24] (resp. semi-open [23],  $\beta$ -open [1](also called semipreopen [2]) if  $A \subset Int(Cl(A))$  (resp.  $A \subset Cl(Int(A)), A \subset Cl(Int(Cl(A))))$ . The complement of a preopen (resp. semi-open,  $\beta$ -open) set is said to be preclosed (resp. semi-closed,  $\beta$ -closed). The collection of all regular closed (resp. semi-open) subsets of X will be denoted by RC(X) (resp. SO(X)). We set  $RC(X, x) = \{V \in RC(X) : x \in V\}$  (resp.  $SO(X, x) = \{V \in SO(X) : x \in V\}$ ) V}). A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda$ -closed [3] if  $A = L \cap D$ , where L is a  $\Lambda$ -set and D is a closed set. The complement of a  $\lambda$ -closed set is called  $\lambda$ -open. We denote the collection of all  $\lambda$ -open sets (resp.  $\lambda$ -closed sets) by  $\lambda O(X,\tau)$  (resp.  $\lambda C(X,\tau)$ ). We set  $\lambda O(X,x) = \{U : x \in U \in \lambda O(X,\tau)\}$ and  $\lambda C(X, x) = \{U : x \in U \in \lambda C(X, \tau)\}$ . A point x in a topological space  $(X,\tau)$  is called a  $\lambda$ -cluster point of A [7] if  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\lambda$ -open set U of X containing x. The set of all  $\lambda$ -cluster points is called the  $\lambda$ -closure of A and is denoted by  $Cl_{\lambda}(A)$  ([3], [7]).

A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -interior point of A if there exists a  $\lambda$ -open set U containing x such that  $U \subset A$ . The set of all  $\lambda$ -interior points of A is said to be  $\lambda$ -interior of A and is denoted by  $Int_{\lambda}(A)$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([3], [7]). Let A, B and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The following properties hold: (1) If  $A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -closed for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -closed. (2) If  $A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -open for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is  $\lambda$ -open. (3) A is  $\lambda$ -closed if and only if  $A = Cl_{\lambda}(A)$ . (4) A is  $\lambda$ -open if and only if  $A = Int_{\lambda}(A)$ . (5)  $Cl_{\lambda}(A) = \cap \{F \in \lambda C(X, \tau) : A \subset F\}$ . (6)  $A \subset Cl_{\lambda}(A)$ . (7) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $Cl_{\lambda}(A) \subset Cl_{\lambda}(B)$ . (8)  $Cl_{\lambda}(A)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed.

**Definition 1** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be: (1)  $\lambda$ -continuous [3] If  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed for every closed set V in Y, equivalently if the inverse image of every open set V in Y is  $\lambda$ -open in X. (2) almost  $\lambda$ -continuous [21] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in X for every regular  $closed \ set \ V \ in \ Y.$ 

(3) almost contra pre-continuous ([16], [27]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is preclosed in X for every regular open set V in Y.

(4) almost contra  $\beta$ -continuous [5] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta$ -closed in X for every regular open set V in Y.

(5) almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in X for each regular open set V of Y.

**Definition 2** Let A be a subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . The set  $\cap \{U \in RO(X) : A \subset U\}$  is called the r-kernel of A [17] and is denoted by rker(A).

**Lemma 1.2** (Ekici [17]) The following properties hold for the subsets A, B of a space X:

(1)  $x \in rker(A)$  if and only if  $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for any  $F \in RC(X, x)$ . (2)  $A \subset rker(A)$  and A = rker(A) if A is regular open in X.

(3) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $rker(A) \subset rker(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.3** [6] Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a function from a topological space X into a topological space Y. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous;

(2) The inverse image of each regular closed set in Y is  $\lambda$ -open in X;

(3) For each point x in X and each regular closed set V in Y containing

f(x), there is a  $\lambda$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $f(U) \subset V$ ;

(4) For each point x in X and each semiopen set V in Y containing f(x), there is a  $\lambda$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $f(U) \subset Cl(V)$ ;

(5)  $f(Cl_{\lambda}(A)) \subset rker(f(A))$  for every subset A of X;

(6)  $Cl_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(rker(B))$  for every subset B of Y.

# 2 Some more properties

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be:

(i)  $\lambda$ - $T_1$  [10] if for any distinct pair of points x and y in X, there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X)$  containing x but not y and  $V \in \lambda O(X)$  containing y but not x. (ii)  $\lambda$ - $T_2$  [10] if for any distinct pair of points x and y in X, there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and  $V \in \lambda O(X, y)$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

(iii) Weakly Hausdorff [30] (briefly weak- $T_2$ ) if every point of X is an intersection of regular closed sets of X.

(iv) s-Urysohn [4] if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X, there exist  $U \in SO(X, x)$  and  $V \in SO(X, x)$  such that  $Cl(U) \cap Cl(V) = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 2.1** Observe that  $T_0$ ,  $\lambda$ - $T_1$  and  $\lambda$ - $T_2$  are equivalent [18] and s-Urysohn  $\Rightarrow$  weak- $T_2 \Rightarrow T_1 \Rightarrow T_0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** If X is a topological space and for each pair of distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in X, there exists a map f of X into a Urysohn topological space Y such that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  and f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous at  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , then X is  $T_0$ .

Proof. Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be any distinct points in X. Then by hypothesis, there is a Urysohn space Y and a function  $f: X \to Y$  which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Let  $y_i = f(x_i)$  for i = 1, 2. Then  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Since Y is Urysohn, there exist open sets  $U_{y_1}$  and  $U_{y_2}$  of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , respectively, in Y such that  $Cl(U_{y_1}) \cap Cl(U_{y_2}) = \emptyset$ . Since f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous at  $x_i$ , there exists a  $\lambda$ -open set  $W_{x_i}$  of  $x_i$  in X such that  $f(W_{x_i}) \subset Cl(U_{y_i})$ for i = 1, 2. Hence we get  $W_{x_1} \cap W_{x_2} = \emptyset$  since  $Cl(U_{y_1}) \cap Cl(U_{y_2}) = \emptyset$ . Hence X is  $\lambda$ - $T_2$  and therefore by Remark 2.1, X is  $T_0$ .

**Corollary 2.3** If f is an almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous injection of a topological space X into a Urysohn space Y, then X is  $T_0$ .

*Proof.* For each pair of distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in X, f is an almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous function of X into a Urysohn space Y such that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  since f is injective. Hence by Theorem 2.2, X is  $T_0$ .

**Theorem 2.4** If f is an almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous injection of a topological space X into a weakly Hausdorff space Y, then X is  $T_0$ .

*Proof.* Since Y is weakly Hausdorff and f is injective, for any distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of X, there exist  $V_1, V_2 \in RC(Y)$  such that  $f(x_1) \in V_1$ ,  $f(x_2) \notin V_1$ ,  $f(x_2) \in V_2$  and  $f(x_1) \notin V_2$ . Since f is almost contra  $\lambda$ continuous, by Theorem 2.2  $f^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(V_2)$  are  $\lambda$ -open sets and  $x_1 \in$  $f^{-1}(V_1), x_2 \notin f^{-1}(V_1), x_2 \in f^{-1}(V_2), x_1 \notin f^{-1}(V_2)$ . Then there exists  $U_1, U_2 \in \lambda O(X)$  such that  $x_1 \in U_1 \subset f^{-1}(V_1), x_2 \notin U_1, x_2 \in U_2 \subset f^{-1}(V_2)$ and  $x_1 \notin U_2$ . Thus X is  $\lambda$ -T<sub>1</sub> and therefore by Remark 2.1, X is T<sub>0</sub>.

**Corollary 2.5** If f is an almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous injection of a topological space X into a s-Urysohn space Y, then X is  $T_0$ . Recall that a topological space is called a  $\lambda$ -space [3] if the union of any two  $\lambda$ -closed sets is a  $\lambda$ -closed set. Observe that if  $f, g : X \to Y$  are almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous functions, X is a  $\lambda$ -space and Y is s-Urysohn, then it is obvious that  $E = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in X.

We say that the product space  $X = X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n$  has Property  $P_{\Lambda}$  if  $A_i$  is a  $\lambda$ -open set in a topological space  $X_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots n$ , then  $A_1 \times \ldots \times A_n$  is also  $\lambda$ -open in the product space  $X = X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n$ .

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $f_1 : X_1 \to Y$  and  $f_2 : X_2 \to Y$  be two functions, where (1)  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  has the Property  $P_{\Lambda}$ . (2) Y is a Urysohn space. (3)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous. Then  $\{(x_1, x_2) : f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)\}$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in the product space  $X = X_1 \times X_2$ .

Proof. Let A denote the set  $\{(x_1, x_2) : f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)\}$ . In order to show that A is  $\lambda$ -closed, we show that  $(X_1 \times X_2) \setminus A$  is  $\lambda$ -open. Let  $(x_1, x_2) \notin A$ . Then  $f_1(x_1) \neq f_2(x_2)$ . Since Y is Urysohn, there exist open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of  $f_1(x_1)$  and  $f_2(x_2)$ , respectively, such that  $Cl(V_1) \cap Cl(V_2) = \emptyset$ . Since  $f_i$  (i = 1, 2) is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $Cl(V_i)$  is regular closed, then  $f_i^{-1}(Cl(V_i))$  is a  $\lambda$ -open set containing  $x_i$  in  $X_i$  (i = 1, 2). Hence by (1),  $f_1^{-1}(Cl(V_1)) \times f_2^{-1}(Cl(V_2))$  is  $\lambda$ -open. Furthermore  $(x_1, x_2) \in f_1^{-1}(Cl(V_1)) \times$  $f_2^{-1}(Cl(V_2)) \subset (X_1 \times X_2) \setminus A$ . It follows that  $(X_1 \times X_2) \setminus A$  is  $\lambda$ -open. Thus A is  $\lambda$ -closed in the product space  $X = X_1 \times X_2$ .

**Corollary 2.7** Assume that the product space  $X \times X$  has the Property  $P_{\Lambda}$ . If  $f: X \to Y$  is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous and Y is a Urysohn space. Then  $A = \{(x_1, x_2) : f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in the product space  $X \times X$ .

Recall that a topological space X is called a  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space ([15], [22]) if every singleton is open or closed.

**Lemma 2.8** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space and  $f : X \to Y$ . If f is almost contra- $\beta$ -continuous or almost contra-pre-continuous then f is almost contra- $\lambda$ -continuous.

*Proof.* It follows directly from Theorem 2.6 of [3].

**Remark 2.9** Observe that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  in which every two nonvoid  $\lambda$ -closed subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  intersect is indiscrete. It is obvious that if a topological space X is indiscrete and  $f: X \to Y$  is a surjective almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous function, then Y is hyperconnected. Recall that a topological space is hyperconnected if every open set is dense. To see this, suppose that Y is not hyperconnected. This implies that there exists an open set V such that  $Cl(V) \neq Y$ . Thus, there exist disjoint regular open sets D and E in Y, i.e, Int(Cl(V)) and  $Y \setminus Cl(V)$ . Since f is a surjective almost contra  $\lambda$ continuous function, we have  $A = f^{-1}(D)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(E)$  such that A and B are disjoint non-empty  $\lambda$ -closed subsets of X. By hypothesis, X is indiscrete and this implies that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . But this is a contradiction. Hence Y is hyperconnected.

**Theorem 2.10** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a function and  $g : X \to X \times Y$  the graph function, given by g(x) = (x, f(x)) for every  $x \in X$ . Then f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous if g is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and V be a regular open subset of Y containing f(x). Then we have  $X \times V$  is a regular open. Since g is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous,  $g^{-1}(X \times V) = f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed. Hence f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous.

Recall that for a function  $f : X \to Y$ , the subset  $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} \subset X \times Y$  is called the graph of f and is denoted by G(f).

**Definition 3** A function  $f : X \to Y$  has a  $\lambda$ -closed graph if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ , there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and an open set V of Y containing y such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 2.11** The graph, G(f) of a function  $f : X \to Y$  is  $\lambda$ -closed if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$  there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and an open set V of Y containing y such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.12** If  $f : X \to Y$  is a function with  $\lambda$ -closed graph, then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) = \cap \{Cl(f(U)) : U \in \lambda O(X, x)\}.$ 

*Proof.* Suppose the theorem is false. Then there exists a  $y \neq f(x)$  such that  $y \in \cap \{Cl(f(U)) : U \in \lambda O(X, x)\}$ . This implies that  $y \in Cl(f(U))$ , for every  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$ . So  $V \cap f(U) \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $V \in O(Y, y)$ . which contradicts the hypothesis that f is a function with  $\lambda$ -closed graph. Hence the theorem.

**Theorem 2.13** If  $f : X \to Y$  is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous and Y is Haudorff, then G(f) is  $\lambda$ -closed.

Proof. Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ . Then  $y \neq f(x)$ . Since Y is Hausdorff, there exists disjoint open sets V and W of Y such that  $y \in V$  and  $f(x) \in W$ . Then  $f(x) \notin Y - Cl(W)$ . Since Y - Cl(W) is a regular open set containg V, it follows that  $f(x) \notin \operatorname{rKer}(V)$  and hence  $x \notin f^{-1}(\operatorname{rKer}(V))$ . Then by Theorem 1.3(6)  $x \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(V))$ . Therefore we have  $(x, y) \in (X - \operatorname{Cl}_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(V))) \times V \subset$  $(X \times Y) - G(f)$ , which proves that G(f) is  $\lambda$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.14** Let  $f : X \to Y$  have a  $\lambda$ -closed graph. (1) If f is injective, then X is  $T_0$ . (2) If f is surjective, then Y is  $T_1$ .

Proof. (1) Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be two points in X. Then  $(x_1, f(x_2)) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ . Since f has a  $\lambda$ -closed graph, there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x_1)$  and an open set V of Y containing  $f(x_2)$  such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then  $U \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ . Since  $x_2 \in f^{-1}(V)$ ,  $x_2 \notin U$ . Therefore U is a  $\lambda$ -open set containing  $x_1$  but not  $x_2$ , which proves that X is  $\lambda$ - $T_1$  and hence by Remark 2.1 that X is  $T_0$ . (2) Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be two points in Y. Since Y is surjective, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y_1$ . Then  $(x, y_2) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ . Since f has a  $\lambda$ -closed graph, there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and an open set V of Y containing  $y_2$  such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $y_1 = f(x)$  and  $x \in U$ ,  $y_1 \in f(U)$ . Therefore  $y_1 \notin V$ , which proves that Y is  $T_1$ .

It is clear that if  $f: X \to Y$  has a  $\lambda$ -closed graph and X is a  $\lambda$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed for every compact subset K of Y.

## 3 $\lambda$ **R**-closed graphs

**Definition 4** A function  $f : X \to Y$  has a  $\lambda R$ -closed graph if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and  $V \in RC(Y, y)$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.1** The above definition is equivalent with the statement that a function  $f : X \to Y$  has a  $\lambda R$ -closed graph if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and  $V \in SO(Y, y)$  such that  $(U \times Cl(V)) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.2** A graph G(f) of a function  $f : X \to Y$  is  $\lambda R$ -closed if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X)$  containing x and  $V \in RC(Y)$  containing y such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.3** Observe that a graph G(f) of a function  $f : X \to Y$  is  $\lambda R$ closed if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X)$  containing x and  $V \in SO(Y)$  containing y such that  $f(U) \cap Cl(V) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.4** For a function  $f : X \to Y$ , the following are equivalent: (1) f is  $\lambda$ -continuous; (2) for each  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in O(Y, f(x))$ , there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Remark 3.5** Examples 3.4 and 3.5 in [6] show that  $\lambda$ -continuity and almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuity are, in general, independent

**Theorem 3.6** If  $f : X \to Y$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff, then G(f) is  $\lambda R$ -closed.

Proof. Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y \setminus G(f)$ . Since Y is Hausdorff, then there exists a set  $V \in O(Y, y)$  such that  $f(x) \notin Cl(V)$ . Now  $Y \setminus Cl(V) \in O(Y, f(x))$ . Therefore, by the  $\lambda$ -continuity of f there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset Y \setminus Cl(V)$ . Consequently,  $f(U) \cap Cl(V) = \emptyset$  where Cl(V) is a regular closed set since V is open. By Lemma 3.2, G(f) is  $\lambda$ R-closed.

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $f : X \to Y$  has a  $\lambda$  R-closed graph. (1) If f is injective, then X is  $T_0$ . (2) If f is surjective, then Y is weakly- $T_2$ .

Proof. (1) Suppose that x and y are any two distinct points of X. We have  $(x, f(y)) \in X \times Y \setminus G(f)$ . Since f has a  $\lambda$ R-closed graph, then there exist a  $\lambda$ -open neighborhood U of x and a regular closed set F of Y containing f(y) such that  $f(U) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Hence  $U \cap f^{-1}(F) = \emptyset$ . This means that we have  $y \notin U$ . Thus X is  $T_0$ .

(2) Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be any distinct points of Y. Since f is surjective, then  $f(x) = y_1$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $(x, y_2) \in X \times Y \setminus G(f)$ . Since f has a  $\lambda$ R-closed graph, then there exist a  $\lambda$ -open neighborhood U of x and a regular closed set F of Y containing  $y_2$  such that  $f(U) \cap F = \emptyset$ . This means that  $y_1 \notin F$ . It follows that Y is weakly- $T_2$ .

**Theorem 3.8** If  $f : X \to Y$  is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous and Y is Urysohn, then G(f) is  $\lambda R$ -closed in  $X \times Y$ .

Proof. Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ , then  $y \neq f(x)$ . Since Y is Urysohn there exist open sets V and W in Y such that  $y \in V$ ,  $f(x) \in W$  with  $Cl(V) \cap Cl(W) = \emptyset$ . Since f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous, by Theorem 1.3(3) and since Cl(W) is regular closed containing f(x) there exists  $U \in$  $\lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset Cl(W)$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f(U) \cap Cl(V) = \emptyset$ . By definition G(f) is  $\lambda$ R-closed in  $X \times Y$ .

**Theorem 3.9** If  $f : X \to Y$  is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous and Y is s-Urysohn, then G(f) is  $\lambda R$ -closed in  $X \times Y$ .

**Definition 5** A subset A of a space X is said to be S-closed relative to X [26] if for every cover  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of A by semi-open sets of X, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{Cl(V_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . A space X is said to be S-closed [32] if X is S-closed relative to X.

It should be noted that if a function  $f : X \to Y$  has a  $\lambda$ R-closed graph and X is  $\lambda$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in X for every subset K which is S-closed relative to Y.

**Definition 6** A topological space X is said to be:

(1) strongly  $\lambda S$ -closed if every  $\lambda$ -closed cover of X has a finite subcover. (resp.  $A \subset X$  is strongly  $\lambda S$ -closed if the subspace A is strongly  $\lambda S$ -closed). (2) nearly-compact [28] if every regular open cover of X has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 3.10** If  $f : X \to Y$  is an almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous surjection and X is strongly  $\lambda S$ -closed, then Y is nearly compact.

Proof. Let  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  be a regular open cover of Y. Since f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous, we have that  $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of X by  $\lambda$ closed sets. Since X is strongly  $\lambda$ S-closed, there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of I such that  $X = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I_0\}$ . Since f is surjective  $Y = \bigcup \{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I_0\}$ and therefore Y is nearly compact.

**Definition 7** A topological space X is said to be almost-regular [29] if for each regular closed set F of X and each point  $x \in X \setminus F$ , there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that  $F \subset V$  and  $x \in U$ . **Theorem 3.11** If a function  $f : X \to Y$  is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous and Y is almost-regular, then f is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary point of X and V an open set of Y containing f(x). Since Y is almost-regular, by Theorem 3.2 of [29] there exists a regular open set W in Y containing f(x) such that  $Cl(W) \subset Int(Cl(V))$ . Since f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous, and Cl(W) is regular closed in Y, by Theorem 1.3(3) there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset Cl(W)$ . Then  $f(U) \subset Cl(W) \subset Int(Cl(V))$ . Hence, f is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous.

Recall that Caldas et al. [7] introduced the notion of  $\lambda$ -frontier of A, denoted by  $Fr_{\lambda}(A)$ , as  $Fr_{\lambda}(A) = Cl_{\lambda}(A) \setminus Int_{\lambda}(A)$ , equivalently  $Fr_{\lambda}(A) = Cl_{\lambda}(A) \cap Cl_{\lambda}(X \setminus A)$ .

**Theorem 3.12** The set of points  $x \in X$  at which  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is not almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous is identical with the union of the  $\lambda$ -frontiers of the inverse images of regular closed sets of Y containing f(x).

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that f is not almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous at a point x of X. Then there exists a regular closed set  $F \subset Y$  containing f(x) such that f(U) is not a subset of F for every  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$ . Hence we have  $U \cap (X \setminus f^{-1}(F)) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$ . It follows that  $x \in Cl_{\lambda}(X \setminus f^{-1}(F))$ . We also have  $x \in f^{-1}(F) \subset Cl_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(F))$ . This means that  $x \in Fr_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(F))$ .

Sufficiency. Suppose that  $x \in Fr_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(F))$  for some  $F \in RC(Y, f(x))$  Now, we assume that f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous at  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset F$ . Therefore, we have  $x \in U \subset f^{-1}(F)$  and hence  $x \in Int_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(F)) \subset X \setminus Fr_{\lambda}(f^{-1}(F))$ . This is a contradiction. This means that f is not almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous.

**Definition 8** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda$ -compact ([7], [8]) (also called  $\lambda$ O-compact [19]) if every cover of X by  $\lambda$ -open sets has a finite subcover.

#### **Definition 9** A space X is said to be

(1) S-Lindelöf [12] if every cover of X by regular closed sets has a countable subcover,

(2) countably S-closed [1] if every countable cover of X by regular closed sets has a finite subcover,

(3) mildly compact [31] if every clopen cover of X has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 3.13** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be an almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous surjection.

(1) If X is  $\lambda O$ -compact, then Y is S-closed.

(2) If X is S-Lindelöf, then Y is S-Lindelöf.

(3) If X is countably  $\lambda O$ -compact, then Y is countably S-closed.

Proof. We prove only (1) since the proofs of (2) and (3) are analogous. Suppose that  $\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any regular closed cover of Y. Since f is almost contra  $\lambda$ -continuous, then  $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -open cover of X. Thus, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . We have  $Y = \bigcup\{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  and this shows that Y is S-closed [[20], Theorem 3.2].

Acknowledgment. S. P. Moshokoa acknowledges the support by the South African National Research Foundation under Grant number 2053847.

# References

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. Ei-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77-90.
- [2] D. Andrijevic, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik, **38** (1986), 24-32.
- [3] F. G. Arenas, J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On  $\lambda$ -sets and dual of generalized continuity, Questions Answers Gen. Topology, **15** (1997), 3-13.
- [4] S. P. Arya and M. P. Bhamini, Some generalizations of pairwise Urysohn spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987), 1088-1093.
- [5] C. W. Baker, On contra almost β-continuous functions in topological spaces, Kochi J. Math., 1 (2006), 1-8.
- [6] C. W. Baker, M. Caldas, S. Jafari and S. P. Moshokoa, Characterizations of a new class of maps via  $\lambda$ -open and  $\lambda$ -closed sets (submitted).
- [7] M. Caldas, S. Jafari, G. Navalagi, More on  $\lambda$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Rev. Colombiana Mat. 4(207)2, 355-369.
- [8] M. Caldas, E. Hatir, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, A new Kupka type continuity,  $\lambda$ -compactness and multifunctions, CUBO, A Mathematical Journal, 11(4)(2009), 1-13.

- [9] M. Caldas, E. Ekici, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, On the class of contra  $\lambda$ continuous functions, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sec. Math. 49(2006), 75-86.
- [10] M. Caldas, and S. Jafari, On some low separation axioms via  $\lambda$ -open and  $\lambda$ -closure operator, Rendiconti del Circ. Mat. Di Palermo, **54** (2005), 195-208.
- [11] M. Caldas, E. Ekici, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, Weakly  $\lambda$ -continuous functions, Novi Sad J. Math. 38 (2)(2008), 47-56.
- [12] G. Di Maio, S-closed spaces, S-sets and S-continuous functions, Accad. Sci. Torino 118 (1984), 125-134.
- [13] K. Dlaska, N. Ergun and M. Ganster, Countably S-closed spaces, Math. Slovaca 44 (1994), 337-348.
- [14] J. Dontchev, Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 19 (1996), 303-310.
- [15] W. Dunham,  $T_{1/2}$ -spaces, Kyungpook Math. J., **17** (1977), 161-169.
- [16] E. Ekici, Almost contra-precontinuous functions, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc., 27 (2006), 53-65.
- [17] E. Ekici, Another form of contra-continuity, Kochi J. Math., 1 (2006), 21-29.
- [18] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and M. Steiner, Some observations on  $\lambda$ -closed sets,(submitted).
- [19] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and M. Steiner, On some very strong compactness conditions,(submitted).
- [20] R. A. Hermann, *RC*-convergence, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 75(1979), 311-317.
- [21] S. Jafari, S. P. Moshokoa, K. R. Nailana and T. Noiri, On almost  $\lambda$ -continuous functions (submitted).
- [22] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), 19 (1970), 89-96
- [23] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 68 (1961), 44-46.
- [24] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982), 47-53.

- [25] H. Maki, Generalized A-sets and the associated closure operator, The Special Issue in Commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA' Retirement, 1. Oct. (1986), 139-146.
- [26] T. Noiri, On S-closed subspaces, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fiz. Mat. Natur, 8(64) (1978), 157-162.
- [27] T. Noiri and V. Popa, Some properties of almost contra-precontinuous functions, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc., 28 (2005), 107-116.
- [28] M. K. Singal and A. Mathur, On nearly compact spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 4 (2) (1969), 702-710.
- [29] M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya, On almost-regular spaces, Glasnik Mat. III 4 (24) (1969), 89-99.
- [30] T. Soundarajan, Weakly Hausdorff space and the cardinality of topological spaces, General Topology and its Relation to Modern Analysis and Algebra III, Proc. Conf. Kampur, 168, Acad. Prague (1971), 301-306.
- [31] R. Staum, The algebra of bounded continuous functions into a nonarchimedean field, Pacific J. Math. 50 (1974), 169-185.
- [32] T. Thompson, S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 335-338.

C. W. Baker Department of Mathematics, Indiana University Southeast, New Albany, Indiana 47150, USA. email: cbaker@ius.edu

M. Caldas Departamento de Matematica Aplicada, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rua Mario Santos Braga, s/n 24020-140, Niteroi, RJ BRASIL. e-mail: gmamccs@vm.uff.br

S. Jafari College of Vestsjaelland South Herrestraede 11 4200 Slagelse DENMARK. S. P. Moshokoa Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Africa, P.O. Box 392, Pretoria 0003, SOUTH AFRICA. email: moshosp@unisa.ac.za