

Fermat's Last Theorem as a consequence of the little one

Victor Sorokine

Abstract

In one of Fermat's equivalent equalities, the 3rd digit in the sum of powers $a^n + b^n - c^n$ is not zero and there is a single-valued function of only the last digits a' , b' , c' ; therefore it cannot be zeroed out with the 2nd and 3rd digits in the sum of bases $a+b-c$. Apart from the simplest foundations of the theory of a prime number and the consequences of the little theorem, this is, strictly speaking, the proof of the FLT in the first case. See the proof of the second case here: <https://vixra.org/pdf/1908.0072v1.pdf>.

In memory of wife, mother and grandmother

Fermat's Theorem:

Equality (for prime degree $n > 2$; все числа даны в базе n)

1*) $a^n + b^n - c^n = 0$ in positive integers a, b, c does not exist.

The notation and lemmas /Pour les preuves des lemmes, voir l'annexe in <https://vixra.org/pdf/1908.0072v1.pdf> и <https://vixra.org/pdf/1707.0410v1.pdf>)

a', a'', a''' - 1st, 2nd, 3rd digit from the end in the number a ;
 $a_{[2]}, a_{[3]}, a_{[4]}$ - two-, three-, four-digit ending of the number a ;
 $nn - n * n$.

$S(g), S(g^n), S(g^{nn})$, - sum of $g, g^n, g^{nn}, g=1, 2, \dots, n-1, G=(1, 2, \dots, n-1)$, where
L1a. $S(g^1)_{[2]} = 0v$ with the second digit $v=(n-1)/2$ (see sum of arithmetic progression);
 $S(g^n)_{[3]} = 00v; S(g^{nn})_{[4]} = 000v$; etc. (When calculating the sums, the terms are pre-summed in pairs equally spaced from the ends of the series.)

If digit a' is not 0, then

L1. $(a^{n-1})' = 1$ (Fermat's little theorem); $(a^{n-1})^n_{[2]} = 01$; $(a^{n-1})^{nn}_{[3]} = 001$.

L1c. $(a^n - a')_{[1]} = 0$; $(a^{nn} - a^n)_{[2]} = 0$; $(a^{nnn} - a^{nn})_{[3]} = 0$.

L2a (**key!**). There is such a digit d that the second digit $(d^n)''$ in the number d^n is not zero. (Indeed, if second digits in all d^n are equal to zero, then the second digit of the sum of the number series d^n , where $d = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, is not zero and is equal to $(n-1)/2$, which is incorrect. See L1a.)

L2b. Similarly: there is a digit d such that digit $(d^{nn})'''$ is not zero.

L2c. There is a digit d such that the digit $[d^{nn}(a^{nn}+b^{nn}-c^{nn})]'''$, where $(a+b-c)'=0$ и $(abc)' \neq 0$, is not zero. (The proof is the same as in the case of L2a.)

L3. For $k > 1$, the k -th digit in the number a^n does not depend on the k -th digit of the base a . (Corollary from Newton's binomial in prime base.)

L3a. Consequence. If a' is not equal to 0, then digits $a^n_{[2]}$ and $a^{nn}_{[3]}$ are functions of only a' and do not depend on the digits of higher ranks.

2a*) In Fermat's equality 1* two-digit endings of numbers a, b, c , not multiples of n , there are two-digit endings of degrees a^n, b^n, c^n .

2b*) Therefore, the number a (like b and c) can be represented as $a = a^n + An^2$, where $A = (a - a_{[2]})/n^2$, and the number a^n (and b^n and c^n) can be represented as

3*) $a^n = (a^n + a^{n^2})^n = a^{'nn} + An^3$ (similarly for b^n and c^n), with the value $(a^{'nn} + b^{'nn} - c^{'nn})_{[3]} = 0$ in the original equality 1*.

And now the equality 1* can be written by four-digit endings in the form:

$$4*) (a^{'nn} + b^{'nn} - c^{'nn})_{[4]} + (a+b-c)'n^3 + Fn^4 = 0.$$

Proof of the last theorem in the first case - $(abc)' \neq 0$

According to L2c, in at least one of the $n-1$ equivalent equalities obtained from equality 1* by multiplying it by the numbers g^{nn} , where $g = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the third digit in the number $(a^n + b^n - c^n)$ is NOT equal to zero, since two-digit endings of the base a, b, c are two-digit endings of degrees a^n, b^n, c^n (see 2a*), and three-digit endings of degrees a^n, b^n, c^n are three-digit endings of degrees $a^{'nn}, b^{'nn}, c^{'nn}$, which are single-valued functions of only the last digits a', b', c' and, therefore, by changing the values of the second and third digits of the bases a, b, c , **the value of the third digit cannot be changed!**

Thus, in one of the equivalent equalities 1a*, the third digit of the number $a^n + b^n - c^n$ is not equal to zero, which proves the truth of the first case of FLT.