

Cramer's rule for overdetermined systems of linear equations.

Yanko Popov

24 март 2021 г.

Abstract:

In this article, with the help of introduced cross product in n-dimensional Euclidean space, the Jacobi identity and Cramer's rule for overdetermined system of linear equations are displayed.

1 Cross product in n-dimensional Euclidean space.

Let we have a matrix:

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

as $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ - set of real numbers and $m \leq n$.

Let's look on determinants of size m:

$$\Delta_k = \sum (-1)^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_m]} a_{1i_1} \cdot a_{2i_2} \dots a_{mi_m} \quad (2)$$

where $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + m + i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = k, 1 \leq i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_m \leq n$
we will call (2) determinants of matrix (1)

Theorem: Let we have a matrix:

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Then all determinants of Δ are:

$$\Delta_{n(n+1)}, \Delta_{n(n+1)+1}, \dots, \Delta_{n(n+2)}$$

and if:

$$\vec{c}((-1)^{n(n+2)} \Delta_{n(n+2)}, \dots, -\Delta_{n(n+1)+1}, \Delta_{n(n+1)}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{c} \cdot \vec{e}_1 &= 0 \\ \vec{c} \cdot \vec{e}_2 &= 0 \\ \dots &\dots \\ \vec{c} \cdot \vec{e}_n &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ are vector-rows in Δ

Proof:

We will show that:

$$a_{11}(-1)^{n(n+2)} C_1 + a_{12}(-1)^{n(n+2)-1} C_2 + \dots - a_{1n} C_n + a_{1n} C_{n+1} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where C_i is a determinant formed by Δ when removing i column from (3). Let's view the cases for n are even and odd, then (5) is an obvious, because it represents a expansion along the first row on:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & a_{1n+1} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & a_{1n+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & a_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & a_{nn+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

and also:

$$C_1 = \Delta_{n(n+2)}, \dots, C_{n+1} = \Delta_{n(n+1)}$$

Definition:

Cross product n - number n + 1-dimensional vectors :

$$\vec{e}_1(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n+1}), \dots, \vec{e}_n(a_{1n}, \dots, a_{nn+1}),$$

we call vector:

$$\vec{c}((-1)^{n(n+2)}\Delta_{n(n+2)}, \dots, -\Delta_{n(n+1)+1}, \Delta_{n(n+1)})$$

we will denote it with:

$$\vec{c} = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n$$

2 Cross product – base properties.

Property 1

$$\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n = (-1)^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_n]} \vec{e}_{i_1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i_n}, \quad (1)$$

where:

i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n – permutations on the numbers from 1 to n,
 $[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ – number of inversions of the respective permutation.

Proof:

The proof follows from the fact that in even inversion $[i_1 i_2 \dots i_n] = 2k, k \in N$ in the coordinates on $\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n$, which are determinants, we change even number times the rows in coordinates, i.e. they retain their value.

Property 2

$$(\lambda_1 \vec{e}_1) \wedge (\lambda_2 \vec{e}_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (\lambda_n \vec{e}_n) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n), \text{ where } \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in R$$

Proof:

Let we have: $\lambda_1 \vec{e}_1, \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2, \dots, \lambda_n \vec{e}_n$, then:

$$(\lambda_1 \vec{e}_1) \wedge (\lambda_2 \vec{e}_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (\lambda_n \vec{e}_n) = ((-1)^{n(n+2)} \Delta_{n(n+2)}, \dots, \Delta_{n(n+1)}) =$$

$$((-1)^{n(n+2)} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 a_{12} & \dots & \lambda_1 a_{1n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_n a_{n2} & \dots & \lambda_n a_{nn+1} \end{vmatrix}, \dots, \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 a_{11} & \dots & \lambda_1 a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_n a_{n1} & \dots & \lambda_n a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}) =$$

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)$$

Property 3

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_k \wedge (\vec{b}_1 + \vec{b}_2 + \dots + \vec{b}_m) \wedge \vec{e}_{k+2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n = \\ \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_k \wedge \vec{b}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_{k+2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_k \wedge \vec{b}_m \wedge \vec{e}_{k+2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n \in R^{n+1}, \vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_m \in R^{n+1}$$

Proof:

Again it follows from the properties of the determinants.

Property 4

Let:

$\vec{c} = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n$, then $|\vec{c}| = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ when $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ are linearly dependent.

Proof:

Let:

$$\vec{e}_1(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n+1}), \dots, \vec{e}_n(a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nn+1}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\vec{c}((-1)^{n(n+2)} \Delta_{n(n+2)}, \dots, \Delta_{n(n+1)}) \Rightarrow$$

$$|\vec{c}| = ((\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \cdot (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we consider the expression under the root:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n(n+2)} \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn+1} \end{vmatrix} + \dots + \Delta_{n(n+1)} \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \\ \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} & a_{1n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} & a_{nn+1} \\ (-1)^{n(n+2)} \Delta_{n(n+2)} & \dots & -\Delta_{n(n+1)+1} & \Delta_{n(n+1)} \end{vmatrix} = D \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ are linearly dependent, then $D=0 \Rightarrow |\vec{c}| = 0$. In the other direction we can start from D.

3 Scalar triple product.

Definition:

Let we have a vectors $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n, \vec{e}_{n+1} \in R^{n+1}$. Scalar triple product on vectors $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n, \vec{e}_{n+1}$ in this order, we call scalar multiplication on vector:

$$\vec{e} = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n$$

with vector \vec{e}_{n+1} i.e. number:

$$k = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1}$$

Property 5

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1} = \vec{e}_1 (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \wedge \vec{e}_{n+1})$$

Proof:

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{e}_1(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n+1}) \\ \vec{e}_2(a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n+1}) \\ \dots \\ \vec{e}_n(a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{nn+1}) \\ \vec{e}_{n+1}(a_{n+11}, a_{n+22}, \dots, a_{n+1n+1}) \Rightarrow (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1} = \end{aligned}$$

$$((-1)^{n(n+2)} \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn+1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix}) =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & a_{1n+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & a_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & a_{nn+1} \\ a_{n+11} & a_{n+22} & \dots & a_{n+1n} & a_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix} = \vec{e}_1(\vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \wedge \vec{e}_{n+1})$$

From Property 5 \Rightarrow :

Property 6

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1} = (-1)^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}]} (\vec{e}_{i_1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i_n}) \vec{e}_{i_{n+1}}$$

4 Hyper-parallelepiped volume.

Property 7

$$(|\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n|)^2 = \det G$$

where G is Gramian matrix for: $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$

Lemma: Let we have a system of linear equations:

$$1) \quad \begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{i_11}x_1 + a_{i_22}x_2 + \dots + a_{i_n}x_n = \mu \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then if:

$$\mu = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

system 1) is the system is compatible.

Proof:

From Theorem in "Cross product in n-dimensional Euclidean space \Rightarrow that the one solution of 2):

$$2) \quad \begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{i-11}x_1 + a_{i-12}x_2 + \dots + a_{i-1n}x_n = 0 \\ a_{i+11}x_1 + a_{i+12}x_2 + \dots + a_{i+1n}x_n = 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

is:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (-1)^{n(n+2)} \Delta_{n(n+2)} \\ 3) \quad &\dots \\ x_{n-1} &= -\Delta_{n(n+1)+1}, \\ x_n &= \Delta_{n(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} x_1 &= (-1)^{i+1}(-1)^{n(n+1)}\Delta_{n(n+1)}, \\ &\dots \\ x_{n-1} &= -(-1)^{i+n+1}\Delta_{n(n+1)+1}, \quad \text{also are solutions on 2).} \\ x_n &= (-1)^{i+n}\Delta_{n(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Let replace the above solutions in i equation \Rightarrow :

$$\mu = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof on Property 7:

Let see the vector $\vec{k} = \vec{p} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}$, $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_{n-1}, \vec{e}_n \in R^{n+1}$, and $\vec{p} = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_n$. $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_{n-1}, \vec{e}_n$ are linearly independent (if they are linearly dependent, then $|\vec{k}| = 0$), then $\vec{p} \perp \vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{p} \perp \vec{e}_{n-1}, \vec{p} \perp \vec{e}_n$, but $\vec{p} \perp \vec{k} \Rightarrow \vec{k}, \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ are linearly dependent \Rightarrow

$$\vec{k} = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n,$$

multiply the above equality sequentially scalar by $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$, then from Properties 5 and 4, \Rightarrow :

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1^2 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_n = 0 \\ \lambda_1 \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_n = 0 \\ \dots \\ \lambda_1 \vec{e}_{n-1} \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_{n-1} \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_{n-1} \vec{e}_n = 0 \\ \lambda_1 \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n^2 = \mu \end{cases}$$

From the Lemma \Rightarrow

$$\mu = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1^2 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_n \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2^2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n^2 \end{vmatrix}$$

But:

$$\mu = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n^2 = ((\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}) \vec{e}_n$$

and from Property 5 \Rightarrow

$$((\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}) \vec{e}_n = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)^2 = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1^2 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_n \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_2^2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{e}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n^2 \end{vmatrix}$$

5 Product of scalar triple products.

Property 8 Let we have vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{e}_1(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n+1}) \\ \vec{e}_2(a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n+1}) \\ \dots \\ \vec{e}_n(a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{nn+1}) \\ \vec{e}_{n+1}(a_{n+11}, a_{n+22}, \dots, a_{n+1n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

и

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{g}_1(g_{11}, g_{12}, \dots, g_{1n+1}) \\ \vec{g}_2(g_{21}, g_{22}, \dots, g_{2n+1}) \\ \dots \\ \vec{g}_n(g_{n1}, g_{n2}, \dots, g_{nn+1}) \\ \vec{g}_{n+1}(g_{n+11}, g_{n+22}, \dots, g_{n+1n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

then:

$$((\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1}) ((\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n) \vec{g}_{n+1}) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_{n+1} \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_{n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_{n+1} \\ \vec{e}_{n+1} \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_{n+1} \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_{n+1} \vec{g}_{n+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof:

In proof on Property 5 we have a determinant presentation on scalar triple products:

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & a_{1n+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & a_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & a_{nn+1} \\ a_{n+11} & a_{n+22} & \dots & a_{n+1n} & a_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

analogous:

$$(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n) \vec{g}_{n+1} = \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \dots & g_{1n} & g_{1n+1} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \dots & g_{2n} & g_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{n1} & g_{n2} & \dots & g_{nn} & g_{nn+1} \\ g_{n+11} & g_{n+22} & \dots & g_{n+1n} & g_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \vec{e}_{n+1}) ((\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n) \vec{g}_{n+1}) &= \\ \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & a_{1n+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & a_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & a_{nn+1} \\ a_{n+11} & a_{n+22} & \dots & a_{n+1n} & a_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \dots & g_{1n} & g_{1n+1} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \dots & g_{2n} & g_{2n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{n1} & g_{n2} & \dots & g_{nn} & g_{nn+1} \\ g_{n+11} & g_{n+22} & \dots & g_{n+1n} & g_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix} &= \\ \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_{n+1} \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_{n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_{n+1} \\ \vec{e}_{n+1} \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_{n+1} \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_{n+1} \vec{g}_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

6 Dot product on two cross products.

Property 9

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_n \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_n \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof:

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\ q &= \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n \end{aligned}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned}
pq &= qp \\
pq &= (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)q \\
qp &= (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n)p \\
&\Rightarrow \\
(pq)^2 &= ((\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)q)((\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_n)p) = \\
&\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_n & \vec{e}_1 p \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_n & \vec{e}_2 p \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_n & \vec{e}_n p \\ q \vec{g}_1 & q \vec{g}_2 & \dots & q \vec{g}_n & qp \end{array} \right| = \\
&\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_n & 0 \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_n & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & qp \end{array} \right| = \\
&\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_n \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_n \end{array} \right| = \\
&\Rightarrow \\
pq &= \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_n \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_n \end{array} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Property 10

$$\begin{aligned}
(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} = \\
&\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_{n-1} \end{array} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

Let $\vec{k} = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}$ and $\vec{p} = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n$,
but $\vec{p} \perp \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ and $\vec{k} \perp \vec{p} \Rightarrow$
 $\vec{k}, \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$ are linearly dependent.
Then $\vec{k} = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n$

We multiply scalarly both sides of the above equation by any non-zero vector $\vec{v} \Rightarrow$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} \vec{v} &= (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{v}) = \\
&\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_{n-1} & \vec{e}_1 \vec{v} \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_{n-1} & \vec{e}_2 \vec{v} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_{n-1} & \vec{e}_n \vec{v} \end{array} \right| = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1 \vec{v} + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2 \vec{v} + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n \vec{v}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above equation we develop the determinant on the last column and we get:

$$(-1)^{n+1} \vec{e}_1 \vec{v} \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \vec{e}_3 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_3 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_3 \vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n \vec{g}_{n-1} \end{array} \right| + \dots + \vec{e}_n \vec{v} \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1 \vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2 \vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_{n-1} \vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_{n-1} \vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_{n-1} \vec{g}_{n-1} \end{array} \right| = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1 \vec{v} + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2 \vec{v} + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n \vec{v}$$

\vec{v} is an arbitrary vector, from here we can equate both sides of the above equality and we get :

$$\lambda_1 = (-1)^{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \vec{e}_3\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_3\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_3\vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n\vec{g}_{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \dots, \lambda_n = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1\vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_{n-1}\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_{n-1}\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_{n-1}\vec{g}_{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

We substitute $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ in $\lambda_1\vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2\vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n\vec{e}_n \Rightarrow$

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_1\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_1\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_1\vec{g}_{n-1} & \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_2\vec{g}_{n-1} & \vec{e}_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vec{e}_n\vec{g}_1 & \vec{e}_n\vec{g}_2 & \dots & \vec{e}_n\vec{g}_{n-1} & \vec{e}_n \end{vmatrix}$$

7 Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} & (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} = \\ & (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \\ & + (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_i \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \\ & + (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

as $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n \in R_n$, $\vec{g}_1, \vec{g}_2, \dots, \vec{g}_{n-1} \in R_{n-1}$

Proof:

From Property 9 and Property 10 \Rightarrow :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1} = \\ & (-1)^{1+n} \vec{e}_1 (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) + \dots + \\ & (-1)^{i+n} \vec{e}_i (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) + \dots + \\ & (-1)^{1+n} \vec{e}_n (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We will use induction . Let n=2, then:

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) \wedge \vec{g}_1 = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1) \wedge \vec{e}_2 + (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) \wedge \vec{e}_1$$

and this is Jacobi identity.

Let n=3.

We must proof that:

$$(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 = (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3 + (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{g}_2) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3 + (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \quad (3)$$

From (2) \Rightarrow :

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{e}_1 (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) - \vec{e}_2 (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) + \vec{e}_3 (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) = \\ & = \vec{e}_1 (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) - \vec{g}_1 (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) + \vec{g}_2 (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1) (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) + \\ & + \vec{g}_1 (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3) - \vec{e}_2 (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3) + \vec{g}_2 (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3) + \\ & + \vec{g}_1 (\vec{g}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) - \vec{g}_2 (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) + \vec{e}_3 (\vec{g}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) \end{aligned}$$

We group the coefficients before \vec{g}_1 and $\vec{g}_2 \Rightarrow$:

For \vec{g}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3) - (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) (\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) + (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) (\vec{g}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3) = \\ & = ((\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{g}_2) \wedge \vec{e}_1 - (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_2) \wedge \vec{e}_2 + (\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2) \wedge \vec{g}_2) \vec{e}_3 = \vec{o} \vec{e}_3 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Analogous for \vec{g}_2 and $\Rightarrow (3)$.

Let (1) is true for n-1. We reveal (1) using (2) and in left part on (1).

Let $\vec{g}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n+i-1} \vec{g}_i(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1})(\vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) + \dots + \\
& (-1)^{n+i-1} \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1})(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) + \\
& (-1)^{n+i} \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1})(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{e}_{i+2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) + \dots + \\
& (-1)^{n+i} \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n)(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n+i-1} \vec{g}_i(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \\
& (-1)^{n+i-1} \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \\
& (-1)^{n+i} \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{e}_{i+2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \\
& (-1)^{n+i} \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vec{g}_i(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \\
& \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \\
& \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{n-1}) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{e}_{i+2} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n + \dots + \\
& \vec{g}_i(\vec{g}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{g}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{g}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} = \vec{g}_i \vec{o} = \vec{o}
\end{aligned}$$

8 Cramer's rule for overdetermined system of linear equations.

Let we have a linearly independent vectors: $\vec{e}, \vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n \in R_{n+1} \Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in R :$

$$\vec{e} = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{e}_n \quad (1)$$

We multiply 1) right sequentially with:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
& \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
& \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
& \dots \\
& \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
& \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow:$

$$\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n = \lambda_2 \vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
\dots \\
\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} \wedge \vec{e}_n = \lambda_{n-1} \vec{e}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1} = \lambda_n \vec{e}_n \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}
\end{array}
\right. \quad (2)$$

We multiply scalar (2): the first equation with vector from on his right and so on. and if we denote:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{p}_i &= \vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
\vec{q}_i &= \vec{e}_i \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{e}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n \\
1 \leq i &\leq n
\end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

from Property 7 $\Rightarrow:$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= sgn(\vec{p}_1 \vec{q}_1) \left| \frac{\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n}{\vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n} \right| = sgn(\vec{p}_1 \vec{q}_1) \sqrt{\frac{\det G(\vec{p}_1)}{\det G(\vec{q}_1)}} \\
\lambda_2 &= sgn(\vec{p}_2 \vec{q}_2) \left| \frac{\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n}{\vec{e}_2 \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n} \right| = sgn(\vec{p}_2 \vec{q}_2) \sqrt{\frac{\det G(\vec{p}_2)}{\det G(\vec{q}_2)}} \\
&\dots \\
\lambda_{n-1} &= sgn(\vec{p}_{n-1} \vec{q}_{n-1}) \left| \frac{\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} \wedge \vec{e}_n}{\vec{e}_{n-1} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-2} \wedge \vec{e}_n} \right| = sgn(\vec{p}_{n-1} \vec{q}_{n-1}) \sqrt{\frac{\det G(\vec{p}_{n-1})}{\det G(\vec{q}_{n-1})}} \\
\lambda_n &= sgn(\vec{p}_n \vec{q}_n) \left| \frac{\vec{e} \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}}{\vec{e}_n \wedge \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_{n-1}} \right| = sgn(\vec{p}_n \vec{q}_n) \sqrt{\frac{\det G(\vec{p}_n)}{\det G(\vec{q}_n)}}
\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

References

Gaston Casanova. Vector algebra

Розенфельд Б.А. Многомерные пространства (1966)