

**Title:** Primality test for Twin Prime numbers. (Argentest II).

**Author:** Zeolla, Gabriel Martín

**Comments:** 5 pages

[gabrielzvirgo@hotmail.com](mailto:gabrielzvirgo@hotmail.com)

**Keywords:** Primality Test, Twin Prime Numbers, Prime Numbers.

**Abstract:**

Argentest II is born, a personal research project that develops a new exclusive probabilistic primality test for Twin prime numbers. I present a test similar to Fermat's little theorem.

### Twin prime numbers

A twin prime is a prime number that is either 2 less or 2 more than another prime number—for example, either member of the twin prime pair (41, 43). In other words, a twin prime is a prime that has a prime gap of two. Sometimes the term twin prime is used for a pair of twin primes; an alternative name for this is prime twin or prime pair.

Usually the pair (2, 3) is not considered to be a pair of twin primes.<sup>[2]</sup> Since 2 is the only even prime, this pair is the only pair of prime numbers that differ by one; thus twin primes are as closely spaced as possible for any other two primes.

The first few twin prime pairs are:

(3, 5), (5, 7), (11, 13), (17, 19), (29, 31), (41, 43), (59, 61), (71, 73), (101, 103), (107, 109), (137, 139), ... [OEIS: A077800](#).

Five is the only prime that belongs to two pairs, as every twin prime pair greater than (3,5) is of the form (6n+1, 6n-1) for some natural number  $n$ .

### Probabilistic primality test for Twin prime numbers

$$\exists k > 0 \in \mathbb{N} / 2k + 1 = p$$

$$\frac{2^{p+2} - 8}{p} \equiv 3 \pmod{p+2} \leftrightarrow p, p+2 \text{ are primes}$$

$\therefore P \wedge P + 2 \text{ are Twin primes}$

## Examples

When the two numbers are prime it has congruence.

Examples

A. Test for 3 and 5

$$\frac{2^5 - 8}{3} \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

B. Test for 5 and 7

$$\frac{2^7 - 8}{5} \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$

C. Test for 11 and 13

$$\frac{2^{13} - 8}{11} \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$$

D. Test for 17 and 19

$$\frac{2^{19} - 8}{17} \equiv 3 \pmod{19}$$

E. Test for 29 and 31

$$\frac{2^{31} - 8}{29} \equiv 3 \pmod{31}$$

F. Test for 41 and 43

$$\frac{2^{43} - 8}{41} \equiv 3 \pmod{43}$$

G. Test for 59 and 61

$$\frac{2^{61} - 8}{59} \equiv 3 \pmod{61}$$

When at least one of the two numbers is not a prime number, it has no congruence.

Examples

H. Test for 9 and 11

$$\frac{2^{11} - 8}{9} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{11}$$

I. Test for 13 and 15

$$\frac{2^{15} - 8}{13} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{15}$$

J. Test for 15 and 17

$$\frac{2^{17} - 8}{15} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{17}$$

K. Test for 19 and 21

$$\frac{2^{21} - 8}{19} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{21}$$

L. Test for 21 and 23

$$\frac{2^{23} - 8}{21} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{23}$$

M. Test for 23 and 25

$$\frac{2^{25} - 8}{23} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{25}$$

N. Test for 27 and 29

$$\frac{2^{29} - 8}{27} \not\equiv 3 \pmod{29}$$

This test is probabilistic since there are pseudo-prime numbers that pass the test like 561.

Test for 561 and 563

$$\frac{2^{563} - 8}{561} \equiv 3 \pmod{563}$$

561 is a composite number.

563 is a prime number.

Therefore these numbers are not twin prime.

*Pseudo prime numbers (Psp) are a tiny portion of composite numbers that pass the test, these are known as Carmichael numbers.*

These Pseudoprime have a prime partner  $P = Psp + 2$   
 $Psp = \{561, 1905, 2465, 4371, 23001, 25761, 60701, 87249, 158369, \dots\}$

These prime have a pseudoprime partner  $Psp = P + 2$   
 $P = \{1103, 2699, 2819, 3643, 4679, 6599, 10259, 12799, 14489, 18719, \dots\}$

### Probabilistic primality test for Twin prime numbers Demonstration

$$\exists k > 0 \in \mathbb{N} / 2k + 1 = p$$

$$\frac{2^{p+2} - 8}{p} \equiv 3(\text{Mod } p + 2) \leftrightarrow p, p + 2 \text{ are primes}$$

Demonstration when  $p = \text{prime number}$  and  $(p + 2)$  also.

<p><b>First part</b></p> $\frac{2^{p+2} - 8}{p}$ $2^{p+2} - 8 \equiv (\text{mod } p)$ $= 2^{p+1} - 4 \equiv (\text{mod } p)$ $= 2^p - 2 \equiv (\text{mod } p)$ $= 2^p \equiv 2(\text{mod } p)$ <p>Fermat's Little Theorem</p>	<p><b>Example</b></p> $\frac{2^{19} - 8}{17}$ $2^{19} - 8 \equiv (\text{mod } 17)$ $= 2^{18} - 4 \equiv (\text{mod } 17)$ $= 2^{17} - 2 \equiv (\text{mod } 17)$ $= 2^{17} \equiv 2(\text{mod } 17)$
<p><b>Second part</b></p> $\frac{2^{p+2} - 8}{p} \equiv 3(\text{Mod } p + 2)$ $= \frac{2^p - 8}{p - 2} \equiv 3(\text{Mod } p)$ $= 2^p - 8 \equiv 3(p - 2)(\text{Mod } p)$ $= 2^p - 8 \equiv 3p - 6(\text{Mod } p)$ <p><b>Then <math>3p \equiv (\text{Mod } p)</math></b></p> $= 2^p - 8 \equiv -6(\text{Mod } p)$ $= 2^p \equiv -6 + 8(\text{Mod } p)$ $= 2^p \equiv 2(\text{Mod } p)$ <p>Fermat's Little Theorem</p>	<p><b>Example</b></p> $\frac{2^{19} - 8}{17} \equiv 3(\text{Mod } 19)$ $= 2^{19} - 8 \equiv 3 * 17(\text{mod } 19)$ $= 2^{19} - 8 \equiv 51(\text{mod } 19)$ $= 2^{19} \equiv 51 + 8(\text{mod } 19)$ $= 2^{19} \equiv 59(\text{mod } 19)$ $= 2^{19} \equiv 57 + 2(\text{mod } 19)$ <p><b>Then <math>57 \equiv (\text{Mod } 19)</math></b></p> $= 2^{19} \equiv 2(\text{mod } 19)$

### Fermat's theorem

**Theorem:** Fermat's Little Theorem, If  $p$  is a prime number, then, for each natural number  $a$ , with  $a > 0$

$$a^p \equiv a(\text{mod } p)$$

## Program with Python 3.9

```
# Probabilistic primality test for Twin prime numbers.
# Author Gabriel M Zeolla

n = input("Enter Odd number: ")
if int(n) % 2 == 0:
    print("ERROR")
    n= input("Enter Odd number: ")
    if int(n) % 2 == 0:
        print("ERROR")

x = ((2** (int(n)+2) - 8) // (int(n)))
r=x % (int(n)+2)

p = r == 3

if p is True:
    print(n, "and", int(n)+2, " are probable Twin prime numbers")
else:
    print(n, "and", int(n)+2, 'are not Twin Prime!!')
```

### Conclusion

Except for the difficulty generated by the pseudo-prime numbers, this test works correctly for all twin prime numbers without any exception.

Professor Zeolla Gabriel Martín

Other works of the author

<https://independent.academia.edu/GabrielZeolla>

## References

1. [The First 100,000 Twin Primes](#)
2. Caldwell, Chris K. "[Are all primes \(past 2 and 3\) of the forms  \$6n+1\$  and  \$6n-1\$ ?](#)". *The Prime Pages*. The University of Tennessee at Martin. Retrieved 2018-09-27.
3. [Brun, V.](#) (1915), "Über das Goldbachsche Gesetz und die Anzahl der Primzahlpaare", *Archiv für Mathematik og Naturvidenskab (in German)*, **34** (8): 3–19, [ISSN 0365-4524](#), [JFM 45.0330.16](#)
4. Heini Halberstam, and Hans-Egon Richert, *Sieve Methods*, p. 117, Dover Publications, 2010
5. de Polignac, A. (1849). "[Recherches nouvelles sur les nombres premiers](#)" [New research on prime numbers]. *Comptes rendus (in French)*. **29**: 397–401. From p. 400: "1<sup>er</sup> Théorème. Tout nombre pair est égal à la différence de deux nombres premiers consécutifs d'une infinité de manières ... " (1<sup>st</sup> Theorem. Every even number is equal to the difference of two consecutive prime numbers in an infinite number of ways ... )
6. McKee, Maggie (14 May 2013). "[First proof that infinitely many prime numbers come in pairs](#)". *Nature*. [doi:10.1038/nature.2013.12989](#). [ISSN 0028-0836](#).
7. Zhang, Yitang (2014). "Bounded gaps between primes". *Annals of Mathematics*. **179** (3): 1121–1174. [doi:10.4007/annals.2014.179.3.7](#). [MR 3171761](#).
8. [Goldston, Daniel Alan](#); Motohashi, Yoichi; [Pintz, János](#); [Yıldırım, Cem Yalçın](#) (2006), "[Small gaps between primes exist](#)", *Japan Academy. Proceedings. Series A. Mathematical Sciences*, **82** (4): 61–65, [arXiv:math.NT/0505300](#), [doi:10.3792/pjaa.82.61](#), [MR 2222213](#).
9. [Goldston, D. A.](#); Graham, S. W.; [Pintz, J.](#); [Yıldırım, C. Y.](#) (2009), "Small gaps between primes or almost primes", *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **361** (10): 5285–5330, [arXiv:math.NT/0506067](#), [doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-09-04788-6](#), [MR 2515812](#)
10. Maynard, James (2015), "Small gaps between primes", *Annals of Mathematics, Second Series*, **181** (1): 383–413, [arXiv:1311.4600](#), [doi:10.4007/annals.2015.181.1.7](#), [MR 3272929](#)
11. Polymath, D. H. J. (2014), "Variants of the Selberg sieve, and bounded intervals containing many primes", *Research in the Mathematical Sciences*, **1**: Art. 12, 83, [arXiv:1407.4897](#), [doi:10.1186/s40687-014-0012-7](#), [MR 3373710](#)
12. [Sloane, N. J. A.](#) (ed.). "[Sequence A005597 \(Decimal expansion of the twin prime constant\)](#)". *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. OEIS Foundation. Retrieved 2019-11-01.
13. Bateman & Diamond (2004) pp.334–335
14. de Polignac, A. (1849). "[Recherches nouvelles sur les nombres premiers](#)" [New research on prime numbers]. *Comptes rendus (in French)*. **29**: 397–401. From p. 400: "1<sup>er</sup> Théorème. Tout nombre pair est égal à la différence de deux nombres premiers consécutifs d'une infinité de manières ... " (1<sup>st</sup> Theorem. Every even number is equal to the difference of two consecutive prime numbers in an infinite number of ways ... )
15. Caldwell, Chris K. "[The Prime Database: 2996863034895\\*2^1290000-1](#)".
16. "[World Record Twin Primes Found!](#)".
17. [Sloane, N. J. A.](#) (ed.). "[Sequence A007508 \(Number of twin prime pairs below  \$10^n\$ \)](#)". *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. OEIS Foundation. Retrieved 2019-11-01.