

On some conjectures concerning perfect powers

Marco Ripà

sPIqr Society, World Intelligence Network

Rome, Italy

e-mail: marcokrt1984@yahoo.it

Published Online: 23 Apr 2022

Abstract. The starting point of our paper is Kashihara's open problem #30, concerning the sequence A001292 of the OEIS, asking how many terms are perfect squares of integers. We confirm his last conjecture up to the 100128-th term and provide a general theorem which rules out 4/9 of the candidates. Moreover, we formulate a new, intriguing, conjecture involving the sequence A352991 of the OEIS (which includes all the terms of A001292, except the first one). Our conjecture states that all the perfect powers of integers belonging to the sequence A352991 are perfect squares and they cannot be written as higher order perfect powers. This new conjecture has been checked for any integer smaller than 10111121314151617181920212223456789 and no counterexample has been found.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B50, 11-04.

1 Introduction

In late 2010, the author of this paper found a recreative open problem by Kenichiro Kashihara (see [1], open problem #30, p. 25) concerning the sequence A001292 of the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS). Kashihara's problem #30 consists of two independent parts and the author solved the first one quite easily at the time (the complete solution can be found in [3], Section 3.3, pp. 12–15), since it asks to find the probability $0 < p(c) < 1$ that the trailing digit of the generic term of the sequence A001292 is $c \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ and the formula provided in [3] shows that $p(c) = \frac{11-c}{55}$ for any $c \neq 0$, whereas $p(0) = 0.0\overline{18}$ (e.g., if $c = 7$, then $p(7) = \frac{4}{55} = 0.0\overline{72}$).

In the present paper, we will focus ourselves on the second part of the above mentioned Kashihara's problem #30, asking how many elements of the sequence A001292 are perfect powers, since Kashihara conjectured that there are none.

Now, bearing in mind that a perfect power of an integer $d > 0$ is a natural number $k \geq 2$ such that $a^k = d$, where also a is a positive integer, we could point out that A001292(1) = 1 can be considered as a solution and argue how this disproves the conjecture, but (from here on) we will disregard this special case and assume that we are looking for a nontrivial counterexample to Kashihara's conjecture.

Lastly, Section 3 is devoted to introduce a new, fascinating, conjecture concerning perfect powers of integers which appear in the OEIS sequence A352991 [2].

2 The $\{2, 3, 5, 6\} \pmod{9}$ exclusion criterion

In order to be clear on the invoked OEIS sequences, let us introduce a few useful definitions.

Definition 1. We define the m -th term of the sequence A007908 as $A007908(m) := 123_{\dots}_{(m-1)_m}$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 2. We define the sequence A001292 of the OEIS as the concatenations (sorted in ascending order) of every cyclic permutation of the elements of the sequence A007908 (e.g., given $m = 3$, $A001292(A007908(3)) = 123, 231, 312$).

Definition 3. We define the sequence A352991 of the OEIS as the concatenation of all the distinct permutations of the first strictly positive m integers, sorted into ascending order (e.g., 12345671089 is a term of the sequence, while 12345670189 does not belong to A352991, even if all the digits of the string 123...910 appear once and only once, since “10” is missed).

After having checked the first 100128 terms of the sequence A001292 (see Appendix), exploring any exponent at or above 2, we have not found any perfect power, so that Kashihara’s conjecture has been verified up to 10^{1235} (i.e., the 100129-th term of A001292 is the smallest cyclic permutation of A007908(448) and is greater than 10^{1235} by construction).

Moreover, we can prove the following Theorem 1, concerning the sequence A352991 which includes every term of A001292.

Theorem 1. For any $m > 1$, $A352991(n)$ cannot be a perfect power of an integer if $A352991(n)$ is a permutation of $A007908(m)$ and $m : m \equiv \{2, 3, 5, 6\}(\text{mod } 9)$.

Proof. By definition, $A007908(m)$ cannot be a perfect power if $123_{\dots}_{(m-1)_m}$ is divisible by 3 and it is not divisible by 3^2 . Thus, from the well-known divisibility by 3 and 9 criteria, $m : (3 \mid \sum_{j=1}^m j) \wedge (3^2 \nmid \sum_{j=1}^m j)$ is a sufficient, but not necessary, condition for letting us disregard any permutation of $123_{\dots}_{(m-1)_m}$ (i.e., given m , if a generic permutation of $A007908(m)$ is divisible by 3 and is not congruent to $0(\text{mod } 9)$, then all the permutations of $A007908(m)$ are divisible by 3 once and only once, since the commutativity property holds for addition).

It follows that, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $A352991(n)$ cannot be a perfect power if it is a permutation of the string $123_{\dots}_{(m-1)_m}$, where m is such that $A134804(m)$ is divisible by 3. Therefore, the residue modulo 9 of every perfect power belonging to A352991 cannot be 2 or 3 or 5 or 6, and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Corollary 1. Kashihara’s conjecture is true for the concatenation of any cyclic permutation of $A007908(m)$, where $m : (m \equiv \{2, 3, 5, 6\}(\text{mod } 9) \vee m < 448)$.

Proof. We observe that A001292 is a subsequence of A352991. By invoking Theorem 1, we can state that every perfect power candidate has to be the concatenation of a (cyclic) permutation of $A007908(m)$, where m is such that $m \equiv \{0, 1, 4, 7, 8\}(\text{mod } 9)$. On the other hand, all the remaining terms up to 99_100_101..._445_446_447_1_2_3..._96_97_98 have been directly checked (see Appendix for details) and no perfect power has been found.

Therefore, Corollary 1 confirms Kashihara’s conjecture for any term of A001292 such that m is congruent to $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}(\text{mod } 9)$ or $m \leq 447$. \square

3 The conjecture of the perfect squares of A352991

In the first half of April 2022, playing with Kashihara’s conjecture, a more general (and maybe more interesting) conjecture arose, it is as follows.

Conjecture 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ be given. We conjecture that if n is such that $A352991(n)$ is a perfect power of an integer, then $\nexists k \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1, 2\} : A352991(n) = c^k, c \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1. If confirmed, Conjecture 1 would imply that all the perfect powers (greater than 1) of $A352991$ are perfect squares and only perfect squares (no cube, no square of square, and so forth).

On April 16 2022, a direct search was performed by the author on the first 10^7 terms of the sequence and no counterexample has been found (42 perfect squares only).

A few days later, Aldo Roberto Pessolano, performed a deeper search running the Mathematica codes published in Appendix, without finding any counterexample and thus confirming Conjecture 1 (at least) up to the smallest permutation of $A007908(22)$ (i.e., for any term of $A352991$ which is greater than 1 and smaller than 10111121314151617181920212223456789) meanwhile he found 94 distinct perfect squares concatenating all the distinct permutations of $A007908(2)$, $A007908(3)$, ..., $A007908(15)$.

Additional open problems. How many perfect squares are there in $A352991$? Is their number finite?

4 Conclusion

Kashihara's open problem #30 has not been completely solved yet. Even if the first part, concerning the probability that the trailing digit of $A001292(n)$ is $c = 1, 2, \dots, 9$, was solved by the author a dozen of years ago [3], the second part still needs a proof or a nontrivial counterexample (the smallest candidate has 1236 digits) to the related conjecture.

Moreover, in the present paper, we have introduced a wider conjecture, pertaining the sequence $A352991$ of the OEIS, which allow us to ask to ourselves why there are so many (maybe infinitely many) perfect squares in $A352991$ and not a single higher perfect power has been found among all the terms below 10^{34} .

4 Appendix

Aldo Roberto Pessolano helped the author of the present paper by verifying Kashihara's conjecture and Conjecture 1 for a very large number of terms. All the provided Mathematica codes run on the M1 processor of his Apple MacBook Air (2020).

Kashihara's conjecture has been currently tested up to the 100128-th term of $A001292$ and we confirm that it holds for every perfect power in that range (i.e., the conjecture is true for every integer belonging to the set $\{A001292(2), A001292(3), \dots, A001292(100128)\}$). The search reached the term $99_{100} \dots 446_{447} 1_2 \dots 97_{98} \approx 9.91 \cdot 10^{1232}$ in 28823 seconds (about 8 hours of calculations) and the code is as follows:

```

c = True;
p = Table[Prime[q], {q, 1, 565}];
Do[rn = Range[k];
  n = ToExpression[StringJoin[ToString[#]&/@rn]];
  If[And[Mod[n, 9] != 3, Mod[n, 9] != 6],
    Do[r = RotateLeft[rn, i - 1];
      nk = ToExpression[StringJoin[ToString[#]&/@r]];
      If[IntegerQ[nk^(1/#)],
        Print[nk, " = ", nk^(1/#), "^", #]; c = False; Break[]
      ]&/@p,

```

```

        {i, 1, k}
    ];
    If[c, Print["1..", k, " checked."], Break[]],
{k, 2, 447}]

```

About our investigation on the perfect powers of A352991, Pessolano has recently completed the direct check of every term of A352991 which falls in the interval (1, 987654322120191817161514131211110] (see the code below). As expected, the test has not returned any perfect power above 2.

```

z = False;
h = 3;
p = Table[Prime[q], {q, 2, 10}];
q[x_, k_, d_, m_] :=
(
    y = x^k;
    If[DigitCount[y] == d,
        c = True;
        Do[
            If[Not[StringContainsQ[ToString[x], ToString[i]]],
                c = False; Break[]],
            {i, 10, m}],
        c = False
    ];
    Return[c]
)
Do[r = Range[k];
    n = ToExpression[StringJoin[ToString[#]&/@r]];
    If[And[Mod[n, 9] != 3, Mod[n, 9] != 6],
        d = DigitCount[n];
        (
            s = IntegerPart[(10^(IntegerLength[n] - 1))^(1/#)];
            f = IntegerPart[(10^(IntegerLength[n]))^(1/#)];
            Do[
                If[q[x, #, d, k], Print[x, "^", #, " = ", y]; z = True; Break[]],
                {x, s, f}
            ]&/@p;
            g = 2^h;
            While[g < n,
                If[q[#, h, d, k], Print[x, "^", h, " = ", y]; z = True; Break[]]
                &/@{2, 3, 5, 6, 7};
                h++;
                g = 2^h
            ]
        );
    ];
    If[z, Break[], Print["1..", k, " checked."]],
{k, 2, 21}]

```

On the other hand, the following code run on Pessolano's M1 processor for 8408.08 seconds and returned the complete list of the smallest 94 perfect squares belonging to A352991.

```

z = 1;
Do[r = Range[k];
  n = ToExpression[StringJoin[ToString[#]&/@r]];
  If[And[Mod[n, 9] != 3, Mod[n, 9] != 6],
    d = DigitCount[n];
    s = IntegerPart[Sqrt[10^(IntegerLength[n] - 1)]];
    f = IntegerPart[Sqrt[10^(IntegerLength[n])]];
    Do[y = x^2;
      If[DigitCount[y] == d,
        c = True;
        Do[
          If[Not[StringContainsQ[ToString[y], ToString[i]]],
            c = False
          ],
          {i, 10, k}];
        If[c, Print[z, " ", y]; z++]
      ],
    {x, s, f}
  ],
{k, 2, 13}]

```

These 94 perfect squares correspond to all the perfect powers of A352991 in (1, 98765432131211110], while the next perfect square is $10111382414519161571236 \approx 1.01 \cdot 10^{22}$ (we observe that 100555369894^2 is a permutation of 123..._16, as suggested by the statement of Theorem 1).

1	13527684
2	34857216
3	65318724
4	73256481
5	81432576
6	139854276
7	152843769
8	157326849
9	215384976
10	245893761
11	254817369
12	326597184
13	361874529
14	375468129
15	382945761
16	385297641
17	412739856
18	523814769
19	529874361
20	537219684
21	549386721
22	587432169

23 589324176
24 597362481
25 615387249
26 627953481
27 653927184
28 672935481
29 697435281
30 714653289
31 735982641
32 743816529
33 842973156
34 847159236
35 923187456
36 14102987536
37 24891057361
38 27911048356
39 28710591364
40 57926381041
41 59710832164
42 75910168324
43 10135681742311129
44 10145718212113936
45 10273411121318569
46 10391412113852176
47 10694871331152121
48 10713293512411681
49 10947281211113536
50 11013125389146721
51 11038121341751296
52 11053681319247121
53 11213173481106529
54 11213472311091856
55 11213748695310121
56 11214101328395716
57 11291351028471361
58 11318912105421376
59 11328110357491216
60 11361038197125241
61 11613105128317924
62 11831375612104129
63 11867213103954121
64 12131047811153296
65 12210531113617984
66 12291331154108176
67 12311021567131849
68 12371368115129104
69 12511389126371041
70 12598411132110736
71 12741133825910161
72 12859110713124361
73 12861113173295104

74 13101118612573924
75 13318759261211041
76 13751214611018329
77 15113103721812496
78 16213112510379841
79 16798112351131024
80 18132127110314569
81 18351311069274121
82 31329116112107584
83 32121784510113169
84 39811362127511104
85 43139171611081225
86 51371123211048169
87 51611037284113129
88 58911124131067321
89 71121251383691041
90 71289611431311025
91 72511393110124816
92 83761113421105129
93 91384713212510116
94 95641012181133721

In the end, our tests have finally confirmed that all the perfect powers which are smaller than 10^{34} and that belong to the OEIS sequence A352991 are perfect squares (only).

At the present time, Conjecture 1 has been tested for every integer smaller than 10111121314151617181920212223456789 and no counterexample has been found.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Aldo Roberto Pessolano for having helped us very much with the search of perfect powers of integers belonging to A001292 and A352991, letting us confirm Kashihara's conjecture up to 10^{1235} and Conjecture 1 up to $1.01 \cdot 10^{34}$.

References

- [1] K. Kashihara, "Comments and Topics on Smarandache Notions and Problems", *Erhus University Press*, Arizona, p. 25, 1996.
- [2] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2022). *The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A352991, Accessed: Apr. 21 2022, Available online at: <http://oeis.org/A352991>.
- [3] M. Ripà, "On Prime Factors in Old and New Sequences of Integers", *viXra*, pp. 12–15, 2011. Available online at: <https://vixra.org/pdf/1101.0092v2.pdf>.