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#### Abstract

We reduce finding of Least Common Multiplier of two integer numbers to polynomial-time integer optimization problem and to NP-hard integer optimization problem that would imply $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$.


## 1. Introduction.

In arithmetic and number theory, the least common multiple, lowest common multiple, or smallest common multiple of two integers $n$ and $m$, usually denoted by $1 \mathrm{~cm}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$, is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both $n$ and $m$ (see e.g. [6]). Let us reduce the problem of finding of the Least Common Multiplier of two integer numbers to the following two integer minimization problems.

## 2. Reducing to the polynomial-time linear programming two-dimensional problem.

The problem of finding of Least Common Multiplier of two integer numbers: n and m can be reduced to the following linear minimization problem:

$$
\operatorname{lcm}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m})=\{\min \mathrm{nx},
$$

subject to

$$
\mathrm{nx}-\mathrm{my}=0
$$

$$
x, y, n, m \in N\} .
$$

Due to Lenstra [11], minimizing a linear function over the integer points in a polyhedron is solvable in polynomial time provided that the number of integer variables is a constant.

So, problem (1) can be solved in time polynomial.

## 3. Reducing to the NP-hard non-linear two-dimensional minimization problem.

On the other hand, the problem of finding of Least Common Multiplier of two integer numbers: n and m can be reduced to the following non-linear integer mnimization problem:
$\operatorname{lcm}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})=\left\{\min (\mathrm{nx}-\mathrm{my})^{2 \mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{nx}\right.$,
subject to

$$
\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{~N}\} .
$$

Despite in general, integer programming is NP-hard or even incomputable (see, e.g., Hemmecke et al. [8]), for some subclasses of target functions and constraints it can be computed in time polynomial.

Note that the dimension of the problem (2) is fixed and is equal to 2 .
A fixed-dimensional polynomial minimization in integer variables, where the objective function is a convex polynomial and the convex feasible set is described by arbitrary polynomials can be solved in time polynomial(see, e.g ., Khachiyan and Porkolab [9]).

A fixed-dimensional polynomial minimization over the integer variables, where the objective function $f_{0}(x)$ is a quasiconvex polynomial with integer coefficients and where the constraints are inequalities $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq 0, \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$ with quasiconvex polynomials $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ with integer coefficients, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $f_{i}(x), i=0, \ldots, k$ are polynomials of degree at most $p \geq 2$, can be solved in time polynomial in the degrees and the binary encoding of the coefficients(see, e.g., Heinz [7], Hemmecke et al. [8], Lee [10]). Note that the degrees are unary encoded here as well as the number of the constraints.

A mixed-integer minimization of a convex function in a convex, bounded feasible set can be done in time polynomial, according to Baes et al. [1], Oertel et al. [12].

As a result, we can expect that there exists such number $k$, that problem (2) is NP-hard and therefore, since we reduced the same problem to polyno-
mial-time problem (1) and to NP-hard problem (2), it would imply that $\mathrm{P}=$ NP.
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