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#### Abstract

: There is a hidden limits in our mathematics itself that's make us cannot keep counting to infinity not because our human species incompetent but because in reality our numbers by itself are finite, in fact we will never have infinite numbers not just because we are incapable of counting to infinity but because in our mathematics there is no such thing.

Numbering is not just counting, numbering is counting that's holds a definitive value but infinity is undefined so no number could be a represent for infinity. Infinity of numbers cannot exist, because any number you think of no matter how big it's in the end it will have value then it will be define but infinity is undefined.

If we have infinite numbers then there summation will give us a well definitive value and that's would be closer to zero than to infinity and by this infinity just cannot be exist and this what I will prove in this work and to certify this theory I will use it to disprove Riemann hypothesis among other.


1-Introduction (how to count beyond infinity and how to disprove infinity in numbers):

There is a neglected side of the Hilbert's infinite grand hotel paradox this neglected side is the bus of the guests, as I will show it's contain very important information that we really overlooked.

The bus have an infinite number of passengers inside it but since the bus is full with an infinite lines of seats to contain the infinite number of passengers then the sequence of these seats will be a quite challenge since we cannot count to infinity and yet we should have a parallel lines of seats.

I found the solution in the Quran it's well established in more than one verse ${ }^{\{1\}}$ in the Quran that when words matched and compared with numbers then the words will out-count the numbers by a huge significant margin so I knew from that the only way to count beyond infinity is by using words i.e. an encoded sequence of words

The minimum form of word is two letters so let use (ab\&ba) ${ }^{\{2]}$ such that the first infinite line of guest in the bus for $1^{\text {st }}$ seat could use encoded sequence of (ab) and for $2^{\text {nd }}$ seat (abab) and for $3^{\text {rd }}$ seat (ababab) and so on to infinity such that with every new seat we ad (ab) then the infinity encoding sequence here will be as follows

$$
\infty \equiv\left(\text { abababab.... } \rightarrow_{\infty}\right)
$$

For the second line of seats we cannot use encoded sequence of (ab) since $\left(\right.$ abababab $\left._{\ldots \rightarrow_{\infty}}\right)+a b=\left(\right.$ abababab $\left._{\ldots \rightarrow_{\infty}}\right)$ then for the $1^{\text {st }}$ seat we will manipulate the (ab) encoded sequence to become (ba) and for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ seat we could have (baab) and for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ seat could have (baabab) and so on to infinity such that infinity encoding sequence here will be as follows

$$
\infty \equiv\left(\text { baababab.... } \rightarrow_{\infty}\right)
$$

For the third line of seats there is no encoding sequence for the $1^{\text {st }}$ seat so there is no first seat there is only a space and this space has an equivalent value of one seat and since its not in sequence of infinite count of seats then it's most certainly holds value of the space of one seat i.e. we could preform on it arithmetical and mathematical operation i.e. it's a number (1)
But we have an encoding sequence for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ seat and it will be (abba) and for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ seat (babaab) and so on to infinity such that infinity sequence here will be as follows

$$
\infty \equiv\left(\text { babaabab... } \rightarrow_{\infty}\right) .
$$

Number is count that's holds value and value give it limitation so for a thought or a thing to be distinguished as a number is to have these three characteristics

This pattern of gaps will emerge and escalate until we have all the integers such that we have a new integer ( n ) at every line ( k ) follow the next equation

$$
\mathrm{k}=\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)+1 ; \mathrm{n} \geq 0 \ldots \ldots .
$$

This pattern will keep reemerge for range of neighboring lines in which all ends with the same integer in which represent in this level of knowledge the end of numbers and the last number before infinity such that the range of neighboring lines could be calculated by the next equation

$$
\mathrm{r}=\left(2^{n+1}\right)-\left(2^{n}\right) ; n \geq 0 \ldots \ldots .2
$$

We could keep on doing lines of encoded sequencing and keep adding these lines and level of mathematical knowledge with an emerging gaps of just an empty space that's have an equivalent value of the amount of absence seats until we arguably we have in theory one and only one line in a level of mathematical knowledge with literally an infinite gap of equivalent seats and with only one seat in its end with an encoded sequencing consists of only (ba) sequencing without any (ab) sequencing in it, this level of one line will have the last only one seat of infinite encoded sequencing in the form of

$$
\left\{\infty_{\text {only(ba) }}=\infty \equiv\left(\text { babababa } \ldots \rightarrow_{\infty}\right)\right\}
$$

But since we will never run out of $(\mathrm{ab})$ sequencing then the last seat in the last line with infinity of encoded sequencing of $\left(\infty_{\text {only(ba) }}\right)$ does not exist and since this does not exist then infinite numbers does not exist even when we have infinite numbers i.e. we have infinite sequencing but we don't have infinite numbers even when we have infinite numbers.

2-Disproving Riemann hypothesis:
The number (1000) will emerge out of encoding sequencing of infinities of (ab\&ba) at line with number ${ }^{\{3\}}$ of (10,715,086,071,862,673,209,484,250,490,600,018,105,614,048,117,055,336,07 $4,437,503,883,703,510,511,249,361,224,931,983,788,156,958,581,275,946,729$, $175,531,468,251,871,452,856,923,140,435,984,577,574,698,574,803,934,567,7$ $74,824,230,985,421,074,605,062,371,141,877,954,182,153,046,474,983,581,94$ $1,267,398,767,559,165,543,946,077,062,914,571,196,477,686,542,167,660,429$, $831,652,624,386,837,205,668,069,377)$ in this line ${ }^{\{4\}}$ we will have numbers from 1 to 1000 then an infinity of encoded sequencing of (ab\&ba) that's mean we could theoretically define a decent amount of our level of knowledge of mathematics and arithmetic but we cannot prove it all because these numbers in this line are not so much and they lack the enough value that's needed to produce an efficient pattern recognition

In this level of mathematical knowledge we have the enough knowledge to construct complex zeta function and surely we have some prime numbers located on the $(1 / 2)$ line and yet its not on our $(1 / 2)$ line because $(14 . x))^{\{5\}}$ here is not our (14.x) because here

$$
{ }_{1}^{\text {Bedouin }}[14 . x+987 \equiv \text { undefined }] \cdots \cdots
$$

because here the biggest number here allowed by the mathematical pattern of encoding sequencing is (1000) then after it we only allowed to have sequencing infinities because this encoding sequencing is preceded by infinities of encoding sequencing in the previous lines and levels while in our level of knowledge

$$
{ }_{1}^{\text {usual }}[14 . x+987=1001 . x] \cdots 4
$$

By combining equation 3 \& 4

$$
\underset{1}{\text { usual }}[14 . x+987=1001 \cdot x] \neq \underset{1}{\text { Bedouin }}[14 . x+987 \equiv \text { undefined }] \cdots \cdot .5
$$

i.e. this is a different (14. x ) and as we know (14. x ) is the first non-trivial zero in Riemann hypothesis so after all there is an prime numbers are located on another place not on our (1/2) it's just a different (1/2) i.e. equation 5 disprove Riemann hypothesis

3-Disproving set theory:
Let's consider the set of all real integers bigger than zero and undefined bigger than one i.e. the $2^{\text {nd }}$ level of mathematical knowledge

$$
S=\{x: x>0 ; 1+1 \equiv \text { undefined }\}
$$

Here we have all the ones in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ level of mathematical knowledge i.e. 2 lines or columns as follows

| No level | $1^{\text {st }}$ level | $2^{\text {nd }}$ level |  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ |
| ab | ba | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| abab | baab | abba | baba | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| ababab | baabab | babaab | bababa | abbaba | ababba | abbaab | baabba |

There is no way to make a set from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ line in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ level of mathematical knowledge that's can be distinguished from the $4^{\text {th }}$ line in the same level of mathematical knowledge both the $3^{\text {rd }}$ line and the $4^{\text {th }}$ line in this level

$$
S=\{x: x>0 ; 1+1 \equiv \text { undefined }\}
$$

We could argue that the $3^{\text {rd }}$ line and the $4^{\text {th }}$ line in this level are distinguishable by the (ab\&ba) encoded sequencing i.e. we should be able to swapping some of these parallel encoded sequencing and create a new two lines in this, but if this is true then we should have four lines in this level as follow

| $2^{\text {nd }}$ level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | False column | False column |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| abba | baba | baba $\longleftarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ abba |
| babaab | bababa | babaab | bababa |

And this is false because equation 2 testify that in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ level of mathematical knowledge there is only two lines of numbers

$$
\begin{gathered}
r=\left(2^{1+1}\right)-\left(2^{1}\right)=2 \ldots \ldots 2 \\
{ }_{1}^{2} S=\{x: x>0 ; 1+1 \equiv \text { undefined }\}={ }_{2}^{2} S=\{\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{x}>0 ; 1+1 \equiv \text { undefined }\} \\
\because{ }_{1}^{2} S={ }_{2}^{2} S \quad \therefore \Rightarrow S \text { does not exist } \because S \text { does not exist } \therefore \Rightarrow \text { no set exist }
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\{S\}$ dose not exist then no set could exist in any level because the same
thing applied on every set of numbers in any line or column of numbers in any level of mathematical knowledge including our level of mathematical knowledge we cannot have any set of numbers sine we cannot distinguish the columns inside the level i.e. equation 2 disprove the set theory.

In fact equation 2 disprove the set theory by disproving the three traditional laws of thoughts i.e. the identity, the non-contradiction and the excluded middle in fact equation 2 testify that the columns same level of knowledge are all exactly the same column and in the same time they are not.
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