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#### Abstract

In this paper, I will introduce the causation's magnitude allowing to compute the importance of causes in the cause-and-effect relationship from correlation matrix.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper is based on the results obtained in papers [5] and [6]. The purpose of this paper is to relate the notions of causality and correlation. The paper begins by introducing the causation's magnitude computing the contribution of the causes in the cause-and-effect relationship which will be computed from a correlation matrix only. The paper ends with the application of the causation's magnitude in order to obtain a causal graph from a correlation matrix.

## 2 The causation's magnitude obtained from correlations

In this section, we will consider the result obtained in paper [6] page 4 and interpret the percentage of conditioning as the causation's magnitude.

## Definition:

Consider a set of events $E=\left\{X_{j}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right\}$ and the correlation matrix $\tilde{K}_{\left(X_{j}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)^{2}}$. We define the causation's magnitude $\lambda_{\Omega_{1} \rightarrow X_{j}}$ of a events's set $\Omega_{1}$ which acts on the event $X_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\lambda_{\Omega_{1} \rightarrow X_{j}}=\frac{\ln \left(1-\tilde{K}_{X_{j} ; \Omega_{1}} \tilde{K}_{\Omega_{1}^{2}}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{K}_{\Omega_{1} ; X_{j}}\right)}{\ln \left(1-\tilde{K}_{X_{j} ; \Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}} \tilde{K}_{\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)^{2}}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{K}_{\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2} ; X_{j}}\right)}
$$

Properties:

1. $0 \leq \lambda_{\Omega_{1} \longrightarrow X_{j}} \leq 1$ with $\lambda_{\varnothing} \longrightarrow X_{j}=0$ and $\lambda_{\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2} \longrightarrow X_{j}}=1$
2. We can consider a strong effect of $\Omega_{1}$ on $X_{1}$ when $\lambda_{\Omega_{1} \rightarrow X_{j}} \geq 0.75$
3. if $0 \leq \ln \left(1-\tilde{K}_{X_{j} ; \Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}} \tilde{K}_{\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)^{2}}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{K}_{\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2} ; X_{j}}\right) \leq 0.1$, we can consider the event $X_{j}$ to be independent of the set $\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$. Above a value of 0.1 we can compute the contribution of the set causes $\Omega_{1}$ which acts on the event $X_{j}$.

## 3 Causal graph obtained from correlations matrix

To obtain the causal graph from a correlation matrix, we will use the method of successive highest causation's magnitudes (see paper [6] page 6).

We will present the contribution of the causes on the form of a bar graph and then will set a causation's magnitude value of 0.75 to be exceeded for each node assigned to the events in order to obtain the causal graph.

Once the causal graph is obtained, we will compute the density of the graph as in paper (see paper [6] page 7)

## 4 Correlation matrix Attitude and causal graph

We will consider in the following the attitude 's correlation matrix found in the R software.
$\tilde{K}_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{7}\right)^{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}1.0000000 & 0.8254176 & 0.4261169 & 0.6236782 & 0.5901390 & 0.1564392 & 0.1550863 \\ 0.8254176 & 1.0000000 & 0.5582882 & 0.5967358 & 0.6691975 & 0.1877143 & 0.2245796 \\ 0.4261169 & 0.5582882 & 1.0000000 & 0.4933310 & 0.4454779 & 0.1472331 & 0.3432934 \\ 0.6236782 & 0.5967358 & 0.4933310 & 1.0000000 & 0.6403144 & 0.1159652 & 0.5316198 \\ 0.5901390 & 0.6691975 & 0.4454779 & 0.6403144 & 1.0000000 & 0.3768830 & 0.5741862 \\ 0.1564392 & 0.1877143 & 0.1472331 & 0.1159652 & 0.3768830 & 1.0000000 & 0.2833432 \\ 0.1550863 & 0.2245796 & 0.3432934 & 0.5316198 & 0.5741862 & 0.2833432 & 1.0000000\end{array}\right)$
where the events are:

1. $X_{1}=$ rating
2. $X_{2}=$ complaints
3. $X_{3}=$ privileges
4. $X_{4}=$ learning
5. $X_{5}=$ raises
6. $X_{6}=$ critical
7. $X_{7}=$ advance

### 4.1 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{1}$



Figure 1: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{1}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{1}}=0.866971$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{4}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{1}=0.9333113$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{7}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{1}=0.9803893$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{7}, X_{3}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{1}=0.9908109$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{7}, X_{3}, X_{5}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{1}=0.997756$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{7}, X_{3}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{1}=1$

### 4.2 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{2}$



Figure 2: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{2}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}}=0.7778966$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{1}, X_{3}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{2}=0.8994167$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{5}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{2}=0.9784996$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{5}, X_{7}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{2}=0.9991813$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{5}, X_{7}, X_{6}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{2}=0.9999381$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{5}, X_{7}, X_{6}, X_{4}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{2}=1$

### 4.3 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{3}$



Figure 3: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{3}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{2} \rightarrow X_{3}}=0.773189$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{3}=0.9293297$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{4}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{3}=0.961864$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{4}, X_{1}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{3}=0.9903198$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{4}, X_{1}, X_{5}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{3}=0.9982807$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{4}, X_{1}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{3}=1$

### 4.4 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{4}$



Figure 4: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{4}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{5} \rightarrow X_{4}}=0.5461211$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{4}=0.7230502$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{7}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{4}=0.924563$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{7}, X_{6}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{4}=0.9674856$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{7}, X_{6}, X_{3}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{4}=0.9999058$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{7}, X_{6}, X_{3}, X_{2}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{4}=1$

### 4.5 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{5}$



Figure 5: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{5}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{5}}=0.5254475$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{5}}=0.896712$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{6}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{5}=0.9541725$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{6}, X_{4}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{5}=0.9895531$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{6}, X_{4}, X_{1}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{5}=0.9959638$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}, X_{6}, X_{4}, X_{1}, X_{3}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{5}=1$

### 4.6 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{6}$



Figure 6: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{6}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{5} \rightarrow X_{6}}=0.7349938$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{4}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{6}}=0.886297$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{4}, X_{7}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{6}=0.9829557$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{4}, X_{7}, X_{1}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{6}=0.9927832$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{4}, X_{7}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{6}=0.9960153$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{4}, X_{7}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{6}=1$

### 4.7 Causation's magnitude for the node $X_{7}$



Figure 7: Causation's magnitude

1. $\lambda_{\varnothing} \rightarrow X_{7}=0$
2. $\lambda_{X_{5} \rightarrow X_{7}}=0.5471036$
3. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{7}}=0.657135$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{4}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{7}=0.9234174$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{4}, X_{6}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{7}=0.9532082$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{4}, X_{6}, X_{2}\right\}} \longrightarrow X_{7}=0.9687386$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{4}, X_{6}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}} \rightarrow X_{7}=1$

### 4.8 Causal graph obtained from the attitude's correlation matrix

By using the method of successive highest causation's magnitude (see paper [6] page 6 ) and by setting a causation's magnitude value of 0.75 to exceed, we get the following causal graph:


We have as causation's magnitude value:

1. $\lambda_{X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{1}}=0.866971$
2. $\lambda_{X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}}=0.7778966$
3. $\lambda_{X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{3}}=0.773189$
4. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{7}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{4}}=0.924563$
5. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{2}, X_{7}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{5}}=0.896712$
6. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{4}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{6}}=0.886297$
7. $\lambda_{\left\{X_{5}, X_{1}, X_{4}\right\} \longrightarrow X_{7}}=0.9234174$
and we can compute the graph's density:

$$
\text { Density }=\frac{13}{7.6}=\frac{13}{42} \approx 0.31
$$

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we have exposed the causation's magnitude computed from correlations. From an example, we have shown the steps to follow to obtain a causal graph from a correlation matrix.
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