Dynamical system, prime numbers, black Holes, quantum mechanics, and the Riemann hypothesis

Preprint · August 2023		
DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.24538.11200		
CITATIONS		READS
0		6
1 author:		
1 autioi.		
36	Mohamed Sghiar	
	University of Burgundy	
	62 PUBLICATIONS 63 CITATIONS	
	SEE PROFILE	
	SEEFROTIEE	
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:		
Project	unification of forces View project	

Dynamical system, prime numbers, black Holes, quantum mechanics, and the Riemann hypothesis

M. J. SGHIAR

9 Allée capitaine J. B
 Bossu, 21240, Talant, France $\,$

Presented to:

Université de Bourgogne Dijon, Faculté des sciences Mirande, Département de mathématiques . Laboratoire de physique mathématique, 9 av alain savary 21078 , Dijon cedex, France

Abstract: In mathematics, the search for exact formulas giving all the prime numbers, certain families of prime numbers or the n-th prime number has generally proved to be vain, which has led to contenting oneself with approximate formulas [7]. The purpose of this article is to give a simple function to produce the list of all prime numbers. And then I give a generalization of this result and we show a link with the quantum mechanics and the attraction of black Holes. And I give a new proof of lemma 1 which gave a proof of the Riemann hypothesis [4].

Finally another excellent new proof is given.

Keywords: Prime Number, number theory, distribution of prime numbers, the law of prime numbers, the Gamma function, the Mertens function, quantum mechanics, black Holes, holomorphic function, the Riemann hypothesis.

 $M.J.\ Sghiar:\ Dynamical\ system,\ prime\ numbers,\ black\ Holes,\ quantum\ mechanics,\ and\ the\ Riemann\ hypothesis$

In memory of the great professor, the physicist and mathematician, Moshé Flato

I- INTRODUCTION, RECALL, NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Prime numbers [See 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] are used especially in information technology, such as public-key cryptography which relies on factoring large numbers into their prime factors. And in abstract algebra, prime elements and prime ideals give a generalization of prime numbers.

In mathematics, the search for exact formulas giving all the prime numbers, certain families of prime numbers or the n-th prime number has generally proved to be vain, which has led to contenting oneself with approximate formulas [7].

Recall that Mills' Theorem [11]: "There exists a real number A, Mills' constant, such that, for any integer n > 0, the integer part of A^{3^n} is a prime number" was demonstrated in 1947 by mathematician William H. Mills [11], assuming the Riemann hypothesis [4, 5, 6] is true. Mills' Theorem [11] is also of little use for generating prime numbers.

The purpose of this article is to give a simple function to produce the list of all prime numbers: more precisely if ψ is the function defined on $\mathbb{N} \cap [3, +\infty[$ by $: \psi(p) = \psi_p[\] = \Theta_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \delta(\frac{\Gamma(p+2k)+1}{p+2k})(p+2k) + (1-\delta(\frac{\Gamma(p+2k)+1}{p+2k})) \times [\]$, then $\{2, \psi^i(3); i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the list of prime numbers.

With the notations: If the u_i are functions, denote by $\Theta_{k=1}^{k=\infty}u_i=u_1\circ u_2\cdots$. And δ the definite function from \mathbb{R} on $\{0,1\}$ by $\delta(x)=1\Longleftrightarrow x\in\mathbb{N}$ In this article I suppose known the function Gamma $\Gamma:z\mapsto \int_0^{+\infty}t^{z-1}\,e^{-t}\,dt$ and its properties (See [8]).

Finally in the last paragraph we give a generalization of this result and we show a link with the quantum mechanics and the attraction of black Holes. And I give a new proof of lemma 1 which gave a proof of the Riemann hypothesis [4]. Finnally Another new proof is given.

II- STATEMENT AND PROOF OF THE RESULT:

Theorem 1 (A function generating the prime numbers):

Let ψ be the function defined on $\mathbb{N} \cap [3, +\infty[$ by $: \psi(p) = \psi_p[$ $] = \Theta_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \delta(\frac{\Gamma(p+2k)+1}{p+2k})(p+2k) + (1-\delta(\frac{\Gamma(p+2k)+1}{p+2k})) \times [$].

If p is a prime number, then $\psi(p)$ is the prime number following p. And $\{2, \psi^i(3); i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the list of prime numbers.

Proof: It follows from Proposition 1.

Proposition 1 (The sghiar's function and the prime numbers):

Let
$$S(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z)+1}{z}$$
.

if $z \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then $S(z) \in \mathbb{N}^* \iff z$ is a prime number

Proof

It follows from Wilson's theorem [3] - which assures that p is a prime number if and only if $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p$

III- GENERALIZATION OF THE RESULT AND A LINK WITH QUANTUM MECHANICS AND BLACK HOLES

Theorem 2:

let μ be a function from \mathbb{R} to $\{0,1\}$

If E is a subset of \mathbb{N} such that $E = \mu^{-1}(1)$ and p_0 is the first element of E. Let ψ be the function defined on \mathbb{N} by : $\psi(p) = \psi_p[\] = \Theta_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \mu(p+k)(p+k) + (1-\mu(p+k)) \times [\]$.

If p is one element of E, then $\psi(p)$ is the element of E that follows p. And $\{\psi^i(p_0); i \in \mathbb{N}\} = E$

Notes:

- 1- Contrary to appearances, ψ is well defined and is very easily calculated by a computer algorithm.
- 2- Interpretation of elemental forces : $\mu(p+k)(p+k) + (1-\mu(p+k)) \times [\;]$:
- Either $\mu(p+k)(p+k) + (1-\mu(p+k)) \times [$] is the identity, therefore leaves invariant any particle of space.
- Either $\mu(p+k)(p+k) + (1-\mu(p+k)) \times [$] is the force which attracts any particle of space towards the point p+k : thus p+k acts like a black hole.
- 3 The trajectory of p_0 under the action of ψ passes through any point of E because at each step $\psi^i(p_0)$ is attracted by the following black hole.
- 4- So if the prime number $\psi^i(p_0)$ is considered as a particle, under the action ψ , $\psi^i(p_0)$ can only be found at $\psi^{i+1}(p_0)$ prime location. Recall that a link has been established between the prime numbers, the zeros of the Riemann zeta function and the energy level of various quantum systems [see 1 and 2]

IV-THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

I give a new proof of lemma 1 which gave a proof of the Riemann hypothesis [4].

Lemma 1 (second proof)

$$0 < Re(z) < 1 \Longrightarrow \left| \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t - 1} dt \right| \neq 0$$

I will simplify the proof of Lemma 1 which allowed us to give a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis.:

It suffices to prove that $Re(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t-1} dt) \neq 0$ or $Im(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t-1} dt) \neq 0$ for 0 < Re(z) < 1 and $Im(z) \ge 0$

Let z = x + iy, by change of variable, and by setting $t^{x-1} = e^u$, we deduce :

$$-Re(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t - 1} dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} - 1} cos(y \frac{u}{x-1}) \frac{1}{x-1} e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du$$

$$-Im(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t - 1} dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}} - 1} sin(y \frac{u}{x-1}) \frac{1}{x-1} e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du$$

If $-Re(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t-1} dt) = 0$, then we deduce that :

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}} (1 - 2\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1})) \frac{1}{x-1} e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}} e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}} 2sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1}) e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du$$

And consequently .
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}}-1} e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}}-1} 2sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1})e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du$$

$$\text{And : } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}}-1} cos^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1})e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}}-1} sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1})e^{\frac{u}{x-1}} du$$

$$\text{Let } u = v + \frac{\pi(x-1)}{y}$$

Let
$$u = v + \frac{\pi(x-1)}{y}$$

As
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}} cos^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1})e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}du = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi\frac{x}{y}} \frac{e^v}{e^{\frac{\pi}{y}+\frac{v}{x-1}}} sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{v}{x-1})e^{\frac{v}{x-1}}dv$$

As
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^u}{e^{e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}} - 1} cos^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{u}{x-1})e^{\frac{u}{x-1}}du = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi\frac{x}{y}} \frac{e^v}{e^{e^{\frac{\pi}{y}} + \frac{v}{x-1}} - 1} sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{v}{x-1})e^{\frac{v}{x-1}}dv$$
We deduce that $: \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (e^{\pi\frac{x}{y}} \frac{e^v}{e^{e^{\frac{\pi}{y}} + \frac{v}{x-1}} - 1} - \frac{e^v}{e^{e^{\frac{v}{x-1}}} - 1}) sin^2(\frac{1}{2}y\frac{v}{x-1})e^{\frac{v}{x-1}}dv = 0$ But $e^{\pi\frac{x}{y}} \frac{e^v}{e^{e^{\frac{\pi}{y}} + \frac{v}{x-1}} - 1} - \frac{e^v}{e^{e^{\frac{v}{y}} - \frac{v}{x-1}} - 1} \le 0$ (Easy to see) . Hence the result.

V- ANOTHER EXCELLENT PROOF OF THE RIEMANN HY-POTHESIS

Theorem 3 The real part of every nontrivial zero of the Riemann zeta function is 1/2.

The link between the function ζ and the prime numbers had already been established by Leonhard Euler with the formula [5], valid for Re(s) > 1:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{3^s}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{5^s}\right)\dots}$$

where the infinite product is extended to the set \mathcal{P} of prime numbers. This formula is sometimes called the Eulerian product.

And since the Dirichlet eta function can be defined by $\eta(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s}) \zeta(s)$ where : $\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s}$

We have in particular:

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-z}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^z}$$

for 0 < Re(z) < 1,

Let: s = x + iy, with 0 < Re(s) < 1

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(\overline{s}) = \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} = \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1-e^{-xln(p)}cos(yln(p)))^2 + (e^{-xln(p)}sin(yln(p)))^2}$$
 But :
$$\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1-e^{-xln(p)}cos(yln(p)))^2 + (e^{-xln(p)}sin(yln(p)))^2} \geq \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1+e^{-xln(p)})^2 + (e^{-xln(p)})^2}$$
 If
$$\zeta(s) = 0, \text{ then } \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1+e^{-xln(p)})^2 + (e^{-xln(p)})^2} = 0 \text{ and since the non-trivial zeros of } \zeta \text{ are symmetric with respect to the line } X = \frac{1}{2} \text{ because the zeta function satisfies the functional equation } [10] : \zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s)$$

$$\zeta(1-s)$$

then
$$x = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$$
, and if $s' = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + iy$, then $\zeta(s') = 0$

But the function $\frac{1}{(1+e^{-t\ln(p)})^2+(e^{-t\ln(p)})^2}$ is increasing in [0, 1], so $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\frac{1}{(1+e^{-t\ln(p)})^2+(e^{-t\ln(p)})^2}=0 \ \forall t\in [\frac{1}{2}-\alpha,\frac{1}{2}+\alpha].$

As $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\frac{1}{(1+e^{-z\ln(p)})^2+(e^{-z\ln(p)})^2}$ is holomorphic : because :

 $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1+e^{-zln(p)})^2 + (e^{-zln(p)})^2} = \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1-A/p^z} \frac{1}{1-B/p^z} \text{ with } A = i-1 \text{ and } B = -i-1, \text{ and both } \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1-A/p^z} \text{ and } \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1-B/p^z} \text{ are holomorphic in } \{z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{1\}, \Re(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \text{ as we have :}$

$$\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^z} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 + f_p(z))$$

with $f_p(z) = \frac{1}{(p^z/A)-1}$

$$\mid f_p(z) \mid \leq \frac{1}{\mid p^z/A \mid -1} = \frac{1}{(p^{\Re(z)}/\sqrt{2}) - 1} = \frac{1}{(e^{\Re(z)ln(p)}/\sqrt{2}) - 1} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \{\Re(z)ln(p)\}^2}$$

So:

$$|f_p(z)| \le \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\{\Re(z)\}^2\{|ln(p)|\}^2}$$

We deduce that the series $\sum_p |f_p|$ converges normally on any compact of $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ and consequently $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^z}$ is holomorphic in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. In the same way $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - B/p^z}$ is holomorphic in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then the holomorphic function $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1+e^{-zln(p)})^2 + (e^{-zln(p)})^2}$ will be null (because null on $]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha]$), and it follows that $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - A/p^z}$ or $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - B/p^z}$ is null in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Let's show that this is impossible:

If $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1-A/p^z} = \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} (1+f_p(z)) = 0$ with $f_p(z) = \frac{1}{(p^z/A)-1} \ \forall z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{1\}, \Re(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. So for the same reason as above, the application :

(§): $X \longmapsto \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - X/p^z}$ is holomorphic in the open quasi-disc $\mathcal{D} = \{X \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |X| < \sqrt{2}\}$ with $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$

M.J. Sghiar: Dynamical system, prime numbers, black Holes, quantum mechanics, and the Riemann hypothesis

Let's extend the function (§) by setting:

For $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}, \Re(z) \ngeq \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, with $s \leq 0$, such as $\Re(s+z) \geq 0$ $\Re(C/q^s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - C/(q^s p^z)}$ (where q is a prime number, and C is such that $|C| = \sqrt{2}$)

In particular we have:

$$\mbox{(}\mbox{\mathbb{S}}(A/q^s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - A/(q^s p^z)} \mbox{(where q is a prime number)}$$

But for $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \{1\}, z \ngeq \frac{1}{2}\}$ we have :

$$\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\mid\frac{1}{1-A/(q^sp^z)}\mid\leq\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\mid\frac{1}{1-A/(p^z)}\mid$$

It follows that:

So:

$$\textcircled{S}(X) = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}$$

And consequently:

$$\mathfrak{S}(1)(z) = \zeta(z) = 0$$

 $\forall z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{1\}, \Re(z) \ngeq \frac{1}{2}\}$

which is absurd, so $\alpha = 0$, hence the Riemann hypothesis.

VI-CONCLUSION

In articles 4, 5, and 6 the functions γ of Euler, ζ of Riamann, and the function μ of Mertens, played an important role in the knowledge of the distribution of prime numbers by allowing the proof of the Riemann Hypothesis.

In this article, my s function which is an extension of Riamann's ζ function has given an elegant proof of Riemann's hypothesis.

As for the function ψ of theorem 1, considered as an operator on the particles, made it possible to list all the prime numbers one after the other.







Euler-Riemann- Mertens-M.J.Sghiar

References:

- [1] N. M. KATZ and P. SARNAK, Random Matrices, Frobenius Eigenvalues, and Monodromy. Colloq.Publ. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999.
- [2] N. M. KATZ and P. SARNAK ,zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry, Bulletin (New Series) of the american mathematical society, Volume 36, Number 1, January 1999, Pages 1–26.
- [3] Roshdi Rashed, Entre arithmétique et algèbre : Recherches sur l'histoire des mathématiques arabes, journal Paris, 1984.
- [4] M. Sghiar, The Special Functions and the Proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis. IOSR Journal of Mathematics(IOSR-JM), 2020, 16, Issue 3, Serie II, pp.10 12.
- [5] M Sghiar. Des applications génératrices des nombres premiers et cinq preuves de l-hypothèse de Riemann. Pioneer Journal of Algebra Number Theory and its Applications, 2015, 10 (1-2), pp.1-31. http://www.pspchv.com/content PJNTA-vol-10-issues-1-2.
- [6] M. Sghiar. The Mertens function and the proof of the Riemann's hypothesis, International Journal Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT), ISNN :2249-8958, Volume- 7 Issue-2, December 2017. (Improved in https://hal.science/hal-01667383)
- [7] Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formula for primes
- [8] Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gamma function
- [9] Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prime_number
- $[10] \label{thm:wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann_zeta_function#} \\ 0 ther_{results}$
- [11] William H. Mills, A prime representing function, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1947, p. 604 et 1196