

# MODULAR LOGARITHM UNEQUAL

WU SHENG-PING

ABSTRACT. The main idea of this article is simply calculating integer functions in module. The algebraic in the integer modules is studied in completely new style. By a careful construction, a result is proven that two finite numbers are with unequal logarithms in a corresponding module, and is applied to solving a kind of high degree diophantine equation.

In this paper,  $p$  is prime,  $C$  means a constant. All numbers that are indicated by Latin letters are integers unless with further indication.

## 1. FUNCTION IN MODULE

**Theorem 1.1.** *Define the congruence class [1] in the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} [a]_q &:= [a + kq]_q, \forall k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ [a = b]_q &: [a]_q = [b]_q \\ [a]_q [b]_{q'} &:= [x]_{qq'} : [x = a]_q, [x = b]_{q'}, (q, q') = 1 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} [a + b]_q &= [a]_q + [b]_q \\ [ab]_q &= [a]_q \cdot [b]_q \\ [a + c]_q [b + d]_{q'} &= [a]_q [b]_{q'} + [c]_q [d]_{q'}, (q, q') = 1 \\ [ka]_q [kb]_{q'} &= k [a]_q [b]_{q'}, (q, q') = 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *The integer coefficient power-analytic functions modulo  $p$  are all the functions from mod  $p$  to mod  $p$*

$$\begin{aligned} [x^0 = 1]_p \\ [f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} f(n)(1 - (x - n)^{p-1})]_p \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *(Modular Logarithm) Define*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{1m}_a(x) := y]_{p^{m-1}(p-1)} &: [a^y = x]_{p^m} \\ [E := \sum_{i=0}^{m'} p^i / i!]_{p^m} \\ 1 &\ll m \ll m' \end{aligned}$$

then

$$[E^x = \sum_{i=0}^{m'} x^i p^i / i!]_{p^m}$$

---

*Date:* Sep 1, 2024.

*Key words and phrases.* Diophantine Equation; Discrete Logarithm.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{1m}_E(1 - xp) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{m'} (xp)^i / (ip)]_{p^{m-1}} \\
[Q(q)\mathbf{1m}(1 - xq) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{m'} (xq)^i / i]_{q^m} \\
Q(q) &:= \prod_{p|q} [p]_{p^m}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$[\mathbf{1m}(x) := \mathbf{1m}_e(x)]_{p^{m-1}}$$

$e$  is the generating element in mod  $p$  and meets

$$[e^{1-p^{m'}} = E]_{p^m}$$

It's proven by comparing to the Taylor expansions of real exponent and logarithm (especially on the coefficients).

**Definition 1.4.**

$$[\mathbf{1m}(px) := p\mathbf{1m}(x)]_{p^m}$$

**Definition 1.5.**

$$P(q) := \prod_{p|q} p$$

**Definition 1.6.**

$${}_q[x] := y : [x = y]_q, 0 \leq y < q$$

## 2. UNEQUAL LOGARITHMS OF TWO NUMBERS

**Theorem 2.1.** *If*

$$\begin{aligned}
b + a &< q \\
a > b &> 0 \\
(a, b) &= (a, q) = (b, q) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$[\mathbf{1m}(a) \neq \mathbf{1m}(b)]_q$$

*Proof.* Define

$$r := P(q)$$

$$\beta := \prod_{p \cdot p|q} [(a/b)^{v_p-1}]_{p^m}, \quad 1 \ll m$$

$$v_p := [p]_{p^m(p-1)}$$

Set

$$0 \leq x, x' < qr + r$$

$$0 \leq y, y' < qr + r$$

$$d := (x - x', q^m)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(x, y, x', y') = (b, a, b, a)]_r \\
[\beta^2 a^2 x^2 - b^2 y^2 &= \beta^2 a^2 x'^2 - b^2 y'^2 =: 2qrN]_{uq^2r}, \quad u := (2, r) \\
&[\beta ax - by = 0]_{r^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Checking the freedom and determination of  $(x, y), (x', y')$ , and using the Drawer Principle, we find that there exist *distinct*  $(x, y), (x', y')$  satisfying the previous conditions.

Presume

$$(qr^n, p^m) || \beta - 1 \wedge (d, p^m) | q/r, \quad n := 0 \vee 1$$

Make

$$(s, t, s', t') := (x, y, x', y') + qZ(b, a(1 \vee \beta), 0, 0)$$

to set

$$[\beta^2 a^2 s^2 - b^2 t^2 = \beta^2 a^2 s'^2 - b^2 t'^2]_{p^m}$$

Make

$$(X, Y, X', Y') := (s, t, s', t') + qZ'(s', -t', s, -t)$$

to set

$$[aX - bY = aX' - bY']_{p^m}$$

hence

$$[\beta^2 a(X + X') = b(Y + Y')]_{p^m}$$

Try  $(z, z')$  to meet

$$[aX + bY + z - \beta z' = aX' + bY' + z' - \beta z = 0]_{p^m}$$

$$[z - z' = -\frac{2}{1 + \beta}(aX - aX')]_{p^m}$$

$$[z + z' = -\frac{1 + \beta^2}{1 - \beta}(aX + aX')]_{p^m}$$

then

$$[(aX + bY' + z - \beta z)^2 = (aX' + bY + z' - \beta z')^2]_{p^m}$$

It's invalid because if

$$[aX + bY' + z - \beta z = aX' + bY + z' - \beta z' = 0]_{(\beta-1, p^m)}$$

then with the identity

$$[\beta(aX + z) + (bY' - \beta z) = (aX' - \beta z') + \beta(bY + z')]_{((\beta-1)^2, p^m)}$$

it implies

$$[aX + z = bY + z']_{(\beta-1, p^m)}$$

Therefore

$$(2.1) \quad [x = x']_{(q, p^m)} \vee \neg(qr^n, p^m) || \beta - 1$$

Furthermore

$$(2.2) \quad (qr | \beta - 1 \wedge [x = x']_q) = 0$$

because if not,

$$\begin{aligned} [\beta ax - by &= \beta ax' - by']_{q^2 r} \\ [ax - by &= ax' - by']_{q^2 r} \\ |ax - by - (ax' - by')| &< q^2 r \\ ax - by &= ax' - by' \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$x - x' = 0 = y - y'$$

It contradicts to the previous condition.

So that with the condition 2.1

$$\neg(q, p^m) | \beta - 1 = [x = x']_{(q, p^m)} \wedge \neg(q, p^m) | \beta - 1 \vee [x \neq x']_{(q, p^m)}$$

Wedge with  $(qr, p^m) | \beta - 1$

$$(qr, p^m) | \beta - 1 = (qr, p^m) | \beta - 1 \wedge [x = x']_{(q, p^m)}$$

With the condition 2.2

$$(qr | \beta - 1) = 0$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.** *For prime  $p$  and positive integer  $q$  the equation  $a^p + b^p = c^q$  has no integer solution  $(a, b, c)$  such that  $(a, b) = (b, c) = (a, c) = 1, a, b > 0$  if  $p, q > 3$ .*

*Proof.* Reduction to absurdity. Make logarithm on  $a, b$  in mod  $c^q$ . The conditions are sufficient for a controversy. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z.I. Borevich, I.R. Shafarevich, "Number theory" , Acad. Press (1966)  
*E-mail address:* hiyaho@126.com

TIANMEN, HUBEI PROVINCE, THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. POSTCODE: 431700