Maximizing Archimedes spiral packing density
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Abstract: In this paper, we experimentally demonstrate a broadband Archimedes spiral delay line with high packing density on a silicon photonic platform. This high density is achieved by optimizing the gap between the adjacent waveguides (down to sub-micron scale) in the spiral configuration. However, care must be taken to avoid evanescent coupling, the presence of which will cause the spiral to behave as a novel type of distributed spiral resonator. To this end, an analytical model of the resonance phenomenon was developed for a simple spiral. Moreover, it is demonstrated that this distributed spiral resonator effect can be minimized by ensuring that adjacent waveguides in the spiral configuration have different propagation constants ($\beta$). Experimental validations were accomplished by fabricating and testing multiple spiral waveguides with varying lengths (i.e., 0.4, 0.8, and 1.4 mm) and separation gaps (i.e., 300 and 150 nm). Finally, a Linear Density Figure of Merit (LDFM) is introduced to evaluate the packing efficiency of various spiral designs in the literature. In this work, the optimum experimental design with mitigated resonance had a length of 1.4 mm and occupied an area of 60x60µm, corresponding to an LDFM of 388mm⁻¹.
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1. Introduction

The Optical Delay Line (ODL) is an essential building block in numerous applications, including optical communications [1], microwave signal processing [2,3], optical gyroscopes [4], optical coherence tomography (OCT) [5], phased arrays [6], quantum computing [7], reservoir computing [8] and spectrometers [9]. Compact implementations of ODLs have been demonstrated employing several resonant designs and structures such as Bragg gratings [10], photonic crystals [11,12], coupled resonator structures [13], and stimulated Brillouin scattering photonic filters [14,15]. However, designs that use resonance phenomena to reduce the footprint of the ODL are limited in operation to discrete narrow optical frequencies in a limited spectral range. The operating bandwidth can be enhanced by cascading several resonators to increase the overall device operating bandwidth; however, the device's footprint increases commensurately [16]. Many applications, however, require true ODLs (TODL) that can work in a large optical frequency spectral band that cannot be supported with these resonance-based approaches. An alternative approach to constructing a TODL is to employ a long waveguide in a serpentine [17] or spiral [18] configuration to reduce the area footprint. In such a device, the accumulated time delay can be estimated through the following relation: $\tau = \frac{n_sL}{c}$, where $n_s$, $L$, and $c$ are the group refractive index, the waveguide length, and the speed of light in a vacuum.

This manuscript proposes and demonstrates a TODL using an enhanced Archimedes spiral configuration to enable high packing density and small footprint [16,19]. Crucially, the Archimedes spiral in this work consists of clockwise and counterclockwise waveguides with differing propagation constants ($\beta$). A tapered S-shape bend waveguide to adiabatically connect these two spiral waveguides [16,19]. The mismatch minimizes the evanescent cross-coupling
between adjacent waveguides and therefore allows them to be packed much more closely than in conventional designs. This approach can reduce the gap between adjacent waveguides down to the submicron scale while maintaining negligibly small evanescent coupling. Validation is performed analytically, numerically, and experimentally.

2. Design, simulation, and modeling of TODL

Theoretically, when two waveguides are placed close to each other, they become evanescently coupled, which results in crosstalk [20]. According to the coupled-mode theory, such crosstalk will be at its highest when both waveguides are designed to have identical propagation constants (β). This crosstalk can be mitigated by introducing a mismatch between the adjacent waveguide propagation constants, such that the larger the mismatch, the smaller the crosstalk. This effect is significant because conventional spiral designs use adjacent waveguides with matched propagation constants, which strongly limits how close the waveguides can be packed together. The two adjacent waveguides should exhibit different propagation constants to overcome this limitation and increase the packing density. The Archimedean spiral delay line of such a structure is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The bending radius of the S-shape bend is kept as small as possible while avoiding the introduction of bending radiative loss.

Fig. 1. Schematic of the Archimedean true optical delay line (TODL) consists of two interleaved waveguides with gradually decreasing bending radiiuses. As they reach the center of the spiral, those two spirals are connected in the center by an S-shape bend structure. Clockwise direction (red) is the input waveguide, and (blue) is the output waveguide, where both are separated by a gap (g).

Lumerical 2.5D variational finite-difference time-domain (varFDTD) simulations are used to investigate the effect of the width and gap of waveguides 1 and 2 on the performance of the TODL, as shown in Fig. 1. Specifically, the performance of three design cases was investigated and compared: in design (1) both waveguides were identical where the width was set to be 550 nm with a 1 μm gap, in design (2) both waveguides were identical where the width was set to be 550 nm with a 300 nm gap, and in design (3) the width of waveguide 1 was 550 nm while the width of waveguide 2 was 400 nm with a 300 nm gap. Two monitors were setup in each of these simulations; one monitor was placed at the end of the spiral to measure the output transmission spectrum, denoted as output. The second monitor is located behind the input source, as seen in Fig.1, to measure the reflected spectrum back to the input, denoted as reflection. These monitors measure the reflected and transmitted spectrum that results from signal propagating through the optical delay line (TODL). The reflected spectrum is an indication of the strength of the interaction between the adjacent waveguides in the spiral delay.
This interaction causes some transmitted spectrum to propagate back to the input direction. Typically, a smaller reflected spectrum results from minimal interaction between the waveguides in the TODL. Furthermore, a larger reflected spectrum is caused by high interaction between the waveguides as the mode propagates through the spiral.

We expect to see a very low to non-existing reflected transmission spectrum from the design simulation (1) due to a large separation gap of 1 µm, which is the typical separation gap used for TODL in literature [16,19]. In the design simulation (2), the reflected spectrum is due to a sub-micron gap and has no geometric dispersion since waveguides 1 and 2 have the exact dimensions. However, the effect of bending dispersion can cause variation in the propagation constant ($\beta$) and thus might reduce the total reflected spectrum. Finally, the design simulation (3) has both geometry dispersion and bending dispersion, which offers a unique opportunity to observe both the effect on the propagation constant ($\beta$) and reflected mode strength.

![Fig. 2](attachment:archimedes_spiral_delay_line_simulation.png)

Fig. 2: Transmission spectrums of the simulated Archimedes spiral delay line for different propagation lengths where waveguides 1 and 2 are identical (i.e., width = 550 nm) and the gap between them is 1 µm. The blue indicates the transmitted spectrum through the suggested spiral, while the orange indicates the reflected spectrum back to the source. (a) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 0.4 mm. (b) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 0.72 mm. (c) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 1.42 mm.

Fig. 2 shows the simulation of design (1), where waveguides 1 and 2 have a similar width of 550 nm and a separating gap of 1 µm. The figure shows the results of transmission and reflection spectrums of an Archimedes delay line in the spectral band of 1.46-1.58 µm when its adjacent waveguides are identical (i.e., 550 nm) with a 1 µm gap for a total length of 0.4, 0.72, and 1.42 mm as shown in Figs 2 (a-c). The results in Fig. 2 show the transmitted spectrum in decibel to be 0 dB while the reflected signal is maintained at less than -40 dB for all propagation lengths of 0.4, 0.72, and 1.42 mm. It can be concluded that, as expected, there is no interaction between the adjacent waveguide in the Archimedes spiral. Also, the reflected spectrum does not vary as a function of propagation length which confirms that there is no coupling in this Archimedes spiral TODL. It is important to note that even though the waveguides have the same width, they experience different propagation constant ($\beta$) due to the bending dispersion due to the low bending radius [21]. This effect is not visible here due to the large separation gap in this design; however, this dispersion effect is observed in Fig.3 and Fig.4.
Fig. 3. Transmission spectrum of the simulated Archimedes spiral delay line with different propagation lengths where both waveguide widths are identical (i.e., width = 550 nm) and the gap between them is 300 nm. The blue indicates the transmitted spectrum through the suggested spiral, while the orange indicates the reflected spectrum back to the source. (a) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 0.4mm. (b) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 0.72mm. (c) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 1.42mm.

Fig. 3 shows the simulation of design (2), where waveguides 1 and 2 have similar widths of 550 nm with a separating gap of 300 nm. An oscillation of less than 0.1% is observed in the transmitted spectrum for all propagation lengths of 0.4, 0.72, and 1.42 mm (see Fig.3(a-c)). A comparison of the results of simulated design (1) in Fig. 2 and simulated design (2) in Fig. 3 shows that the reflected spectrum increases from approximately -40dB to -20dB when the gap is decreased from 1 um to 300nm. The reflected spectrum does not vary as a function of the length of the delay line, which shows that the transmission spectrum is not significantly affected by any resonant phenomenon caused by the interaction between the adjacent waveguides under a small separation gap. If such resonance were to occur, there would be a clear dependence of the reflected spectra on the spiral length (because each length would have a different resonant cavity length). Instead, the signal-to-noise ratio increase is more likely a result of imperfect simulation meshing of the curved waveguide. The result indicates a negligibly small interaction between the two adjacent waveguides. Although this design is not affected by geometry dispersion, it is effect by the bending dispersion, which causes a different propagation constant(β) for each waveguide; such a difference in the propagation constant limits the interaction in the spiral.

Fig. 4 shows the simulation of design (3), where the width of waveguide 1 was 550 nm while the width of waveguide 2 was 400 nm with a 300 nm gap. For the delay line of 0.4 mm length, we observe an approximate 5% oscillation in the transmission spectrum (see Fig.4(a)), significantly increasing across the spectrum for the delay lengths of 0.72mm (see Fig. 4(b)) and 1.42mm (see Fig.4(c)). This oscillatory behavior can be characterized as a result of the formation of a distributed spiral resonator due to coupling between adjacent waveguides, where its cavity length varies with the total length of the spiral optical delay line. With this hypothesis, the Free Spectral Range (FSR) for the 0.72 mm and 1.42 mm long spirals in Fig.4(b) and Fig. 4(c) is approximately 66.7 nm and 50nm, respectively. The corresponding Q factors for these resonators are estimated to be 38.8 and 50 for spiral lengths of 0.72 and 1.42 mm, respectively.
When comparing Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 4(b), we observe that when the adjacent waveguides are identical ($\Delta w=0$), the reflected signal is at -20 dB, while when $\Delta w=150$ nm, the reflected signal oscillates between -10 to 0 dB. We can conclude that in this design, a resonance effect is caused by coupling between the adjacent waveguides. This coupling is enhanced by the combined effect of the geometry and bending dispersion. However, the observed resonator needed to be analyzed further to understand it.

Fig. 4. Transmission spectrum of the simulated Archimedes spiral delay line with different propagation lengths where waveguide 1 and 2 widths are 550 and 400 nm, respectively, and the gap between them is 300 nm. The blue indicates the transmitted spectrum through the suggested spiral, while the orange indicates the reflected spectrum back to the source. (a) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 0.4 mm. (b) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 0.72 mm. (c) Transmission spectrum of a delay line in decibel for propagation length of 1.42 mm.

3. Analysis of the resonant behavior in the Archimedes TODL

We next discuss a simplified analytical model to describe the resonant transmission and reflection of a closely packed Archimedes spiral TODL. When formulating the problem mathematically, it is tempting to try the matrix approach that would solve the problem in terms of supermode models. However, this approach runs into problems due to the spatial variation of the coupling coefficient along the spiral length. Consequently, it is desirable to use a more flexible approach that uses reflection coefficients to represent the coupling regions in the spiral. The repetitive structure of the spiral lends well to this analysis approach.

As an initial approach, we consider a simplified spiral version, as seen in Fig. 5. Where the coupling is limited to a small section of each arm in the S-shape bend. The field coupling coefficients $k$ and $c$ for the clockwise and counterclockwise propagation with the subscripts indicating the coupling direction.
Fig. 5. Simplified coupling model in a resonator formed by a single loop spiral.

Consider the transmission coefficient as a summation similar to Airy's formulas (multiple resonant paths) illustrated in Fig. 6 for the Fabry–Pérot resonator:

\[ t_\ell = k_{i,2} \exp(i\beta L_\ell) c_{i,i} \]

\[ + k_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) c_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) k_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) c_{i,i} \]

\[ + k_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) c_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) k_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) c_{i,i} \]

\[ + k_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) c_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) k_{i,i} \exp(i\beta L_{i,i}) c_{i,i} \]

\[ + \ldots \]

Although the terms of the summation in the simplest spiral are much more complex than those in a Fabry–Pérot cavity [22], they simplify similarly. Reduce the above terms using a geometric series as follows:

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ar^k = \frac{a}{1-r}, r < 1 \]
$$t_i = k_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i + \left( k_{i+1}^c k_{i+1}^c + k_{i+1}^c k_i^c + k_i^c k_{i-1}^c \right) \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i + k_{i+1}^c k_{i+1}^c \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i) \\
1 - c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i$$

Moreover, the reflection coefficient can be written as:

$$r_i = \left[ 1 + k_{i-1}^c \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \right] \frac{2k_{i+1}^c k_i^c \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i)}{1 - c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i \exp(i\beta L_i)c_i}$$

These expressions are similar to the Fabry–Pérot reflection coefficient equation. The leading constant terms make analytical evaluation difficult; however, they can be calculated numerically. For the simplest case of the Archimedes delay line illustrated in Fig. 5, we estimate $47.5, 24.8$, and $47.5\mu m$ corresponding to $L_{c1}$, $L_0$, and $L_{k1}$'s cavity lengths, respectively. To further simply the equation above, the two coupling terms $k_{i1}$ and $c_{i1}$ can be related thus:

$$c_{i1} = 1 - k_{i1}$$

In addition, assuming that the two sides of the simplified version of the distributed spiral resonator are similar, it is safe to assume that $c_{i1} = c_{i2}$ and $k_{i1} = k_{i2}$. With these values, it is possible to plot the analytic solution from the transmitted spectrum of the equation above as a function of the coupling coefficient $k_{i1}$, shown in Fig.7.

Fig. 7. Transmission spectrum as a function of cross coupling parameter $k_{i1}$, for ideal resonator loop where $|p|^2 + |p|^2 = 1$. (a) normalized transmission spectrum for $k_{i1}$ [blue=0.999, red=0.7, yellow=0.5]. (b) Transmission spectrum in decibel for $k_{i1}$ [blue=0.999, red=0.7, yellow=0.5].
resonator Q factor can be reduced. For this manuscript, the Fabry–Pérot-like resonator effect is undesirable and can be reduced by limiting the cross-coupling coefficient. Conversely, for other applications, a distributed spiral resonator might be helpful. In such a case, the primary way this can be achieved, as seen from the simulations in Fig.6 and Fig.5, is not to introduce a variation between the propagation constants of the two adjacent waveguides.

Strictly speaking, the above process could be used to analyze a spiral with multiple turns. However, the sheer number of new closed-loop paths introduced by each additional turn makes this quickly become resistant to analysis. However, the model is still helpful as it provides enough information that we can develop the intuition that these additional path loops will behave additively in the same way as the loops in the simple model. Therefore, we know how the spiral will behave in a general sense (there will be distinct resonance peaks) even if it is difficult to predict the exact spacing in practice accurately.

4. Fabrication and characterization

The Archimedes spiral TODL design was fabricated as part of a multi-project-wafer (MPW) run at the Applied Nanotools (ANT) foundry. The MPW run utilizes standard silicon on insulator (SOI) wafer, which consists of a 220 nm device layer and a 2 µm bottom oxide layer (BOX). The standard process of the MPW run starts with writing the design pattern on e-beam resist using electron beam lithography (EBL). Inductively Coupled Plasma Etching (ICP-RIE) is employed to transfer the pattern from the E-beam resist into the silicon layer. The sample is cladded with a 2.2 µm SiO$_2$ layer through Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (PECVD). The overall design consists of multiple Archimedes spirals with different gaps, widths, and several spirals to demonstrate the simulation results, as seen in Fig.8.

![SEM image of fabricated Archimedes spiral](image.png)

Fig.8. The SEM images of the fabricated Archimedes spiral delay lines: (a) The input and the output waveguides have similar dimensions of 550nm width, 220nm height, and a separation gap of 300nm with a total length of 0.72mm.

The device was characterized using the standard fiber-to-free space setup described previously in [23,24]. Finally, the propagation loss of the fabricated Archimedes spiral delay line is specified to be 3.8 dB/cm [25,26].

5. Results

The fabricated Archimedes spiral of the delay line is designed to observe the effect of introducing a width difference (Δw) of 0, 100, or 150 nm for the cases of a separation gap of 300nm and 150nm in Fig.9.
Fig. 9. Measured transmission spectrum of the fabricated Archimedes spiral delay line with three different \( \Delta w \) at a total length of 1.4 mm. The blue color indicates a difference between the adjacent waveguide \( (\Delta w) \) equals 150 nm. The Red color indicates a difference between the adjacent waveguide is \( (\Delta w) \) equal to 100 nm; the yellow color shows the difference between the adjacent waveguide is \( (\Delta w) \) zero. (a) measured transmission spectrum of the fabricated delay line propagation length equal to 1.4 mm and separation gap equal to 300 nm. (b) measured transmission spectrum of the fabricated delay line propagation length equal to 1.4 mm and separation gap equal to 150 nm.

Fig. 9(a) shows the waveguide width difference \( (\Delta w) \) effect on the transmission spectrum at a gap of 300 nm and spiral length of 1.4 mm. In the transmission spectrum, when \( \Delta w = 0 \) nm, no resonance exists in the range from 1460 nm to 1540 nm; a slight variation can be noticed from above the wavelength of 1540 nm with a variation of less than 10%. Thus, we can conclude that bending dispersion minimizes a distributed spiral resonator effect. In addition, the transmission spectrum variation percentage when \( \Delta w = 0 \) and 100 nm is less than 9% and 20%, respectively.

However, when \( \Delta w = 150 \) nm, the transmitted spectrum varies from 30% for wavelengths between 1460 nm to 1480 nm, to 40%, for wavelengths between 1500 nm to 1540 nm, in which the maximum transmission spectrum variation is shown to be 78% for wavelengths between 1560 nm to 1580 nm. The transmission spectrum variation shows a clear wavelength dependence, resulting from geometry dispersion-compensating for the variation in propagation constant \( (\beta) \) caused by bending dispersion. This effect increases the strength of coupling between the adjacent waveguides in the spirals and thus enhancing the distributed spiral resonator. The same enhancement is noticeable when \( \Delta w = 100 \) nm, the average transmission variation is less than 20%, and the maximum variation in the transmission spectrum is 35%.

Fig. 9(b) shows the transmission spectrum of an Archimedes delay line with a separation gap equal to 150 nm. At this gap, the effect of the distributed spiral resonator can be observed in all different \( \Delta w \) values. When \( \Delta w = 150 \) nm, the transmission varies from 40% between 1460 and 1480 nm to 84% for wavelengths between 1500 and 1540 nm. Moreover, the transmission variation is 87% for wavelengths between 1560 to 1580 nm. The same effect is noticeable when \( \Delta w = 100 \) nm with a minor transmission variation compared to when \( \Delta w = 150 \) nm. As described
in the literature, coupling between waveguides is a function of the separation gap [20], hence the increased cross-coupling from the change gap from 300 to 150 nm. Furthermore, the coupling coefficient's wavelength dependence is a clear indication that the coupling which causes the distributed spiral resonator is enhanced by the smaller gap, especially when comparing these results to Fig.10(a). The presence of high resonance effects suggests that the gap of 150nm cannot be used for a typical delay line due to the high effect of the distributed spiral resonator.

It is important to note that the reflected spectrum is not measured due to the limitation of the current fiber-to-free space setup and the fabricated sample. In the future, a sample can be fabricated to include a Y-splitter at the input to extract the reflected spectrum easily.

6. Discussion

In this work, we showed a new approach to maximizing the packing density of TODL by reducing the separation gap to submicron and maintaining a minimum bending radius while also avoiding inducing radial loss. As observed in the simulation, these conditions give rise to a novel type of distributed spiral resonator. This resonator effect can be mitigated by introducing enough variation in the preparation constant (β) between the adjacent waveguides.

While the bending radius is maintained to be small enough, the two adjacent waveguides are kept in the exact dimensions to minimize coupling and thus eliminate the effect of the distributed spiral resonator. Moreover, the variation caused by the propagation constant (β) will become negligible in the case of a large enough bending radius. In that case, it would be necessary to vary the cross-section of adjacent waveguides to achieve geometry dispersion, thus introducing a mismatch in the propagation constant (β).

The presented results validate the suggested theoretical model and simulated results in Fig.3 and Fig.4. The effect of the distributed spiral resonator can be enhanced when the two waveguides have similar propagation constants, as in the case of Fig.10 when Δw=150 nm. Additionally, the effect of the distributed spiral resonator can be reduced when the waveguides have different propagation constants, as in the case of Fig.10 when Δw=0.

The fabricated design in the manuscript is compared to some of the delay line structures found in the literature (see Table1). The last column in Table 1 shows a new figure of merit called Linear Density Figure of Merit (LDFM), which evaluates the packing efficiency of various delay line design approaches.

Table 1. Comparison of various optical delay lines from literature and the fabricated design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Loss</th>
<th>Time delay(τ)</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>LDFM (km⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bykhovsky [27]</td>
<td>0.5 dB</td>
<td>17.2ns</td>
<td>Cascaded spiral</td>
<td>80nm</td>
<td>Si₃N₄</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan Li [28]</td>
<td>1.908 dB/cm</td>
<td>2.804ns</td>
<td>Archimedes spiral</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SOI</td>
<td>197.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen [29]</td>
<td>0.1 dB/m</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Whispering gallery delay lines</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Si/ SiO₂</td>
<td>77.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yurtsever [30]</td>
<td>&lt;0.14 dB/cm</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mach-Zehnder interferometer</td>
<td>100nm</td>
<td>Si₃N₄/SiO₂</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopinski [31]</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>250 ps</td>
<td>Archimedes spiral</td>
<td>30 GHz</td>
<td>InP</td>
<td>52.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>3.8 dB/cm</td>
<td>19.41 ps</td>
<td>Archimedes spiral</td>
<td>100nm</td>
<td>SOI</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LDFM is an excellent method to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of any TODL since it occupies a large area of the chip to achieve a long delay time (τ). A more significant LDFM number indicates a higher packing density and thus a more cost-effective method to achieve the required delay time. This aspect of delay line design is often overlooked but very important to classify because the available space for integrated devices is often minimal. Finally, The proposed design in this paper shows the highest LDFM with a value of 388 km⁻¹, which is at least two times higher than the designs reported in recently published papers, as seen in Table I. The loss of the fabricated TODL is 3.8 dB/cm, which can improve by choosing a wider waveguide or changing to material that exhibits lower loss (for example, Si₃N₄), as demonstrated in Bykhovsky’s work [27].

7. Conclusion:

In conclusion, we have designed, fabricated, and characterized a broadband Archimedes spiral delay line with a high packing density. The higher packing density is achieved by reducing the gap between two adjacent waveguides in the sub-micron range and maintaining a low bending radius. Waveguides with such slight separation typically experience substantial coupling-induced crosstalk that limits the device’s spectral response. It was experimentally demonstrated that this effect could be mitigated by engineering the waveguides in different arms of the spiral to have different propagation constants. Furthermore, to validate this design approach, we developed an analytical model of the spiral that explicitly incorporates the evanescent coupling. This model shows that when the coupling is non-negligible, the device functions as a novel type of distributed spiral resonator. It was concluded that while maintaining a low bending radius, each waveguide experiences a different propagation constant due to the bending dispersion; thus, maintaining similar geometry for the waveguide in the spiral would limit the distributed spiral resonator effect. Finally, an LDFM is defined to evaluate the packing efficiency and cost-effectiveness of future delay line design approaches.
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