

Negative energy form Wormhole obtained from First Principles and Compared with Tokamak GW

Andrew W. Beckwith ¹

Andrew Beckwith ¹

¹ Physics Department, Chongqing University, College of Physics, Chongqing University Huxi Campus, No. 44 Daxuechen Nanlu, Shapinba District, Chongqing 401331, People's Republic of China, rwill9955b@gmail.com

Abstract:

We revisit how we utilized how Weber in 1961 initiated the process of quantization of early universe fields to the issue of what was for a wormhole mouth. While the wormhole models are well understood, there is not such a consensus as to how the mouth of a wormhole could generate signals. We try to develop a model for doing so and then revisit it, the Wormhole while considering a Tokamak model we used in a different publication as a way of generating GW, and Gravitons

Keywords: Minimum scale factor, cosmological constant, space-time bubble, bouncing cosmologies, Tokamaks

I. Introduction to the Weber quantization procedure

The template of what we will be looking at will be a wormhole, using a wavefunction quantization procedure, **Using [1] [2] a statement as to quantization for a would-be GR term comes straight from**

$$\Psi_{Later} = \int \sum_H e^{(iH/\hbar)(t,t^0)} \Psi_{Earlier}(t^0) dt^0 \quad (1)$$

The approximation we are making is to pick one index, so as to have'

$$\Psi_{Later} = \int \sum_H e^{(iH/\hbar)(t,t^0)} \Psi_{Earlier}(t^0) dt^0 \xrightarrow{H \rightarrow 1} \int e^{(iH_{FIXED}/\hbar)(t,t^0)} \Psi_{Earlier}(t^0) dt^0 \quad (2)$$

This corresponds to say being primarily concerned as to GW generation, which is what we will be examining in our ideas, via using

$$e^{(iH_{FIXED}/\hbar)(t,t^0)} = \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \cdot \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \cdot \int_M dt \cdot d^3r \sqrt{-g} \cdot (\mathfrak{R} - 2\Lambda) \right] \quad (3)$$

We will use the following, namely, if Λ is a constant, do the following for the Ricci scalar

$$\mathfrak{R} = \frac{2}{r^2} \quad (4)$$

If so then we can write the following, namely: Eq.(3) becomes, if we have an invariant Cosmological constant, so we write $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{all-time}} \Lambda_0$ everywhere, then

$$e^{(iH_{FIXED}/\hbar)(t,t^0)} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \cdot \frac{c^4 \cdot \pi \cdot t^0}{16G} \cdot (r - r^3 \Lambda_0)\right] \quad (5)$$

Then, we have that Eq. (1) is re written to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{Later} &= \int \sum_H e^{(iH/\hbar)(t,t^0)} \Psi_{Earlier}(t^0) dt^0 \\ &\xrightarrow{at-wormhole} \int \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \cdot \frac{c^4 \cdot \pi \cdot t^0}{16G} \cdot (r - r^3 \Lambda_0)\right] \Psi_{Earlier}(t^0) dt^0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From here on we will be reviewing what to put in the earliest version of the wormhole function

II. What to call the initial wave function in Eq. (6) Two candidates. First being the Hartle – Hawking's wave function

In order to do this we will re reviewing one candidate brought up in [2] first which is the Hartle Hawking's wavefunction. Then we will be considering what is done with a wave function from a completely different standard as referenced in section V.

First the Hartle Hawking's wave function

[3] [4] [5] [18] states a Hartle-Hawking wavefunction which we will adapt for the earlier wavefunction as stated in Eq. (6) so as to read as follows

$$\Psi_{Earlier}(t^0) \approx \Psi_{HH} \propto \exp\left(\frac{-\pi}{2GH^2} \cdot (1 - \sinh(Ht))^3\right) \quad (7)$$

Here, making use of Sarkar [6] we set, if say g_* is the degree of freedom allowed [6]

$$H = 1.66 \sqrt{g_*} T_{temp}^2 / M_{Planck} \quad (8)$$

III. Inputs into the temperature in Eq. (8), which is a huge issue. As well as initial time values

We will make the following approximation as far as temperature which will be

$$E(\text{energy}) = \frac{k_B T_{temperature}}{2} \Rightarrow T_{temperature} \approx \frac{2E(\text{energy})}{k_B} \quad (9)$$

Whereas we will be from here, using that as input into the Eq. (7) while determining how to obtain

$E(\text{energy})$. To do this, note that in a wormhole, we have if the wormhole as a charge in the mouth that [7][8]

$$T_{temperature} \approx \frac{(Q)^2}{2\pi k_B r_0^2} \quad (10)$$

To which then we need to discuss what would be the charge, Q , for a wormhole mouth. To see this [7] use an applied electric field we can write as:

$$Q = \frac{E(\text{electric-field}) \cdot r^2}{\sqrt{g_{tt} \cdot g_{rr}}} \quad (11)$$

We will go to the Visser values of the denominator of Eq. (11) next. From [9] we are picking the simple version of the items read from the Schwartzshild metric

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{tt} &= -\exp(2\Phi(r)) \\
 g_{rr} &= \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} \\
 b(r) &= r_0 \cdot \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{1/\omega}
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here, the value of $b(r)$ has been vastly simplified from extremely mathematical treatments of these functions.

But, for the record, r_0 is the MINIMUM width of the “throat” of the wormhole, and b as presented is the “shape

function” of the funnel of the worm hole. Whereas, the term $\Phi(r)$ is the so called redshift function. We can and do take the liberty of stating results from [10] which has the following values for the redshift function

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{tt} &= -\exp(2\Phi(r)) \\
 g_{rr} &= \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} \\
 b(r) &= r_0 \cdot \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{1/\omega} \\
 \Phi(r) &= \begin{cases} \text{const,} & \text{or } \frac{1}{r} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In our case, it is simplest to use

$$\Phi(r) = \text{const} \tag{14}$$

The consequence of doing this is that the energy, as given by Eq. (10) is NEGATIVE. This negative energy, due to a negative temperature is stunning but defacto stabilizes the wormhole, as seen in [11], whereas our result about the temperature T and then the Energy resulting from $T < 0$ can be held to be in fidelity with the results of [12] where we can compare our results, with negative if the minimum width of the wormhole “mouth” is of the order of Planck length.

What is the consequence of having our negative temperature value ?

Go to the value of H in Eq, (8). We find that the Hartle – Hawking wave function is unchanged and will not be altered by our procedures, since the value of H is proportional to the square of the temperature, so if we have an evaluation of Eq. (8) at or about the throat of the wormhole, we will NOT see a change in evolutionary behavior

Needless to state, we will be assuming that the time, initially will be of Planck time, especially if the generation of Gravitons is about the value of Planck length, i.e. next to the smallest time in the wormhole throat of about Planck time.

In doing so, we could make the following observation, namely this would probably be the rate of graviton production,

First of all if we had the temperature where we could see say a production of Planck sized black holes, going through the transversable worm hole, we could say based on the following value of M , for generalized mass in the neighborhood of the throat, i.e. we can go to LOBO et al, [13] on page 125

$$\rho_{\tilde{\alpha}}(r) = \frac{M}{(4\pi\tilde{\alpha})^{3/2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \quad (15)$$

$$\Rightarrow M = (4\pi\tilde{\alpha})^{3/2} \rho_{\tilde{\alpha}}(r) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4\tilde{\alpha}}\right)$$

So, if this is true, assuming some non commutative geometry, let us assume a way to obtain $\rho_{\tilde{\alpha}}(r)$. I.e.

what if we had a radial dimension of the wormhole throat as of the order of Planck length? If so then we could to first order write

$$M = (4\pi\tilde{\alpha})^{3/2} \rho_{\tilde{\alpha}}(r) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \quad (16)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}=r^2 \approx \ell_p^2 \rightarrow 1} M \propto \rho_{\tilde{\alpha}}(r) \approx E(\text{energy}) = \frac{(Q)^2}{4\pi r_0^2}$$

If we can assume this, then it is not unreasonable to have the absolute value of the mass, as close to say 1000 planck mass, with due to radiation decay 1/1000 of value, i.e. Planck sized black holes. Say produced 2-3 per second, so if one had 3000 gravitons produced per second, as measured on Earth, one would likely have 2-3 black holes, of mass of about 10^{-5} grams per black hole, producing say 10^{57} gravitons, produced per black hole of mass about 10^{-62} grams per black hole [14][15] [16]

$$\Gamma \approx \exp\left(\omega_{\text{signal}} / T_{\text{temperature}}\right) \quad (17)$$

To do this we would have to look at the absolute value of the energy and temperature, i.e. then obtaining Whereas we have from [16] a probability for "scalar" particle production from the wormhole given by

$$\Gamma \approx \exp\left(-E/T_{\text{temperature}}\right) \quad (18)$$

IV. What we simplified from and this is the shape function of the wormhole included for completeness of the record

Whereas we are doing a major simplification of the material which is in Lobo's book [13]

See this as to what we simplified. We include it in for completeness of the record

$$b(r) = \left[r_0^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} + \gamma \cdot \frac{(8\pi G)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}}{\tilde{\omega}^{1/\gamma}} \cdot (r^3 - r_0^3) \right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow r_0} r_0 \quad (19)$$

Whereas the b coefficient in the case of NON commutative geometry is chosen [13]

$$b(r) = \frac{2r_s}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{r^2}{4\tilde{\alpha}} \right)$$

$$\equiv \frac{2r_s}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \left(\frac{r^2}{4\tilde{\alpha}} \right)^{3/2} \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}(3/2) \cdot e^{-3/2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{r^2}{4\tilde{\alpha}} \right)^k}{\tilde{\Gamma}((3/2) + k + 1)} \right) \quad (20)$$

$$dS^2 = -\exp(-2\Phi(r))dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1-b(r)/r} + r^2 \cdot (d\theta^2 + (\sin^2 \theta)d\phi^2) \quad (21)$$

What we did was to take the simplest case versions of the shape function and other things to keep this from becoming a biblical length text

V. Reviewing a different initial wave function. This one from Kieffer.

Notice the terms for the H factor, and from here we will be making our prediction, where we make the following estimate as to frequency of a signal. That is, if the energy, E , has the following breakdown

$$H = 1.66\sqrt{g_*}T_{temp}^2 / M_{Planck}$$

$$\Rightarrow E \approx k_B T_{Temp} \approx \hbar \cdot \omega_{signal} \quad (22)$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{signal} \approx \frac{k_B \cdot \sqrt{M_{Planck} H}}{\hbar \cdot \sqrt{1.66\sqrt{g_*}}}$$

Eq. (22) would imply an initial frequency dependence,. What we are doing next is to strategize as to understand the contribution of the cosmological constant in this sort of problem. I.e. the way to do it would be to analyze a Kieffer "dust solution" as a signal from the Wormhole.

$$\Delta\omega_{signal}\Delta t \approx 1 \quad (23)$$

If so then we can assume, that the time would be small enough so that

$$\Delta t \approx \frac{\hbar\sqrt{1.66\sqrt{g_*}}}{k_B \cdot \sqrt{M_{Planck} H}} \quad (24)$$

If Eq. (24) is of a value somewhat close to t , in terms of general initial time, we can write[17]

$$\psi_{\tilde{n},\lambda}(t,r) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{n}! \cdot (2\lambda)^{\tilde{n}+1/2}}{\sqrt{(2\tilde{n})!}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda+i\cdot t+i\cdot r)^{\tilde{n}+1}} - \frac{1}{(\lambda+i\cdot t-i\cdot r)^{\tilde{n}+1}} \right] \quad (25)$$

Here the time t would be proportional to Planck time, and r would be proportional to Planck length, whereas we set

$$\lambda \approx \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{V_{volume} \hbar^2 t^2}} \xrightarrow{G=\hbar\ell_{Planck}=k_B=1} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{t^2}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}}{t} \quad (26)$$

Then a preliminary emergent space-time wave function would take the form of

$$\psi_{\tilde{n},\lambda}(\Delta t, r) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{n}! \cdot (2 \cdot \sqrt{8\pi} \cdot (\Delta t)^{-1})^{\tilde{n}+1/2}}{\sqrt{(2\tilde{n})!}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{(\sqrt{8\pi} \cdot (\Delta t)^{-1} + i \cdot \Delta t + i \cdot r)^{\tilde{n}+1}} - \frac{1}{(\sqrt{8\pi} \cdot (\Delta t)^{-1} + i \cdot \Delta t - i \cdot r)^{\tilde{n}+1}} \right] \quad (27)$$

We would take the real part of the Equation (27) and call this as from [17]. This would be with the same frequency as in the Hartle Hawking's wavefunction, and would be for delta t approximately Planck time whereas r would be initially of Planck radius. Right at the mouth of a wormhole

VI. Briefly referring to the behavior of a tokamak, as far as simulating early universe Gravitational waves, and Gravitons

This is from [18], and we are assuming a Plasma fusion burning temperature of about 100 MeV

Then the power for the Tokamak is

$$P_{\Omega|_{Tokamak}} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/8} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}}{\mu_0 \cdot R^2 \cdot e_j \cdot R} \times \frac{(T_{Tokamak-temperature})^{9/4}}{(.87)^4} \quad (28)$$

Then, per second, the author derived the following rate of production per second of a $10^{-34} eV$ graviton, as, if $\tilde{\alpha} = R/3$

$$n|_{massive-gravitons/second} \propto \frac{3 \cdot \hbar \cdot e_j}{\mu_0 \cdot R^2 \cdot \xi^{1/8} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}} \times \frac{(T_{Tokamak-temperature})^{1/4}}{\lambda_{Graviton}^2 \cdot m_{graviton} \cdot c^2 \cdot (.87)^{5/4}} \sim 1 / \lambda_{Graviton}^2 \text{ scaling} \quad (29)$$

If there is a fixed mass for a massive graviton, the above means that as the wavelength decreases, that the number of gravitons produced between plasma burning temperatures of 30 to 100 KeV changes. See [19,20,21,22,23,34]

VII. Linkage to the Big bang. The Frequency would decrease as by 10^{-25} taking into account inflation and redshift which would mean incredibly high GW frequencies generated by the Tokamak

Further elaboration of this matter in the experimental detection of experimental data sets for massive gravity lies in the viability of the expression derived, $h \sim 10^{-27}$ for a GW detected 5 meters above a Tokamak, we would have, say,

$h_{2nd-term} \sim 10^{-26} - 10^{-27}$. We would have the situation in a tokamak as given in [18] that the strain value, h, would be modest, as given and would be sensitive to detection whereas we do not know yet as how to calculate the strain for GW emanating from a wormhole mouth. This is a detail which has to be completed.

VIII. Concluding a comparison between the Tokamak and the worm hole models\

As seen in Eq. (29) there would be a LOT more gravitons produced per second by the Tokamak, as of about the same small mass of gravitons in the same mass range.

Frequency of the worm hole and the Tokamak would be different, as the tiny wormhole mouth would not be in the center of the universe, with its down scaling of 10^{-25} or so, for Tokamak Frequencies in order to come across the frequencies found on Earth for the big bang. The redshift values for the worm hole would likely be only about 10^{-3} at most, for redshift values, whereas Eq. (22) would refer to GW generated by the wormhole. These wormhole frequencies would have to be red shifted down only about 10^{-3} instead of the enormous value as to how to have frequencies of a Tokamak scaled downward as to be a predictor – corrector for assumed frequencies of relic GW

For what is is worth this is the frequency scaling to keep in mind for the Tokamak

$$(1 + z_{\text{initial-era}}) \equiv \frac{a_{\text{today}}}{a_{\text{initial-era}}} \approx \left(\frac{\omega_{\text{Earth-orbit}}}{\omega_{\text{initial-era}}} \right)^{-1} \quad (30)$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + z_{\text{initial-era}}) \omega_{\text{Earth-orbit}} \approx 10^{25} \omega_{\text{Earth-orbit}} \approx \omega_{\text{initial-era}}$$

We would see at most only about a 10^{-3} scaling down of energy for the Wormholes, with black holes generated several per second as to what is stated in energy would still have to be considered along the lines of

$$\langle E \rangle_{\kappa=n, \lambda} = \frac{(\kappa = n) + 1/2}{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\lambda \approx 1/\hbar\omega} \hbar\omega \cdot ((\kappa = n) + 1/2) \quad (31)$$

What we can do, is to ascertain the last step would be to make a cosmology wavefunction in a sense partly related to the simple harmonic oscillator,. We would have to keep in mind Eq. (26) as well and keep in mind the redshift issues brought up

The references 25 – 34 before are meant to be informative issue related documents which could futher a comparison of the physics os the two situations

The tokamaks would NOT involve black holes. The worm hole would likely induce the production of black holes. However, in the early universe we would likely see the production of millions of micro black holes which would decay, after the initiation of the big bang.

References

1. J. Weber, "General Relativity and Gravitational Waves", Dover Publications, Incorporated, Mineola, New York, USA, 2004
2. Beckwith, A. (2021) Looking at Quantization of a Wave Function, from Weber (1961), to Signals from Wavefunctions at the Mouth of a Wormhole. *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, 7, 1037-1048
3. [Stephon Alexander, Gabriel Herczeg, Joao Magueijo, "A generalized Hartle-Hawking wavefunction"](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.08603)
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.08603> *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 2021, Volume 38, Number 9
4. J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking. *Wave Function of the Universe*. *Phys. Rev.*, D28:2960–2975, 1983. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.28.2960. [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol.3,174(1987)].
5. DeBenedictis, Andrew & Das, A. (2001). "On a General Class of Wormhole Geometries". *Classical and Quantum Gravity*. **18** (7):1187–

1204. [arXiv:gr-qc/0009072](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0009072). [Bibcode:2001CQGra..18.1187D](https://bibcode.org/2001CQGra..18.1187D). [CiteSeerX 10.1.1.339.8662](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/doi?doi=10.1.1.339.8662). [doi:10.1088/0264-9381/18/7/304](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/18/7/304). [S2CID 119107035](https://s2cid.com/119107035)
6. Sarkar, Utpal, "Particle and Astroparticle Physics", Taylor & Francis Group, New York City, New York, USA, 2008
 7. **De-Chang Dai , Dejan Stojkovic, "Observing a wormhole", <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1910.00429.pdf>** Phys. Rev. D **100**, 083513 – Published 10 October 2019
 8. **Sung-Won Kim and Hyunjoo Lee , " Exact solutions of charged wormhole" <https://arxiv.org/pdf/gr-qc/0102077.pdf>** Phys. Rev. D **63**, 064014 – Published 13 February 2001
 9. Matt Visser, "Lorentzian Wormholes" from Einstein to Hawking", AIP press , as done by Springer Verlag Press, 1996, New York City, New York, USA
 10. Nisha Godani, [Gauranga C. Samanta](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14209), "Traversable wormholes in $f(R)$ with constant and variable redshift functions", <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14209>,
New Astronomy, 80 (2020) 101399
 11. **Lawrence H. Ford and Thomas A. Roman**, "Negative Energy, Wormholes and Warp Drive", Scientific American [Vol. 282, No. 1 \(JANUARY 2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/30001a), pp. 46-53 (8 pages)
 12. Hideki Maeda , "Simple traversable wormholes violating energy conditions only near the Planck scale", <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.07052.pdf>
 13. **Garattini, R., and Lobo, Francisco, : Self Sustained Traversable Wormholes, " , pp 111 – 135, in Lobo, Francisco, Editor of " Wormholes, Warp Drives and Energy Conditions": Fundamental theories of Physics, 189 Springer Verlag, Cham, Switzerland 2016**
 14. Mohammadtaher Safarzadeh, "Primordial black holes as seeds of magnetic fields in the Universe", <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03800> , Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Volume 479, Issue 1, September 2018, Pages 315–318, <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1486>
 15. Ambuj Kumar Mishra, Umesh Kumar Sharma, "A new shape function for wormholes in $f(R)$ gravity and General Relativity" <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.00298>
 16. **Bernard Carr, Elizabeth Winstanley, and Xavier Calmet, "Quantum Black Holes", Springer Briefs in Physics, Springer- Nature, Cam, Switzerland, 2013**
 17. **Klaus Kieffer, "Quantum Gravity, 3rd edition", Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 2012**
 18. Beckwith, A.W. (2017) Part 2: Review of Tokamak Physics as a Way to Construct a Device Optimal for Graviton Detection and Generation within a Confined Small Spatial Volume, as Opposed to Dyson's "Infinite Astrophysical Volume" Calculations. Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology, 3, 138-155. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jhepgc.2017.31015>
 19. J. Wesson; "Tokamaks", 4th edition, 2011 Oxford Science Publications, International Series of Monographs on Physics, Volume 149
 20. F.Y. Li et al., Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064013 (2009), [arxiv re-qc/0909.4118](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4118) (2009)
 21. R.C. Woods et al, Journal of Modern physics 2, number 6, starting at page 498, (2011)
 22. J. Li, et al, " A Long Pulse high Confinement Plasma regime in the experimental Advanced Super conducting Tokamak", Nature Physics (2013), doi 10.1038/nphys 2795, Published November 17, 2013

-
23. H. Wen, F. Li, and Z. Fang "Electromagnetic response produced by interaction of high-frequency gravitational waves from braneworld with galactic-extragalactic magnetic fields" *PR D* **89**, 104025 , Published May 14, 2014
 24. Clifford M. Will," **Bounding the mass of the graviton using gravitational-wave observations of inspiralling compact binaries**", *Physical Review D*. **57** (4): 2061–2068. [arXiv:gr-qc/9709011](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9709011).
 25. Ganim Gecim and Yusuf Sucu, "Quantum Gravity Correction to Hawking Radiation of the n -Dimensional Wormhole", *Advances in High Energy Physics*, vol. 2020, Article ID 7516789, 10 pages, 2020. <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ahep/2020/7516789/>
 26. Frederik Holdt-Sørensen , David A. McGady, and Nico Wintergerst, "Black hole evaporation and semiclassicality at large D ", *PHYSICAL REVIEW D* **102**, 026016 (2020), <https://journals.aps.org/prd/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.026016>
 27. S. Carlip, "Black Hole Thermodynamics", <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1410.1486.pdf>
 28. M. Maggiore, **The physical interpretation of the spectrum of black hole quasinormal modes**, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, (2008), 141301, arXiv:0711.3145.
 29. Fang-Yu Li, Hao Wen, Zhen-Yun Fang, Di Li, Ton-Jie Zhang," Electromagnetic counterparts of high -frequency Gravitational waves having additional polarization states; distinguishing and probing tensor-mode, vector-mode, and scalar-mode gravitons" *Eur. Phys. J. C* (2020) **80**:879, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.00766>
 30. B.J. Carr, "Primordial Black Holes-Recent developments" <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0504034>
 31. Sokolov, A.V., Pshirkov, M.S. Possibility of hypothetical stable micro black hole production at future 100 TeV collider. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 908 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5464-7>
 32. Andrew Beckwith," **Bounds upon Graviton Mass – using the difference between graviton propagation speed and HFGW transit speed to observe post-Newtonian corrections to gravitational potential fields**",
<https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.302.8755&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
 33. Bernard Carr, Elizabeth Winstanley, and Xavier Calmet, "Quantum Black Holes", Springer Briefs in Physics, Springer- Nature, Cam, Switzerland, 2013
 34. Pisen Chen, "Resonant Photon-Graviton Conversion, 'From Earth To heaven', SLAC PUB 6666 September 1994 (T/E/A); <https://www.slac.stanford.edu/pubs/slacpubs/6500/slac-pub-6666.pdf>