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Abstract

The 3D Euler equations of incompressible inviscid fluid mechanics develop singularities on timescales on the order of vortex rotations. Solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible viscous flow are smooth for all time, but they bifurcate and are not unique [6-8].

The 3D Navier-Stokes equations have an inviscid attractor that exhibits inviscid turbulence and dissipation ref.[1-5, 10]. The simplest solenoid vortex singularity is the vorton ref.[1-5]. Vortex tubes may be represented as superposition of vortons ref.[1-5]. Magnetic vorton tubes reconnect ref.[3-5,14].

The instability of vorton collapse in 3D and quasi 3D ref.[3-5] may explain the dimensionality of physical space, the dynamics of galaxies in the Universe, dark matter, and dark energy ref.[12, 15].

SECTION 1: Navier-Stokes solutions are described by vorton dynamics

The bifurcation and branching of Navier-Stokes solutions ref.[6-8] can be interpreted as inviscid vorton reconnections. Therefore vortons probably describe dynamics on the Navier-Stokes attractor[9]. From a physical point of view vortons resolve the entire 3D vorticity field, which is superposition of 3D vortex tubes and is the only important aspect for 3D fully developed turbulence dynamics description.

We know from analysis ref.[10] that the limit of a smooth sequence of functions may not be smooth. So even if Navier-Stokes solutions are all smooth, their inviscid limit could be singular. These singular inviscid solutions describe the bifurcation of viscous solutions and finite dissipation rates.

Rudin’s math analysis book ref.[10] says that limit of smooth sequence could be singular. So even if NS solutions are smooth their limit/attractor could be singular/inviscid.

SECTION 2: Vortex singularities and inviscid energy dissipation

Singular vortex dipoles, i.e. vortons, interact according to formulas ref.[1-5]. As a consequence their amplitudes may self-amplify, a reflection of vortex stretching in three dimensions.

The interaction energy of vortons is given by formula () ref.[1-5]. The self-energy of a single vorton is infinite, therefore it is not included in that formula. Due to the three dimensional stretching of vortons and self amplification, the interaction energy is not conserved. We may imagine that it goes into or comes out of the infinite self-energy of individual vortons ref.[1-5].

In the real world this corresponds to the fact that internal rotational degrees of freedom of 3D vortices are not resolved in the self-energy formula and interaction energy formula. The stretching energy of vortex interactions may go into or out of unresolved rotational motions. Physically energy is dissipated at small scales by viscosity, but the precise amount is determined by inviscid dynamics.

The same phenomenon occurs in shock waves in compressible fluids, and also in magnetic field reconnection in the solar atmosphere. Dissipation provides the mechanism, but inviscid dynamics governs the behavior while viscosity, diffusivity, and resistivity play mop-up roles ref.[18].
We performed numerical experiments with two vorton rings executing a “leapfrogging” cycle. The rings always self-destructed after 5 periods, regardless of the number of vortons in the rings.

Multiple reconnections then happened, with small rings appearing. During the destruction of the original rings we observed a negative spike in the vorton interaction energy, and a Kolmogorov-like energy power spectrum developed. This agrees with the physical phenomena of energy transfer to small scales and inviscid dissipation of vorton interaction energy.

Recent experiments on colliding vortex rings show strikingly similar behavior, with large numbers of vortex reconnections and the appearance of multiple small rings ref.[19].

SECTION 3: 3D Navier-Stokes attractor dimension

A chain of vortons can approximate a vortex tube with a finite core size that is roughly equal to the distance between vortons ref.[1-5], see figure 5 for a ring with 80 vortons. This observation allows us to estimate the dimension of the attractor of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations in fully-developed turbulence.

We start by assuming that the vorticity consists of one-dimensional tubes whose core size is the Kolmogorov microscale Re^{-3/4} ref.[1,13] and a distance between neighbour vortons in a tube. Therefore the number of vortons needed to resolve such tubes is on the order of Re^{3/4}. We deduce that the dimension of the Navier-Stokes attractor is Re^{3/4}, which is the cube root of the usual Kolmogorov estimate of Re^{9/4} ref.[1,13] based on resolving the 3D velocity field.

SECTION 4: Magnetic vortons

The vorticity field of a vorton is identical in structure to the magnetic field of a magnetic dipole. In fact, in a turbulent plasma every vorton becomes magnetic due to the rotation of electrically charged plasma.

Also, the magnetic amplitude and the vorticity amplitude will remain in a constant ratio, as they are stretched by the same fluid strain field. Formulas (1) and (1) describes the evolution of the magnetic amplitude and their dipole-dipole interaction; note that there is no self-amplification since the Maxwell equations are linear ref.[14].

In section (3) we saw that chains of vortons provide a description of finite-core vortex tubes. Similarly, chains of magnetic dipoles provide a description of finite core magnetic flux tubes, as may be observed in the Sun or in nuclear fusion experiments. Inviscid dissipation associated with reconnection of magnetic vorton tubes may be the energy source for the very high temperatures on the surface of the Sun.

Our vorton horseshoe numerical experiments show reconnection and the expulsion of vortex rings, see fig. [1,4,5]. These resemble the magnetic vortices that produce magnetic storms on Earth and disrupts magnetic confinement in plasma fusion experiments ref.[16,17].

SECTION 5: Vortons in cosmology, instability of vorton collapse, 2D+ universe and galaxy dynamics, dark matter.
As we saw in articles [3-5] the system of 3 slightly non-parallel vortons almost perpendicular to 3 vorton plane can start to collapse toward a point. Just before that collapse, however, vorton self-amplification commences and leads to explosive growth. This could be a “turbulence” mechanism for the Big Bang initial stage of the Universe [15].

More precisely, imagine that the Universe starts as a point singularity fluctuation, and becomes a 2D or 3D turbulent fluid with gravity. In the 2D case gravity will collapse the Universe back to a singularity. However in 3D or quasi-3D the vorton amplitudes and distances increase exponentially, see figure () in [5]. This may explain why our world is 3 dimensional!

A quasi-3D frisbee-like world has 2 fully-developed dimensions and 1 short dimension; it might better be described as 2D+ or “frisbee-like”. Vorton collapse followed by self-amplification can also occur in 2D+. However in 2D+ gravitational force decays proportionally to 1/r instead of 1/r^2 as in 3D.

A universe with two-plus dimensional structure could provide an alternative to “dark matter” as an explanation for anomalous rotation curves in galaxies. It has been observed in the edges of frisbee-like galaxies that star velocities do not depend on distance from the center. Explicitly, setting centripetal acceleration v^2/r equal to gravitational acceleration (constant)*M/r, we deduce that v must be constant in such a situation. This is a necessary condition for galactic disk stability ref.[12].

**SECTION 6: Dark energy and the expansion of the Universe**

As we saw in the previous chapter, the instability of 3D vorton collapse leads to self-amplification and explosive expansion of vorton amplitudes ref.[1-5]. In 2+D frisbee-like space the Universe may be slightly less complex, and have slightly more chances to fluctuate from the original singularity than a fully 3D Universe.

An interesting fact is that until 5 billion years ago the Universe’s expansion was decelerating, but since then the expansion has accelerated ref.[15]. There is an antagonism between gravity pushing toward contraction and turbulent 3D vorticity interaction pushing toward expansion.

More precisely, the gravitational field is based on monopoles leading to a 1/r^2 strength falloff. Meanwhile vorticity is a dipole or quadrupole field with falloff proportional to 1/r^3 or faster. So in the early Universe gravity dominated vorticity interactions. But later vorticity self-amplification due to 3D stretching led to dominance of the vorticity interactions and accelerated the Universe’s expansion, with gravity having no self amplification mechanism due to linearity of corresponding equations.

The energy for vorticity interaction comes from the infinite self-energy of vortons being converted into vorton interaction energy. That is, vorton self-energy pushes the Universe to accelerated expansion. This observation is attributed to a mysterious “Dark Energy”, which may simply be a manifestation of vorton self and interaction energy.

In section 1 we interpreted the infinite self-energy as belonging to unresolved internal rotational degrees of freedom of individual vortons. So the dark energy responsible for the accelerating Universe expansion is simply the transfer of internal rotational energy into interaction energy of the system of vortons.

Gravity also by squeezing vorticity closer intensifies vortex interaction and stretching, self amplification and thus energy of interaction.
Energy is conserved. As the vorton interaction energy, and thus dark energy, increases, we expect a corresponding decrease in gravitational interaction energy.

In the 3D case the gravitational potential energy of universe is \(-\text{(const)} \cdot (M^2)/r\), while in the 2D it is \((\text{const}) \cdot (M^2) \cdot \ln(r)\), where \(M\) is universe mass and \(r\) is its radius ref.[20] and constants obviously are not the same. In the intermediate 2+D case we may be closer to the 2D or 3D formula depending on how much the third short dimension is developed compared to the two fully developed dimensions.

We suspect that the short dimension became more fully developed 5B years ago when the Universe expansion changed from decelerating to accelerating. The gravitational energy released from expansion regime change went into the interaction energy of vortexes/vortons associated with dark energy. The corresponding potential energy formula then became closer to the 3D case. Also in fully 3D case as compared to 2+D case we have much stronger vorticity stretching with corresponding vorticity intensity self amplification and with corresponding vortexes interaction energy increase.

SECTION 7 Simulation of vortex structures dynamics and no slip boundary layer[1,4,5]

In this section we show how vorton simulations reproduce vortex structures typically observed in experiments fig.(1-20).

We performed numerical experiments with two vorton rings executing a “leapfrogging” cycle. The rings always self-destructed after 5 periods, regardless of the number of vortons in the rings.

Multiple reconnections then happened, with small rings appearing. During the destruction of the original rings we observed a negative spike in the vorton interaction energy, and a Kolmogorov-like power spectrum developed. This agrees with the physical phenomena of energy transfer to small scales and inviscid dissipation of vorton interaction energy ref.(2-4).

Recent experiments on colliding vortex rings show strikingly similar behavior ref.[19], with large numbers of vortex reconnections and the appearance of multiple small rings ref.[19].

In wall-bounded flows vorticity is created via no-slip boundary conditions. We represent this process by placing arrays of vorton tubes at a distance from the wall equal to the tube core size and perpendicular to velocity. The numerical scheme continues to generate vorticity at the wall to keep enforcing the no-slip condition. The numerical experiments show that perturbations on the tubes then expel vortex rings into the flow away from the wall Fig.(5,6).

Using modern fast multipole numerical schemes to simulate vortex dynamics we can come up with number of necessary calculations for boundary layer simulation where it typically number of operations \(=n \cdot \ln(n) = (Re^{3/4}) \cdot \ln(Re)\), when in our case number of vortices is \(Re^{3/4}\) ref.[11].

SECTION 9: CONCLUSIONS

Using “vortons”, i.e. discrete solenoid vortex dipole elements, helps elucidate many aspects of the 3D Navier Stokes equations and their solutions. Vortons most economically describe the physics of 3D vortex and magnetic vortex structures. Vorton interactions in 3D and quasi 3D turbulence explain aspects of the origin of the Universe and phenomena attributed to dark matter and dark energy. Magnetic vortons describe dynamics of combined vortex and magnetic tubes in plasma including reconnections. Plus in the process of self distraction of 2 leapfrogging vortex rings and subsequent reconnections we observed power law energy spectrum and energy cascade towards small scales.
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Equations:

\[
\eta_i^{(a)}(t,x) = \frac{-\epsilon_{ijk}(x_j-x_j^{(a)})\eta_k^{(a)}}{4\pi|x-x^{(a)}|} \tag{1}
\]

Velocity field generated by individual vorton.

\[
\Omega_i^{(a)}(t,x) = \eta_i^{(a)}\delta(r^{(a)}) + (4\pi)^{-1}\left(3r_{k}^{(a)}\eta_k^{(a)} - |r^{(a)}|^2\eta_i^{(a)}\right)|r^{(a)}|^{-5} \tag{2}
\]

Vorticity field of individual vorton. See solenoid dipole field picture fig.(19).

\[
y_j^{(a)} = \frac{\epsilon_{ijk}}{4\pi} \sum_{\beta} \left(r_{\alpha\beta}^{-3}y_j^{(a)} - 3r_{\alpha\beta}^{-5}r_j^{(a\beta)}r_{m}\eta_m^{(a)}\right)y_k^{(\beta)} \tag{3}
\]

\[
r_i^{(a\beta)} = x_i^{(a)} - x_i^{(\beta)}, \quad r_{\alpha\beta} = \|r^{(a\beta)}\|.
\]

Vorton intensity change due to interaction with other vortons.

\[
\dot{x}_i^{(a)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\epsilon_{ijk}\sum_{\beta=1}^{N} r_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}n_j^{(a\beta)}y_k^{(\beta)}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
r_i^{(a\beta)} = x_i^{(a)} - x_i^{(\beta)}, \quad r_{\alpha\beta} = \|r^{(a\beta)}\|, \quad n_i^{(a\beta)} = \frac{1}{r_i^{(a\beta)}}r_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}
\]

Vorton position change due to interaction with other vortons.

\[
E_{int}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{a\beta} \left[\varphi_1(kr_{a\beta})\eta_i^{(a)}y_j^{(\beta)} + \varphi_2(kr_{a\beta})\eta_i^{(a\beta)}n_j^{(a\beta)}\eta_j^{(\beta)}\right], \tag{5}
\]

\[
\varphi_1(z) = z^{-3}\left[(z^2 - 1)\sin z + z\cos z\right], \quad \varphi_2(z) = z^{-3}[3(z^2 - 1)\sin z - 3z\cos z]
\]

Vortons interaction energy as a function of wave number ref.(2-5).
\[ \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{\alpha<\beta} \frac{1}{r_{\alpha\beta}^3} \left( \mathbf{v}_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i^{(\beta)} + \mathbf{v}_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot n_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot n_i^{(\beta)} \right) \] (6)

Interaction energy of vortons ref.(2-5).

Formula for force \( F \) between 2 magnetic dipoles \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) ref(14).

\[ F = \frac{3\mu_0}{4\pi|\mathbf{r}|^4} \left\{ (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{m}_1) \times \mathbf{m}_2 + (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{m}_2) \times \mathbf{m}_1 - 2\hat{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \mathbf{m}_2) + 5\hat{\mathbf{r}}[(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times m_1) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times m_2)] \right\} \] (7)
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FIGURES:
Perturbed horseshoe vortex and it expelling vortex ring ref.(3-5).

Unperturbed horseshoe vortex ring and it expelling vortex ring ref.(3-5).
Perturbed vortex tube near the wall and it expelling vortex ring ref.(3-5).

Crow instability of vortex tubes behind airplanes Ref. (3-5). Antiparallel perturbed vortex tubes reconnect into vortex rings.
Two parallel moving vortex rings merging and splitting in perpendicular direction ref.(3-5).
Eliptic vorton ring splits into 2 rings in perpendicular direction ref.(3-5).

Vortex ring approaches boundary under 45 degrees angle and turns into horseshoe vortex ref.(3-5).
Vortex tube clearly seen in a vorton ring. With vorticity cancelling out beyond it ref.(3-5).

Field of solenoid dipole. Vortex and magnetic dipole ref.(14).

Vortex tube clearly seen in a vorton ring. With vorticity cancelling out beyond it ref.(3-5).
Non zero vorticity around radius of the ring ref.(3-5).

Energy spectrum of two leapfrogging vortex rings originally and at the moment of self
distraction. Slope in log-log graph around -1.7. Plus negative spike in time derivative of
interaction energy during distraction of the rings ref.(2,3,5).

Fig.20.

Jump in vorticity during 3 vorton collapse, log vorticity
intensity against time ref.(2,3).

Fig.21.
SECTION 8: Appendix: Elementary particles as generalized solutions

The wave functions of elementary particles are often interpreted as probability amplitudes. When they take the form of Gaussian distributions for isolated particle, they look very much like test functions for generalized solutions of unknown nonlinear equations.

The strong interactions between protons and neutrons could be interpreted as reconnections of strings in some exotic space. William Thompson (Lord Kelvin) conjectured that fluid mechanics could provide examples for all other branches of mathematical physics.