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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a quantum evidential reasoning rule in the framework of generalized quantum evidence theory.
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## 1. Introduction

We develop a quantum evidential reasoning method in the framework of generalized quantum evidence theory[1] inspired by $[2,3]$. A generalized quantum mass function $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{h}}$ can be profiled by a quantum belief distribution (QBD), defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{h}}=\left\{\left(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{\theta, h}\right), \forall \theta \subseteq \Theta, \sum_{\theta \subseteq \Theta}\left|\mathbb{P}_{\theta, h}\right|^{2}=1\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{\theta, h}$ is a complex number.

[^0]Then, the generalized weighted quantum belief degree (WQBD) is defined as

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, h}}=\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{h}}(\theta)= \begin{cases}0 & \theta=\emptyset  \tag{2}\\ w_{h} \mathbb{P}_{\theta, h} & \theta \subseteq \Theta, \theta \neq \emptyset \\ 1-w_{h} & \theta=P(\Theta)\end{cases}
$$

where $w_{h}$ is the weight of generalized quantum mass function $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{h}}$.

After the WQBDs are calculated, the recursive combination rule of WQBDs is defined as follows:

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, e(h)}}=\left[\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{h}}\right](\theta)= \begin{cases}0 & \theta=\emptyset  \tag{3}\\ \frac{\left|\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, e(i)}}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{D \subseteq \Theta}\left|\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{M}_{D, e(i)}}\right|^{2}+\left|\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{M}_{P(\Theta), e(i)}}\right|^{2}} & \theta \neq \emptyset\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, e(i)}}=\left[\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{P(\theta), i}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, e(i-1)}}+\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{P(\theta), e(i-1)}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, i}}\right]+\sum_{B \cap C=\theta} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{B, e(i-1)}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{C, i}}, \quad \forall \theta \subseteq \Theta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{M}_{P(\Theta), e(i)}}=\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{P(\theta), i}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{M}_{P(\Theta), e(i-1)}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the generalized QER rule is represented as

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\theta}=\mathbb{P}_{\theta, e(L)}= \begin{cases}0 & \theta=\emptyset  \tag{6}\\ \frac{\left|\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{M}_{\theta, e(L)}}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{B \subseteq \Theta}\left|\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{B, e(L)}\right|^{2}} & \theta \neq \emptyset\end{cases}
$$

where $L$ is the length of quantum evidence set for combination.
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