# Clarifying an Early Step in Hardy's Transcendence of $\pi$ Proof

**Timothy Jones** 

June 21, 2024

#### Abstract

We clarify and strengthen Hardy's footnote proof of an essential step in his proof of the transcendence of  $\pi$ . We show that ri is algebraic if and only if r is algebraic.

#### Introduction

On page 223 Hardy gives a proof that  $\pi$  is transcendental [1]. His proof shows that  $\pi i$  does not solve a integer polynomial, but technically this isn't showing  $\pi$  doesn't so solve an integer polynomial. He needs to show that the one implies the other. Here is his one line proof.

If  $a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$  and y = xi then

$$a_0y^n - a_2y^{n-2} + \dots + i(a_1y^{n-1} - a_3y^{n-3} + \dots) = 0$$

and so

$$(a_0y^n - a_2y^{n-2} + \dots)^2 + (a_1y^{n-1} - a_3y^{n-3} + \dots)^2 = 0.$$

This is very condensed and presupposes that  $n \equiv 0 \mod(4)$  which he doesn't stipulate. As just about all proofs of  $\pi$ 's transcendence require this step, we wish to remove this potential stumbling block.

### The Idea

The idea is easily demonstrated. Consider  $f(x) = a_0 x^4 + a_1 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^1 + a_4 x^0$ and suppose f(r) = 0. We can find a new set of coefficients of the same ilk as  $a_i$  such that if g(x) has this set and g(ri) = 0. This can be done as  $i^k \in$  $\{i^0, i^1, i^2, i^3\} = \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ . These powers of *i* correspond to classes from modulo 4 (remainders on division by 4) and any natural number power (our exponents) is in one of these classes. So  $a_0 x^4$  with x = ri is the same;  $a_1 x^3$  with riis  $a_1 r^3 i^3$  and this is  $i(-a_1)r^3$ . If we multiply this by *i* we get back to our original  $a_1 r^3$ . Next  $a_2 r^2 i^2 = -a_2 r^2$  and if we multiply this by -1, we get back to the original. Next,  $a_1 ri$  is the original times *i*. The constant is easy. So

$$g(ri) = a_0(ri)^4 - a_2(ri)^2 + a_0(ri)^0 + i(a_1(ri)^3 - a_3(ri)) = f(r) = 0.$$

We are almost there. The multiply of i in the odd powers sum makes the coefficients pure imaginary numbers, a no-no. But if a complex number is 0 then its absolute value is zero and

$$|g(x)| = (a_0(x)^4 - a_2(x)^2 + a_0(x)^0)^2 + (a_1(ri)^3 - a_3(ri))^2$$

is a polynomial with coefficients very much like our original f(x). This g(x) is such that g(ri) = 0, as needed.

Looking back at Hardy's proof(?), you see what he is up to and also how he really does have to assume his n is divisible by 4. Can we tighten the idea up to a real proof without this assumption. Next.

### **The Proof**

**Theorem 1.** A number *ri* is an algebraic number if and only if *r* is an algebraic number.

**Proof.** Given any n degree polynomial p(x), each term will be of the form  $T_j(x) = a_j x^{n-j}$ . The degree of each term will be in one of the four modulo 4 classes: [0], [1], [2] or [3]. With one of multiply  $m \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}, T_j(xi) = mT_j(x)$ . Using these terms form New(x) = E(x) + iO(x) where E are alternating evens and O are alternating odds. If either p(ri) or New(r) are zero the other will be too and |new(x)| is a polynomial with integer coefficients if p(x) is.

# Conclusion

There are places in Hardy's classic where he has an untoward step like this one. He leaves a lot to the reader. If the reader is steeped in techniques and can accept his word that a laborsome proof can be given, then all is well. But a novice reader might become forlorn at such fair. I hope this article helps such.

## References

[1] G.H. Hardy and E.M.Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 6th ed., Oxford 2008.