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Abstract
This paper investigates the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function using polar coordinates. By transforming the complex plane into a polar coordinate system, we provide a geometric perspective on the distribution of non-trivial zeros. We focus on the key formula:

$$\zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} e^{i \arctan(2t)} \right) = 0$$

This formula reveals the distribution pattern of non-trivial zeros and supports the hypothesis that $\sigma$ must be $\frac{1}{2}$ and is a constant.

1 Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis, proposed by Bernhard Riemann in 1859, asserts that all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ have a real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. Formally, for any complex number $s = \sigma + it$ where $\zeta(s) = 0$, the hypothesis states that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

Understanding the distribution of these non-trivial zeros is crucial as it has significant implications for number theory, particularly in the distribution
of prime numbers [2]. Despite extensive numerical evidence supporting the hypothesis, a rigorous proof remains elusive. This paper aims to prove that $\sigma$ is a constant and must be $\frac{1}{2}$ using geometric analysis in a polar coordinate framework.

2 Key Formula and Transformation to Polar Coordinates

2.1 Transformation to Polar Coordinates

The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ for a complex number $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 1$ is defined as:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma+it}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

This series converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$ and can be analytically continued to other values of $s$ except $s = 1$.

The functional equation for the zeta function is:

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin(\frac{\pi s}{2}) \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$$

This equation reveals the symmetry of the zeta function about the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

To transform $s = \sigma + it$ to polar coordinates, we set:

$$s = re^{i\theta} \quad \text{where} \quad r = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2} \quad \theta = \arctan\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)$$

2.2 Zeta Function in Polar Coordinates

Expressing the zeta function in polar form:

$$\zeta(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{re^{i\theta}}}$$

Using Euler’s formula $e^{ix} = \cos(x) + i \sin(x)$, we rewrite $n^{re^{i\theta}}$ as:

$$n^{re^{i\theta}} = e^{r(\cos(\theta)+i\sin(\theta))} \log n = e^{r \cos(\theta)} \log n \cdot e^{ir \sin(\theta) \log n}$$
For $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ for all non-trivial zeros (assuming the hypothesis):

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2}$$

$$\theta = \arctan(2t)$$

Thus, we have:

$$n^{re^{i\theta}} = n^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{it\log n}$$

and the zeta function for all non-trivial zeros ($\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$) becomes:

$$\zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} e^{i\arctan(2t)} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-it\log n} = 0$$

This transformation simplifies the expression of the zeta function and provides a unified formula for all non-trivial zeros under the assumption that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

3 Proof by Contradiction

To prove that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ is a constant, we use proof by contradiction.

1. **Assumption**: Assume $\sigma$ is a variable rather than a constant. This implies that $\sigma$ can take different values, such as $\sigma = \frac{1}{3}$, $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}$, etc.

2. **Contradiction**: If $\sigma$ is a variable, the formula $\zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} e^{i\arctan(2t)} \right) = 0$ will not hold consistently because different values of $\sigma$ will lead to different polar coordinate representations, as follows:

   - **Different $\sigma$ Values**: When $\sigma$ takes different values, the polar coordinates $r$ and $\theta$ will change. For example, for $\sigma = \frac{1}{3}$, we have:

     $$r = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + t^2}, \quad \theta = \arctan(3t)$$

   - **Inconsistent Formula**: Different polar coordinate representations mean that in the computation of the $\zeta$ function, the exponential term $n^{re^{i\theta}}$ will be different. Therefore, it is impossible to ensure that all non-trivial zeros satisfy the formula $\zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} e^{i\arctan(2t)} \right) = 0$. 

3
3. **Reductio ad Absurdum**: Since the assumption that $\sigma$ is a variable leads to a contradiction, we conclude that $\sigma$ must be a constant. Furthermore, it must be equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, we have proven that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ is a constant, and all non-trivial zeros satisfy $\zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) = 0$, which supports the Riemann Hypothesis.

4. Geometric Analysis and Proof

4.1 Geometric Setup

Consider the complex number $s = \sigma + it$ represented in polar coordinates as $P(r, \theta)$, where:
\[
\begin{align*}
  r &= \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2} \\
  \theta &= \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

Define:

- **Origin O**: The origin of the polar coordinate system $(0, 0)$.
- **Point P**: Represents the non-trivial zero $s = re^{i\theta}$ or $s = \sigma + it$.
- **Point L**: The projection of P onto the real axis, having coordinates $(\sigma, 0)$.

This setup forms the right-angled triangle $OLP$.

4.2 Applying the Pythagorean Theorem

In the right-angled triangle $OLP$:
\[
OP^2 = OL^2 + PL^2
\]

where:

- $OP = r$ is the hypotenuse.
- $OL = \sigma$ is the adjacent side.
- $PL = t$ is the opposite side.
From the Pythagorean theorem, we get:
\[ r^2 = \sigma^2 + t^2 \]

In polar coordinates:
\[ r = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2} \]
\[ \theta = \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right) \]

4.3 Case Analysis: \( \sigma \) as a Constant vs. Variable

4.3.1 \( \sigma \) as a Constant
Assume \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \):
\[ r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} \]
\[ \theta = \arctan(2t) \]

In this case, the point \( P \) representing the non-trivial zero of the zeta function moves vertically along the line \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) as \( t \) varies. The distribution of non-trivial zeros is thus constrained to this vertical line in the complex plane, supporting the Riemann Hypothesis. The value of \( t \) is determined by the condition:
\[ \zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2} e^{i \arctan(2t)} \right) = 0 \]
This means \( t \) must be such that \( \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) = 0 \).

4.3.2 \( \sigma \) as a Variable
Assume \( \sigma = f(t) \):
\[ r = \sqrt{f(t)^2 + t^2} \]
\[ \theta = \arctan \left( \frac{t}{f(t)} \right) \]

If \( \sigma \) is a variable that changes with \( t \), the distribution of zeros would no longer be along a straight vertical line. This would imply that the zeros of the zeta function are not confined to a single vertical line, which contradicts the observed empirical evidence that the non-trivial zeros have their real part \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) for the first 10 billion zeros \[4\].
4.4 Proof by Contradiction

Assume $\sigma$ is not a constant but a variable. This implies $\sigma$ can take different values, such as $\sigma = \frac{1}{3}$, $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}$ etc. Nevertheless, the distribution of the non-trivial zeros $P$ can only be categorized into the following cases:

1. **Vertical Movement**: If $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, meaning that $\sigma$ is a constant, then all non-trivial zeros $P$ are vertically distributed along the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

2. **Transverse Movement**: If $\sigma$ is a variable, then $P$ will form a transverse distribution (left and right movement) in the complex plane. For this scenario, $L$ would move along the real axis.

3. **Mixed Movement**: If $\sigma$ is a variable and has multiple occurrences of $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, $P$ will form both vertical and transverse distributions in the complex plane. For this scenario, $L$ would also move along the real axis.

Since $\sigma$ can either be a constant or a variable in:

$$r^2 = \sigma^2 + t^2$$

we can analyze the above types of movements to determine the nature of $\sigma$.

4.5 Analysis of Movement

The verification of the first ten trillion non-trivial zeros, all satisfying $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ [1], indicates that there are no special or exceptional points where $\sigma \neq \frac{1}{2}$ among the first ten trillion non-trivial zeros. This is consistent with the Pythagorean theorem:

$$r^2 = \sigma^2 + t^2$$

If we hypothesize that $\sigma$ is a variable, we can analyze the following scenarios:

1. **Vertical Distribution**: If $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, all non-trivial zeros must be vertically distributed along the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

2. **Transverse Distribution**: If $\sigma$ is a variable, all non-trivial zeros would be transversely distributed, which would be inconsistent with the distribution pattern of the first ten trillion zeros.
3. **Mixed Distribution**: If there are both \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \sigma \neq \frac{1}{2} \) non-trivial zeros, we would observe both vertical and transverse distributions. However, this has not been observed in the first ten trillion zeros.

Since all of the non-trivial zeros align with the Pythagorean theorem, the distribution of all non-trivial zeros must also align with the Pythagorean theorem. As the first ten trillion non-trivial zeros do not indicate \( \sigma \) is a variable, both Transverse Distribution and Mixed Distribution are not supported. This applies to all of the non-trivial zeros because the Pythagorean theorem is valid. It would be impossible that the first ten trillion non-trivial zeros are in the Vertical Distribution and further non-trivial zeros suddenly change. Because the distribution of all non-trivial zeros follows the Pythagorean theorem.

In other words, whether we have multiple \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) or no other possibility for \( \sigma \)'s value than \( \frac{1}{2} \), this means that \( \sigma \) is a constant. Alternatively, we could have only one \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) and many \( \sigma \) values other than \( \frac{1}{2} \), or we could have many repeating \( \sigma \) values equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \) and many repeating \( \sigma \) values as other values. This correlates with the Vertical, Transverse, and Mixed Movements respectively.

Based on the first ten trillion zeros and the Pythagorean theorem:

\[
r^2 = \sigma^2 + t^2,
\]

we can confirm that the following statements are false as no other zeros with values different than \( \frac{1}{2} \) can be found:

1. We have only one \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) and many \( \sigma \) values other than \( \frac{1}{2} \). [false]

2. We have many \( \sigma \) values as \( \frac{1}{2} \) and many \( \sigma \) values as other values. [false]

Therefore, \( \sigma \) must be a constant and it is \( \frac{1}{2} \). This can be applied to not only the first ten trillion zeros but all of the non-trivial zeros through the Pythagorean theorem, thus supporting the Riemann Hypothesis.

## 5 Conclusion

By representing the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function in polar coordinates and leveraging geometric analysis, we provide an alternative perspective on their distribution, supporting the hypothesis that \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \). The
geometric relationship $r^2 = \frac{1}{4} + t^2$ combined with the empirical evidence that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ for the known non-trivial zeros strongly suggests that $\sigma$ is a constant. Therefore, we conclude that $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and is a constant for all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function in this geometric perspective.
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The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is defined for complex numbers $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 1$ as:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma+it}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \Xi$$

This series converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$ and can be analytically continued to other values of $s$ (except $s = 1$).

The analytic continuation of the zeta function extends its domain to the entire complex plane, excluding $s = 1$. This continuation is essential for defining $\zeta(s)$ beyond the region where the original series converges.

Two key formulas used in analytic continuation are:

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s\pi^{s-1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi s}{2} \right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s) \Xi$$

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} \, dx$$

These integral representations converge for all $s$ in the complex plane except $s = 1$, preserving the analytic nature of $\zeta(s)$ in polar coordinates as well. The functional equation of the Riemann zeta function implies a symmetry about the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s\pi^{s-1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi s}{2} \right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s)$$

The Ξ function, defined as:

$$\Xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) \zeta(s)$$
satisfies the simpler functional equation:

$$\Xi(s) = \Xi(1 - s)$$

To express $$s$$ in polar coordinates, we write:

$$s = \sigma + it = re^{i\theta}$$

where:

$$r = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}$$

$$\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)$$

Given a complex number $$s = \sigma + it$$, we transform it into polar coordinates as follows:

$$s = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$$

where:

$$r = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}$$

$$\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)$$

The magnitude $$r$$ of the complex number $$s$$ in the traditional system is:

$$|s| = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}$$

In the polar coordinate system, the magnitude $$r$$ is defined as:

$$r = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}$$

Since the magnitude is preserved, we have:

$$|s| = r$$

The phase $$\theta$$ in the traditional system is:

$$\phi = \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)$$

In the polar coordinate system, the phase $$\theta$$ is:

$$\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)$$
Since the phase is preserved, we have:

\[ \phi = \theta \]

To show that \( s = \sigma + it \) is preserved in polar coordinates, we start with:

\[ s = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \]

Substitute \( r \) and \( \theta \):

\[ s = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2} \left( \cos \left( \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right) \right) + i \sin \left( \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right) \right) \right) \]

Using the trigonometric identities:

\[ \cos(\arctan(x)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}, \quad \sin(\arctan(x)) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} \]

Let \( x = \frac{t}{\sigma} \), then:

\[ \cos \left( \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right) \right) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}} \]

\[ \sin \left( \arctan \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right) \right) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}} \]

Substituting these back:

\[ s = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2} \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}} + i \frac{t}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}} \right) \]

Simplifying:

\[ s = \sigma + it \]

This confirms that the transformation preserves the representation \( s = \sigma + it \).

**A.2 Verification of Properties**

To verify that the zeta function’s properties are consistent in polar coordinates, we provide detailed steps:
1. Series Representation:

\[ \zeta(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{re^{i\theta}}} \]

2. Continuity and Differentiability: The transformation from Cartesian to polar coordinates is smooth, and \( \zeta(re^{i\theta}) \) inherits the continuity and differentiability of \( \zeta(s) \).

3. Functional Equation in Polar Form: The functional equation \( \zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi s}{2} \right) \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s) \) in polar coordinates becomes:

\[ \zeta(re^{i\theta}) = 2^{re^{i\theta}} \pi^{re^{i\theta}-1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi re^{i\theta}}{2} \right) \Gamma(1-re^{i\theta})\zeta(1-re^{i\theta}) \]

Given that the gamma function \( \Gamma(s) \) and the sine function \( \sin(s) \) are well-defined and analytic in the complex plane, the symmetry and analytic continuation properties hold in the polar form.

4. Symmetry: Using the \( \Xi \) function, which satisfies \( \Xi(s) = \Xi(1-s) \), we confirm that the symmetry about the critical line \( \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \) is maintained:

\[ \Xi(re^{i\theta}) = \Xi(1-re^{i\theta}) \]

B Appendix B: Verification and Analysis of Non-Trivial Zeros

B.1 Verification of Formula for Non-Trivial Zeros

To verify the formula \( \zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2 e^{i \arctan(2t)}} \right) = 0 \) for non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function, we selected 30 known non-trivial zeros with significantly different values and computed the zeta function values using the given formula.

The results are summarized in the following table:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (Imaginary part of zero)</th>
<th>$\Re(\zeta)$</th>
<th>$\Im(\zeta)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.1347251417347</td>
<td>$-1.61 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>$4.93 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0220396387716</td>
<td>$1.41 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$4.77 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0108575801457</td>
<td>$-4.07 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$1.50 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.4248761258595</td>
<td>$-2.80 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$-1.03 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.9350615877392</td>
<td>$-5.02 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$1.17 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5861781588256</td>
<td>$-3.73 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-1.26 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.9187190121475</td>
<td>$7.62 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$3.32 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.32703280914</td>
<td>$1.11 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>$-1.46 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.0051508811672</td>
<td>$3.72 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$2.82 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.7738324776723</td>
<td>$-3.64 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$6.71 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.9703214777145</td>
<td>$-6.67 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$9.52 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.4462476970634</td>
<td>$1.33 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$4.67 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.3470440026026</td>
<td>$1.57 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$3.08 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.8317785246098</td>
<td>$1.17 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-3.83 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.1125440480819</td>
<td>$4.63 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$4.89 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.0798105294942</td>
<td>$-5.28 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$3.99 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.5464017111739</td>
<td>$6.91 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-1.62 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.067157674819</td>
<td>$1.79 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-1.92 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.7046906990839</td>
<td>$-5.06 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-3.79 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.1448400688748</td>
<td>$8.12 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$-6.14 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.3373750202493</td>
<td>$1.27 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$-1.37 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.910380854086</td>
<td>$-5.32 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-5.85 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.7354929805171</td>
<td>$-5.97 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$9.47 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.4252746131252</td>
<td>$-1.32 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-5.74 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.8091112076345</td>
<td>$-2.34 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$5.16 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.4918992705583</td>
<td>$-3.63 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$-3.92 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94.6513440405198</td>
<td>$-6.37 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-1.07 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.8706342282453</td>
<td>$4.79 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-2.13 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.831942181937</td>
<td>$-1.89 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$8.13 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.317851005731</td>
<td>$-3.04 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$-1.19 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Verification of the formula for known non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function
B.2 Analysis

The values of $\zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2 e^{i\arctan(2t)}} \right)$ for the selected non-trivial zeros are extremely close to zero, with both real and imaginary parts being on the order of $10^{-13}$ or smaller. This strongly suggests that the given formula holds true for these zeros.

These results indicate that the formula $\zeta \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + t^2 e^{i\arctan(2t)}} \right) = 0$ accurately represents the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function for the tested cases, providing further support to the hypothesis that all non-trivial zeros lie on the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. 