

# The Photon Impact

Alaya Kouki

[alayakouki03@gmail.com](mailto:alayakouki03@gmail.com)

## Abstract

The impact of a photon hitting a surface is determined. Newton law of dynamics is demonstrated from thermodynamics considerations in which Planck oscillator is considered as a 4-space dimensions oscillator. Wave-corpucle duality is remodeled.

**Key words:** Photon impact, fundamental law of dynamics, Planck oscillator, absolute time, 5-dimensions Universe, wave-corpucle duality.

## 1-Introduction :

The “radiancy” of a black body is given by Cardoso & de Castro law as a generalized Stefan-Boltzmann law in  $D - Dimensional$  Universe [1]:

$$E_T = R_T = \sigma_D T^{D+1} \quad (1)$$

With  $\sigma_D = \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)^{D-1} (\sqrt{\pi})^{D-2} \frac{k^{D+1}}{h^D} D(D-1)\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)\zeta(D+1)$  : generalized Stefan-Boltzmann constant .

$D$  : spatial dimension of the Universe.

-For  $D = 3$  we have  $\sigma_3 = \sigma = \frac{2\pi^5 k^4}{15c^2 h^3}$  : Stefan-Boltzmann constant given in thermo dynamical analysis and proved by experience.

-For  $D = 4$

$$E = \frac{4\pi k^5}{c^3 h^4} T^5 \zeta(5)\Gamma(5) \approx \frac{120k^5}{c^3 h^4} T^5 \quad (2)$$

We can obtain (2) as:

$$E = \int_0^\infty \frac{4\pi v^2}{c^3} \frac{hv^2}{\exp\left(\frac{hv}{kT}\right)-1} dv \quad (3)$$

Or as:

$$E = \int_0^\infty \frac{8\pi v^2}{c^3} \frac{\frac{1}{2}hv^2}{\exp\left(\frac{hv}{kT}\right)-1} dv \quad (4)$$

But

$\frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1} d\nu$  is the number of oscillators per unit volume in the frequency interval  $\nu$  &  $\nu + d\nu$  in the three dimensional space.

Equation (2) is the density of power of the black body in [Watt. m<sup>-3</sup>].

What does it mean?:

Equation (3) mean that the mean power of an oscillator is:

$$W = \frac{h\nu^2}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1} \quad (5)$$

And so in a black body oven that at any time only 50% of Planck resonators radiate energy, the others (also 50% ) are absorbing energy. It is logic in an equilibrium state.

Equation (4) mean that all oscillators radiate energy & the mean power of an oscillator is  $\frac{\frac{1}{2}h\nu^2}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1}$ . We reject this description because the black body will explode by this manner and there is no equilibrium.

If we take in consideration Planck assumption that only  $\eta$  oscillators radiate energy in the frequency interval  $\nu$  &  $\nu + d\nu$  so we should have that[2]:

$$E = \int_0^\infty \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} \frac{h\nu^2}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)\right) d\nu = \frac{4\pi k^5}{c^3 h^4} T^5 \zeta(5) \Gamma(5)$$

And this leads us after replacing  $\frac{h\nu}{kT}$  by  $x$  to:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^4 \cdot e^{-x}}{e^x - 1} dx = 12\zeta(5) \text{ which is a wrong result.}$$

If now we consider Planck theory of heat radiation we have for the mean energy of the oscillator [3]:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \text{Constant}$$

But we have also that  $U = \frac{h\nu}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1}$  than we get:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{d(h\nu)}{dt} \cdot \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1} + h\nu \cdot \frac{-\frac{d(h\nu)}{dt} \cdot e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}}}{\left[\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)-1\right]^2}$$

And to be conform with Planck approximation ( $h\nu \ll kT$ ) we get:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} \approx \frac{d(h\nu)}{dt} \cdot \frac{kT}{h\nu} + h\nu \cdot \left(\frac{kT}{h\nu}\right)^2 \cdot \left(-\frac{d(h\nu)}{kT}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{h\nu}{kT}\right) = -\frac{d(h\nu)}{dt} = -\alpha_0 \quad (6)$$

$\alpha_0$  : is declared a new universal constant ( but Planck don't do this declaration).

## 2-The fundamental law of dynamics:

Planck oscillators are classic oscillators. We can conclude from equation (5) that the power radiated by a single oscillator is:

$$\delta = h \cdot \nu^2 \quad (7)$$

The energy absorbed by an oscillator is as a multiple integer of the quantity:

$$\varepsilon = h \cdot \nu \quad (8)$$

This energy is as:

$$\varepsilon = \int \delta \cdot dt \quad (9)$$

So:

$$d\varepsilon = \delta \cdot dt$$

Than:

$$dt = \frac{d\nu}{\nu^2} \quad (10)$$

By definition the power is the force scalar the speed of the corpuscle (we suppose that motion is in a straight line):

$$W = f \cdot v = h\nu^2$$

So the force acting on the corpuscle is:

$$f = \frac{1}{v} \cdot h\nu^2$$

Duality of wave-corpuscle implies that:

$$\frac{1}{v} = \frac{dk}{d\omega}$$

with  $v = v_g$  : the group speed of the packet of waves assimilated as a corpuscle;

$k$ : wave-vector of the packet of waves

$\omega = 2\pi\nu$  : the frequency of the packet of waves.

So:

$$f = h\nu^2 \cdot \frac{dk}{d\omega} = h\nu^2 \cdot \frac{dk}{2\pi d\nu} = \hbar\nu^2 \cdot \frac{dk}{d\nu} = \frac{d(\hbar k)}{dt}$$

With :  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$  : reduced Planck constant.

$\hbar k$  : have the dimension of a moment. So:

$$f = \frac{dp}{dt} \quad \text{with } p = mv : \text{ is the moment of the corpuscle.}$$

This relation is generalized as:

$$\mathbf{f} = m\boldsymbol{\gamma} \quad (11)$$

With:  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \frac{dv}{dt}$  the acceleration of the corpuscle

$m$  : the mass of the corpuscle.

Equation (11) is the fundamental law of dynamics or the Newton first law.

### 3.The photon impact:

For a packet of waves the force is :

$$f = h\nu^2 \cdot \frac{dk}{d\omega} = \frac{\hbar\omega^2}{4\pi^2} \cdot \frac{dk}{d\nu} = \frac{\hbar\omega^2}{2\pi^2} \cdot \frac{dk}{d\omega}$$

For a photon considered as a packet of waves we have:

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{dk}{d\omega} \quad \text{because } k = \frac{\omega}{c}$$

So the impact of a photon which hit a surface is:

$$f = \frac{\hbar\omega^2}{2\pi^2 c} \quad (12)$$

### 4.Universal time:

From equation (6) we can deduce that for an oscillator:

$$h\nu = \alpha_0 \tau \quad (13)$$

with:  $\tau$ : a characteristic time of the oscillator &  $d\tau = d\zeta$  when the energy of the oscillator is varying;

$\zeta$ : Universal time (the time  $\tau$  is the "position" of the oscillator in the axle of the absolute time ). It is like a fifth dimension of the oscillator.

Since the radiancy (2) of the black body have the dimension of  $Watt.m^{-3}$  than the Universe in which the black body exist have five dimensions (Do the analogy with Kurlbaum measurements: since the radiancy have the dimension of  $Watt.m^{-2}$  than the Universe in which the black body exist have three dimension).

The time  $t$  is relative .

Since we declare that the speed of light  $c$  is an universal constant than Lorentz transformations of space & time are applicable.

The Planck formulae  $\varepsilon = h\nu$  holds for classic dynamics and for relativist dynamics. In relativist dynamics the relation  $\hbar\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p}$  holds also with  $\mathbf{p} = \frac{m.v}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$  but the relation of

dynamics (11) doesn't hold because it is not invariant by Lorentz transformations. Which is invariant in relativist dynamics is the transformations of energy & moment. Energy of a

corpuscule in relativist mechanics is  $\varepsilon = \frac{m.c^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$ .

### 5.Wave-corpuscule duality:

Planck oscillator should have enough time to absorb energy from radiation . The Planck condition for this is that the frequency of the oscillator times time should be a great integer or in other manner:

$$\nu . t \gg 1 \quad (14)$$

A corpuscule should be considered as a pulse and not as a packet of waves because in the model of the last one waves are reinforced an destroy each other in many regions of space-time . The model of the pulse is limited in space-time and so the pulse should not spread so much in time. This condition implies that:

$$\nu . t < 1 \quad (15)$$

The condition satisfying the two models is :

$$\nu . t \approx 1 \quad (16)$$

Which means that:

$$dt = -\frac{dv}{v^2} \quad (17)$$

We take always  $dt$  positive and so we can omit the sign minus in (17).

**References:**

[1] Cardoso & de Castro “The blackbody radiation in D-dimensional Universes” arxiv 0510002v1 ,

<https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0510002>

[2] Max Planck “Theory of Heat Radiation” page 269,

<https://www.gutenberg.org/files/40030/40030-pdf.pdf>

[3] Max Planck “Theory of Heat Radiation” page 189,

<https://www.gutenberg.org/files/40030/40030-pdf.pdf>