# MOND as a transformation between non-inertial reference frames via Sciama's interpretation of Mach's Principle

Manuel Uruena Palomo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fundamental Physics, National University of Distance Education UNED, PS/ Senda del Rey nº 11, Madrid, 28040, Spain, ORCID: 0000-0002-2327-9193.

#### Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): muruena7@alumno.uned.es; muruep00@gmail.com;

#### Abstract

Milgrom's Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) correction to Newtonian gravity is shown to be equivalent to a more fundamental transformation between a non-inertial local reference frame and the fixed background of the observable universe, complying with Mach's principle. Both Newton's gravitational constant and Milgrom's MOND acceleration parameter or scale constant are substituted for the speed of light and two varying and measurable cosmological parameters under the justification of Schrödinger's and Sciama's interpretation of Mach's principle: causally connected mass and size of the universe. This Machian interpretation, free from fundamental constants and free parameters with the exception of the speed of light as the speed of causality and gravity, is based on relative field intensities of the small and large scale of the universe. The Machian MOND approximation is a necessary feature of a phenomenological theory of modified inertia which incorporates Mach's principle in agreement with galaxy rotation curves.

Keywords: machs principle; dark matter; MOND; modified Newtonian dynamics; modified gravity; gravitational constant; inertia

Date: October, 2024

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Modified Newtonian Dynamics

The solution to the problem of the perihelion precession of Mercury first involved various failed attempts proposing the unobserved existence of a new small planet Vulcan, an asteroid belt, or a gas cloud orbiting the Sun. However, the resolution to the problem came as a modification to the laws of gravity by General Relativity (GR). Similarly, the observation of higher rotational velocities in disks of galaxies than predicted by Newtonian gravity, as depicted in galaxy rotation curves, has driven further research into modifications to the laws of gravity. The discrepancies between observed luminance to gravitational mass ratio in galaxies and their missing Keplerian falloff on their velocity curves, together with faster radial velocities of galaxies in galaxy clusters than Newtonian predictions, among other evidences, are referred to as the "dark matter" problem [1-3]. Modifying gravity instead of proposing the existence of physical cold dark matter is supported by the Renzo's rule, the Tully-Fisher law, and the cuspy halo problem. These recent modifications to the classical laws of gravity are called Modified (Orbital) Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) hypotheses (or MilgrOmiaN Dynamics). The first and main MOND theory, Milgrom's MOND [4–6], can be thought as a modification to Newton's second law of motion, or to the inverse-square law of gravity.

The reason for the anomalous motion of Mercury was that Newtonian gravity is not a good enough approximation for strong gravitational fields, such as near the Sun, in which the equivalent Newtonian gravitational force in GR is, among other differences, slightly stronger than predicted by Newton. Milgrom's MOND attempts to explain the observed rotation curves of galaxies by modifying Newton's law of gravity for the case of weak or low gravitational field intensities such as orbiting stars in galactic disks away from galactic centers, so that true acceleration is  $g = GM/\mu\left(\frac{g}{a_0}\right)r^2$ , achieving on purpose a constant rotational speed  $v = \sqrt[4]{GMa_0}$  to explain their flatness (and unintentionally predicting the Tully-Fisher law being valid for all types of galaxies). The connection between the classical Newtonian regime and Milgrom's MOND low acceleration regime (at which the inverse-square law of gravity changes to a linear law) is determined by an "interpolating function"  $\mu(g/a_0) = 1/(1 + (a_0/g)^n)^{(1/n)}$ (with n = 1 for the simple and n = 2 for the standard interpolating function) based on the "true acceleration" g and a constant of acceleration  $a_0$  (or gravitational field intensity, also referred to as the Hubble acceleration or acceleration scale constant), which is a free parameter adjusted by data fitting and it is in principle considered a fundamental and universal constant in Milgrom's MOND. A fixed-distance or fixedmass (independent of the system under study) modification alone cannot account for the observed rotation velocities due to the Tully-Fisher law, i.e., it is not possible to simply set a constant of distance or a constant of mass to modify the laws of gravity to fit all rotation curves of galaxies (because some galaxies exhibit the effect of dark matter at small radius while others at large radius, and same happens with different galaxy masses), but by combining both mass and distance in Newtonian acceleration or gravitational field intensity, Milgrom's MOND achieves this limit where the effect of dark matter in most galaxies becomes relevant. Still, Milgrom's MOND, being insufficient to explain all dark matter related phenomena such as galaxy cluster dynamics, [7, 8] and being non-relativistic and not satisfying conservation laws, is an effective theory or approximation to a more fundamental modification to the theory of gravity.

The acceleration constant in Milgrom's MOND  $a_0 \approx 1.2 \cdot 10^{-10} \ m/s^2$ , was already related to the cosmological scale through the Hubble constant  $H_0$  (or the cosmological constant, respectively)  $a_0 \sim cH_0 \sim c^2 \sqrt{\Lambda}$  originally by Milgrom through the Hubble parameter and then through the cosmological constant [9], stating that this coincidence could point to a basic theory underlying MOND phenomenology. Milgrom stated that "an attractive possibility is that MOND results as a non-relativistic, small-scale expression of a fundamental theory by which inertia is a vestige of the interaction of a body with "the rest of the Universe", in the spirit of Mach's principle." [10], although he did not further develop his theory in relation to Mach's principle.

Progress in fundamental physics has been done by reducing the number of fundamental constants (such as Earth's and other planet's gravitational accelerations for a universal gravitational constant, the unification of the speed of light with vacuum permittivity and permeability, and atomic constants for Planck's constant). Therefore, it is of considerable interest to explore modifications of the laws of gravity without the need for more fundamental constants.

Various theories of modified gravity are based on Milgrom's MOND acceleration constant: John Moffat's MOG scalar-tensor-vector gravity approach to rotation curves of galaxies is based on two parameters, which are set to fit galactic Milgrom's MOND's acceleration constant [11], Erik's Verlinde's entropic gravity attempts to propose an underlying framework for Milgrom's MOND's acceleration constant [12], and Deur's self interacting gravitons model correction to Newtonian gravity contains a physical constant counterpart to Milgrom's MOND's acceleration constant in the form of  $a = \Lambda/a_0 = \sqrt{\Lambda}/c^2$  [13]. Others, such as quantized inertia, rely on another acceleration parameter in the form of  $a = c^2/R_u$  where  $R_u$  is the radius of the cosmological comoving horizon [14].

Proposals for modifying Newtonian gravity not based on a constant of acceleration (or other similar constants) do not agree with the observed Tully-Fisher law: Arrigo Finzi attempted to modify Newtonian gravity based on a fixed distance [15], and Kuhn and Kruglyak proposed to add to Newton's gravitational force the term GMm/r [16].

#### 1.2 Mach's Principle

Newtonian gravity and classical mechanics are founded on the principles of absolute space, absolute time, and absolute motion (including acceleration). These were originally opposed by Leibniz (and others, such as Berkeley in his 1721 *De Motu*, and Huygens) arguing that as observers, we can only epistemically access relative notions of space, time, and motion. Newton's justification of absolute space and motion is portraited in his rotating bucket of water experiment at the beginning of his 1687 masterpiece *Principia*, which can be summarized as follows: A bucket of water is set spinning around its axis and at first, the walls of the bucket rotate relative to the stationary water while the surface of the water remains flat as prior to the spinning. After the water starts to rotate as well, it rises towards the walls and its shape is no longer flat when the spinning bucket and water are at rest relative to each other. Interactions between water and walls (due to their relative velocities) cannot explain

the shape of the water, and Newton assumed this experiment could be used to measure rotation with respect to an absolute space, "without relation to anything external, which remains always similar and immovable".

The definition of absolute space, time and motion always suffers from circular reasoning. In Newtonian mechanics, absolute space is defined as the frame absent of inertial forces (also referred to as fictitious forces, which come from our choice of reference frame that is rotating in absolute space), but the absence of inertial forces is used to prove and identify the existence of absolute space in Newton's rotating bucket of water experiment. According to Newton's first law of motion, an object moves inertially if it is free from outside influences, but the fact that it is free of outside influences is inferred only by observing that it moves inertially. In Special Relativity, light travels at the same speed in all inertial frames and absolute acceleration is defined relative to inertial frames, but inertial frames are defined as frames absent of acceleration (accelerometers always measure acceleration with respect to a reference frame of calibration, which could be non-inertial). If a body is measured to have acceleration in one inertial frame, all other inertial frames will agree that it is accelerating.

Leibniz's relativistic space, time and motion ideas were further developed by Ernst Mach in his 1883 The Science of Mechanics (which inspired Einstein to develop GR, who originally coined the term "Mach's principle"), in which he criticizes Newton's conclusion of his bucket of water experiment stating that "No one is competent to say how the experiment would turn out if the sides of the vessel increased in thickness and mass till they were ultimately several leagues thick." [17]. According to Mach, the relative rotation of the water with respect to the bucket produces no noticeable centrifugal forces, and such forces are instead produced by its relative rotation with respect to Earth and the other celestial bodies (in this way, the relative motion between the several leagues thick bucket and the water could produce noticeable centrifugal forces in the water, and perhaps their non-relative motion could reduce these forces when both rotate with respect to the rest of the universe). In contrast to Newton, who attempted to explain the physical effects of inertia through a sort of resistance to motion within an unobservable absolute space with no physical properties, Mach conceived inertia as an interaction that required other external bodies to manifest. Newton did not suspect that the change in the water's shape could be due to rotation relative to the rest of the universe because his action at a distance gravitational force from his infinite and homogeneous universe acting on the water cancels out according to Newton's shell theorem and it is independent of velocity or acceleration. But no local phenomena can ever be isolated from the rest of the universe, which certainly reaches the water even if the sum of these forces is zero. According to Mach, and in opposition to Newton's conclusions, a spinning bucket of water in an empty universe would not change the water's shape (one could not detect relative motion in an empty universe, it would be undefinable), and if the rest of the universe was set spinning while the bucket was at rest, the water surface would curve, as both the spinning of the bucket or the spinning of the universe are indistinguishable systems without an absolute space.

Moreover, there exists an exact coincidence between the local measurement of the angular velocity of the Earth through Foucault's pendulum and the cosmological measurement through the apparent movement of distant stars and galaxies, which Newtonian gravity and mechanics cannot explain because it does not causally connect both measurements (and consider the determination of inertial frames by the fixed stars and Foucault pendulum a coincidence), but constitutes the basic idea of Mach's principle: "The universe, as represented by the average motion of distant galaxies, does not appear to rotate relative to local inertial frames." [18].

According to Mach, and known as Mach's principle, the inertia of a body is not an independent and intrinsic property of matter (unlike in Newtonian mechanics and GR, where a particle in an empty universe has inertial properties), but rather the result of the action of the universe as a whole. Mach suggested that the fixed background distribution of matter in the universe must exert the inertial forces on a local accelerating body. In this way, the reference system with respect to which the universe is at rest or in uniform and rectilinear motion is a true inertial reference system. In Ernst Mach words, "I have remained to the present day the only one who insists upon referring the law of inertia to the Earth, and in the case of motions of great spatial and temporal extent to the fixed stars." [17]. Hence, inertial frames should be defined with respect to this rest frame, and local physical laws must be determined by the large-scale structure of the universe.

A frame linearly accelerated relative to an inertial frame in the Minkowskian spacetime of Special Relativity is locally identical to a frame at rest in a gravitational field. Einstein's equivalence principle assumes that inertial and gravitational masses are equal, and the metric tensor in GR determines the inertial mass of a body. Gravity is the only long distance force that cannot be screened. Moreover, there always exists a reference frame in which inertial forces vanish, just like for the case of gravitational forces in free fall. These equivalences indicate that both inertial and gravitational forces are of the same nature. Following Mach, in any two-body interaction, the influence of all other matter inside their causal spacetime should be taken into account. Inertia is thus a form of gravitational induction, appearing when a body is accelerated with respect to the rest of the universe, subjected to retarded action. It seems that to describe, for example, the Earth's motion around the Sun, only local masses and distances are required, but the Machian perspective implies that the universe's action is already taken into account in the Newtonian laws. The only way this can be true is through the Newtonian gravitational constant, which together with the choice of an inertial absolute space frame, both constitute the two arbitrarily choices of Newton.

In Newtonian gravity, the gravitational constant G is the only fundamental constant of the theory, and it is known with far less precision than any other dimensional fundamental constant in physics. The uncertainty in its measurement, together with its problematic in Quantum Field Theory in Planck's energy (which is used as a cutoff for the energy density of the vacuum and the theoretical estimation of the Higg's mass, leading to the vacuum catastrophe and hierarchy problems) has led to questioning its constant nature repeatedly over the last century, see [19] for reference. GR carries this constant (a varying G would imply a violation of the strong equivalence principle) with the addition of the speed of light, which can be derived from vacuum permittivity and permeability constants. Therefore, it is reasonable to question if the gravitational constant is also a derived parameter.

The first historically suggestion of gravity arising necessarily as a consequence of the relativity of inertia came from Hans Reissner [20]. Schrödinger soon identified in 1925 [21] that GR did not fully implement Mach's principle, and proposed a relationship between the gravitational potential of distant masses and the speed of light: "*This* 

remarkable relationship states that the (negative) potential of all masses at the point of observation, calculated with the gravitational constant valid at that observation point, must be equal to half the square of the speed of light.". The conclusion was reached by imposing that kinetic energy had an origin in a potential-like interaction (such as all the other forms of energy, which have an origin in an interaction) and being relational, so that  $K = mv^2/2$  based on absolute mass and velocity can be expressed through the gravitational Newtonian potential Gm/r so that  $K = (Gm_i/r_{ij})(m_jv_{ij}^2/2c^2)$  with the necessity of introducing  $c^2$ . The term  $Gm_i/r_{ij}c^2 = GM_u/c^2R_u \sim 1$  is considered to be missing in the original formulation with  $M_u$  being the sum of all masses and  $R_u$  the distance between the moving particle  $m_j$  and  $M_u$ . This relationship was afterwards credited to Reissner by Schrödinger himself.

Schrödinger's formulation appeared repeatedly in several works after him [22-26], but it was popularized by Dennis Sciama, who developed a modified cosmological vector potential theory of inertia in which the gravitational force is derived as a sideeffect of the inertial law in a relational universe [27]. He proposed that local inertial forces result from the gravitational induction of the universe, so that the dynamics of a rotating body would be affected by the large-scale distribution of mass of the universe. The induced inertia decreased with 1/r with r being the distance to the inertial body, so that the action of global matter dominates over the one of the local matter, and it is not significantly modified by the acceleration of local matter, leading to the illusion that inertia depends only on the body itself. The gravitational constant at any point was determined by the total gravitational potential of the distribution of matter of the universe, taking the form of  $G \sim c^2 R_u / M_u$  with  $M_u$  and  $R_u$  the mass and radius of the observable universe, causally connected by the speed of light c in the past light-cone of the point considered to evaluate G, for the equivalence of inertial and gravitational masses to hold. In this way, local phenomena was strongly coupled to global properties of the universe. Sciama's model predicted a non-infinite and expanding universe, since the potential of an infinite non-expanding eternal universe would also be infinite. Sciama's formulation was also derived through an alternative approach based on the velocity of waves in a medium of a certain pressure and mass density, in which the rest energy of a given gravitational mass is its gravitational potential energy due to the distribution of masses of the universe [28].

By pure dimensional analysis, Schrödinger's or Sciama's equation is the only possible derivation of the units of measurement of the gravitational constant through a mass, a distance, and the speed of light. If Sciama's equation is derived from a more fundamental theory, it can be expected to also contain integers and mathematical constants, but these will be omitted for simplicity, since it does not significantly affect the resulting orders of magnitude of the comparison between  $M_u$  and  $R_u$ .

Applying Sciama's equation to today's measurements of the current cosmological model can be done only in terms of orders of magnitude, because the Hubble tension impedes a precise calculation. It yields the orders of magnitude of the observed gravitational constant when considering not the baryonic and dark matter content for  $M_u$ , but the total energy content (since all forms of energy must gravitate according to GR), which roughly corresponds to the critical density of the universe as measured to be almost flat. But the critical density is calculated with the assumption of a constant gravitational constant itself and considering dark matter, which could be eliminated through a correction to the gravitational constant, as it will be proposed in the next section. Thus, an honest calculation must be done with direct observations only by the total luminosity of the universe and its average mass to light ratio, yielding a value  $10^{52}kg < M_u < 10^{53}kg$ , and the observable radius of the universe, which can be estimated by direct observations of the Hubble parameter (subjected to the Hubble tension), yielding around  $R_u \sim 10^{26}m$ .

Few authors have attempted to develop a MOND for galaxy rotation curves based on Mach's principle, or relate Milgrom's MOND to Sciama's equation and Mach's principle [29–35]. The main research lines within these topics are summarized onwards.

Alexander Unzicker explored galaxy dynamics in relation to Mach's Principle and the rotating bucket of water experiment, and proposed a basis for a MOND to solve flat rotation curves without considering Milgrom's MOND [36]. For Unzicker, the rotation of a galaxy is the reason for gravitation, and a modification to the gravitational constant in function of size, mass and angular momentum of the galaxy could explain flat rotation curves. He first proposes another interpretation of Sciama's formulation substituting  $c^2$  for  $v^2$  so that  $v^2 = G \sum m_i / r_i$  and considering v the maximum rotation velocity of the galaxy, but lacks an interpolating function through which it can be effectively applied to the solar system. He proposes two thought experiments: 1. For a Machian rotating water of bucket with the thickness of the bucket the size of the galaxy, it would appear rotating for an outside observer, and gravity would be the necessary force to counteract centrifugal forces and maintain the water's surface flat. Thus, the need of considering rotating frames as inertial ones generates gravitation as a consequence of the transformation by which the equations of motion are obtained if inertial and rotating frames are equivalent. 2. According to Mach, the system of two distant masses rotating around their center of mass in equilibrium of gravitational and centrifugal forces in an empty universe, since rotation between them is undefined due to absence of absolute space, must be equivalent to the system of those two distant masses not rotating and without gravity (only radial or relative velocities are measurable, and tangential velocities are impossible to measure instantaneously). The maximum possible angular velocity is limited by  $w_{max} = c/r$  so that the maximum tangential speed is v = c for one of the masses rotating around the other one, since the speed of light cannot be surpassed in any case. Again, gravitation arises as a consequence of rotation.

Jaume Gine explored a Machian interpretation of the modified second law of motion interpretation of Milgrom's MOND based on the accelerated expansion of the universe [32], in which  $a_0 = GM_u/R_u^2$  is the acceleration that a experimental body feels induced by the rest of the matter of the universe in its inertial frame of reference, and the value of acceleration for which inertial and gravitational masses of a body can differ. Thus, the equivalence principle between inertial and gravitational masses is broken for accelerations smaller than  $a_0$  for the case of galaxies. The relationship  $a_0 \sim c^2 \sqrt{\Lambda}$  is found through Sciama's interpretation of Mach's principle and it is suggested that in a Lorentz universe, in which  $\Lambda = 0$ , standard dynamics holds. In a following paper [33], Gine attempted to derive a phenomenological version of Milgrom's MOND modification to Newton's second law. He considers  $a_0$  as the acceleration at which the edge of the universe at distance  $R_u$  goes away from a considered central inertial point due to the expansion of the universe. By applying the principle of relativity considering the distant universe at rest, an new interpolating function similar to Milgrom's MOND simple interpolating function is derived. Even though interesting ideas have been put forward relating MOND to Mach's principle, an exact equivalence will be presented onwards, which should arise as an approximation in any theory of modified inertia which attempts to explain dark matter effects in galaxies.

### 2 Milgrom's MOND from Mach's Principle

Starting from the well known form of Milgrom's MOND standard interpolating function correction to Newtonian gravity  $g_N = GM/r^2$ , the "true" gravitational acceleration g is

$$g = \frac{g_N}{\mu\left(\frac{g}{a_0}\right)} = \frac{G}{\mu\left(\frac{g}{a_0}\right)} \frac{M}{r^2} \tag{1}$$

$$\mu\left(\frac{g}{a_0}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{a_0}{g}\right)^2}}\tag{2}$$

with  $a_0$  being Milgrom's MOND acceleration or gravitational field intensity constant (also known as Milgrom's MOND scale constant), M the active gravitational mass, and r the distance between the centers of mass of the active and passive gravitational masses, so that for the motion of a test particle in a gravitational field in the low acceleration or deep MOND regime,  $\mu(g/a_0) \rightarrow g/a_0$  and  $g = \sqrt{a_0 g_N}$ , so that with  $g = v^2/r$ ,  $v = \sqrt[4]{GMa_0}$  for achieving flat rotation curves in a remarkable simple way.

Milgrom's MOND acceleration or gravitational field intensity constant  $a_0$  is usually interpreted as a fundamental constant in standard MOND theory, but possibly related to the cosmological parameter of the cosmological constant  $a_0 \sim c^2 \sqrt{\Lambda}$  with  $\Lambda = 8\pi G \rho_{vac}/c^2$  and  $\rho_{vac}$  the energy density of the cosmological constant or dark energy according to GR, which coincides in orders of magnitude (a better match can be done with  $a_0 = c^2 \sqrt{\Lambda/3}$ , although integers and pi are onwards omitted for simplicity). In order to express  $a_0$  in terms of the mass and radius of the universe,  $\rho_{vac}$  is substituted for the matter density of the universe  $\rho_{vac} \sim \rho_u \sim M_u/R_u^3$  with  $M_u$  the causally connected mass to the local system of study through the radius  $R_u$  of the observable universe, a relationship which also coincides in orders of magnitude. Newton's gravitational constant G is substituted for Sciama's interpretation of Mach's principle  $G \sim c^2 R_u/M_u$ , so that  $a_0 \sim c^2/R_u$ , and by reverse engineering,  $v \sim c \sqrt[4]{M/M_u}$  in the equivalent deep MOND regime and  $g \sim c^2 \sqrt{M/M_u}/r$ . Inserting both g and  $a_0$  into the original interpolating function, the speed of light cancels out resulting in a Machian MOND formulation

$$g = \frac{c^2}{\frac{M_u}{R_u} \,\mu\left(\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2}\right)} \frac{M}{r^2}$$
(3)

$$\mu\left(\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2}\right)}}$$
(4)

Thus, a transformation (4) can be identified between the non-inertial reference frame due to gravity of a local system with a mass parameter M and distance r, and a global reference frame of observable mass  $M_u$  and size  $R_u$  of the universe. The variable changed by this transformation is the gravitational force, or equivalently, a variable gravitational constant. Note that in (1), the interpolating function depends on true acceleration, while in Machian MOND depends on Newtonian acceleration.

It is trivial that, since only equivalent substitutions for G and  $a_0$  according to Sciama's interpretation of Mach's principle which yield the same values are done (omitting integers and mathematical constants), and M and r take the same meaning as in Milgrom's MOND, the resulting correction (3) for rotation curves of galaxies is equivalent to standard Milgrom's MOND. Note that the expression  $\mu\left(\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2}\right)$  does not hold the same meaning as (1) and (2), and just represents that the new Machian interpolating function depends on the specified term inside the parenthesis, but  $\mu(x)$  does not  $\mu(x) \to x$  as in Milgrom's MOND. For  $\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2} << 1$ ,  $\mu\left(\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2}\right) \to 1$  and Newtonian gravity is restored. For  $\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2} >> 1$ ,  $\mu\left(\frac{M_u/R_u^2}{M/r^2}\right) \to \sqrt{\frac{M/r^2}{M_u/R_u^2}}$ ,  $v = c\sqrt[4]{M/M_u}$ , and the speed of rotating stars around galaxies far away from their galactic centers is determined by the relative active gravitational masses between the local system and the global system. The resulting velocities in this case do not strongly depend on the values of  $M_u$  due to the fourth root, so that they are not too sensitive to the measured mass value.

It is also trivial that integrating each mass element of the homogeneous and isotropic universe with uniform matter density at large enough scales divided by their distance squared to the center of mass over the causally connected volume, the integral results in  $NM_u/R_u^2$  (with N being a rational factor that can be omitted for simplicity since it does not affect the orders of magnitude of the relationship between  $M_u$  and  $R_u$ ). Thus, under Machian MOND, the numerator  $M_u/R_u^2$  in (4) is the total field intensity (the gravitational field intensity without the gravitational constant G) of all masses of the universe at the point in which the correction is applied (omitting integers for simplicity), taking the same meaning as  $M/r^2$  in the denominator, which is the field intensity of the masses of the local system at the point in which the correction is applied.

By decreasing the mass of the universe within the observable radius  $M_u$ , the gravitational force increases (having the same observational consequence as the decrease of inertia), and local velocities increase. Decreasing the mass of the universe until the absence of any background  $(M_u \to M, R_u \to r)$ , the transformation  $\mu$  (4) reduces to some simple number K which can be set to unity with the appropriate choice of integers in the formulation of the Machian transformation and Sciama's Mach's principle interpretation (a simple way to obtain K = 1 is considering Schrödinger's original definition of G and multiplying the term in parenthesis of the transformation by 3), and velocities approach  $v \to Kc$  at the edge of the galaxy. This is reasonable according to Mach, since without any background, rotation is undefined and tangential speed can take up any value up to the limit of the speed of light.

## 3 Discussion

It is shown that Milgrom's MOND correction to Newtonian gravity can be reformulated based on Mach's principle without physical constants or free parameters except for the speed of light. This is achieved by substituting both Newton's gravitational constant G for Schrödinger's or Sciama's interpretation of Mach's principle  $G \sim c^2 R_u/M_u$  of mass and distance  $M_u$  and  $R_u$  (mass and radius of the observable universe) of global, measurable, variable and physical parameters relationship, and Milgrom's MOND acceleration constant  $a_0$  for  $a_0 \sim c^2/R_u$ , yielding very similar values to Milgrom's MOND correction. Both  $M_u$  and  $R_u$  are relational to local dynamics M and r in the transformation proposed. It is found that the gravitational force (or equivalently, inertial mass) depends on the relative field intensities of local and global mass distributions. In this way, the mass and size of the observable universe are always accounted for when considering a non-inertial reference frame as it is always the case for gravitational systems, in accordance with Mach's principle.

The relationship pointed out by Milgrom between his MOND's acceleration constant  $a_0$  and the cosmological constant or the Hubble parameters is interpreted merely as accidental due to the cosmological coincidence by which at present epoch, the energy densities associated with dark energy (the cosmological constant) and visible matter are similar in terms of orders of magnitude.

The Machian MOND's interpolating function is no longer a smooth transition between regimes of high and low or Milgrom's MOND accelerations, but rather a transformation  $\mu$  (4) between different scales and non-inertial reference frames which, by using the standard MOND interpolating function, resembles a gamma factor with a plus sign instead of a minus sign from a Lorentz-like transformation: the relationship between a local frame in spacetime, and a global frame which is at rest (the background of the rest of the universe) with respect to the first one, with both being gravitationally accelerated relative to each other. It expresses how gravity changes locally due to the background universe through relative masses and distances in both systems, in accordance with Mach's principle. The speed of light in Sciama's interpretation of Newton's gravitational constant takes the meaning of the speed of gravity and causality justifying the choice of  $R_u$  as the radius of the observable universe containing mass  $M_u$ , which is the part of the universe causally affecting local dynamics, for Mach's interpretation not to violate locality.

Machian MOND satisfies several definitions of Mach's principle in relation to gravity: Newton's gravitational constant G is a dynamical field, local inertial frames are affected by the cosmic distribution of matter, and rotation is undefined up to the speed of light in the absence of the rest of the universe. If the correction applies to inertia instead of just to gravity, then inertial mass would also be affected by the global distribution of matter. Milgrom himself favours modifying inertia (which is the true aim of Mach's principle), since Newtonian inertia was already modified by special relativity through the particle's kinetic action. We consider Machian MOND, or a similar and equivalent approximate formulation, a necessary feature of a phenomenological theory of modified inertia which incorporates Mach's principle. For a Machian theory of modified inertia, the formal limit where  $a_0 \rightarrow 0$  in which MOND approaches the standard Newtonian action, does not hold, since the mass and radius of the universe (for which  $a_0$  is replaced) always exists. In agreement with Mach's principle, if inertial mass is defined by the distribution of masses of the universe (following Sciama's argument), it is reasonable to think it should also be affected by the distribution of local masses.

Milgrom's MOND originally violates conservation of linear momentum for N-body systems. This can be fixed through a non-relativistic Lagrangian formulation of MOND as a modification to Newtonian gravity, which satisfies all standard conservation laws [37]. Applying Gauss law for cases of high symmetry, (1) is recovered. Machian MOND (3), as it is already a modification to Newtonian gravity and not to Newton's second law, could also be derived from an action.

The equivalent variation of the Newtonian G is both temporal due to the expanding universe and dependence of the mass and radius of the observable universe, and also spatial since the correction through the interpolating function depends on the local field intensity. It does not violate Einstein's equivalence principle (although the strong equivalence principle is violated), because the modification to the gravitational acceleration does not depend directly on the passive gravitational or inertial mass. The variation of  $a_0 \sim c^2/R_u$  could in principle solve cosmological issues of Milgrom's MOND (i.e., the recollapse of any region regardless of its initial expansion velocity or density) [38]. Since the interpolating function resembles a Lorentz transformation (and can be thought to only apply to the gravitational constant), and already incorporates the speed of light, it could be an insight for the formulation of a relativistic covariant theory of modified gravity which reduces to Machian MOND. As stated by Milgrom in one of his conferences, "the only system that is strongly general relativistic and in the MOND regime is the Universe at large". For a complete relativistic approach, all mentioned mass should be replaced by energy, which gravitates according to GR. The  $\mu$  (4) transformation between non-inertial reference frames could be also applied directly as a correction to relativistic momentum, mass, and energy.

It is well known that, according to Bekenstein, a higher value for Milgrom's MOND  $a_0$  could resolve the tension between standard MOND and galaxy cluster's core dynamics, where Newtonian accelerations take around that same value (provided that  $a_0$  stays the same in galaxies for agreement with rotation curves). Machian MOND, although being equivalent to Milgrom's MOND, is effectively a theory of a varying  $a_0$  with the time scale, and could in principle resolve this issue of Milgrom's MOND.

It would be interesting to compare Machian MOND with observational constraints of a varying gravitational constant at the early universe considering a cosmological model without dark matter (since applying Machian MOND as a correction to the gravitational constant is equivalent to MOND, which solves the need for dark matter in galaxies), due to its dependence of the mass and radius of the observable universe, which have both varied over time.

The expression (4) is not unique and depends on the chosen Milgrom's MOND interpolating function for which the Machian substitutions are made. Depending on this choice, the origin of the transformation could be found in the relativity of acceleration, relativity of inertia, retardation of gravitational fields, or in a Lorentzian-like symmetry framework. Furthermore, which integers and mathematical constants play a role within the Machian transformation and within Sciama's equation is left to be discussed for a better agreement with observations.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge a similar but not the exact same formulation of equation (4) to Juan D. Santander.

## Declarations

Authors have no competing interests to declare. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### References

- Zwicky, F.: Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln. Helvetica Physica Acta 6, 110–127 (1933) https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-008-0707-4
- [2] Rubin, V.C.: The Rotation of Spiral Galaxies. Science 220(4604), 1339–1344 (1983) https://doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4604.1339
- [3] K Garrett, G.D.: Dark Matter: A Primer. Advances in Astronomy 2011 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/968283
- [4] Milgrom, M.: A modification of the newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis. The Astrophysical Journal 270, 365–370 (1983) https://doi.org/10.1086/161130
- [5] Milgrom, M.: A modification of the newtonian dynamics implications for galaxies. The Astrophysical Journal 270, 371–383 (1983) https://doi.org/10.1086/ 161131
- [6] Milgrom, M.: A modification of the newtonian dynamics—implications for galaxy systems. The Astrophysical Journal 270, 384–389 (1983) https://doi.org/10. 1086/161132
- [7] Sanders, R.H.: The Virial Discrepancy in Clusters of Galaxies in the Context of Modified Newtonian Dynamics. The Astrophysical Journal 512(1) (1998) https: //doi.org/10.1086/311865
- [8] Sanders, R.H.: Clusters of galaxies with modified Newtonian dynamics. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 342(3), 901–908 (2003) https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06596.x
- [9] Sanders, R.H., McGaugh, S.S.: Modified Newtonian Dynamics as an Alternative to Dark Matter. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics 40, 263–317 (2002) https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093923
- [10] Milgrom, M.: Dynamics with a Nonstandard Inertia-Acceleration Relation: An Alternative to Dark Matter in Galactic Systems. Annals of Physics 229(2), 384– 415 (1994) https://doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1994.1012

- [11] Brownstein, J.R., Moffat, J.W.: Galaxy Rotation Curves without Nonbaryonic Dark Matter. The Astrophysical Journal 636(2), 721 (2006) https://doi.org/10. 1086/498208
- [12] Verlinde, E.P.: Emergent Gravity and the Dark Universe. SciPost Physics 2, 016 (2017) https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.2.3.016
- [13] Deur, A.: Non-Abelian Effects in Gravitation. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/ astro-ph/0309474 (2003). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0309474
- [14] McCulloch, M.E.: Modelling the Pioneer anomaly as modified inertia. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 376(1), 338–342 (2007) https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11433.x
- [15] Finzi, A., Pirani, F.A.E.: On the validity of newton's law at a long distance. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 127(1), 21–30 (1963) https: //doi.org/10.1093/mnras/127.1.21
- [16] Kuhn, J.R., Kruglyak, L.: Non-newtonian forces and the invisible mass problem. Astrophysical Journal **313**(1) (1987) https://doi.org/10.1086/164942
- [17] Mach, E.: Die Mechanik in Ihrer Entwicklung. Brockhaus, Leipzig (1881)
- Bondi, H., Samuel, J.: The Lense-Thirring effect and Mach's principle. Physics Letters A 228(3), 121–126 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(97) 00117-5
- [19] Uzan, J.-P.: Varying Constants, Gravitation and Cosmology. Living Reviews in Relativity 14(2) (2011) https://doi.org/10.12942/hrr-2011-2
- [20] Reissner, H.: Uber eine möglichkeit die gravitation als unmittelbarë Folge der relativität der trägheit abzuleiten. Physikalische Zeitschrift 16, 179–185 (1915)
- [21] Schrödinger, E.: Die Erfüllbarkeit der Relativitätsforderung in der klassischen Mechanik. Annalen der Physik 382, 325–336 (1925) https://doi.org/10.1002/ andp.19253821109
- [22] Whitrow, G.J.: The Mass of the Universe. Nature 158, 165–166 (1946) https: //doi.org/10.1038/158165b0
- [23] Treder, H.-J.: Die Relativität der Trägheit. De Gruyter, Berlin (1972)
- [24] Whitrow, G.J., Randall, D.G.: Expanding World-models Characterized by a Dimensionless Invariant. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 111, 455–467 (1951) https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/111.5.455
- [25] Brans, C., Dicke, R.H.: Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation. Physiscal Review 124, 925–935 (1961) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.124.925
- [26] Assis, A.K.T.: Relational Mechanics. Apeiron, Montreal (1999)

- [27] Sciama, D.W.: On the origin of inertia. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 113, 34 (1953) https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/113.1.34
- [28] Funkhouser, S.: The Planck Length Scale and Einstein Mass-Energy Obtained from the Sciama-Mach Large Number Relationship. Preprint at https://arxiv. org/abs/gr-qc/0309106 (2003)
- [29] Darabi, F.: A New Interpretation of MOND based on Mach Principle and Generalized Equivalence Principle. International Journal of Theoretical Physics 49, 1133–1139 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-010-0294-5
- [30] Benedetto, E., Licata, I.: Chapter 6: Machian Request for Mond Theory. Advances in General Relativity Research. Nova Science Publishers. 978-1-63483-120-8, Hauppauge, New York (2015)
- [31] Mol, A.W.: On the gravitodynamics of moving bodies. Central European Journal of Physics 9, 1151–1164 (2011) https://doi.org/10.2478/s11534-011-0030-7
- [32] Gine, J.: On the origin of the inertia: The modified Newtonian dynamics theory. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 41(4), 1651–1660 (2009) https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chaos.2008.07.008
- [33] Gine, J.: The phenomenological version of modified Newtonian dynamics from the relativity principle of motion. Physica Scripta 85(2), 025011 (2012) https: //doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/85/02/025011
- [34] Abreu, E.M.C., Ananias Neto, J.: Holographic considerations on a Machian Universe. Annals of Physics 351, 290–301 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014. 09.004
- [35] Benedetto, E., Corda, C., Licata, I.: Equivalence Principle and Machian origin of extended gravity. International Journal of Modern Physics D (2024) https: //doi.org/10.1142/S0218271824410153
- [36] Unzicker, A.: Galaxies as Rotating Buckets a Hypothesis on the Gravitational Constant Based on Mach's Principle. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/ 0308087 (2003)
- [37] Bekenstein, J., Milgrom, M.: Does The Missing Mass Problem Signal The Breakdown Of Newtonian Gravity? The Astrophysical Journal 286(1), 8 (1984) https: //doi.org/10.1086/162570
- [38] Felten, J.E.: Milgrom's revision of Newton's laws Dynamical and cosmological consequences. The Astrophysical Journal 286, 3–6 (1984) https://doi.org/10. 1086/162569