

ABOUT THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

THOMAS BROUARD

1. Introduction

Bernhard Riemann made the hypothesis, that is here proposed to confirm, that the complex xi (ξ) function zeros are real [2] (p.139). The eta (η) Dirichlet function will also be proposed to be used for a proof that the Riemann zeta function nontrivial zeros, which are linked to the xi zeros, have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

2. The zeros of ξ

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a real sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the Riemann ξ function can be written such as, for $t \in \mathbb{C}$: $\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n |a_n| t^{2n}$.*

Proof. According to Riemann [2] (p.138), for $t \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\xi(t) = 4 \int_1^{\infty} \frac{d(x^{\frac{3}{2}} \psi'(x))}{dx} x^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} t \log(x)\right) dx.$$

So, as Riemann typed: “Diese Function... ..lässt sich nach Potenzen von tt in eine... ..convergierende Reihe entwickeln.”, which can be translated as “This function... ..allows itself to be developed in powers of ttas a converging series.”, the Riemann ξ function can be such as, for $t \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (t^2)^n, \text{ where for } n \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$a_n = 4 \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n} (2n)!} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{d(x^{\frac{3}{2}} \psi'(x))}{dx} x^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\log(x))^{2n} dx.$$

The function $\frac{d(x^{\frac{3}{2}} \psi'(x))}{dx} = \pi x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n^2 \pi x - \frac{3}{2}\right) n^2 e^{-n^2 \pi x}$ being positive on $(1; +\infty)$, and having a finite limit at 1^+ , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(-1)^n a_n > 0$, which gives the theorem 2.1. \square

Noting for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Arg}(z)$ as the principal argument of z being in $(-\pi; \pi]$, $(u_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (a_n t^{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, follows this theorem:

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M26.

Theorem 2.2. For any t in \mathbb{C} such that $\Re(t) \neq 0$, $\Im(t) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\xi(t) = 0$, t is real.

Proof. Let be $t = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a \neq 0, b \in (-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$, and $\xi(t) = 0$. Thus,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n+1} = -a_0.$$

Let us name $z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_{n+1}(t)| e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(u_n(t))}$ (convergence confirmed below). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(z) &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_{n+1}(t)| e^{i \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Arg}(u_n^2(t))} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_{n+1}(t)| e^{i \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Arg} \left(\left(\frac{u_n(t)}{u_{n+1}(t)} \right)^2 u_{n+1}^2(t) \right)} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_{n+1}(t)| e^{i \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Arg}(t^{-4} u_{n+1}^2(t))} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_{n+1}(t)| e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(t^{-2} u_{n+1}(t))} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n+1}(t) e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(t^{-2})} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(-a_0 e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(t^{-2})} \right) \text{ from the equation (1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad \Im(z) = -a_0 \sin(\operatorname{Arg}(t^{-2})).$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(z) &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_{n+1}(t)| e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(u_n(t))} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n+1}(t) e^{i \operatorname{Arg} \left(\frac{u_n(t)}{u_{n+1}(t)} \right)} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n+1}(t) e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(-t^{-2})} \right) \\ &= \Im \left(-a_0 e^{i \operatorname{Arg}(-t^{-2})} \right) \text{ from the equation (1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \quad \Im(z) = a_0 \sin(\operatorname{Arg}(t^{-2})).$$

Thus, thanks to the equations (2) and (3): $a_0 \sin(\operatorname{Arg}(t^{-2})) = 0$. Then, $t^2 = a^2 - b^2 + i2ab$ is real, $b = 0$ because $a \neq 0$, and t is real. \square

Therefore, as Riemann typed [2] (p.138) "...so kann die Function $\xi(t)$ nur verschwinden, wenn der imaginäre Theil von t zwischen $\frac{1}{2}i$ und $-\frac{1}{2}i$ liegt.", which can be translated as "...it follows that the function $\xi(t)$ can only vanish if the imaginary part of t lies between $\frac{1}{2}i$ and $-\frac{1}{2}i$ ", with the lemma 2.1 is proposed that the Riemann hypothesis is confirmed.

Lemma 2.1. *For any t in \mathbb{C} such that $\Re(t) = 0$ and $\Im(t) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\xi(t)$ is not null.*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Let be t in \mathbb{C} such that $\Re(t) = 0$, $\Im(t) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\xi(t) = 0$. Then, $\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| (\Im(t))^n$. Because of the symmetry of the zeros about the real axis (which can be proved by saying that $\xi(\bar{t}) = 0$ using the polar form of the xi expression of the theorem 2.1), $a_0 = 0$. But a_0 is positive. We have the lemma 2.1. \square

3. The nontrivial zeros of ζ

In 1859, Riemann wrote: $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1)(s - 1)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \xi(t)$ where $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$. Let us now propose to use the Dirichlet eta (η) function, present in an analytic continuation expression of ζ , on $0 < \Re(s) < 1$, where are its nontrivial zeros.

Lemma 3.1. *For any a in $(0, \infty)$, any n in \mathbb{N} , any s in \mathbb{C} , if $\Re(s)$ is in $(0, 1)$ and $\zeta(s) = 0$, then $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^{xa^{-4n}} + 1} dx = 0$.*

Proof. Let be a a positive real number, n in \mathbb{N} , and s in \mathbb{C} , such that $\Re(s) \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta(s) = 0$. Lang [1] (p.157) and Spiegel [3] (line 15.82) give, for $\Re(s) > 0$:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\eta(s)}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x + 1} dx.$$

Then, $\zeta(s) = 0$ implies that $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x + 1} dx = 0$, and the variable change $x = ua^{-4n}$ gives the lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.1. *For any s in \mathbb{C} , if $\Re(s)$ is in $(0, 1)$, $\Im(s) \neq 0$ and $\zeta(s) = 0$, then $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Let be $s = \sigma + it$ a nontrivial zero, with σ in $(0, 1)$ and t a positive real number, and let be $a = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2t}\right)$. The lemma 3.1 gives that:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^{xa^{-4n}} + 1} dx = - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^{xa^{-4n}} + 1} dx$$

n going to infinity, the dominated convergence theorem makes the left term converge to $\frac{1}{2s}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\left(\frac{1}{2s}\right) + o(1) &= \Re\left(-\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^{xa^{-4n}} + 1} dx\right) \\ &= -\int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{-\sigma} \cos\left(t \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \ln(x)\right)}{1 + \exp\left(a^{-4n} x^{\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}}\right)} dx, \text{ with the variable change } x = u^{\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}} \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{x^{-\sigma} \cos\left(t \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \ln(x)\right)}{1 + \exp\left(a^{-4n} x^{\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}}\right)} dx \end{aligned}$$

with the same variable change applied to $\Re\left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^{xa^{-4n}} + 1} dx\right) = 0$.

n going to infinity, the dominated convergence theorem makes this last term converge to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 x^{-\sigma} \cos\left(t \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \ln(x)\right) dx,$$

which equals $\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{\sigma} \frac{1}{(1-\sigma)^2 + t^2}$. Then, because this term equals $\Re\left(\frac{1}{2s}\right)$:

$$\frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{\sigma} \frac{1}{(1-\sigma)^2 + t^2} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + t^2}.$$

This equation implies that $t^2((1-\sigma)^2 - \sigma^2) = 0$, and t being non null, $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. The zeta nontrivial zeros being symmetric about the real axis (which can be proved saying that $\zeta(\bar{s}) = 0$ using eta), with the lemma 3.2 is proposed that the Riemann hypothesis is confirmed.

Lemma 3.2. *For any s in \mathbb{C} such that $\Im(s) = 0$, and $\Re(s)$ in $(0, 1)$, $\zeta(s)$ is not null.*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Let be $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re(s) = \sigma \in (0; 1)$, $\Im(s) = 0$, and $\zeta(s) = 0$. Then $\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^\sigma} = 0$ and the series

$\left(\sum_{n=0}^m (2n+2)^{-\sigma} - (2n+1)^{-\sigma}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ converges to 0. We define, for $x \in [1, \infty)$, $f(x) = x^{-\sigma}$. With the mean value theorem, exists a sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$: $\frac{f(2n+2) - f(2n+1)}{(2n+2) - (2n+1)} = f'(b_n) = -\sigma b_n^{-\sigma-1}$. Then,

$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f'(b_n) = 0 = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{b_n^{\sigma+1}}$. But, for all n in \mathbb{N} , b_n is in $(2n+1, 2n+2)$ and therefore positive, we have the lemma 3.2. \square

References

- [1] S. Lang. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Addison Wesley, 1970.
- [2] B. Riemann. [Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse](#). *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, **7**:136–139, November 1859.
- [3] M. R. Spiegel. *Mathematical Handbook of Formulas and Tables*. Schaum. Mac Graw Hill, January 1986.

ABSTRACT. Here is proposed to confirm the Riemann hypothesis.